

Contents

Introduction to the Series: Trialogical International Law
Anne Peters *page xi*

I. The Pluralistic Structure and Self-Contradictory Substance of International Law xii

II. Multiperspectivism xiv

III. The Timing of the Trialogues: Pressure on International Law's Universality xvi

IV. Problematising National Perspectives on Questions of the Law *Contra Bellum* and *In Bello* xviii

V. Bottom-Up Universalisation xx

VI. Contributing to the Self-Reflexivity of International Legal Scholarship xxiv

Introduction: Dilution of Self-Defence and its Discontents 1
Christian Marxsen and Anne Peters

I. The Controversy Around Self-Defence against Non-State Actors 2

II. Revival of the Debate since 2014 7

III. Three Perspectives in a Trialogue 10

1. The Use of Force in Self-Defence against Non-State Actors, Decline of Collective Security and the Rise of Unilateralism: Whither International Law? 14
Dire Tladi

I. Introduction 14

A. Importance and Controversy of the Law on the Use of Force 14

	B. The Role of Policy Considerations	17
	C. The Purpose of the Chapter	19
II.	Prohibition on the Use of Force	22
	A. A Brief Historical Context	22
	B. The Content and Status of the Prohibition	23
III.	International Peace and Security Architecture	28
	A. The Charter as an Instrument for Collective Security	28
	B. Institutional Framework for Peace and Security under the Charter	30
IV.	The Law on Self-Defence	36
	A. General Framework	36
	B. The Permissibility of Unilateral Use of Force against Non-State Actors	37
	C. The Proposition that Unilateral Force can be used Extraterritorially in Self-Defence against Non-State Actors	42
	D. The Rules for Interpreting and Identifying the Scope of Self-Defence in Respect of Non-State Actors	48
V.	Evaluation of the Scope of the Right of Self-Defence	52
	A. The 'Inherent Right': Pre-Existing Rules of Customary International Law	52
	B. Armed Attack does not mean Armed Attack by a State	54
VI.	Unilateral or Collective Security: The Intersection of Law and Policy	81
	A. Scope and Limits of the Law of Self-Defence against Non-State Actors	81
	B. Do Current Circumstances Call for a New Approach?	82
VII.	Summary and Conclusions	87
2.	Self-Defence against Non-State Actors: Making Sense of the 'Armed Attack' Requirement	90
	<i>Christian J. Tams</i>	
	I. Introduction	90
	II. Setting the Stage	94
	A. A Problem of Force in International Relations	95
	B. A Question of Self-Defence	98
	C. A Question of Treaty Law	104
III.	The 'Armed Attack' Requirement: Making Sense of the Treaty Text	112

Contents

ix

A.	'... the ordinary meaning to be given to the terms of the treaty ...'	112
B.	'... in their context ...'	114
C.	'... and in the light of its object and purpose'	120
D.	The Preparatory Work of the Treaty and the Circumstances of its Conclusion	123
E.	The Text of Article 51: Where Do We Stand?	124
IV.	'Meaning Through Deeds': Subsequent Practice in Application of the 'Armed Attack' Requirement	125
A.	Subsequent Practice in Treaty Interpretation	125
B.	The General Framework: An Inter-State Reading of Self-Defence	129
C.	Particular Instances of Self-Defence (1946–Late 1980s): A Plea for Nuance	136
D.	Post-Cold War Practice: Gradual, Palpable Change	142
E.	Subsequent Practice: Where Do We Stand?	158
V.	Assessment and Concluding Thoughts	164
A.	The Case for Asymmetrical Self-Defence	164
B.	Implications	169
3.	Self-Defence, Pernicious Doctrines, Peremptory Norms	174
	<i>Mary Ellen O'Connell</i>	
I.	Introduction	174
II.	Evidence of the Durable Meaning of Self-Defence	179
A.	The Terms of the Charter	180
B.	The Drafting History of the Charter	183
C.	The Understanding in UN Organs	186
III.	Three Pernicious Doctrines of Expansive Self-Defence	212
A.	Inherent/Imminent	214
B.	Terrorism/War	218
C.	Unable/Unwilling	224
IV.	The Prohibition on the Use of Force as <i>Ius Cogens</i>	228
A.	The Methodology of <i>Ius Cogens</i>	229
B.	History, Morality, Natural Law	236
C.	The Implications of <i>Ius Cogens</i> Status for Self-Defence	244
V.	Conclusion	253

Conclusion: Self-Defence against Non-State Actors – The Way Ahead	258
<i>Christian Marxsen and Anne Peters</i>	
I. Different Modes of Engaging with the International Law on Self-Defence	258
II. Handling the Sources of Self-Defence	260
III. Moral Values and <i>Ius Cogens</i>	262
IV. The Indeterminacy of the Law on Self-Defence	264
V. How Does the Law of Self-Defence Change?	269
A. Change of the Charter Law	270
B. Change of the Customary International Law on Self-Defence	274
C. The Law in Transition	276
VI. Conclusion	277
<i>Index</i>	282