

INDEX

- Allott, Phillip, 225
 Alter, Karen, 6, 84–86, 90–91
 American Convention on Human Rights, 173
 Applbaum, Arthur, 201, 203
 Arendt, Hannah, 119
 authority. *See also* sovereign authority and democracy, 221
 and legitimacy, 83–87, 96, 210–11
 moral claims of law per se, 211–14
 as consent-based, 216–17
 and legal positivism, 211, 212, 213
 as social mediation, 215–17
 service conception of, 191–92, 217–21.
 See also under international courts, authority
 dependence thesis, 218
 independence condition, 219
 normal justification thesis, 8, 191, 194, 218
 pre-emption thesis, 218
- Bashir, Omar al-, 188, 247–48
 Benhabib, Seyla, 180, 187
 Berman, Paul Schiff, 110
 Besson, Samantha, 208n12, 225
 biotechnology, 167
 Bodansky, Daniel, 195
 Bourdieu, Pierre, 115–16, 121
 Brierly, James, 223
 Brighton Declaration, 2
 Brudner, Alan, 58, 71–74, 76, 96–99
 Brundtland World Commission on Environment and Development, 178
 Brunell, Thomas, 99–100
 Buchanan, Allen, 195
- Çali, Başak, 206
 Capps, Patrick, 223, 224
 Cassese, Antonio, 261
 Christiano, Thomas, 210, 232
 Collingwood, R.G., 133–35
 Coşeriu, Eugeniu, 114
 Court of Justice of the European Union.
 See under European Union
 Cover, Robert, 110
- Danish Supreme Court, *Ajos*, 2, 90n21
delegatus non potest delegare, 4
 Drumbl, Mark, 251
 Dunn, John, 240, 259
 Dworkin, Ronald, 74n57, 213
- Ehrlich, Eugen, 111
 environmental law, 162,
 177–79, 182–84
 Erdemović, Drazen, 260–65, 266
 European Convention on Human Rights, 44n25, 167, 173
 European Court of Human Rights, 124,
 173, 175, 180
 Brighton Declaration, 2
 evolutive interpretation, 113
 Nada v. Switzerland, 79
 noncompliance, 201, 204
 reflexive law model, 92–93
 state resistance to, 90n21, 188
 European Union
 Charter on Human Rights, 176
 citizenship of, 175, 176–77
 Council, 64
 Court of Justice of the European Union, 2–3, 90n21,
 175–76, 177

- European Union (*cont.*)
 European Systemic Risk Board, 58, 75
 free movement, 176
 legal pluralism, 168
 state consent, 177
 supranational competences, 177
 UK withdrawal, 1–2
- Finnis, John, 216
 Forestry Stewardship Council, 58, 61, 64, 67
 Franck, Thomas, 201
- Gardbaum, Stephen, 186
 Geneva Conventions, 106, 172
 German Constitutional Court, 124, 201
 Geuss, Raymond, 253
 Global Administrative Law, 57, 58, 63, 75, 77, 79
 ‘Global Administrative Law’ project, 234
 global governance. *See also* international criminal law; international law
 accountability of administrative bodies, 186
 entanglement with established legal forms, 61, 78–79
 internal oversight, 61
 rule-bound, 62
 authority
 compliance to, 6–7
 conferred by urgency of international themes, 171
 and democracy, 9, 169, 171, 185–86, 232–33
 inadequately republican, 69–70
 negative resistance to, 75–79
 reinforced by cooperation over time, 171
 reinforced by universality of human rights, 179–81, 187
 state consent, 8–9, 230–36
 uncertainty of, 162–63
 coercive power, 59–61
 development by exceeding mandates, 163
 diverse forms of, 162, 165, 169–70
 environmental pressures for, 162, 179, 182, 183
 global civil society, 233
 hierarchical relations lacking, 3
 living international law, 4–5, 163–64
 proliferation of, 59, 163
 public vs. private administrative bodies, 58–61, 65
 republican vs. positivist, 63–65, 75
 scope increasing, 170–71
 shaped by non-legal discourses, 165, 166–67
 corporations, 181–82
 expertise, 184–85
 role of the internet, 181
 ‘soft’ regulatory output, 231–32
 specialisation increasing, 171
 static conception of, 4, 5, 94–95, 165
 transnational vs. international, 167, 181–82
 treaty-based, 4, 64, 94–95, 104, 108, 161, 163, 165, 169, 226–27, 231
 under-defined forms of, 162, 165
 GLOBALG.A.P., 58, 60, 64, 67, 77, 79
 Green, Les, 212, 216
 Gur, Noam, 214
- Habermas, Jürgen, 180, 184, 187
 Hart, H. L. A., 21, 62, 88, 98–99, 103, 119, 212, 216
 Hartmann, Florence, 266
 Hegel, G. W. F., 139–47
 Helfer, Laurence, 6, 84–86
 Henkin, Louis, 207
 Hobbes, Thomas, 30, 31–32, 83, 84, 216, 240–41, 242, 255–57, 258–60, 265
 human rights, 172–75, 179–81, 199
 American Convention on Human Rights, 173
 citizens’ role, 162
 crimes against humanity, 174, 180
 EU Charter on Human Rights, 176
 European Convention on Human Rights, 44n25, 167, 173
 Geneva Conventions, 106, 172
 and immigration, 174

- increasing efficacy of, 14, 15–16
 International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, 34, 40
 Universal Declaration of Human Rights, 40, 172, 179, 180
 humanitarian intervention, 54–55
 Hume, David, 135–39, 142
 Hurd, Ian, 194

 Inter-American Court of Human Rights, 173, 175, 180
 International Court of Justice, 40, 130–31, 170, 180, 228
 Article 38 of Statute, 224, 226
 Barcelona Traction, 132
 evolutive interpretation, 113
 Legality of Nuclear Weapons, 130, 132, 148, 149–51, 154–55, 156–59
 Nicaragua, 228
 international courts
 arbitral vs. judicial function, 2
 authority, 191
 based on constitutional democracy, 43–44
 de jure vs. *de facto*, 6–7, 84–85
 service conception of, 192–95
 compliance and free-riding, 199–200
 justified noncompliance, 200–4
 reasons vs. states’ objectives, 196–98
 social vs. normative legitimacy, 195–96
 state consent to, 84
 and career of authority, 96–99
 compliance
 vs. deference, 192–93
 domestic compliance partners, 91
 by states, 89–91, 188–89
 domestic courts, compared to, 88–90
 and human rights, 199
 political pressures, accommodation of, 91–92
 principal–agent model, 94
 reflexive law, 92–94
 rise of, 81
 subject-specific competences, 89
 thickening of treaty law, 4–5, 95–96
 treaty-based, 88
 ‘trustee courts’, 99–100
 International Criminal Court. *See also*
 international criminal law
 Bashir case, 188, 247–48
 criticism of, 3, 188
 jurisdiction
 mass atrocities vs. lesser crimes, 50–54
 state consent to, 47, 50
 purpose of, 14–15
 sovereign characteristics, 241–42
 and Uganda, 258
 international criminal law. *See also*
 International Criminal Court; International Criminal Tribunal for the former Yugoslavia; International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda
 deterrence, 254–55
 domestic criminal law, compared to, 244–47, 261, 262
 duress as defence, 261–65
 impact on vulnerable populations, 239–40, 247–48
 interference in peace processes, 239, 248–49
 local norms vs. establishing ‘universal’ precedents, 250–54
 oppressive regimes, alignment with, 256–59, 267
 peace vs. justice, 248–50, 267
 politicisation, 238–39, 252, 262–63
 state consent, 255–60
 utopian demands, 238–39, 248, 260–66
 International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda, 250–52
 International Criminal Tribunal for the former Yugoslavia, 260–65
 International Labour Organization, 173, 231
 international law. *See also* global governance
 complexity increasing, 208–9
 discontinuity thesis, 224
 establishing existence of, 206
 as evolutionary, 112–15

- international law (*cont.*)
 and global governance, 230–36
 impetus of actors, 109–11
 New Haven school, 109–10, 112
 transnational legal process
 theory, 110–11
jus dispositivum and *jus cogens*,
 127–28, and *passim* Chap. 5
 ‘justified standard view’, 206, 207,
 208, 209, 222, 229, 230, 232
 and legal positivism, 207–8, 222
non liquet, 152–53, 155, 156, 157
 process–principle dialectic, 129,
 136–37, 139, 141, 142, 143,
 148–49, 151, 152, 153,
 154–55, 156, 158, 159
 semantic authority, 104–5, 117–18
 discursively constructed, 122–25
 dynamism, 105
 and humanitarian law, 106–7
 persuasive, 118–20
 subservient, 121–22
 and trade law, 107–8
 sources doctrine, 103, 104, 206,
 208, 222, 224–25, 226–30,
 231, 234–35
 state consent, 222–26
 as insufficient condition, 230–36
 as unnecessary condition, 226–30
 static conception of, 102
 and systems theory, 111–12
 as two-stage system, 128–30, 138, 142,
 143, 146, 147, 151, 152, 156, 159
 International Seabed Authority, 64, 65, 67
 International Tribunal for the Law of
 the Sea, 121
- Joerges, Christian, 184
- Kant, Immanuel, 9, 216, 222
 and classical liberalism, 37–38
 dialectic of authority, 58
 ethical laws, 68
 juridical laws, 68
 negative resistance, 70–71, 74
 republican governance, 64, 67
 world confederacy, 40
- Keller, Rudi, 113–14
- Kelsen, Hans, 113
 Kiyani, Asas, 257–58
 Koskenniemi, Martti, 94, 168, 223,
 238, 239
- Lauterpacht, Hersch, 223
 legal pluralism, 167–69
 living international law. *See under*
 global governance
- Luban, David, 267
- Luhmann, Niklas, 93, 111, 112, 122
- Madsen, Mikael Rask, 6, 84–86
 Mance, Jonathan, 204
 Martin, Margaret, 220
 Mashaw, Jerry, 57, 77–78
 McDougal, Myres, 109
 Mégret, Frédéric, 239n8, 267
 Merleau-Ponty, Maurice, 115
 Mian, Emran, 220
 Moreno-Ocampo, Luis, 238, 239
 Moyn, Samuel, 14, 15
- nationalism, 81, 88, 95n35
 Ndahimana, Grégoire, 250–52
 Ngoga, Martin, 251, 252
- Oakeshott, Michael, 257
- Permanent Court of International
 Justice, 118
 Lotus, 149, 150–51, 152–53, 155, 157
- Peter, Fabienne, 84
 Peters, Birgit, 83–84, 86
- Rawls, John, 51, 55, 202, 203–4
 Raz, Joseph, 7, 8, 67, 190–92, 194–95,
 200, 201, 202–3, 204, 212–13,
 214, 215–16, 217–21
- Reisman, Michael, 109–10
 Robinson, Darryl, 238–39, 249
 Rousseau, Jean-Jacques, 33, 222
 Ruggie, John, 198
- Schaffer, Johan, 83–84, 86
 Shany, Yuval, 195, 198
 Shapiro, Scott, 211
 South Africa, 188

- sovereign authority. *See also* authority
 career of authority, 17–20,
 71–74, 96–99
 constitutional, 33–37
 communitarian, 45–48
 egalitarian, 41–45
 libertarian, 37–41
de facto, 21–24
de jure, 24–28
 just authority, 48–50
 legitimate, 28–33
 Hobbesian, 240–41, 242,
 255–57, 258–60
 internal completeness vs. internal
 finality, 15–17, 54–55
 negative resistance to, 70–71, 74
 republican, 67–69
 sovereign immunity, 52–53, 197
 Westphalian model, 15, 17, 19,
 54, 55–56
 Stewart, Richard, 69–70
 Sweet Stone, Alec, 99–100
- Teubner, Günther, 92–94, 169
 Transatlantic Trade Investment
 Partnership, 197
 Tucker, Adam, 219
 Tuori, Kaarlo, 166–68
- ultra vires* doctrine, 4
 United Kingdom
 resistance to European Court of
 Human Rights, 90n21
 Supreme Court, *A v. HM
 Treasury*, 78
 withdrawal from EU, 1–2
- United Nations
 Charter, 154, 169
 Convention Concerning the Status
 of Refugees, 172
 Environment Programme, 231
 Framework Convention on
 Climate Change (Paris
 Agreement), 3, 178
 General Assembly, 228, 231
 High Commissioner for
 Refugees, 119
 Security Council, 67, 231
 Sanctions Committee, 61, 79
 and sustainable
 development, 178–79
 Universal Declaration of Human
 Rights, 40, 172, 179, 180
- USA
 evolution of administrative law,
 57, 77–78
 and former Yugoslavia, 266–67
 Paris Agreement, withdrawal
 from, 3, 178
 Supreme Court, *United States v.
 Butler*, 61
- Vienna Convention on the Law of
 Treaties, 132, 167, 226
- Weber, Max, 62–63, 66, 115, 195
 World Check, 60
 World Trade Organization, 167
 Appellate Body, 107–8, 113, 117–18,
 120, 123
 criticism of, 188, 197
 and environmental protection, 182