

Index

- abduction, 94–96, 97–99
 Charles Peirce’s account of, 94–95
- adjudication, 1–2, 3–4, 49–70 *See*
 Posner, Richard
 case of first impression, 66–67
 factual context, 49–50
 law and facts, 49–67
 legal basis for, 50–51
 legislative and executive action
 compared, 49
 overruling, 67–69
 rules of decision, 46, 50–56, 59
 use of analogy, 64
- Adams, John, 180
- Adams v. New Jersey Steamboat Co.*,
 13, 16–19, 34, 79–81
- administrative process, 1–2, 35
- Alexander, Larry, 9, 155
- American Law Institute, 166
- analogical reasoning, 4–5, 9–12,
 37, 38–39, 115, 117–21,
 146–48, 151–54. *See*
 adjudication, analogy, case
 method, legal reasoning
 deduction compared, 5,
 82–83, 86
 induction compared, 5
 Brewer’s account of,
 96–104, 106–13
 in children, 116–17, 119–21, 152
 in law classes, 131–32
 “mystics” and “skeptics,” 104–6
 practical analogical reasoning,
 40–48, 63–64
 reasoning by example, 4, 9
 scholarly critique, 7–12, 75–113
 analogy, 4. *See* analogical reasoning,
 metaphor, similarity
 logical form, 103–4

Index

- relevant similarity,
 - 107–8, 116–27
 - “source” and “target,” 107–8
- basic norm, 176
- Black, Hugo, 30–31, 144–46
- Brandeis, Louis, 29
- Brewer, Scott, 155. *See* analogical reasoning
 - on legal reasoning, 96–113
 - on relevant similarity, 107–8
 - on rule of law, 109–10
- Brown v. Board of Education of Topeka*, 171
- Buck v. Jewell-LaSalle Realty Co.*, 19–27
- Butler, Pierce, 29
- cable television. *See* CATV systems
- California v. Acevedo*, 171–72
- case method, 128–29. *See* legal education
 - use of analogy, 10–11
- case or controversy, 49, 167
- CATV (cable television) systems, 22–25, 34
- cognitive psychology, 151–54, 165–66
- constitutional interpretation,
 - and legal positivism, 143–44
 - and natural law, 142–43
 - originalism, 142–43
- Copyright Act of 1909, 19–20, 21
- Crisci v. Security Insurance Co. of New Haven, Conn.*, 169
- Critical Legal Studies., 130, 160–61, 167
- Dane, Nathan, 179
- Deductive argument, 78
- Due process Clause, 144–45
- Dworkin, Ronald, 6–7, 123, 155
- Eisenberg, Melvin, 155
- Escobedo v. Illinois*, 169
- forms of action, 169
- Fortnightly Corp. v. United Artists Television, Inc.*, 22–23, 24, 25
- Fourth Amendment, 14, 27–32
 - Standing, 171
- Frankfurter, Felix, 144–46
- Fuller, Lon, 156
- Goldman v. United States*, 55
- Greenawalt, Kent, 156
- Harrington, James, 180
- Harris v. New York*, 171
- Hart, H.L.A., 156
- Harvard Law School, 128
- Holmes, Jr., Oliver Wendell, 156, 164, 173
- Hume, David, 177
- Inductive argument, 5, 87
- Jackson, Robert, 139
- Katz v. United States*, 14–15, 29–32, 33, 35, 81–82, 124, 174
- Kirby v. Illinois*, 169
- Langdell, Christopher Columbus, 128–30, 131, 133
- Law, 3. *See* adjudication
 - hierarchy of rules, 6–7, 12, 70–74
 - natural science compared, 73
 - “seamless web,” 69
 - will or reason, 13, 113, 140–41

Index

- law and economics. *See* Posner, Richard
- legal education, 10–11, 128–34
 case method, 15, 128–29, 131–32
 Socratic dialogue, 10
- legal formalism. *See* “mechanical jurisprudence,” “legal science”
- legal positivism, 12, 141, 143–44, 168
 convention (nomos), 182
- Legal Realism, 13, 71, 128, 130, 160–61, 167
- legal reasoning, 3–4, 11–12, 48–70, 168. *See* adjudication, analogical reasoning, practical reasoning compared, 43, 72
 pyramidal structure, 6–7
 use of analogy, 4, 113
- “legal science,” 129–30, 137
- Levi, Edward, 8, 13, 104–6, 157
- MacCormick, Neil, 157
- Macpherson v. Buick Motor Co.*, 171
- Marbury v. Madison*, 180
- “mechanical jurisprudence”, 71, 128, 173. *See* legal formalism, legal reasoning
- metaphor, 153–54
- Miranda v. Arizona*, 167, 171
- natural law, 12, 113, 140–41, 142–43, 168. *See* constitutional interpretation
- nazism, 12, 141–42
- Olmstead v. United States*, 14, 27–29, 35, 123–24
- originalism, 142–43
- Parker v. State*, 170
- Peirce, Charles, 94–95, 157. *See* abduction
- Plato, 140
- Posner, Richard, 8–9, 87–94, 109, 138, 157
 “everyday pragmatism,” 90–93
 law and economics, 89
 on analogical argument, 93–94
 policy analysis, 90
 rule of law, 90–92
- Priel, Danny, 78–79, 158
- Quine, Willard Van Orman, 158, 177
- Rakas v. Illinois*, 171
- restatements, 166
- rule of law, 135–39. *See* Brewer, Scott, Posner, Richard
- rule of recognition, 176
- rule of the case, 57, 59–65, 84–85
- rules. *See* legal reasoning hierarchy of, 6–7, 12
- Sherlock Holmes, 95
- Silverman v. United States*, 55–56
- similarity, 76–77, 115–16, 118–21, 166, 170–71, 177. *See* analogy
 causal relations, 118–19
 normative relations, 121
 relevant similarity, 82–83, 116–27
- Sophists, 140
- Stone, Harlan Fiske, 29
- Sunstein, Cass, 13, 33, 43, 104–5, 158
 “incompletely theorized agreements,” 105

Index

- Teleprompter Corp v. Columbia
Broadcasting System,
Inc.*, 23–25
- Twentieth Century Music Corp. v.
Aiken*, 25–26
- Universals, 83–84, 149–51. *See*
similarity
- Westen, Peter, 78–79, 109, 117–18,
138, 158
- wine stain, 166