

THE PRIVACY FALLACY

Our privacy is besieged by tech companies. Companies can do this because our laws are built on outdated ideas that trap lawmakers, regulators, and courts into wrong assumptions about privacy, resulting in ineffective protections to one of the most pressing concerns of our generation. Drawing on behavioral science, sociology, and economics, Ignacio Cofone challenges existing laws and reform proposals, and dispels enduring misconceptions about data-driven interactions. This exploration offers readers a holistic view of why current laws and regulations fail to protect us against corporate digital harms, particularly those created by AI. Cofone proposes a better response: meaningful accountability for the consequences of corporate data practices, which ultimately entails creating a new type of liability that recognizes the value of privacy.

Ignacio Cofone is the Canada Research Chair in AI Law & Data Governance at McGill University, Montreal, and an affiliated fellow at the Yale Law School Information Society Project. He writes about how the law should adapt to technological and economic change with a focus on privacy and AI.

The Privacy Fallacy

HARM AND POWER IN THE INFORMATION
ECONOMY

IGNACIO COFONE

McGill University



CAMBRIDGE
UNIVERSITY PRESS

Cambridge University Press & Assessment
978-1-316-51811-3 — The Privacy Fallacy
Ignacio Cofone
Frontmatter
[More Information](#)



Shaftesbury Road, Cambridge CB2 8EA, United Kingdom
One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA
477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia
314–321, 3rd Floor, Plot 3, Splendor Forum, Jasola District Centre, New Delhi – 110025, India
103 Penang Road, #05–06/07, Visioncrest Commercial, Singapore 238467

Cambridge University Press is part of Cambridge University Press & Assessment, a department of the University of Cambridge.

We share the University's mission to contribute to society through the pursuit of education, learning and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org
Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781316518113
DOI: 10.1017/97811080995825

© Ignacio Cofone 2024

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press & Assessment.

First published 2024

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

A Cataloging-in-Publication data record for this book is available from the Library of Congress

ISBN 978-1-316-51811-3 Hardback
ISBN 978-1-108-99544-3 Paperback

Cambridge University Press & Assessment has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

Cambridge University Press & Assessment
978-1-316-51811-3 — The Privacy Fallacy
Ignacio Cofone
Frontmatter
[More Information](#)

To my parents

Contents

<i>Acknowledgments</i>	<i>page</i> ix
<i>List of Abbreviations</i>	xi
Introduction	1
1 The Traditionalist Approach to Privacy	11
A Forcing People to Choose	12
B The Binary Blinders	19
2 Privacy Myths: Rationality and Apathy	28
A The Myth of Rationality	29
B The Myth of Apathy	32
C Exploiting the Privacy Myths	38
3 The Consent Illusion	46
A Your Privacy Is Not an Island	47
B Unattainable Consent in the Information Economy	54
C Informational Exploitation	59
4 Manipulation by Design	67
A Manipulative Choice Design on Both Sides of the Atlantic	68
B The Limits of Traditionalist Solutions	74
C Improving Tracking Regulations with Behavioral Science	80
5 Traditionalist Data Protection Rules	88
A Rules for Control	89
B The Procedural Approach and Its Limits	97
C Reducing Risks of Harm	103
6 Pervasive Data Harms	110
A What Privacy Liability Is For	111
B Privacy Losses and Harms	119
C Why Have Privacy Liability	130

viii	<i>Contents</i>	
7	Privacy as Corporate Accountability	138
	A Harm-Based Privacy Liability	139
	B The Basis for Privacy Liability	147
	C Procedural Aspects of Harm-Based Privacy Liability	155
	Conclusion	165
	<i>Notes</i>	173
	<i>Index</i>	243

Acknowledgments

One of the most wonderful aspects of being an academic is having the opportunity to spend time discussing ideas with brilliant people. I'm enormously grateful, first of all, for the feedback and comments from colleagues and friends who read all or parts of this book. Thank you Gilad Abiri, Jeremias Adams-Prassl, Nikita Aggarwal, BJ Ard, Lisa Austin, Jordan Axt, Rafael Bezerra Nunes, Jody Blanke, Johannes Buchheim, Miriam Buiten, Ryan Calo, Stefanie Carsley, Celine Castets-Renard, Rebecca Crootof, Isa Crosta, Luciana Debenedetti, Pierre-Luc Déziel, Jaye Ellis, Evan Fox-Decent, Vincent Gautrais, Fabien Gélinas, Nikolas Guggenberger, Sebastián Guidi, Claudia Haupt, Chris Hoofnagle, Yoel Inbar, Richard Janda, Lindsay Juarez, Thomas Kadri, Margot Kaminski, Prachi Khandekar, Ido Kilovaty, Adrian Kuenzler, Stephanie Leary, Asaf Lubin, Orla Lynskey, Miguel Ignacio Mamone, Marie Manikis, Kirsten Martin, Pierre-Emmanuel Moyse, Paul Ohm, Frank Pasquale, Przemysław Palka, Jen Raso, Yan Shvartzshnaider, Kristen Thomasen, Scott Skinner-Thomson, Andrea Slane, Rob Spear, Katherine Strandburg, Thomas Streinz, Nicolas Vermeys, Mark Verstraete, Ari Waldman, Daniel Weinstock, Felix Wu, and Angela Zorro Medina. Their reactions and challenges helped me improve this work significantly.

I'm lucky to work with fabulous colleagues and students at McGill. My colleague Evan Fox-Decent generously organized a manuscript workshop where I received invaluable feedback, much of it from people mentioned above. Students in the Privacy Law classes of 2020, 2021, and 2022 provided insightful comments and challenging questions. More broadly, I'm thankful to McGill University Faculty of Law, and its dean, Robert Leckey, for continued support and encouragement, and likewise to the Canada Research Chairs program of the Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council for providing research funding. I'm particularly thankful to twelve wonderful research assistants, Keira Chadwick, Henry Coomes, Ihsan Dalaban, Andie Hoang, Stephanie Kay, Adelise Lalande, Ana Qarri, Emma Sitland, Gajanan Velupillai, Aidan Wall, Jeremy Wiener, and Alessia Zornetta, who read and commented on the manuscript, helping me clarify and sharpen ideas. My Cambridge University Press editor, Matt Gallaway, provided valuable guidance throughout the process.

I could only write this because bright scholars have written a monumental body of work and created a vibrant conversation on privacy, data protection, and AI. It would be an omission not to thank this group of people from whom I continuously learn by listening and discussing early ideas, particularly at the yearly Privacy Law Scholars Conference. While members of this community are too many to name individually, Danielle Citron, Chris Hoofnagle, Daniel Solove, and Ari Waldman deserve a special mention.

The book has also benefited from people who offered their time providing perceptive feedback to the ideas that formed it, either after reading a paper I sent them or during talks at which I presented them. I benefited enormously from discussing the papers that formed this book's building blocks at workshops and conferences from 2016 to 2022. For every comment that was too deep, too complicated, or too comparative, I reassured myself by thinking "it'll go in a book." And some of them made it. Usual suspects for these extra-challenging points that help make my work better have been Ian Ayres, Jack Balkin, Woodrow Hartzog, Helen Nissenbaum, Neil Richards, Adriana Robertson, and Katherine Strandburg.

Finally, I'm grateful to my partner Rob and my family back in Buenos Aires for their unwavering support and enormous patience. And for all the coffee.

Abbreviations

AI	Artificial Intelligence
CCPA	California Consumer Privacy Act
CEO	Chief Executive Officer
EU	European Union
FCC	US Federal Communications Commission
FIPs	Fair Information Principles, or Fair Information Practice Principles
FTC	US Federal Trade Commission
GDPR	General Data Protection Regulation
ICO	UK Information Commissioner’s Office
ISP	Internet Service Provider
OECD	Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development
UK	United Kingdom
US	United States