

Cambridge University Press

978-1-906-98535-6 - Let's Do Audit!: A practical guide to improving the quality of medical care through criterion-based audit

Andrew Weeks, Katie Lightly and Sam Ononge

Index

[More information](#)

Index

References to the glossary have the suffix 'g'. References to footnotes are in the form 84n.xvii where 84 is the page number and xvii the note number.

- action plans 51–2, 91g
 - examples 77
- administrative audits 4
- adverse event audits 3, 91g
- aims of audit 1
- ambiguity, avoidance of 22, 84n.xvii
- Argentina, audit in 5
- audit
 - compared with research and service evaluation 8
 - definition 1, 10
- audit cycles 1–2, 10–11, 55
- auditors 6
- averages 40, 41–2, 45–6
- background information on
 - patients 27, 39
- 'bench mark up' auditing 5
- bias
 - avoidance of 22, 66n.iii
 - sources of 30, 31, 32, 84n.xvii
- blame 7–9, 54
- caesarean sections, audit of
 - postoperative infection rates 71–8
- calculations 37–43, 44–6
- case notes, keeping track of 30, 84n.xviii
- case notes reviews 3, 91g
- categorical data 40, 42
- clients 91g
 - basic information on 27, 39
 - obtaining data from 31–2
 - problem identification by 13–14
- clinical audit 91g
 - compared with research and service evaluation 8
 - definition 1, 10
- communication in the audit
 - process 53–5
- community feedback 33
- complexity of audits 14, 15, 55
- Confidential Enquiry into Maternal Deaths (CEMD) 3
- confidentiality 27, 31
- continuous data 39, 41–2
- courtesy bias 32
- criteria 19–20, 25–6, 91g
 - examples 65, 72
 - numerical format to match data collected 39
 - selection process 20–4
- criterion-based audits 3–5, 91g

Cambridge University Press

978-1-906-98535-6 - Let's Do Audit!: A practical guide to improving the quality of medical care through criterion-based audit

Andrew Weeks, Katie Lightly and Sam Ononge

Index

[More information](#)**94** Let's do audit!

- critical incident audits 3, 91g
- data analysis
 - examples 67–8, 74–5
 - inputting data 37
 - performance gap estimation 43
 - presentation of results 42–3
 - statistical analysis 37–42, 43–6
- data collection 34–5
 - confidentiality of data 27, 31
 - examples 65–7, 73–4, 80, 84–5
 - identification of necessary data 27–8
 - long-term monitoring 56
 - from patients 31–3
 - pro forma tables 28–9, 33, 65–6, 74
 - process of collection 15, 33, 66
 - retrospective and prospective 29–31, 84n.xviii
 - sample size 29, 53
- demographic information 27, 39
- diagnostic criteria audits 4
- distribution of data 38, 39
- district referral systems, audit of 79–82
- ‘do not resuscitate’ (DNR) policy, audit of 63–70
- documentation
 - audit of 4, 72n.ix, 87
 - data collection and 30, 69n.v, 84n.xviii
- double data entry 33
- drug management in low resource settings 89
- dry runs 33, 67
- eclampsia, audit criteria 23
- effectiveness of audit 5–6
- environmental problems 16
- equipment
 - fixing 54
 - problems caused by 16
- errors
 - in data collection 33
 - identification of cause of 7–9, 54
- evidence obtained by audit 14, 86n.xxiii
- facilities, audit of *see* structure, audit of
- feasibility of audit 15
- ‘five whys’ technique 48–9
- focus groups 32, 91g
- Ghana, audit in 5–6
- graphs 42–3
- guidelines 92g
 - DNR policy 65
 - management of postoperative infections 72
 - use in establishing audit criteria 20–2
- health professionals *see* staff
- human error
 - in the audit process 33
 - in medicine 7–9
- implementation of change 47–8, 56–7
 - action plans 51–2, 77, 91g
 - communication issues 53–5
 - examples 68–70, 75–8, 80–2, 85–9

Cambridge University Press

978-1-906-98535-6 - Let's Do Audit!: A practical guide to improving the quality of medical care through criterion-based audit

Andrew Weeks, Katie Lightly and Sam Ononge

Index

[More information](#)

- re-audit 55–6, 70, 77–8, 81, 86
- responsibility for 50, 51, 52, 85n.xx
- root cause analysis 48–9, 57, 68–9, 75–6, 80n.xiii, 92g
- selection of interventions 50–1
- inspections, external 9
- internet resources for guidelines 20, 21
- interviews
 - with clients 32
 - with staff 31
- investigations (clinical), problems caused by 16
- low-resource settings 5–6
 - audit of postoperative infection rates 71–8
 - audit of pre-eclampsia management 83–90
 - audit of referral systems 79–82
- Malawi, audit in 79–82
- management (treatment), audit of 4, 83–90
- managers *see* supervisors
- maternal death/morbidity 3, 5
- mean 40, 41, 42
- median 40, 42
- meetings
 - to discuss results 53, 54
 - to gather data 13, 33
- mistakes
 - in data collection 33
 - identification of cause of 7–9, 54
- mode 40, 41, 42
- morbidity 92g
- non-normal distribution 38, 39
- normal distribution 38, 39
- observation of staff 31
- obstetric haemorrhage, audit criteria 23
- obstructed labour, audit criteria 22–4, 25
- online resources for guidelines 20, 21
- outcome, audit of 3, 4–5, 71–8
- patients
 - basic information on 27, 39
 - obtaining data from 31–2
 - problem identification by 13–14
- performance gaps 43, 75
- performance improvement 47–8, 56–7
 - action plans 51–2, 77, 91g
 - communication issues 53–5
 - examples 68–9, 77–8, 80–2, 85–9
 - re-audit 55–6, 70, 77–8, 81, 86
 - responsibility for 50, 51, 52, 85n.xx
 - root cause analysis 48–9, 57, 68–9, 75–6, 80n.xiii, 92g
 - selection of interventions 50–1
- pilot studies 33, 67
- policy makers 50, 53, 54
- postoperative infection rates, audit of 71–8
- postpartum haemorrhage, action plan for treatment 52
- pre-eclampsia, audit of management of 83–90

Cambridge University Press

978-1-906-98535-6 - Let's Do Audit!: A practical guide to improving the quality of medical care through criterion-based audit

Andrew Weeks, Katie Lightly and Sam Ononge

Index

[More information](#)

96 Let's do audit!

presentations 53

Principles for Best Practice in Clinical Audit (NICE) 5

prioritisation of problems 16–17, 18, 72n.vi

pro forma tables 28–9, 33

examples 65–6, 74

problem identification 13–18

examples 64, 71, 79

process, audit of 3, 4, 15–16

examples 63–70, 83–90

prospective data collection 30–1

quality improvement teams 48,

79n.xi, 89

questionnaires 31, 66

re-audit 55–6

examples 70, 77–8, 81, 86

referral systems, audit of 79–82

research 7, 8, 92g

resource usage audits 4

results (outcome), audit of 3, 4–5, 71–8

retrospective data collection 30, 84n.xviii

root cause analysis 48–9, 57,

80n.xiii, 92g

examples 68–9, 75–6

sample size 29, 53

service evaluation 8, 92g

skewed distribution 38, 39

staff

education 54

errors 7–9, 16, 54

involvement in audit process 6, 13, 50, 89

obtaining data from 31, 66

role of senior staff 13, 33, 53, 82, 85n.xxi, 88

stakeholders 92g

see also clients; staff

standards 19–20, 92g

comparison with current practice 43

examples 72, 79–80, 83–4

setting 25–6

statistics 37–43, 44–6

structure, audit of 3, 4, 15, 79–82

suggestion boxes 32

supervisors

involvement in audit process

53, 82, 85n.xxi, 88

problem identification by 13, 33

supplies, problems caused by 16, 89

teaching 54

treatment, audit of 4, 83–90

Uganda, audit in 5, 6, 71–8, 83–90

UK, audit in 5, 63–70

‘why? why?’ analysis 48–9, 92g