

## Contentious Episodes in the Age of Austerity

Based on extensive data and analysis of sixty contentious episodes in twelve European countries, this book proposes a novel approach that takes a middle ground between narrative approaches and conventional protest event analysis. Looking particularly at responses to austerity policies in the aftermath of the Great Recession (2008–2009), the authors develop a rigorous conceptual framework that focuses on the interactions between three types of participants in contentious politics: governments, challengers, and third parties. This approach allows political scientists to map not only the variety of actors and actor coalitions that drove the interactions in the different episodes but also the interplay of repression/concessions/support and of mobilization/cooperation/mediation on the part of the actors involved in the contention. The methodology used will enable researchers to answer old (and new) research questions related to political conflict in a way that is simultaneously attentive to conceptual depth and statistical rigor.

Abel Bojar is a postdoctoral research fellow at the European University Institute.

Theresa Gessler is a postdoctoral researcher at the Department of Political Science and the Digital Democracy Lab at the University of Zurich.

Swen Hutter is Lichtenberg Professor in Political Sociology at the Free University of Berlin and Vice Director of the Center for Civil Society Research.

Hanspeter Kriesi is a professor at the European University Institute in Florence.

Cambridge University Press

978-1-316-51901-1 — Contentious Episodes in the Age of Austerity

Edited by Abel Bojar, Theresa Gessler, Swen Hutter, Hanspeter Kriesi

Frontmatter

[More Information](#)

## Cambridge Studies in Contentious Politics

### General Editor

David S. Meyer *University of California, Irvine*

### Editors

Mark Beissinger *Princeton University*

Donatella della Porta *Scuola Normale Superiore*

Jack A. Goldstone *George Mason University*

Michael Hanagan *Vassar College*

Holly J. McCammon *Vanderbilt University*

Doug McAdam *Stanford University and Center for Advanced Study in the Behavioral Sciences*

Sarah Soule *Stanford University*

Suzanne Staggenborg *University of Pittsburgh*

Sidney Tarrow *Cornell University*

Charles Tilly (d. 2008) *Columbia University*

Elisabeth J. Wood *Yale University*

Deborah Yashar *Princeton University*

Rina Agarwala, *Informal Labor, Formal Politics, and Dignified Discontent in India*

Ronald Aminzade, *Race, Nation, and Citizenship in Post-Colonial Africa: The Case of Tanzania*

Ronald Aminzade et al. *Silence and Voice in the Study of Contentious Politics*

Javier Auyero, *Routine Politics and Violence in Argentina: The Gray Zone of State Power*

Phillip M. Ayoub, *When States Come Out: Europe's Sexual Minorities and the Politics of Visibility*

Amrita Basu, *Violent Conjunctions in Democratic India*

W. Lance Bennett and Alexandra Segerberg, *The Logic of Connective Action: Digital Media and the Personalization of Contentious Politics*

Nancy Bermeo and Deborah J. Yashar, editors, *Parties, Movements, and Democracy in the Developing World*

Clifford Bob, *The Global Right Wing and the Clash of World Politics*

Clifford Bob, *The Marketing of Rebellion: Insurgents, Media, and International Activism*

Robert Braun *Protectors of Pluralism: Religious Minorities and the Rescue of Jews in the Low Countries during the Holocaust*

Charles Brockett, *Political Movements and Violence in Central America*

Marisa von Bülow, *Building Transnational Networks: Civil Society and the Politics of Trade in the Americas*

Valerie Bunce and Sharon Wolchik, *Defeating Authoritarian Leaders in Postcommunist Countries*

Teri L. Caraway and Michele Ford, *Labor and Politics in Indonesia*

(continued after the Index)

Cambridge University Press  
978-1-316-51901-1 — Contentious Episodes in the Age of Austerity  
Edited by Abel Bojar , Theresa Gessler , Swen Hutter , Hanspeter Kriesi  
Frontmatter  
[More Information](#)

---

# Contentious Episodes in the Age of Austerity

*Studying the Dynamics  
of Government–Challenger Interactions*

Edited by

**ABEL BOJAR**

*European University Institute*

**THERESA GESSLER**

*University of Zurich*

**SWEN HUTTER**

*Freie Universität Berlin, WZB Berlin Social Science Center*

**HANSPETER KRIESI**

*European University Institute*



**CAMBRIDGE**  
UNIVERSITY PRESS

Cambridge University Press  
 978-1-316-51901-1 — Contentious Episodes in the Age of Austerity  
 Edited by Abel Bojar, Theresa Gessler, Swen Hutter, Hanspeter Kriesi  
 Frontmatter  
[More Information](#)

## CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom  
 One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA  
 477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia  
 314-321, 3rd Floor, Plot 3, Splendor Forum, Jasola District Centre, New Delhi – 110025, India  
 103 Penang Road, #05-06/07, Visioncrest Commercial, Singapore 238467

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning, and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

[www.cambridge.org](http://www.cambridge.org)

Information on this title: [www.cambridge.org/9781316519011](http://www.cambridge.org/9781316519011)

DOI: 10.1017/9781009004367

© Cambridge University Press 2021

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2021

*A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library.*

### *Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data*

NAMES: Bojar, Abel, 1983– editor. | Gessler, Theresa, 1989– editor. | Hutter, Swen, 1978– editor. | Kriesi, Hanspeter, editor.

TITLE: Contentious episodes in the age of austerity : studying the dynamics of government–challenger interactions / edited by Abel Bojar, Theresa Gessler, Swen Hutter, Hanspeter Kriesi.

DESCRIPTION: Cambridge ; New York, NY : Cambridge University Press, 2021. |

Series: Cambridge studies in contentious politics | Includes bibliographical references and index.

IDENTIFIERS: LCCN 2021025365 (print) | LCCN 2021025366 (ebook) | ISBN 9781316519011 (hardback) | ISBN 9781009002011 (paperback) | ISBN 9781009004367 (epub)

SUBJECTS: LCSH: Conflict management–European Union countries–Case studies. |

Government, Resistance to–European Union countries–Case studies. | Global Financial Crisis, 2008–2009. | Recessions–European Union countries. | European Union countries–Politics and government–Case studies. | European Union countries–Foreign economic relations–Case studies. | European Union countries–Economic policy. | BISAC: SOCIAL SCIENCE / Sociology / General

CLASSIFICATION: LCC JN30 .C5795 2021 (print) | LCC JN30 (ebook) | DDC 320.94–dc23  
 LC record available at <https://lccn.loc.gov/2021025365>

LC ebook record available at <https://lccn.loc.gov/2021025366>

ISBN 978-1-316-51901-1 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

Contents

<i>List of Figures</i>	page vii
<i>List of Tables</i>	xi
<i>List of Contributors</i>	xv
<i>Preface</i>	xvii

PART I A NEW APPROACH TO THE ANALYSIS  
OF CONTENTIOUS EPISODES

1	Introduction: A New Approach for Studying Political Contention – Contentious Episode Analysis	3
	<i>Hanspeter Kriesi, Swen Hutter, Abel Bojar, Argyrios Altiparmakis, Theresa Gessler, Sophia Hunger, Katia Pilati, and Julia Schulte-Cloos</i>	
2	Selecting and Coding Contentious Episodes	24
	<i>Abel Bojar, Swen Hutter, and Sophia Hunger</i>	
3	The Economic and Political Contexts of the Episodes	44
	<i>Hanspeter Kriesi and Sophia Hunger</i>	

PART II VARIETIES OF CONTENTION

4	Conceptualizing, Measuring, and Mapping Contentiousness	67
	<i>Theresa Gessler and Swen Hutter</i>	
5	Actors Configurations and Coalitions in Contentious Episodes	91
	<i>Theresa Gessler and Swen Hutter</i>	
6	Action Sequences and Dynamic Indicators of Contention	110
	<i>Abel Bojar and Argyrios Altiparmakis</i>	
7	Outcomes: Government Responsiveness	132
	<i>Sophia Hunger and Julia Schulte-Cloos</i>	

vi	<i>Contents</i>
PART III DYNAMICS OF INTERACTION	
8	Interaction Dynamics in Contentious Episodes: Path-Dependence, Tit-for-Tat, and Constructive Mediation <i>Abel Bojar and Hanspeter Kriesi</i> 147
9	The Governments’ Reactions to Challengers and Third Parties <i>Hanspeter Kriesi</i> 166
10	The Effect of Repression on Protest <i>Katia Pilati</i> 190
11	Turning Points <i>Abel Bojar</i> 211
12	The Greek Case <i>Argyrios Altiparmakis</i> 236
PART IV CONCLUSION	
13	Conclusion <i>Abel Bojar and Hanspeter Kriesi</i> 255
	<i>Appendices</i> 271
	<i>References</i> 305
	<i>Index</i> 317

# Figures

2.1	Total action count per episode	<i>page</i> 38
2.2	Actions and triggers in the Sarkozy–Fillon austerity episode	40
3.1	The timing of the episodes, by country and type	46
3.2	Economic sentiment indicator (ESI) (2007–2015), by region and country	49
3.3	Development of unemployment (2007–2015) and the timing of the episodes, by region	50
3.4	Quality of democracy in the twelve countries	56
4.1	Dimensions of contentiousness	70
4.2	Contentiousness by challenger, by episode and country	79
4.3	Intensity and disruptiveness of the challenger	80
4.4	Contentiousness by third parties and by the government	81
4.5	Contentiousness by the challenger and by the government/ third parties	83
5.1	Types of challenger coalition and contentiousness by the challenger unions plus opposition parties	99
5.2	Types of actor configuration	106
6.1	Stylized illustration of sequences with and without overlaps	112
6.2	Distribution of our pace and complexity metrics in our episodes	117
6.3	Scatterplot of pace and complexity per episode	118
6.4	Average sequence pace, by country	119
6.5	Average complexity, by country	121
6.6	Average sequence pace, by episode type	121
6.7	Average complexity, by episode type	122
6.8	Predicted probability of episode clusters, by episode severity	125
6.9	Predicted probability of episode clusters, by satisfaction with democracy	125
		vii

6.10	Predicted probability of episode clusters, by government type	126
6.11	Average complexity by episode clusters entailing external involvement	127
8.1	Schematic illustration of action lags	155
8.2	Predicted probability of action repertoires as a function of past actions by the same actor (predicted probabilities with 95 percent confidence intervals)	158
8.3	Predicted probability of action repertoires as a function of past actions by the adversary (predicted probabilities with 95 percent confidence intervals)	159
8.4	Predicted probability of action repertoires as a function of past third-party actions (predicted probabilities with 95 percent confidence intervals)	161
9.1	Government reactions to challenger actions, by configuration of challenger for all episodes: predicted probabilities	173
9.2	Concessions in union- and civil-society-driven episodes, in reaction to challenges by unions, civil-society organizations, and other types of actors: percentage shares of government actions	174
9.3	Government reaction to challenging action by cabinet type: predicted probabilities for unpopular and popular center-left and center-right cabinets	177
9.4	Government reaction to challenging action by divided government: predicted probabilities for all partisan and union-driven episodes with undivided and divided governments	180
9.5	Government reaction to challenger actions by configuration of challengers and third-party action, for all episodes except for civil- society challenges: predicted probabilities	183
9.6	Government reaction to challenger action, for all episodes with international actors supporting government, challengers, or neither: predicted probabilities	186
10.1	Daily count of repressive measures and of protests in Greece across contentious episodes	201
10.2	Daily counts of protests and radical actions (confrontational and violent actions) during the second bailout and the TV shutdown in Greece	202
10.3	Effects of government repression on challengers' radical actions (see Table A10.1 for full models)	205
10.4	Effects of government repression on challengers' protests, considering episodes classified according to actors' configurations (see Table A10.2 for full models)	206
10.5	Effects of government repression on challengers' protests in Greece (see Table A10.3 for full models)	207



<i>List of Figures</i>	ix
10.6 The moderating effect of the different political orientation of cabinets (see Table A10.4 for full models)	208
10.7 The moderating effect of different alliances of international actors with challengers (chall+) and governments (gov+) on the impact that repression has on protests (see Table A10.5 for full models)	209
11.1 Stylized illustration of points of opening and points of closure	214
11.2 Number of points of opening and closing	217
11.3 Predicted probabilities of points of opening	225
11.4 Predicted probabilities of points of closure as a function of actor types and relative number of days since beginning of episode	227
11.5 Predicted probabilities of actor types and action forms in different phases of the episodes	230
12.1 Protest events in Greece, 2010–2012	242
A3.1 Government popularity and timing of the episodes (2007–2015), by country and region	283

Cambridge University Press

978-1-316-51901-1 — Contentious Episodes in the Age of Austerity

Edited by Abel Bojar , Theresa Gessler , Swen Hutter , Hanspeter Kriesi

Frontmatter

[More Information](#)

---

# Tables

1.1 Detailed action repertoires of the three actor types	<i>page</i> 17
2.1 List of policy episodes per country (episode names, short labels, and episode types in parentheses)	29
2.2 List of newspapers per country	30
2.3 Institutional actor categorization	34
2.4 Action forms and their codes	36
2.5 Forms of mobilization and their codes	37
2.6 Illustration of the complete code set	41
3.1 Economic indicators, country averages for the five episodes	48
3.2 Mean severity by country and episode type	52
3.3 Determinants of severity of proposed measures	54
3.4 Government electoral vulnerability: standardized vote intentions for the cabinet parties at the start of the episodes, by country	57
3.5 The correlates of government vulnerability (vote intention, standardized): OLS regression coefficients, t-values and significance levels	59
3.6 Pressure from above: share of international actors among all actors intervening during the starting month of the episode, by country – minimum, mean, and maximum	60
3.7 Determinants of the timing of the economic policy episodes (September 2008–2015): OLS and fixed effects regressions – regression coefficients, t-values, and significance levels	62
4.1 Types of contentious episode	72
4.2 Frequency of procedural and substantive actions, by actor type	73
4.3 Dimensions, indicators, and descriptive statistics for contentiousness	75
	xi

4.4 Country averages for contentiousness (sorted by challenger contentiousness)	78
4.5 Bivariate correlation table (N = 60)	87
4.6 Impact of general and episode-specific factors on challenger and overall contentiousness (OLS regressions)	88
5.1 Institutional character of all actors, by percentages	93
5.2 Diversity and institutional character of challenger by country	96
5.3 Types of challenger coalitions	97
5.4 Positioning of third parties by country	101
5.5 Diversity and institutional character of third parties, by country	103
5.6 Types of third-party configuration	104
6.1 Frequency distribution of actions in terms of the number of further actions they trigger: percentages	113
6.2 Summary of length and duration of sequences	115
7.1 Categorization of government responsiveness by Gamson (1975)	134
7.2 Operationalization of substantive and procedural responsiveness	135
7.3 Categorization of episodes	136
7.4 Substantive government responsiveness: means, standard deviations, and p-values of t-tests comparing the means	142
7.5 Procedural government responsiveness: means, standard deviations, and p-values of t-tests comparing the means	143
8.1 Overview of hypotheses	153
9.1 Configurations of challengers: list of partisan and civil-society challenges	169
9.2 Episodes with divided governments: shares of challenger and third-party actions attributable to actors from government parties	179
9.3 Episodes with international support of government and challengers	185
10.1 Challengers' engagement in different forms of protest mobilization across countries (row %)	199
11.1 Summary of our hypotheses	223
11.2 Logit models of points of opening	224
11.3 Logit models for points of closure	226
11.4 Turning points and their types (percent of total)	228
12.1 Greek contentious episodes in comparison	237
12.2 Comparison of challengers across Greek contentious episodes	239
12.3 Comparison of mobilization forms across all Greek contentious episodes	239
12.4 Action typology: distribution of action types	241
A3.1 Coding rules for severity measure	280

<i>List of Tables</i>	xiii
A3.2 List of governments in the twelve countries during the period covered	281
A6.1 List of contentious episodes by clusters according to their sequence types	285
A6.2 Multinomial logistic regression on the determinants of episode-clusters	286
A8.1 Distribution of action codes by country and actor type	289
A8.2 Government path-dependence models	290
A8.3 Challenger path-dependence models	290
A8.4 Government threat models	291
A8.5 Challenger threat models	292
A8.6 Government–third-party models	293
A8.7 Challenger–third-party models	294
A9.1 Government reaction to challenger actions by configuration of challenger, cabinet composition, divided governments, and third-party actors, for all episodes: mlogit model parameters, t-values, and significance levels	296
A11.1 Multinomial logit models on actor and action types across the episode phases	303

Cambridge University Press

978-1-316-51901-1 — Contentious Episodes in the Age of Austerity

Edited by Abel Bojar , Theresa Gessler , Swen Hutter , Hanspeter Kriesi

Frontmatter

[More Information](#)

---

## Contributors

ARGYRIOS ALTIPARMAKIS is a full-time Research Fellow in the Department of Political and Social Sciences at the European University Institute in Florence.

ABEL BOJAR is a full-time postdoctoral researcher in the Department of Political and Social Sciences at the European University Institute in Florence.

THERESA GESSLER is a postdoctoral researcher at the Digital Democracy Lab and the Department of Political Science at the University of Zürich.

SOPHIA HUNGER is a postdoctoral research fellow at the Center for Civil Society Research at the WZB Berlin Social Science Center.

SWEN HUTTER is Lichtenberg-Professor in Political Sociology at Freie Universität Berlin and Vice Director of the Center for Civil Society Research, a joint initiative of Freie Universität and the WZB Berlin Social Science Center.

HANSPETER KRIESI is a part time professor at the European University Institute in Florence. He is also affiliated with the Laboratory for Comparative Social Science Research, the National Research University Higher School of Economics, and the Russian Federation.

KATIA PILATI is Associate Professor in the Department of Sociology and Social Research (DSRS) at the University of Trento, Italy.

JULIA SCHULTE-CLOOS is Marie Curie Research Fellow at the Geschwister Scholl Institute of Political Science, Ludwig Maximilian University of Munich.

Cambridge University Press

978-1-316-51901-1 — Contentious Episodes in the Age of Austerity

Edited by Abel Bojar , Theresa Gessler , Swen Hutter , Hanspeter Kriesi

Frontmatter

[More Information](#)

---



## Preface

*Contentious Episodes in the Age of Austerity* is the final product of the project “Political Conflict in Europe in the Shadow of the Great Recession (POLCON)” funded by the European Research Council.<sup>1</sup> POLCON has previously studied the structuration of the European party-political space relying on election campaign data in *European Party Politics in Times of Crisis* (Hutter and Kriesi 2019) as well as the patterns of European protest activity relying on semi-automated protest event analysis in *Contention in Times of Crisis* (Kriesi et al. 2020). Both of these volumes focused on particular venues of political conflict: the electoral arena and the protest arena, respectively. A common intuition among many observers of the Great Recession (2008–2015) at the time was that it would turn out to be a watershed event in transforming European politics, possibly for the foreseeable future. Yet, the cautious conclusion we drew from our empirical works was the opposite: European politics, apart from a few noteworthy exceptions such as Greece or Hungary, was propelled forward by forces and mechanisms largely familiar from earlier times. It was business as usual, it would seem.

In some ways, our current study brings the two arenas – the electoral arena and the protest arena – together by studying a wide range of political actors and their action strategies in the wake of contentious policy proposals put forward by governments in their attempt to address the adverse consequences of the Great Recession. While some of the protagonists in our study coincide with the main actors driving the anti-austerity protest wave that we studied in our previous work – such as the Indignados movement in Spain or the traditional trade unions in Italy and France – others are party actors that came to prominence during this period either as government parties responsible for harsh

<sup>1</sup> The project was supported by the ERC-grant Nr. 338875.

austerity measures (e.g. Fianna Fail in Ireland) or as opposition parties aligning with challenger organizations to fight governments in parliaments, in the streets or in international forums (e.g. Syriza up until their rise to power in 2015). Still others are what we call ‘third-party actors’ (such as foreign governments, supranational institutions, constitutional courts etc.) because their functional role in the policy episodes we study was a form of mediation between governments and their challengers that often, however, meant a close alignment with one or the other.

Our approach to study political conflict in what we call ‘policy episodes’ follows in the footsteps of the *Dynamics of Contention* tradition (McAdam et al. 2001) that advocated a turn towards the middle ground between the narrative approach that traditionally dominated the field and the epidemiological approach of protest event analysis. Our main goal in this volume is to offer a solution to scholars who wish to implement this middle ground in a systematic fashion. Although the scope of our study is limited by the choice of the kind of episodes we study (mostly economic episodes, initiated by a government proposal), we hope our proposed method travels beyond what we show in the present volume and it will be used by scholars studying other forms of political conflict.

As any innovation in the social sciences, our approach had to overcome formidable challenges that we are acutely aware of. The empirical material we rely on in our analysis is exhaustive only to the extent that our keyword-based search from the national presses allows us to capture the full timeline of events in the respective political settings. The complexity of actions and actors needed to be condensed into a scheme that is amenable to interpretation and coding. The answers to where to begin and where to end the coding of a given episode were guided by operational rules that we laid down in the beginning, but at times the coding had to be adjusted to the empirical reality of episode-specific idiosyncracies. Although we are optimistic that many of these limitations can be overcome and fine-tuned by future applications of our method, we regard some degree of simplification of a complex political world a necessary price to pay for the systematic scheme that we present to our readers.

Some of the choices in the way we structured our volume and the types of analysis we undertook were informed by the extremely useful feedback we have received along the way. We are particularly grateful to all the participants of our POLCON conference in October 2018, who highlighted some of the main problems that we could still address in time. Special thanks are due to Mark Beisinger and Mario Diani, who acted as discussants to individual papers and chapters that we presented at the conference. No less important were the comments we received at the discussion from Edgar Grande, Elisabeth Iversflaten, Zsolt Enyedi, Line Rennwald, Sofia Vasilopoulou, Herberth Kitschelt, Liisa Talving, Thomas Sattler, Silja Hausermann, Anton Hemerijck, Philip Manow, Ondrej Cisar and Stefaan Walgrave. Parts of our work have also been presented at the third LSE–Oxford–Sciences Po ‘Young Doctors’

*Preface*

xix

Political Economy Workshop, where we received equally helpful comments from Michael Zemmour, acting as discussant, as well as other participants, such as Tim Vlandas (conference organizer), Margarita Gelepithis, Charlotte Haberstroh, Sonja Avlijas, Angelo Martelli and David Hope, among others. Moreover, we greatly appreciated the opportunity to take part in the symposium organized by *Mobilization* with the conceptual summary paper of our project (“Contentious Episode Analysis”), where we received highly constructive remarks from David Meyer, Alex Hanna, Pamela Oliver and Sidney Tarrow.

Last but not least, none of this would have been possible without the painstaking effort to browse through and code thousands of articles by our research assistants at the European University Institute and beyond. In no particular order, we would thus like to express our gratitude for their coding efforts to Lola Guyot, Sophia Hunger, Anna Kyriazi, Argyrios Altiparmakis, Ieva Grumbinaie, Ieva Bloma, Zsofia Suba, Nodira Kholmitova, Gulzat Baialieva, Davide Morisi, Johannes Karremans, Radek Michalksi, Grzegorz Krzyzanowski, Frederico Ferreira Da Silva, Delia Radu, Nena Oana, and Mateus Alves. Likewise, the support we have received from our administrative staff, above all Maureen Lechleitner, proved crucial in the successful implementation of this project, and so we would like to extend our gratitude to her as well.

Let us conclude by pointing out that this volume is the result of intense cooperation between all of its contributors. The individual chapters have been written in the framework of the project and their authors have contributed not only to their own chapters but also to data collection, conceptual and methodological discussions, as well as data analysis.

Cambridge University Press

978-1-316-51901-1 — Contentious Episodes in the Age of Austerity

Edited by Abel Bojar , Theresa Gessler , Swen Hutter , Hanspeter Kriesi

Frontmatter

[More Information](#)

---