

Index

- accountability, 10, 23
 peer accountability within CTTs, 39–40
 peer-to-peer, 34
 reciprocal, 269
 team leaders, 78
- Achievement Standards of the National Curriculum, 276
- adaptive tests, 86
- affective evidence, 117
- affective verbs, 120
- analytical frameworks, 97
- ARC. *See* Assessment Research Centre (ARC)
- ARCOTS, 34
 generating Guttman chart, 204–5
 learning readiness report, 64
- ARCOTS reports, 85
- ARCOTS test, 85, 87, 199, 300
 access and registration for, 301–4
 administration for, 307–10
 technical requirements for, 301
 test targeting, 304–7
- assessment data, xxii
 as decision-making tool, 80
 identifying sources of error in, 231–2
 understanding and using, 288–9
 use in developing school policy, 31
 use in identifying ZPD, 22
 use to inform teaching, 78
 use to plan targeted teaching, 237
- Assessment and Learning Partnerships Project, 8, 242
- Assessment Research Centre (ARC), 8, 18, 268, 281
 derived progressions, 66
 expanded chance and data progression, 72–3
 expanded geometry progression, 73–4
 expanded measurement progression, 71–2
- expanded number progression, 70–1
- Numeracy Development progression, 69, 289
- Problem-Solving Development progression, 68, 289
- Reading Development progression, 68–9, 289
- See also* Assessment and Learning Partnerships Project; Assessment and Teaching of 21st Century Skills (ATC21S) Project; Students with Additional Needs (SWANs), learning progressions for
- Assessment Research Centre Online Testing System (ARCOTS). *See* ARCOTS
- Assessment Research Project, 17–18
- assessment tasks, 102
 components of, 92
 design task considerations, 155–6
- Assessment and Teaching of 21st Century Skills (ATC21S) Project, 99, 101
 developmental assessment framework case study, 107–9
- KSAVE, 102
- partial-credit Guttman chart for social skills, 221
- Assessment for Teaching model, 281–98
 benefits of, 282
 developmental learning framework, 289–91
 developmental progressions derived from, 296–7
 five steps from measurement to policy process, 285–6
 key elements in, 283–5
 Self-awareness and Management capabilities, 185
 for students with additional needs, 247
 task design process, 155
 Wilderness School case study, 267–78

- assessments
 - authentic, xviii
 - challenges of, 230–2
 - classroom, 79–80
 - competence, 44
 - competency, xxi
 - criterion-referenced framework, 46–7
 - formative, 80, 83
 - interpreting results using combination of models, 52–3
 - procedures for students with additional needs, 81–2
 - relevance of literacy numeracy to teachers, 78–80
 - standardised measures, 15–16
 - See also* objective assessments; online assessments; subjective assessments
- Australian Curriculum, 48, 60
- writing rubrics derived from, 274
- Australian Institute of Teaching and School Leadership, 271
- Australian Tertiary Admissions Rank (ATAR), 48
- authentic assessments, xviii

- backward mapping, xix
- bias, 81
- Bloom's Taxonomy, 54
- See also* Revised Bloom's Taxonomy

- capabilities, 98, 116
 - developmental assessment framework, 106
 - forethought phase rubrics, 147
 - performance indicators for, 98–9
 - in self-reflection phase, 148–9
 - in SRL, 144, 146
- case studies
 - ATC21S Project, 107–9
 - Sea Lake High School mathematics teaching, 1–7
 - TVET Hospitality Certificate, 173–84
 - Wilderness School (SA), 267–78
- Catholic Education Office Melbourne, 8, 17, 268, 281
- See also* Assessment and Learning Partnerships Project
- class presentations, 20
- class reports, xxii, 229, 244
- classroom assessments
 - roles of, 79–80
 - ways to control noise, 81–4
 - when not to conduct, 82–3
- classroom-based student data, 199–201
- classroom observations, 20
- coding
 - colour-code method in developmental progression, 152–5
 - developmental progression, 152
 - partial-credit data entry in Excel, 216–18
 - partial-credit Guttman chart, 216–18
- cognitive evidence, 117
- cognitive knowledge, 55–8
- cognitive skills, 37–9
 - in collaborative problem-solving, 109
- cognitive verbs, 118
- collaboration, 16–17
 - peer-group, xix–xx
 - in SRL environments, 143–4
- collaborative teaching teams (CTTs), 16–17, 284, 286–8
 - achieving decision-making consensus, 32–3
 - cognitive skills for, 37–9
 - culture of challenge, 294–5
 - cycle, 31–3
 - developing pairwise comparison rubrics, 170–2
 - duty statement for, 27–9
 - elements of effective, 295–8
 - establishing timetables for meetings, 35
 - frequency and duration of meetings, 39
 - getting others to review development frameworks, 173
 - identifying resources for teaching, 29
 - importance of protocols in, 294–5
 - influence on developing school policies, 29–31
 - peer accountability within, 39–40
 - performance and development accountability reviews, 40
 - planning evidence-based interventions, 293–4
 - processes, 33–5
 - reviewing student progress, 291–3
 - social skills for, 35–7
 - using developmental progressions to target teaching, 65
 - writing rubrics in, 133–5
- collaborative teams, 10
- competence, 164
 - accuracy in describing, 122–3
 - continuum as, 99–101
 - defining and differentiating, 99–100
 - description using Revised Bloom's taxonomy, 55
 - DeSeCo initiative, 100–1
 - identifying levels of readiness to learn, 170

- in mathematical language, 112
- schematic diagram of levels of increasing, 46
- stretching, 58
- TVET levels, 184
- using Krathwohl's Taxonomy to describe
 - indicative behaviours, 122–3
- variable map, 166
- competence assessments, 44
- competencies, 99
 - adjusting levels to contextual demands, 103
 - assessing, 102–4
 - contextual differences for, 101
 - KSAVE approach, 102
 - relationships between KSAVE and, 104
- competency assessments, xxi
- conceptual knowledge, 58–9, 121
- constructs, 21–2, 44–5, 97, 163
 - teaching to, 87
- continuum of developmental learning
 - acquiring knowledge, 46
 - competence, 99–101
 - criterion-referenced framework, 47
 - judgement-based assessment, 96
- criterion-referenced framework, 9, 45–8, 97, 103, 289–90
 - curriculum, 163–4
 - defining rubrics in, 114
 - developmental continuum, 47
 - interpreting assessment data via, 80
 - key features of, 46–7
- CTT cycle, 286
 - five steps from measurement to policy process, 288–94
- CTTs. *See* collaborative teaching teams (CTTs)
- culture of challenge, 11, 28, 294–5
 - PLC study 2008, 23
- culture of sharing, 11
- curriculum, 162
 - deconstructing elements in, 183–95
 - hidden, 162
 - TVET case study, 174
 - using criterion-referenced framework in, 163–4
 - written, 162
 - See also* Victorian Curriculum
- curriculum progression, 54, 60
 - compared with other developmental frameworks, 54
- data
 - classroom-based student, 199–201
 - contributing factors to inaccuracy, 81
 - dichotomous, xxii, 213–16
 - externally generated, 199
 - data collection skills, 38, 284
 - deficit approach, xix, 289, 294
 - challenges in identifying ZPD, 53, 290
 - moving beyond, 18–20
 - point of intervention, 213
 - traditional practice of, xviii
 - Department of Education and Early Childhood Development (Vic), 18, 251
 - CTT duty statement, 27–9
 - derived progression, 54, 60–2
 - compared with other developmental frameworks, 54
 - expanded chance and data development, 72–3
 - expanded geometry development, 73–4
 - expanded measurement development, 71–2
 - Numeracy Development progression, 69
 - Problem-Solving Development, 68
 - Reading Development progression, 68–9
 - for standard curriculum, 62
 - described scales, 103
 - DeSeCo initiative, 100–1
 - developmental approaches, xix
 - developmental assessment frameworks
 - ATC21S Project case study, 107–9
 - components, 106
 - judgement-based approaches, 96–9
 - structure, 98
 - developmental frameworks, 53–60
 - comparisons, 54
 - developing, 170–2
 - developmental model of learning, 45–53, 199, 201, 284–5
 - assessment for teaching, 289–91
 - point of intervention, 213
 - developmental progression, 103
 - ARCOTS test targeting in, 304–7
 - classifying task design using Revised Bloom's Taxonomy, 156
 - colour-code method, 152–5
 - defining levels, 172
 - derived from Assessment for Teaching model, 296–7
 - expanded chance and data progression, 72–3
 - expanded geometry, 73–4
 - expanded measurement, 71–2
 - expanded number, 70–1
 - informal monitoring using simple rating scale, 153
 - in IRT, 166–9

- developmental progression (cont.)
 Numeracy Development, 69, 289
 performing pairwise comparison, 170–3, 195
 Problem-Solving progression, 68, 289
 Reading Development progression, 68–9, 289
 reports from, 63–5
 rubrics for SRL, 149
 for SRL, 150–1
 variable maps, 165–7
- developmental taxonomy, 53–60
 compared with other developmental frameworks, 54
 Krathwohl's Taxonomy of the Affective Domain, 58–62
 Revised Bloom's Taxonomy, 54–8
- Dewey, John, 238, 250
- dichotomous data, xxii, 213–16
- Disability Standards for Education (2005), 248
- DOSAY sheets, 83–4
- Dreyfus' Model of Skill Acquisition, 117, 289, 295
- errors
 identifying in assessment data, 231–2
 identifying in Guttman chart, 209–10
- evidence, 102, 284
 aspects of, 117
 in assessments, 10–11
 classifying, 117–18
 collection methods in SRL, 155–7
 in identifying learning readiness in LAP, 17–18
 indicators checklist, 116–17
 in learning interventions, 15
 manifest, 114
 other ways to gather, 20–1
 for student learning, 21
 using in learning interventions, 21
 using inference to inform, 20, 32–3
- evidence-based approach principles, 17–23
- Excel spreadsheets
 coding performance using Guttman Analysis, 202–3
 documenting skills in, 204
 entering data for partial credit assessments, 219
 generating Guttman chart, 204–5
 partial-credit data entry, 216–18
- externally generated student data, 199
- factual knowledge, 59, 121
- flexibility and ambiguity skills, 38–9
- forethought learning phase, 146–7
- formative assessments, 80, 83
- framework, defining, 97–8
- Francis, Michael, 270
- Glaser, Robert, 45, 289–90
See also criterion-referenced framework
- goal-setting skills, 38
- Griffin, Patrick. *See* Assessment for Teaching model
- group organisation skills, 36–7
- Guttman, Louis, 45, 201, 291
- Guttman Analysis, 201–3
 analysing underlying competencies, 203
 coding student performance, 202–3
 comparing results with other methods, 212
 identifying students with similar ZPDs, 212–13
 usefulness in targeted teaching, 221–2
 using data in targeted teaching, 210–13
- Guttman chart, 201, 290–1
 building data from MCQ tests, 202–3
 developing and using, 291
 from objective assessment, 203–5
 generating in Excel or ARCOTS, 204–5
 identifying sources of error, 209–10
 limitations of, 213–16
 locating ZPD, 205
 showing students with similar ZPDs, 215
 using judgement-based assessment, 218–21
See also partial-credit Guttman chart
- Hattie, John, 267–8
- hidden curriculum, 162
- higher-order KSAVE, 103
- higher-order rubrics, 184
 Victorian Curriculum, 193–4
See also TVET Hospitality Certificate case study
- hypothetical progression, 53–4
 compared with other developmental frameworks, 54
 using Krathwohl's Taxonomy for study skills, 62
 using Revised Bloom's Taxonomy, 60
- inclusive education, 259–62
- inclusive education practices, 248–9
- indicative behaviours, 98–9, 110, 114
 continuum, 96
 developmental assessment framework, 106
 selecting taxonomies to match, 118–19

- TVET Hospitality Certificate case study, 173–84
- using Krathwohl's Taxonomy to describe competence, 122–3
- writing quality criteria for, 119
- indicators, 116–17
 - building quality criteria from, 195
 - classifying, 117–18
 - for self-reflection phase, 148–9
 - for SRL, 148
 - using variable maps, 164–5
- Individuals with Disabilities Education Act* (1975), 248
- inference, 102
 - mapping onto developmental continuum, 44
 - using to inform evidence, 20, 32–3
- information and communication technology (ICT)
 - ARCOTS test requirements, 301
 - use in SWANs learning progressions, 259
- initiative skills, 37
- item response modelling, 54, 85, 151, 166, 195
- item response theory (IRT), 164–9
 - developmental progression, 166–9
 - identifying competence levels, 165–9
 - steps for building developmental progression, 166–9
 - using variable maps, 164–6
- judgement-based assessments, xxi, 91, 163
 - criticisms of, 93
 - developing Guttman chart, 218–21
 - developmental assessment approach, 96–9
 - in developmental context, 91–4
 - scores and codes in, 94–7
 - typical weakness in, 93–5
- knowledge
 - activities associated with skill using Revised Bloom's Taxonomy, 58–9
 - activities associated with type and skill in Revised Bloom's Taxonomy, 121
 - used in cognition in Revised Bloom's Taxonomy, 55–8
 - verbs associated in Revised Bloom's Taxonomy, 122
 - ways to capture relevant, 80–1
- knowledge, skills, attitudes, values and ethics (KSAVE), 102–3
 - relationships between competency and, 104
- Krathwohl's Taxonomy of the Affective Domain, 58–62, 119, 272, 289
 - describing competence for indicative behaviours, 122–3
 - hypothetical progression adapted for study skills, 62
- LAP. *See* Literacy Assessment Project (LAP)
- leadership opportunities, 11–12
- leadership skills, 37
- learning domains, 98
 - assessment framework, 106
- learning goals
 - in SRL, 144–5
 - students with additional needs, 250
 - for SWANs, 258
 - using developmental progressions to set, 65
- learning outcomes, 98, 116
 - See also* capabilities
- learning readiness, 22–3
 - grouping students in class reports, 229
- learning readiness reports, 63–4, 79, 199
- Lilydale Primary School (Vic) weekly targeted teaching plan, 243
- Literacy Assessment Project (LAP), 8, 281–2
 - outcomes from, 17–18
- logs, 242
 - analysis of test targeting, 231
 - CTT, 293
 - SWANs literacy progression, 259–60
 - team accountability, 34
- lower-order KSAVE, 103
- manifest evidence, 114
- manifest indicators, 45
- manifest verbs, 55, 123
- Mathematical Model, 51–2, 124, 290
 - identifying ZPD using, 52–3
- mathematics developmental teaching case study. *See* Sea Lake High School (Vic) mathematics case study
- metacognitive knowledge, 58–9, 121
- metamemory, 37
- multiple-choice question (MCQ) tests, 91, 216
 - building Guttman chart from data, 202–3
- National Assessment Program – Literacy and Numeracy (NAPLAN), 21
 - skills typically assessed in Year 7, 63
 - trends between two schools, 238
- negotiation skills, 37
- noise, 205, 218
 - identifying sources of, 210
 - sources and way to control, 81–4

- non-observable verbs, 185
- norm-referenced assessments, 47
- norm-referenced framework, 48
- Numeracy Development, 74
 - expanded chance and data progression, 72–3
 - expanded geometry progression, 73–4
 - expanded measurement progression, 71–2
 - expanded number progression, 70–1
 - nutshell statements, 69
 - test targeting, 306
- nutshell statements, 176
 - as rubrics, 177–8
 - level descriptions in, 177
 - mapping difficulty of competency levels, 180
 - Numeracy Development, 69
 - pairwise comparison, 179
 - Problem-Solving Development, 68
 - Reading Development, 68–9
- objective assessments, 91, 163
 - constructing Guttman chart, 203–5
 - using online tests and reports, 300–10
- observable verbs, 185
- observations
 - on behaviours, 96
 - classroom, 20
 - evidence checklist, 116–17
 - use for quality criteria, 133
 - use in monitoring SRL, 152
 - ways of classifying, 115
- online assessments, 84, 87
 - reducing noise factors, 82
 - SWANs learning progressions, 251
 - See also ARCOTS test
- online testing, 34
- online testing systems. *See* ARCOTS
- Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), 79
- DeSeco initiative, 101
- pairwise comparison, 161, 164, 170–3, 195
 - in developmental progression, 195
 - nutshell statements, 178
 - using sticky notes, 171
 - writing rubrics for, 170–2
- panelling in rubrics, 133–4, 138–9
- partial-credit Guttman chart, 213–20
 - social skills in ATC21S Project, 221
- participation skills, 36
- peer-group collaboration, xix–xx
- performance learning phase, 147–8
- Personal Professional Learning Plan (PPLP), 270–1
- perspective-taking skills, 36
- PISA. *See* Programme for International Student Assessment (PISA)
- planning complexity skills, 38
- PLCs. *See* professional learning communities (PLCs)
- PLTs. *See* professional learning teams (PLTs)
- point of intervention
 - deficit approach, 213
 - for groups, 214
 - for ZPD, 11, 201
 - using Guttman Analysis, 212–13
 - visual representation of, 201
- PPLP. *See* Personal Professional Learning Plan (PPLP)
- pre-tests, 212
- prejudicial effect, 81
- principals
 - supporting sustainable approaches, 12
 - See also school leadership
- Problem-Solving Development
 - ARC nutshell statements, 68
 - test targeting, 305
- procedural knowledge, 58–9, 121
- professional development, 28–9, 35
 - opportunities for, 11–12
- professional judgement, 92, 227
 - in assessing quality of work, 90
 - matching ability to tasks, 52
 - potential areas of weakness, 257–8
- professional learning, 269–70
 - making time for, 270
 - PPLP, 270–1
- professional learning communities (PLCs), 16
 - 2008 culture of challenge study, 23
 - See also collaborative teaching teams (CTTs)
- professional learning teams (PLTs), 11–12, 16
 - cycle, 31
 - developing rubrics, 271–2
 - professional learning provisions, 270
 - setting SMART goals, 271
 - See also collaborative teaching teams (CTTs)
- Programme for International Student Assessment (PISA), 21, 62, 103
- psychomotor verbs, 120
- quality criteria, 114, 118–23
 - coding and recording, 115
 - developmental assessment framework, 106
 - evaluating observations for, 133
 - from indicators, 195
 - rules for writing, 124–32

- TVET Hospitality Certificate case study, 174–7
 using language effectively in, 122–3
- Rasch, Georg, 45, 51, 290
See also Mathematical Model
- rating scales, developmental progression, 153
- Reading Development
 nutshell statements, 68–9
 test targeting, 305
- reciprocal accountability, 269
- reporting standardised measures, 15–16
- resource managing skills, 38
- Revised Bloom's Taxonomy, 54–8, 119–22, 212, 289
 activities associated with knowledge type and skill, 58–9, 121
 affective verbs, 120
 classifying elements of task design, 156
 cognitive verbs, 118
 hypothetical progression using, 60
 psychomotor verbs, 120
 types of cognitive knowledge, 55–8
 verbs associated with knowledge, 122
- rubrics, 99, 118
 coding using Guttman Analysis, 202
 in criterion-referenced context, 114
 deconstructing curriculum statement, 188–95
 derived from Australian Curriculum, 274
 developing writing skills for, 133–5
 evaluating quality of, 114
 examples of poor, 95, 124–32
 forethought capabilities, 147
 from nutshell statements, 177–8
 higher-order, 184, 193–4
 impact on learning outcomes, 278
 linking manifest verbs to, 55
 for pairwise comparison, 170–2
 panelling in, 133–4, 138–9
 in scientific information, 115
 SRL developmental progressions, 149
 step-by-step instructions, 114–15
 templates for, 132
 use in judgement-based assessments, 93–4
 writing for SRL, 157
- scaffolded learning, 49, 53, 65, 80, 86, 240, 290
 in SRL, 153
- scalograms, 201, 291
- school leadership, 268–9
 responsibilities of, 298
 understanding assessment approaches, 281–98
- school policies
 steps in developing, 29–31
 sustaining implemented changes, 34–5
- scientific information rubrics, 115, 124
- Sea Lake High School (Vic) mathematics case study, 1–7
 aims of program, 1–2
 correction of work, 4
 lesson structure, 2–4
 mobility within ability groupings, 5–6
 organising students into ability groups, 4–5
 outcomes from, 7
 progress and reporting, 7
 setting homework, 4
 teachers' feedback, 6–7
 testing, 6
 workbooks for, 7
- self-judgement indicators, 148–9
- self-reaction indicators, 148–9
- self-reflection learning phase, 148–51
 capabilities, 148–9
- self-regulated learning (SRL), xxii
 Assessment for Teaching task design process, 155
 assessment task design considerations, 155–6
 capabilities in, 144, 146
 coding developmental progressions, 152
 collaborative strategies, 143–4
 colour-coding developmental progression, 153–5
 developmental progression rubrics, 149
 developmental progressions, 150–1
 forethought capabilities rubric, 147
 forethought phase, 146–7
 foundational elements in, 145
 learning goals in, 144–5
 performance phase, 147–8
 phases in, 145–51
 recording and monitoring developmental progression, 152–5
 self-reflection phase, 148–51
 setting up conditions for, 142–3
 skills taught in, 144
 student and teacher benefits, 145
 teachers' roles in supporting, 143–5
 using task design to collect evidence, 155–7
 writing rubrics for, 157
- skills, 103
 activities associated with type and knowledge
 in Revised Bloom's Taxonomy, 121
 compared with competence, 99–100
 criterion-referenced framework, 164
 description in criteria, 169

- skills (cont.)
 - documenting in spreadsheets, 204
 - identifying point of intervention for groups, 214
 - task-specific, 100
 - useful in SRL, 144
 - ways to capture relevant, 80–1
 - See also cognitive skills; social skills
- SMART goals, 271
- social organisation skills, 36–7
- social skills, 35–7
 - in collaborative problem-solving, 108
- SOLO taxonomy, 117, 219, 289
- specific objectivity of the assessment, 203
- specific objectivity of the competency trait, 103
- SRL. See self-regulated learning (SRL)
- standard curriculum, derived progressions for, 62
- standardised assessments, setting goals related to, 238
- standards-referenced framework, 47
- strands, 98
 - developmental assessment framework, 106
 - See also learning outcomes
- stretching competencies, 58
- student-aware teaching, 242
- student learning, 9–10
 - as shared responsibility, 240
 - as social transaction, 240
 - focus on readiness for, 22–3
 - forms of evidence for, 21
 - impact of variability in teacher value-add on, 235–7
 - regression in, 232, 235
- student profile reports, xxii, 227
 - considerations when reviewing, 227–9
- students with additional needs
 - assessment procedures for, 81–2
 - derived progressions for, 62
 - establishing learning goals, 250
 - learning progressions for, 250–7
 - Literacy Learning Progression, 255–7
 - locating levels of proficiency on learning progression, 257–62
 - social learning progressions for, 253
- Students with Additional Needs (SWANs)
 - learning progressions, 248–50
 - development of, 251
 - Literacy Learning Progression, 255–7
 - literacy progression CTT log, 259–60
 - personalising materials for tailored program, 258–9
 - personalising program of instruction, 257
 - setting teaching and learning goals, 258
 - Social Processes Learning Progression, 253
 - strategies for students with higher proficiency levels, 259
 - using ICT to personalise learning, 259
- subjective assessments, xxi, 91
 - See also judgement-based assessments
- summative assessments, 79–80, 183
- systematicity skills, 38
- targeted intervention, 166
- targeted teaching, 19, 22, 58, 143, 229
 - identifying resources needed for, 29
 - using assessment data to plan, 237
 - using data from Guttman Analysis, 210–13
 - using developmental progressions, 65
 - using differentiated approaches, 242
 - weekly reading plan (Lilydale Primary School, Vic), 243
- targeting the test, 85–6
- task analysis, 38
- task design, use in SRL, 155–7
- task organisation skills, 37–9
- taxonomies, 53, 117
 - assessment and response types, 92
 - See also Krathwohl's Taxonomy of the Affective Domain; Revised Bloom's Taxonomy
- teachers
 - applying knowledge and skills, 9, 20
 - benefits of SRL, 145
 - cognitive skills for CTTs, 37–9
 - drawing on supportive circles of students with additional needs, 250
 - opportunities for professional development, 28–9
 - participation skills for CTTs, 36
 - perspective-taking skills for CTTs, 36
 - professional learning, 269–70
 - role as facilitator, xx, 3–4, 141
 - role in SRL environment, 143
 - social/group organisational skills in CTTs, 36–7
 - social skills for CTTs, 35–7
 - task organisation skills, 37–9
 - working collaboratively, 10
- teaching
 - as social transaction, 240
 - comparing teacher value-add across schools, 239
 - model based on Vygotsky, 241
 - opportunities and challenges, 232–8
 - role of learning theories in, 238–40
 - SMART goals, 271
- teaching to the 'construct', 21–2, 87

- teaching to the test, 21, 86–7
- team leaders, 26–7, 34
 accountability, 78
 duty statement, 27–9
 maintaining sustainable policy changes, 34–5
- team logs, 34
- technical and vocational education and training sector (TVET), 100, 161
See also TVET Hospitality Certificate case study
- test-based ‘washback’, 21
- test targeting, 304–7
- tests
 adaptive, 86
 checking quality and reliability of
 standardised, 83–4
 twice-a-year, 78
- Thurstone’s approach. *See* pairwise comparison
- TIMMS, 62
- transactive memory, 37
- TVET Hospitality Certificate case study, 173–84
 curriculum, 174
 level of difficulties of criteria, 175
 levels of competence, 184
 mapping difficulty of nutshell statements of competency, 180
 nutshell statements, 177–8
 overview of competency across units, 179
 quality criteria, 174–7
- two-points-in-time data, 226
 class report, 230
- UNESCO
 defining curriculum, 162
 Salamanca Statement and Framework for Action on Special Needs Education 1994, 248–9
- United Nations Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities 2006, 248
- University of Melbourne Graduate School of Education. *See* Assessment Research Centre (ARC)
- variable maps, 164–5
 difficulty on developmental progression, 168
 levels in developmental progression, 167
- verbs
 affective, 120
 analysis of rubrics, 195
 cognitive, 118
 linking to rubrics, 55
 manifest, 55, 123
 non-observable, 185
 observable, 185
 psychomotor, 120
 in Revised Bloom’s Taxonomy, 119–22
- Victorian Curriculum, 48, 60
 building quality criteria from indicators, 195
 curriculum statement audit, 188–95
 deconstructing Self-Awareness and Management Strand, 185–95
 higher-order rubrics for emotions capability, 193–4
 Self-Awareness and Management curriculum statements, 186–7
- Vygotsky, Lev, 45, 49, 289
 on children with disabilities, 262
 on models of disability, 249
See also zone of proximal development (ZPD)
- Warnock Report (1978), 248
- Wilderness School (SA), 267–78
 achievements and outcomes of policy, 276–8
 challenges of implementation of program, 275–6
 developing assesment rubrics, 271–2
 Performance and Development Framework, 270–1
 professional development program, 267–8
 provision of resources, 269–70
 rubrics’ impact on learning outcomes, 278
 school leadership and implementation of program, 268–9
 student feedback, 274–5
 teacher feedback, 272–4, 277–8
- written curriculum, 162
- zone of actual development (ZAD), 49, 65
 schematic diagram, 50
- zone of proximal development (ZPD), 48–51
 challenges of identifying, 290
 challenges of using deficit approach, 53
 effects of variability of teacher value-add on, 235–7
 from social transaction context, 240
 identifying, 10, 52, 251, 290–1
 identifying groups with similar, 212–13
 identifying points of intervention, 11, 201
 identifying points of intervention using Guttman analysis, 212–13
 schematic diagram, 50
 skills common to identified groups, 214
 using data to identify, 22
 using Guttman chart, 205
 using test targeting to assess, 85–6