

## INDEX

- Abi-Saab, G., 139, 152
- Absence in CIL
- generally, 62–63
  - acquiescence and, 72–73
  - assertion and, 71–72, 85
  - deductive reasoning and, 69–70, 71–72, 85
  - Grundnorm* and, 63
  - improper ICJ treatment of, 73
  - inconsistency in ICJ treatment of, 73
  - inductive reasoning and, 69–71
  - Kosovo* Advisory Opinion and (*See Kosovo* Advisory Opinion (ICJ 2010))
  - law-creating in inductive reasoning, 70
  - legal positivism and, 62–63
  - ‘magic’ argumentative tricks, 74–75
  - ‘modern’ deductive reasoning and, 71, 85
  - non liquet* and, 63, 68, 71, 73, 74
  - ‘open-system’ approach, need for, 84, 86
  - open versus closed system, 68–69, 84
  - persuasive argumentation and, 63, 73–75, 85
  - selectivity in inductive reasoning, 70
  - silence distinguished, 75–76
  - si loqui debuisset ac potuisset* and, 72–73
  - subjectivity in inductive reasoning, 70
  - superficial rationality and, 85
  - teleological argumentation and, 74, 85
  - traditional deductive reasoning and, 71, 85
  - uti possideti juris* and, 74
- Abstractness, 62, 74, 415, 457
- Accountability in CIL, 434–35
- Acquiescence
- absence in CIL and, 72–73
  - to misinterpretation of CIL, 59–60
- Actus reus*, 52–53
- Ad absurdum*, 360
- Adams, Nathan, 372
- ‘Ad nauseam fallacy’, 343
- Adoption, 197
- Advisory Committee of Jurists, 354–55
- Afghanistan
- non-state armed groups (NSAGs) in, 317
  - Taliban, 317
- African Union, 55–56
- Agencies of change
- generally, 193–94, 201–2
  - equity as, 196, 199–201
  - legal fiction as, 196, 197–99
  - legislation as, 196–97
- Aggression, 106
- Ago, Roberto, 139, 171
- Agreement on Textiles (1995), 294
- Agreement on the Application of Sanitary and Phytosanitary Measures (SPS) (1985), 286, 293
- Akehurst, Michael, 13–14, 377, 383
- Algeria
- corporal punishment and, 338
  - Universal Periodic Review Recommendations and, 338
- Alland, D., 353, 358, 396–97
- Allied Control Council Law No. 10, 270
- Allott, Philip, 188, 400
- Al-Qaeda, 309
- Álvarez, Alejandro, 321
- American Convention on Human Rights (1969), 337
- Ammann, Odile, 491–92, 505

- Analogic interpretation, 428–29  
 Apartheid, 221–23  
 Applicative construction, 401–4  
 Approximately plausible empowerment norms (AppPENs), 5, 26–28  
 Arajärvi, Noora, 343  
 Arbitration, 43, 243  
 de Aréchaga, Jimenez, 232  
 Arend, Anthony Clark, 313  
 Argentina, application of ICJ Statute Art. 38 in, 147, 148, 151  
*Argumenta ad ignorantiam*, 75–77, 81  
*Argumenta e silentio*, 75–76, 78, 80–81  
 Aristotle, 438  
 Articles on Responsibility of States for Internationally Wrongful Acts (ARSIWA)  
   attribution and, 97  
   ICJ and, 248, 250–51  
   necessity and, 96  
   success of, 176  
   WTO Appellate Body and, 282–85, 286, 287  
 Ascertainment of CIL. *See* Identification of CIL  
 Assertion  
   absence in CIL and, 71–72, 85  
   ICJ, assertion of existence of CIL in, 234  
   international courts and tribunals, assertion of CIL without demonstration, 240  
   in WTO Appellate Body, 287  
   ‘Astigmatic pragmatists’, 6–8  
*Asylum* case (ICJ 1950)  
   generally, 73, 85  
   interpretation of CIL in, 428–29  
   silence as objection, 73, 74–75  
 Attribution, 97–98, 109–10  
 Austin, John, 190  
 Australia  
   authoritative written documents, interpreting CIL norms in, 503  
   on domestic violence, 320  
 Austria  
   generally, 508–9  
   ambiguity regarding CIL in, 529  
   application of CIL in, 524–25, 527, 528–29  
*Bundes-Verfassungsgesetz* (B-VG) (Constitution), 508–9, 524–27, 528  
   constitutional law outside constitution, 524–25  
   interstate treaties in, 525–27  
   treaties, domestic law and, 508  
   VCLT and, 527, 529  
*Verfassungsgerichtshof* (VfGH) (Constitutional Court), 527, 529  
*Aut dedere aut judicare*, 165, 167  
 Autonomous interpretation of CIL, 493–99, 505  
 Bacon, Francis, 68  
 Baker, R., 198, 199–200  
*Barcelona Traction* case (ICJ 1970)  
   generally, 445–46  
   community interests in, 223–24  
   diplomatic protection and, 223–24  
   enkapsis and, 224, 225  
   *erga omnes* obligations and, 225  
   general rules of CIL and, 440  
   interpretation of CIL in, 426, 427  
 Barthes, Roland, 438  
 ‘Battlefield practice’, 12  
 ‘Battle of the sexes’, 116, 118  
 Bedjaoui, Mohammed, 364  
 Belgium  
   autonomous interpretation of CIL in, 497–99  
   ICJ Statute Art. 38, application in, 148  
   state official immunity and, 497–99  
 Belief  
   negation versus, 79  
   richness of, 79–80  
 Benin  
   child marriage and, 342  
   Universal Periodic Review Recommendations and, 342  
 Bentham, J., 154, 159  
 Berlin Conference (1885), 223  
 Bianchi, Andrea, 57, 193  
 Bilateral investment treaties (BITs), 244–45

- Billard, Julie, 330  
 Binding force of treaties, 121–22  
 von Bismarck, Otto, 509  
*Black's Law Dictionary*, 402, 403  
 'Black swans', 492  
 Bleckmann, Albert, 397, 411  
 'Blindingness', 434–35  
 Blutman, L., 375  
 'Bootstrapping', 435, 438  
 Bos, M., 400  
 Bossa, Solomy Balungi, 55  
 Botswana, Universal Periodic Review  
   Recommendations and, 328  
 Botvinik, Mikhail, 357  
 Brazil, Universal Periodic Review  
   Recommendations and, 328–29  
 Brie, Siegfried, 16–17  
 Brownlie, Ian, 441–42  
 Brunnée, Jutta, 341  
 Burial law, 474
- Cambodia. *See* Extraordinary  
   Chambers in the Courts of  
   Cambodia (ECCC)  
 Cameron, AM, 208–10  
 Canada, statutory interpretation in, 490  
 Cançado Trindade, Antônio Augusto,  
   436–37, 443  
 Capotorti, F., 397  
 Carty, A., 31  
 Cassese, Antonio, 59  
 Certitudinal aspect, 222–23  
 Chagos Advisory Opinion (ICJ 2019)  
   CIL in, 437  
   crystallisation of rules and, 269–70,  
   444–45  
   *erga omnes* obligations and, 443  
   estoppel and, 436–37  
   factual background, 435–37  
   general rules of CIL and, 440–41  
   identification of CIL in, 443–45  
   interpretation of CIL in, 428, 442–49  
   limited analysis in, 278  
   prescription and, 436–37  
   self-determination and, 243–44,  
   269–70, 278, 428, 442–49  
   UN General Assembly Resolutions,  
   reliance on, 243–44, 269–70
- uti possidetis juris* and, 447–48  
 Chagos Islands, 243. *See also* Chagos  
   Advisory Opinion (ICJ 2019)  
 Charlesworth, Hilary, 317  
 Chasapis Tassinis, O., 396–97  
 Cheng, Bin, 17  
 Child marriage, 342–43  
 Chile  
   authoritative written documents,  
   interpreting CIL norms in, 504  
   ICJ Statute Art. 38, application in,  
   147–48  
 China, People's Liberation Army, 316  
 Choi, S. J., 234, 410  
 'Chronological paradox', 110  
 CJEU. *See* Court of Justice of the  
   European Union (CJEU)  
 Clarification, interpretation as, 388–92  
 Cohen, Harlan, 59  
 Coherence, 438–39  
 Colombia  
   Ejército de Liberación Nacional, 317  
   Fuerzas Armadas Revolucionarias de  
   Colombia, 305  
   non-state armed groups (NSAGs) in,  
   305, 306, 317  
 Colombian-Peruvian Asylum case. *See*  
   Asylum case (ICJ 1950)  
 Comfort women, 359, 364–65  
 Comity, 441  
 Command responsibility, 407–8  
 Committee on the Convention on the  
   Elimination of all forms of  
   Discrimination Against Women  
   (CEDAW Committee), 320–21  
 Committee on the Rights of the Child,  
   337–38  
 Communitarian semantics, 217–18  
*Concept of Law* (Hart), 65, 189  
 Conforti, B., 154  
 Container/content duality  
   generally, 371, 372, 385–86, 392  
   clarification, interpretation as, 388–92  
   elements of custom distinguished  
   from CIL, 401  
   evolutive interpretation and, 388–92  
   identification, interpretation as,  
   386–88

- ILC and, 373
- light analogy, 375
- linguistic formulas and, 373–74
- state positions and, 374–75
- state practice as both container and content, 375
- statutes as containers, 372–73
- treaties as containers, 372–73
- Content determination, 404, 407, 423–24, 460–61
- Contract law, 470–71
- Conundrum of CIL, 3–4
- Convention against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment (Torture Convention) (1984), 268, 328
- Convention and Protocol Relating to the Status of Refugees (Refugee Convention) (1951), 503
- Convention on Certain Conventional Weapons (1997), 318
- Convention on Jurisdictional Immunities of States and Their Property (2004), 163–64, 168, 242–43, 500, 501–2
- Convention on the Elimination of all forms of Discrimination Against Women (CEDAW) (1979), 342
- Convention on the Law of the Sea (UNCLOS) (1982), 145, 247–48, 502–3
- Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide (Genocide Convention) (1948), 251
- Convention on the Rights of the Child (CRC) (1989), 337–38, 342
- Convention on the Settlement of Investment Disputes between States and Nationals of Other States (1966), 145
- ‘Convergence theory’, 245
- ‘Core of settled meaning’, 198
- Corporal punishment, 337–38
- Corporate liability, 489–90
- Correlative enkapsis, 213
- ‘Corrupt pedigree’, 40, 57–59
- Court of Justice of the European Union (CJEU)
  - interpretation of CIL in, 366–67
  - Kadi* case, 366–67
  - misinterpretation of CIL and, 53
  - reference for preliminary ruling, 173
- Cowan, Jane, 330
- Crawford, James, 171, 176, 441–42
- CRC. *See* Convention on the Rights of the Child (CRC) (1989)
- Creation of CIL
  - approximately plausible empowerment norms (AppPENS) and, 26–28
  - CIL as primitive form of, 28
  - default position, 24–25
  - different branches of international law having different rules in relation to, 323
  - identification of CIL versus, 17–20
  - interpretation of CIL and, 40–41
  - law-creating in inductive reasoning, 70
  - meta-meta law and, 23–24
  - opinio juris* as law-creating element, 18
  - state interests and, 95–96
  - state practice as law-creating element, 18
  - temporary dimension, collapse of, 90–94
- Criddle, E. J., 445
- Crimes against humanity, 106, 164
- Crystallisation of rules
  - Chagos* case and, 269–70, 444–45
  - custom-making moment and, 33
  - ICTY and, 54–55, 264–65, 267
  - ILC and, 180
  - interpretation following, 504–5
  - jus cogens* and, 311–12
  - misinterpretation of CIL and, 48, 61
  - pacta sunt servanda* and, 112
  - self-determination and, 269–70, 444–45
  - state immunity and, 247
  - state official immunity and, 494, 497–99

- Crystallisation of rules (cont.)  
 treaty interpretation and, 411–13  
 VCLT and, 42
- Custom  
 agreement versus, 89–90  
 CIL and, 27  
 comparative analysis, 141  
 criticisms of role in CIL, 233  
 custom *in foro* versus custom *in pays*,  
 154, 156–58, 159–60  
 custom-making moment (*See*  
 Custom-making moment)  
 ‘custom plus’, 48  
 elements of custom distinguished  
 from CIL, 399–401, 405–6, 413  
 as historical basis of law, 185–86  
 ICJ and, 411  
 ICJ Statute Art. 38, custom as source  
 of legal obligation in, 88, 89–90  
 ‘instant’ custom, 127–28, 130  
 interpretation of CIL, improper  
 analysis of custom in, 47  
 ‘oceanic’ custom, 441–42  
 ‘ontological’ custom, 442  
*opinio juris* and, 88–90, 93–94, 400  
 outstanding questions regarding,  
 231–32  
 PCIJ and, 399–400  
 regional custom, 74–75  
 ‘Schrödinger’s custom’, 88,  
 90–94, 101  
 as source of legal obligation, 88–90  
 as source of rules arising out of  
 general practice, 141–51  
 UN General Assembly Resolutions  
 and, 321–22  
 verbal practice and, 14–16
- Customary international law (CIL). *See*  
*specific topic*
- Customary process, 6, 40–41, 57–58,  
 61, 396, 487
- Custom-making moment  
 generally, 32  
 anonymity and, 37–38  
 crystallisation of rules and, 33  
 as fiction, 32–33  
 foundationalism and, 38–39  
 ILC on, 34–35, 38
- impunity and, 37–38  
 in international legal discourse, 33–35  
 presumption of, 31–32  
 two-dimensional temporality of CIL  
 and, 36–37
- ‘Custom plus’, 48
- Cyber warfare, 389
- D’Amato, Anthony, 40–41, 324
- d’Aspremont, Jean  
 communitarian semantics and, 218  
 on convergence of state practice and  
*opinio juris*, 203–4  
 on human rights law, 323  
 iconoclastic view of CIL and,  
 4–6, 8–11  
 law-identification versus law-  
 creating, 18, 19  
 on non-state armed groups  
 (NSAGs), 313  
 on state interests, 95  
 verbal practice and, 13, 14–15, 16
- Daugirdas, Kristina, 304
- Declaration on the Granting of  
 Independence to Colonial  
 Countries and Peoples (1960), 326
- Deductive reasoning  
 absence in CIL and, 69–70, 71–72, 85  
 axiomatic principles and, 257  
 filling lacunae, 256  
 methodological dualism with  
 inductive reasoning, 256–58,  
 275–76  
 ‘modern’ deductive reasoning, 71, 85  
 traditional deductive reasoning,  
 71, 85
- Deference  
 ‘cycle of contestation and deference’,  
 454, 464–65, 476–77  
 international courts and tribunals,  
 deference of domestic courts to,  
 499–501, 505
- De Gaulle, Charles, 95
- Deleuze, Gilles, 393
- Derrida, Jacques, 393, 438
- Descamps, Baron, 231
- de Visscher, Charles, 92, 395–96,  
 401

- Differentiated responsibility, 219
- Differentiation, 393
- Dinstein, Y., 141–42
- Diplomatic immunity, 125
- Diplomatic protection, 223–24, 225, 427
- Discourse vandalism, 39
- Discretion of court. *See* Judicial discretion in ascertaining CIL
- Dixon, Martin, 96
- Doctrine of sources, 30, 371–72
- Dolzer, R., 152
- Domestic courts, CIL and. *See also specific country*
  - authoritative written documents, interpreting CIL norms in, 502–4, 505
  - autonomous interpretation of CIL in, 493–99, 505
  - beyond framework of sources, 463–65, 480
  - concurrent character of decisions, 150–51
  - contributions to interpretation of CIL, 454–55, 465–66, 481
  - ‘cycle of contestation and deference’, 454, 464–65, 476–77
  - development of international law, contributions to, 453–54, 461
  - duality in interaction with international law, 463–64
  - empirical study of domestic courts and CIL, 487–89
  - evolutive interpretation in, 469–70, 471–74, 475, 479
  - ‘false positives’ of interpretation of CIL in, 489–92
  - in federal states (*See* Federal states, CIL and)
  - ‘feedback loop’ with international law, 464, 478–79
  - within framework of sources, 461–63
  - general principles of law and, 453, 475–76
  - ICJ as authority for CIL in, 247, 499–501
  - ICJ Statute Art. 38, application of, 146–51, 462, 476
  - identification of CIL versus interpretation of CIL in, 481, 482–87
  - immunities, decisions regarding, 490–91, 492–93
  - increasing use of CIL in as reason for learning from, 478, 480
  - international courts and tribunals, deference to, 499–501, 505
  - interpretation of CIL in, 466–70
  - interpretive method in, 465–66, 475–77, 479
  - jus cogens* and, 494–96
  - opinio juris* and, 453
  - overview of case law, 455
  - professed interpretation of CIL
    - actually identification of CIL in, 489–90
  - recommendations, 505–6
  - regulation of sources of international law and, 146–51
  - ‘reverse’ consistent interpretation in, 491–92
  - state immunity and, 499–501
  - state official immunity and, 493–99
  - state practice and, 453, 475
  - statutory interpretation versus interpretation of CIL in, 490–91
  - subsidiary means for interpretation of CIL, 476
  - systemic interpretation in, 475, 479, 505
  - teleological interpretation in, 469–71, 475, 479
  - tools provided from CIL as reason for learning from, 478–79, 480
  - treaty interpretation and, 453
  - ‘true positives’ of interpretation of CIL in, 481–82, 492–504
  - unregulated and underdeveloped state of CIL as reason for learning from, 477–78, 480
  - VCLT and, 462, 494, 495–96, 497, 500, 501, 502, 503
- Domestic violence, 320–21
- Domínguez-Redondo, Elvira, 334
- Dominican Republic
  - domestic violence in, 320

- Dominican Republic (cont.)  
 Universal Periodic Review  
 Recommendations and, 320  
 ‘Do no harm’ principle, 118, 125  
 Dooyeweerd, Herman  
 generally, 204–5  
 communitarian semantics and,  
 217–18  
 differentiated responsibility, 219  
 encyclopedic approach to concept of  
 law (See Encyclopedic approach to  
 concept of law)  
 enkapsis (See Enkapsis)  
 on inter-legality, 205  
 jural aspect (See Jural aspect)  
 modal aspects of reality (See Modal  
 aspects of reality)  
 theory of entities (See Theory of  
 entities)  
 Draft Conclusions on Identification of  
 Customary International  
 Law (ILC)  
 ICJ and, 280  
 international courts and tribunals  
 and, 233–34, 237, 499  
 international organisations (IOs)  
 and, 333  
 interpretation and, 46, 58, 397–98,  
 416–17  
*jus cogens* and, 361–62  
 non-state armed groups (NSAGs)  
 and, 300, 303–4  
*opinio juris* and, 130–31, 133  
 state consent and, 111, 112,  
 130–31, 133  
 state practice and, 58  
 treaty interpretation and, 363  
 two-factor formula in, 259–60, 277,  
 322–23  
 Dupuy, P. M., 138, 363  
 Duress defence, 265–66  
 Dworkin, Ronald, 65, 184  
 ECCC. See Extraordinary Chambers in  
 the Courts of Cambodia (ECCC)  
 Economic theory of negligence, 94  
 Egypt, on crimes against humanity, 164  
 El Salvador  
 Frente Farabundo Martí para la  
 Liberación Nacional, 305  
 non-state armed groups (NSAGs)  
 in, 305  
 Empowerment norms, 23  
*Encyclopedia of the Science of Law*  
 (Dooyeweerd), 204–5, 226  
 Encyclopedic approach to concept  
 of law  
 generally, 206–7  
 enkapsis (See Enkapsis)  
 modal aspects of reality (See Modal  
 aspects of reality)  
 theory of entities (See Theory of  
 entities)  
 three pillars of, 207  
 two-factor formula of CIL and, 227  
 Endicott, T. A. O., 402  
 Energy Charter Treaty (1994), 23  
 Enkapsis  
 generally, 205, 227  
 communitarian semantics and,  
 217–18  
 coordinational interests and, 224–26  
 correlative enkapsis, 213  
 defined, 212–13  
 environmental protection and, 220  
 inter-legality and, 219–221, 225,  
 227, 228  
 international humanitarian law  
 (IHL) and, 220  
 ‘legal’ enkapsis, 213, 224–26, 227  
 pluralist ontology and, 218–19  
 positive law and, 212–13  
 public–private divide and, 224–26  
 religious freedom and, 220  
 social relationships and, 213  
 territorial enkapsis, 213  
 unilateral enkapsis, 213  
 ‘Enlightened positivism’, 93  
*Enrica Lexie* Arbitration (2020),  
 243  
 Environmental protection, 220  
 Equidistance principle, 390  
 Equity  
 generally, 201–2  
 as agency of change, 196, 199–201  
 human rights law and, 199–200

- international organisations (IOs)
  - and, 201
- Erga omnes* obligations, 224, 225, 443
- Estoppel, 436–37
- Ethical aspect, 222–23
- European Convention on State Immunity (1972), 242
- European Court of Human Rights
  - corporal punishment and, 337
  - de facto* precedent, problem of adopting, 247
  - interpretation in, 397–98
  - state immunity in, 247
  - WTO Appellate Body and, 280
- European Journal of International Law*, 5
- Evolutive interpretation, 388–92, 469–70, 471–74, 475, 479
- Ex aequo et bono*, 433
- Exitus acta probat*, 49, 52
- Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia (ECCC)
  - Joint Criminal Enterprise (JCE) and, 50–52
  - misinterpretation of CIL and, 50–52
- Extraordinary rendition, 496–97
- ‘Fair play’ principle, 118–19
- Faith aspect, 222–23
- False alternatives, fallacy of, 77–78
- ‘False positives’ of interpretation of CIL in domestic courts, 489–92
- Falsification test, 65
- Families
  - adoption and, 197
  - CIL and, 187
  - development of customary law in, 186–88
  - lineage and, 197
  - states compared, 187, 189
- Federal Republic of Germany. *See* West Germany
- Federal states, CIL and
  - generally, 507, 509
  - Austria (*See* Austria)
  - nature of states in, 508
  - Weimar Republic (*See* Weimar Republic)
  - West Germany (*See* West Germany)
- ‘Feedback loop’ between domestic courts and international law, 464, 478–79
- Female genital mutilation (FGM), 473–74
- Feminist theory, state consent and, 126, 128
- Ferguson, Niall, 439
- Fiore’s Draft Code, 357
- Formalism
  - generally, 183–84
  - CIL as *contra* to existing law, 195–96
  - effect of interpretation of rules on practice, 192–93
  - examples, interaction with rules, 191
  - formalisation of CIL, 190–96
  - identification of CIL and, 183
  - interpretation of rules and, 192–94
  - practice versus rules, 191–92
  - strategic formalism, 194–96, 202
  - supplementing versus replacing CIL, 194–96
- Formal rationality, 73–75
- Forteau, Mathias, 397–98
- Fortin, Katharine, 313
- Foundationalism, 38–39
- Fox-Decent, E., 445
- Fragmentation of law, ILC and, 165, 167, 170–76, 179
- France
  - corporal punishment and, 338
  - Germany, occupation of, 516
  - Universal Periodic Review Recommendations and, 338
- Freedom of seas, 81
- ‘Frustrated iconoclasts’, 8–11
- Fuller, Lon, 380
- Gabčíkovo-Nagymaros* case (ICJ 1997)
  - generally, 283
  - interpretation of CIL in, 364
  - necessity and, 250
  - state responsibility and, 177
- Games versus law, 155–56
- General norms, 396
- General practice accepted as law. *See* *Opinio juris*



- Geneva Call, 306–7, 308, 317
- Geneva Convention on the Continental Shelf (1958), 236
- Geneva Conventions (1949)
- Additional Protocol I (1977), 467–68
  - Additional Protocol II (1977), 504
  - as CIL, 271
  - Common Article 3, 270–71, 301, 408
  - fair trial and, 270–71
  - non-state armed groups (NSAGs) and, 298, 301
- Genocide, 106, 123
- Genocide Convention (1948), 251
- Germany
- ambiguity regarding CIL in, 529
  - Constitution of 1871, 512
  - domestic courts, ICJ as authority for CIL in, 500
  - nature of states in, 507–8
  - Prussia, preeminence of in German Empire, 512
  - reunification of, 523
  - state immunity and, 500
  - treaties, domestic law and, 508
  - Weimar Republic (*See* Weimar Republic)
  - West Germany (*See* West Germany)
- Ghana
- criminalisation of same sex relations in, 324–25
  - ICCPR and, 324–25
- Global Initiative to End Corporal Punishment of Children, 337
- Good faith principle, 295
- Gorobets, Kostiantyn, 401
- Great Belt Bridge, 352
- Greece, Code of Civil Procedure, 356
- Gros, André, 419–20
- Grotius, Hugo, 421–22
- Group of 77, 331
- Grundnorm*, 24, 26, 63, 69
- Guastini, R., 272
- Guillaume, Gilbert, 173, 176, 411
- Gujadhur, S., 331–32
- Gulati, M., 234, 410
- Günther, Herbert, 25–26
- Habit, state practice distinguished, 376–77, 383
- Hafner, Gerald, 96, 99
- Hague Convention on Rules and Regulations covering Land Warfare (1899), 220
- Hakimi, Monica, 376
- Hamster, Jorian, 478
- ‘Hand-waving’, 439
- Hart, H.L.A.
- generally, 184
  - ‘fair play’ principle and, 118–19
  - Grundnorm* and, 26
  - on law as science, 65
  - legal positivism and, 10, 62–63
  - non-manipulation principle and, 119
  - on practice, 378
  - primary rules versus secondary rules, 140, 152
  - on Roman law, 190–91
  - rule of recognition and, 69, 155, 156, 372
  - on sovereignty, 189
  - state consent and, 107, 129
- Harvard Draft Convention on the Law of Treaties, 290–91
- Hayek, G., 194
- Head of state immunity, 55–56, 106, 435, 440
- Henderson, 204
- Henkin, Louis, 88, 94, 158, 232–33
- Heraclitus, 393
- Hermeneutics, 437–38
- Hierarchy of CIL
- generally, 20–22
  - approximately plausible
    - empowerment norms (AppPENs), 26–28
    - empowerment norms, 23
    - legal methodology and, 21
    - meta-law, 23
    - meta-meta law, 23–24
    - structural analysis and, 20, 21–22
    - substantive norms, 23
- Higgins, Rosalyn, 321–22
- ‘Historically first constitution’, 23
- Hmoud, Mahmoud D., 397–98

- Hobbes, Thomas, 96, 97
- HRC. *See* Human Rights Council (HRC)
- Hudson, Manley, 165
- Human Rights Committee, 324–25
- Human Rights Council (HRC)
- child marriage and, 342
  - creation, 321
  - ICCPR and, 342
  - Resolution 16/21, 333–34
  - Universal Periodic Review
    - Recommendations (*See* Universal Periodic Review Recommendations)
- Human rights law
- abuses by states, impact on state practice, 323–24
  - debate regarding prohibited practices, 324–25
  - equity and, 199–200
  - ICJ and, 324
  - identification of CIL in, 322–26
  - obligation, state attitudes toward, 325
  - realist criticisms of, 323–24
  - Universal Periodic Review
    - Recommendations (*See* Universal Periodic Review Recommendations)
- Hume, David, 67–68
- Hybrid interpretation, 358
- Hypothetical nature of CIL, 433–34
- Ibáñez Carranza, Luz Del Carmen, 55
- ICC. *See* International Criminal Court (ICC)
- ICJ. *See* International Court of Justice (ICJ)
- ICJ Statute Art. 38
- adoption of, 144
  - application outside ICJ, 143–51
  - as codificatory of CIL rules, 154
  - custom as source of legal obligation in, 88, 89–90
  - as declaratory of CIL rules, 151–53
  - domestic courts, application in, 146–51, 462, 476
  - elements of CIL in, 44–46
  - identification of CIL versus interpretation of CIL, 485
  - international courts and tribunals, application in, 143–44, 176–77
  - judicial discretion and, 264
  - law-identifying versus law-creating, 70
  - ‘oceanic’ custom distinguished from CIL in, 442
  - opinio juris* and, 235, 277, 299, 399
  - as positive law, 145–46
  - as reflective of CIL rules, 151–53
  - regulation of sources of international law and, 137–38, 141, 143–54, 159
  - state practice and, 144–51, 235
  - treaties, application in, 145
  - two-factor formula in, 44–46, 277, 399
- Iconoclastic view of CIL
- generally, 4, 5
  - belief system, international law as, 8–11
  - ‘frustrated iconoclasts’, 8–11
  - noncognitivism and, 10
  - problems with, 5–6
  - reductivism and, 8–11
  - ‘ruleness’ versus, 10
- ICRC. *See* International Committee of the Red Cross (ICRC)
- ICTY. *See* International Criminal Tribunal for the former Yugoslavia (ICTY)
- Identification of CIL
- content determination
    - distinguished, 259–60
  - determination versus, 37
  - dynamic of CIL and, 424–25
  - as empirical assessment, 88
  - formalisation in legal scholarship, 421
  - formalism and, 183
  - in human rights law, 322–26
  - ICJ Statute Art. 38, identification of CIL versus interpretation of CIL, 485
  - as intellectual operation, 422
  - in international courts and tribunals (*See* International courts and tribunals)

- Identification of CIL (cont.)  
 interpretation as identification, 386–88  
 interpretation of CIL distinguished, 394, 404–7, 413, 417–18, 420–29, 459–60  
 in investment tribunals, 241  
 in investor–state dispute settlement, 244–46  
 justification of rules distinguished, 262  
 as law ascertainment and content determination, 404  
 law-creating versus, 17–20  
 meta-law on, 234  
 observer, role of, 90–94, 101  
*opinio juris* as law-identification element, 18  
 realism and, 183–84  
 ‘Schrödinger’s custom’, 88, 90–94, 101  
 state interests and, 100, 101  
 state practice as law-identification element, 18  
 temporary dimension, collapse of, 90–94  
 traditional method (*See* Traditional method of ascertaining CIL)  
 two-factor formula and, 226, 235–36, 273–74  
 universal methodology, myth of, 260–61  
 in WTO Appellate Body (*See* World Trade Organization (WTO) Appellate Body)
- Identification of Customary Law Project (ILC)  
 argumentative strategy versus epistemological method for identifying CIL, 259–63  
 convergence of state practice and *opinio juris*, 203–4  
 formalisation and, 421–22  
 judicial discretion and, 258  
 law-identification versus law-making, 18–19  
 pragmatic view of CIL and, 4–5, 6–7  
 state consent and, 108
- Ignorance, fallacy of, 76–77, 81–82
- IHL. *See* International humanitarian law (IHL)
- Ihlen Declaration between the Ministers of Foreign Affairs of Norway and Denmark, 352
- ILA. *See* International Law Association (ILA)
- ILC. *See* International Law Commission (ILC)
- Immunities  
 diplomatic immunity, 125  
 domestic court decisions regarding, 490–91, 492–93  
 head of state immunity, 55–56, 106, 435, 440  
 state immunity, 247, 425–27, 499–501  
 state official immunity, 493–99
- Incidents of statehood, 442
- India  
 non-state armed groups (NSAGs) in, 316  
 Universal Periodic Review Recommendations and, 328
- Indonesia  
 child marriage and, 342  
 ICJ Statute Art. 38, application in, 148  
 Universal Periodic Review Recommendations and, 342
- In dubio mitius*, 281–82, 360
- Inductive reasoning  
 absence in CIL and, 69–71  
 law-creating in, 70  
 methodological dualism with deductive reasoning, 256–58, 275–76  
*opinio juris* and, 256–57  
 selectivity in, 70  
 state practice and, 256–57  
 subjectivity in, 70
- Inference, 82–83
- Inheritance law, 471–73
- ‘Instant’ custom, 127–28, 130
- Institut de Droit International, 361, 419
- Interactionism, 186

- Inter-American Court of Human Rights, 280, 337
- Inter-legality, 205, 219–21, 225, 227, 228
- International Centre for Settlement of Investment Disputes (ICSID), 177–79, 457
- International Commission of Inquiry on Darfur, 300, 314
- International Committee of the Red Cross (ICRC)  
*Customary IHL*, 299–300, 314  
 on hostilities, 468  
 international humanitarian law (IHL) and, 440  
 non-state armed groups (NSAGs) and, 299–300, 314, 319  
 on *opinio juris* versus state practice, 13, 267  
 on verbal practice, 12
- International Court of Justice (ICJ)  
 generally, 24  
 absence in CIL and (*See* Absence in CIL)  
 acceptance by majority of states and, 498–99  
*Application of the Convention of Genocide* case, 251  
 argument from silence in, 80–81  
 ARSIWA and, 248, 250–51  
 assertion of existence of CIL in, 234  
*Asylum* case (*See Asylum* case (ICJ 1950))  
 as authority for CIL, 442  
*Barcelona Traction* case (*See Barcelona Traction* case (ICJ 1970))  
*Burkina Faso/Mali Frontier Dispute* case, 74  
*Certain Activities* case, 248  
*Chagos Advisory Opinion* (*See Chagos Advisory Opinion* (ICJ 2019))  
 classification of approaches to CIL, 234  
*Colombian–Peruvian Asylum* case (*See Asylum* case (ICJ 1950))  
*Construction of a Wall* case, 74  
*Corfu Channel* case, 271  
*Croatia–Serbia Genocide* case, 363–64  
 custom and, 411  
*Diallo* case, 177, 182  
 diplomatic protection and, 223–24, 225  
 domestic courts, ICJ as authority for CIL in, 247, 499–501  
 draft articles, use of, 177  
 elements of custom distinguished from CIL, 400  
*erga omnes* obligations and, 224, 225  
*Fisheries* case, 75, 80–81  
 flexibility in identifying CIL, problems with, 253–55  
*Frontier Dispute* case, 457–58  
*Gabčíkovo-Nagymaros* case (*See Gabčíkovo-Nagymaros* case (ICJ 1997))  
*Genocide Reservations Advisory Opinion*, 321  
*Gulf of Maine* case, 71, 349, 419–20  
*Habré* case, 179–80  
 human rights law and, 324  
 ILC as authority for CIL in, 179–80, 182, 239–40, 250–52  
 ILC Draft Conclusions and, 280  
 improper generalisations regarding CIL in, 247–48  
 international courts and tribunals, ICJ as authority for CIL in, 239–40, 247–49  
 interpretation of CIL in, 349, 354, 363–64, 457–58 (*See also specific case*)  
 interpretation of treaties in, 43  
 judicial discretion in, 269–70  
*Jurisdictional Immunities of the State* case (*See Jurisdictional Immunities of the State* case (ICJ 2012))  
*jus cogens* and, 312  
*Kosovo Advisory Opinion* (*See Kosovo Advisory Opinion* (ICJ 2010))  
*Land, Island and Maritime Dispute* case, 74

- International Court of Justice (cont.)
- Legal Consequences of the Separation of the Chagos Archipelago from Mauritius in 1965* Advisory Opinion (See *Chagos* Advisory Opinion (ICJ 2019))
  - Legality of the Threat and Use of Nuclear Weapons* Advisory Opinion (See *Nuclear Weapons* Advisory Opinion (ICJ 1996))
  - meta-law on identification of CIL and, 234
  - Military and Paramilitary Activities in and Against Nicaragua* case (See *Nicaragua* case (ICJ 1986))
  - Nicaragua* case (See *Nicaragua* case (ICJ 1986))
  - North Sea Continental Shelf* case (See *North Sea Continental Shelf* case (ICJ 1969))
  - Nuclear Tests* case, 353
  - Nuclear Weapons* Advisory Opinion (See *Nuclear Weapons* Advisory Opinion (ICJ 1996))
  - opinio juris* and, 16–17
  - Pedra Branca/Pulau Batu Puteh* case, 72–73
  - persuasive argumentation in, 63, 73–75
  - role in international law, 173
  - South West Africa* Advisory Opinion, 221–23, 484
  - standing in, 221
  - state practice and, 376–77
  - Statute Art. 38 (See ICJ Statute Art. 38)
  - systemic issues regarding identifying CIL in, 253–55
  - Temple of Preah Vihear* case, 72–73
  - Territorial and Maritime Dispute in the Caribbean Sea* case, 74, 247–48
  - territorial tort exception and, 242–43, 425–27
  - torture and, 179–80
  - traditional method of ascertaining CIL, ignoring, 234
  - treaties, locating CIL in, 242–44
  - two-factor formula and, 357
  - UN General Assembly Resolutions and, 322, 343
  - on unilateral acts of states, 353
  - use of force and, 220
  - uti possidetis juris* and, 457–58
  - WTO Appellate Body, as authority for CIL in, 247, 280, 283, 287, 296
- International courts and tribunals. *See also specific court or tribunal*
- generally, 234–35
  - absence of decision on CIL in, 248–49
  - accepting agreement of parties as to CIL, 240–41
  - assertion of CIL without demonstration, 240
  - de facto* precedent, problem of adopting, 247
  - domestic courts, deference by, 499–501, 505
  - draft articles, use of, 177
  - ICJ as authority for CIL in, 239–40, 247–49
  - ICJ Statute Art. 38, application of, 143–44, 176–77
  - ILC as authority for CIL in, 175, 176–80, 239–40, 249–52
  - ILC Draft Conclusions and, 233–34, 237, 499
  - improper generalizations regarding CIL in, 247–48
  - institutional constraints in identifying CIL, 238
  - investment tribunals, 177–79, 241
  - judges, role of, 237–38
  - legal fiction in, 197–98
  - practical constraints in identifying CIL, 238
  - regulation of sources of international law and, 143–44
  - shortcuts in identifying CIL, 239–41, 252–53
  - traditional method of ascertaining CIL in, 235–39
  - treaties, locating CIL in, 239–40, 241–46

- International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR) (1966), 324–25, 342
- International Criminal Court (ICC)  
*Al Bashir* case, 55  
 head of state immunity and, 55–56, 435  
 interpretation of CIL in, 354  
 Rome Statute (1998), 163–64, 354, 503  
*South Africa* case, 56
- International criminal law, judicial discretion in, 258
- International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda (ICTR)  
 Joint Criminal Enterprise (JCE) and, 270  
 judicial discretion in, 270–71  
*Kayishema & Ruzindana* case, 270–71  
*Rwamakuba* case, 270
- International Criminal Tribunal for the former Yugoslavia (ICTY)  
*actus reus* in, 52–53  
 command responsibility and, 407–8  
 crystallisation of rules and, 54–55, 264–65, 267  
 duress defence and, 265–66  
*Erdemović* case, 265–66, 267  
 extensive empirical test in, 265–66  
*Furundžija* case, 266, 268, 408–9  
*Hadžihasanović* case, 271, 363, 407–8, 409  
 interpretation of CIL in, 363, 458  
 Joint Criminal Enterprise (JCE) and, 50–52  
 judicial discretion in, 264–68, 270–71  
*Kordić* case, 271  
*Kupreškić* case, 53–55, 267–68  
*Martić* case, 267  
 misinterpretation of CIL and, 50–55  
 non-state armed groups (NSAGs) and, 300, 314  
*Orić* case, 408  
*Perišić* case, 52–53  
 rape and, 408–9  
 relation of *opinio juris* to state practice in, 53–55, 267–68  
 reprisals and, 267  
 sufficiently widespread practice standard in, 266  
*Tadić* case, 50–51, 270, 271  
 torture and, 268  
 treaty interpretation in, 407–9
- International humanitarian law (IHL)  
 as CIL, 440  
 enkapsis and, 220  
 equal application of, 315–16  
 ICRC and, 440  
 non-state armed groups (NSAGs), incorporation into CIL (See Non-state armed groups (NSAGs), incorporation into CIL)  
 protective standards, 316–18
- International Law as a Belief System* (d'Aspremont), 4, 8–11, 19
- International Law Association (ILA), 333, 343, 380–81
- International Law Commission (ILC)  
 generally, 163  
 ability to make choices and, 181  
 acceptance by majority of states and, 498–99
- Articles on Responsibility of States for Internationally Wrongful Acts (See Articles on Responsibility of States for Internationally Wrongful Acts (ARSIWA))  
 on *aut dedere aut judicare*, 165, 167  
 codification attempts, 231  
 container/content duality and, 373  
 on crimes against humanity, 164  
 ‘crucible approach’ to interpretation of CIL, 350  
 current activity, 166–69  
 on custom-making moment, 34–35, 38  
 draft articles leading to treaties, 163–64, 168  
 draft articles not leading to treaties, 164, 168, 177

- International Law Commission (cont.)  
 Draft Conclusions on Identification of Customary International Law (See Draft Conclusions on Identification of Customary International Law (ILC))  
 elements of custom distinguished from CIL, 400–1  
 as epistemic authority, 7  
 fragmentation of law and, 165, 167, 170–76, 179  
 guidelines, 161–63, 164–65, 166–67  
 Guide to Practice on Reservations to Treaties, 166–67, 181  
 Guiding Principles applicable to Unilateral Declarations of State, 456–57  
 historical background, 163–66  
 ICJ, ILC as authority for CIL in, 179–80, 182, 250–52  
 identification of CIL versus interpretation of CIL, 486  
 Identification of Customary Law Project (See Identification of Customary Law Project (ILC))  
 identification versus determination, 37  
 international courts and tribunals, ILC as authority for CIL in, 175, 176–80, 239–40, 249–52  
 investment tribunals and, 177–79  
*jus cogens* and, 312  
 law-creating, insufficiency of approach to, 24  
 law-identification versus law-creating, 18–19  
 legality of activities, 169–70  
 legal scholarship and, 172, 174–75  
 on MFN clause, 165, 167, 178–79, 181  
*opinio juris* and, 181  
 pragmatism and, 181  
 progressive development and, 169  
 quotability, 180–81  
 on reservations, 179  
 restatements of law, 161–63  
 shift away from draft articles and treaties, 161–63, 170–76, 180  
 shift from prescriptive to descriptive work, 161–63, 170–76, 180  
 state practice and, 181, 376–77  
 Statute, 169–70  
 studies, 165, 167–68, 178–79  
 topics addressed by, 163–66  
 two-factor formula and, 226, 235–36  
 UN Charter and, 169  
 on unilateral acts of states, 353, 456–57  
 Universal Periodic Review Recommendations and, 343  
 VCLT and, 162, 168, 179  
 verbal practice and, 12, 13  
 weakening of international law, 174  
 Working Group on the Long-Term Programme, 170  
*International Law in Domestic Courts* (ILDC) (database), 487–88  
*International Law* (Maine), 188  
*International Law Reports* (ILR), 488  
 International legal reasoning, scientific method and. See Social science, law as  
 International Military Tribunal, 270  
 International organisations (IOs)  
 applicability of CIL to, 483  
 equity and, 201  
 ILC Draft Conclusions and, 333  
 International relations theory, 94  
 International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea, 172–73, 248  
 Interpretation of CIL  
 generally, 395, 449, 455  
 analogic interpretation, 428–29  
 as applicative construction, 401–4  
 ‘bootstrapping’ and, 435, 438  
 in case law, 347–48, 356–61  
 in CJEU, 366–67  
 as clarification, 386–88  
 as cognitive act, 275, 415  
 coherence and, 438–39  
 construction versus, 403  
 content determination in, 404, 407, 423–24, 460–61  
 contributions of domestic courts, 454–55, 465–66, 481  
 as creative, 369

- ‘crucible approach’, 350  
 crystallisation of rules, following, 504–5  
 custom, improper analysis of, 47  
 defined, 394–95, 402, 404, 413, 418–20  
 deriving norms from state practice, 404–6  
 different sources of law and, 433  
 difficulty in, 347, 393–94  
 in domestic courts, 466–70  
 in domestic law generally, 353–54, 369  
 in domestic legislation, 355–56  
 dynamic of CIL and, 424–25  
 elements of custom distinguished from CIL, 399–401, 405–6, 413  
 evidence of *opinio juris* and state practice confused with, 424  
 evolutive interpretation, 388–92, 469–70, 471–74, 475, 479  
 formalisation in legal scholarship, 421  
 general rules of CIL and, 439–40  
 ‘hand-waving’ and, 439  
 hybrid interpretation, 358  
 hypothetical nature of CIL and, 433–34  
 in ICC, 354  
 in ICJ, 349, 354, 363–64, 457–58 (*See also specific case*)  
 ICJ Statute Art. 38, identification of CIL versus interpretation of CIL, 485  
 in ICTY, 363, 458  
 as identification, 386–88  
 identification of CIL distinguished, 394, 404–7, 413, 417–18, 420–29, 459–60  
 by ILC, 46  
 ILC Draft Conclusions and, 46, 58  
 inconsistent state practice and *opinio juris*, 404–5, 406  
 intent of parties, 44  
 in international law generally, 353–54, 369  
 judicial acts viewed as, 404–7  
*jus cogens* as limiting, 361–62  
 law-creating and, 40–41  
 as legal operation, 422  
 legal positivism and, 414–15  
 in legal scholarship, 395–98  
 in legislation, 347–48  
 limitations of, 348, 361–68  
 logical interpretation, 357  
 merger of content with existence, objection to interpretability based on, 348–49, 399, 456  
 methodological questions regarding, 43–44  
 methods of, 46–47  
 misinterpretation (*See* Misinterpretation of CIL)  
 modification distinguished, 362–64  
 moment of application and, 423–24, 427–28, 429–30  
 as negentropic, 369  
 in new situations, 432–33  
 objections to interpretability, 347, 348–53, 399  
*opinio juris* and, 101  
 oral agreements and, 350–52  
 in PCIJ, 354–55  
 practical reasoning in, 370–71  
 by proxy, 358  
 questions regarding, 416, 430–31  
 relevance of, 414–15  
 restrictive interpretation, 428–29  
 ‘reverse’ consistent interpretation, 368, 491–92  
 revision distinguished, 362–64  
 state positions and, 404–5, 406  
 systemic integration, 358–59, 368  
 systemic interpretation, 475, 479, 491, 505  
 teleological interpretation, 359, 365–66, 469–71, 475, 479  
 ‘this may represent international law’, 433  
 treaty interpretation distinguished, 394, 407–13, 429, 454, 456  
 two-factor formula and, 347, 348–49  
 type of legal system, effect of, 416  
 uncertain nature of CIL and, 433–34



- Interpretation of CIL (cont.)  
 unilateral acts of states and, 353, 456–57  
 unwritten nature of CIL, objection to interpretability based on, 349–53, 399, 456–57  
 unwritten rules, 429  
 VCLT and, 42, 350, 364, 397  
 in WTO Appellate Body, 458  
 Interpretive approach, 163  
 Interpretive method in domestic courts, 465–66, 475–77, 479  
 Interpretive process, 193, 448–49  
 Investment tribunals, 177–79, 241  
 Investor–state dispute settlement and, 244–46  
 Islamic State of Iraq and Syria (ISIS), 309  
*Island of Palmas* Arbitration (1928), 43  
 Israel  
 authoritative written documents, interpreting CIL norms in, 503–4  
 evolutive interpretation in, 469–70  
 interpretation of CIL in, 359, 360, 365–66, 367, 466–70  
 ‘reverse’ consistent interpretation in, 491  
 targeted killing of terrorists, 466–70  
 teleological interpretation in, 469–70  
 treaty interpretation in, 469  
 Italy  
 autonomous interpretation of CIL in, 496–97  
 constitution, 366–67  
 domestic courts, ICJ as authority for CIL in, 499–500  
 extraordinary rendition and, 496–97  
 interpretation of CIL in, 358–59, 366–67  
 state immunity and, 499–500  
 state official immunity and, 496–97  
 statutory interpretation in, 490  
 UN Charter and, 366  
 Jacobsson, Marie G., 397–98  
 JCE. *See* Joint Criminal Enterprise (JCE)  
 Jennings, Robert Y., 141, 148, 153, 172, 411  
 Jo, Hyeran, 306  
 Joint Criminal Enterprise (JCE), 50–52, 270  
 Joyner, Christopher, 336  
 Judicial discretion in ascertaining CIL generally, 258, 274–76  
 argumentative strategy, 276  
 authoritative verbalisation of rules, 258  
 defined, 263–64  
 extensive empirical test, 265–66  
 in ICJ, 269–70  
 ICJ Statute Art. 38 and, 264  
 in ICTR, 270–71  
 in ICTY, 264–68, 270–71  
 ILC Identification of Customary Law Project and, 258  
 in international criminal law, 258  
 norm-descriptive statements and, 272–74  
 in practice, 263–69  
 prior written formulations of unexpressed rules and, 269–74  
 rules of interpretation and, 258  
 sufficiently widespread practice standard, 266  
 ‘Judicialisation,’ 172  
 Jural aspect  
 generally, 226–27  
 anticipations, 209–10, 225  
 architectonic structure of, 210–11, 216, 227  
 constitutive aspects, 209–10  
 disclosure and, 209–10  
 regulative aspects, 209–10  
 retribution and, 208–9  
 retroceptions, 209–10, 224–25  
*Jurisdictional Immunities of the State* case (ICJ 2012)  
 domestic courts, ICJ as authority for CIL in, 247, 499–501  
 ILC Draft Conclusions and, 273  
 interpretation of CIL in, 366–67, 418, 425–27, 429–30, 501–2

- territorial tort exception and, 242–43, 425–27
- two-factor formula in, 44–46, 242–43
- Jus ad bellum*, 315, 411
- Jus cogens*
- CIL distinguished, 440–41
  - crystallisation of rules and, 311–12
  - as ‘custom plus’, 48
  - domestic courts and, 494–96
  - ICJ and, 312
  - ILC and, 312
  - ILC Draft Conclusions and, 361–62
  - interpretation of CIL, as limiting, 361–62
  - non-state armed groups (NSAGs) and, 311–12
  - state consent and, 106, 112, 123, 134–35, 136
- Jus in bello*, 315, 411
- Kammerhofer, Jörg, 68, 84, 402–3
- Kanetake, M., 464–65
- Kant, Immanuel, 98–99, 203
- Kellogg–Briand Peace Pact (1928), 131
- Kelsen, Hans
- generally, 84, 189, 442
  - on custom, 401
  - formal laws and, 213–14
  - Grundnorm* and, 26, 63, 69
  - on hierarchy of law, 21–22, 23, 26
  - on interpretation, 419–20
  - on law as science, 66–67
  - on logic in law, 66–67
  - on sources of international law, 138
- Kenya
- burial law in, 474
  - evolutive interpretation in, 471–74
  - female genital mutilation (FGM) in, 473–74
  - inheritance law in, 471–73
  - interpretation of CIL in, 471–74
  - Judicature Act, 472–74
- Kissinger, Henry, 95
- Kohen, Marcelo, 178–79
- Kolb, R., 353
- Koskenniemi, Martti, 95, 135–36, 385
- Kosovo* Advisory Opinion (ICJ 2010)
- generally, 73
  - argument from silence in, 81–82, 85–86
- declarations of independence in, 77–78
- fallacy of false alternatives in, 77–78
- fallacy of ignorance in, 81–82
- inference in, 82–83
- internal inconsistency of, 84
- logical fallacies in, 75–84
- sylogistic fallacy in, 82–83
- Kreća, Milenko, 363–64
- Kuyper, Abraham, 204
- Lamond, G., 155–57, 159–60
- Landmines, 317
- Lauterpacht, Hersch, 149, 153, 154, 158, 428–29
- Law formation. *See* Creation of CIL
- League of Nations, 165, 221–22, 355
- Le Droit des Gens* (de Vattel), 95
- Legal Consequences of the Separation of the Chagos Archipelago from Mauritius in 1965* Advisory Opinion. *See* *Chagos* Advisory Opinion (ICJ 2019)
- Legal discourse, 437–38
- ‘Legal’ enkapsis, 213, 224–26, 227
- Legal fiction
- generally, 201–2
  - as agency of change, 196, 197–99
  - in international courts and tribunals, 197–98
  - ultra vires* and, 198
- Legality of the Threat and Use of Nuclear Weapons* Advisory Opinion. *See* *Nuclear Weapons* Advisory Opinion (ICJ 1996)
- Legal obligation, custom as source of, 88–90
- Legal positivism
- absence and, 62–63
  - doctrine of sources and, 372
  - iconoclastic view of CIL and, 10
  - interpretation and, 414–15
- Legislation as agency of change, 196–97
- Legitimate authority. *See* State consent
- Leiden Journal of International Law*, 453–54

- Lepard, Brian D., 154, 325–26, 335, 336–37, 344
- Levi-Strauss, Claude, 437–38
- Lex ferenda*, 40, 47–48, 69, 300
- Lex hypothetica*, 434
- Lex lata*, 69, 92, 299–300
- Libya, National Transitional Council, 318
- Limon, M., 331–32
- Lineage, 197
- Logical fallacies, 75–84
- Logical interpretation, 357
- Loschin, Lynn, 335
- Lotus* case (PCIJ 1927)  
generally, 77, 79, 83  
argument from silence in, 81  
freedom of seas and, 81  
non-state actors and, 84  
*opinio juris* and, 130–31  
presumption in favour of state action, 68–69  
restrictions on states and, 25, 78  
state practice and, 388
- MacCormick, N., 107, 119
- Maine, Henry  
generally, 183, 184, 195  
on agencies of change, 193–94, 196  
on equity, 199, 200  
evolutionary narrative of CIL, 202  
on family as institution, 186–88  
on formalism, 193–94, 202  
historical basis of law and, 184–85  
jurisprudence and, 184  
on legal fiction, 197  
on origins of CIL, 185–90  
on practice, 191  
on primacy of CIL over states, 189  
reconceptualisation of CIL based on, 202  
on Roman law, 190–91  
on sovereignty, 188, 190
- Marceau, G., 288–89
- Marek, K., 141
- Martens Clause, 420–21
- Mauritius, Chagos Islands and, 243, 435–37. *See also* Chagos Advisory Opinion (ICJ 2019)
- McDonald, Gabrielle Kirk, 265–66
- McMahon, Edward, 327–29
- McNair, Arnold, 484
- Mendelson, Maurice, 91–92, 143–44, 156
- Merkouris, Panos, 287, 394, 397–98, 404, 407, 485, 486–87, 492, 505
- Meta-law, 23, 234
- Meta-meta law, 5, 23–24
- Meta-norms, 292
- Mexico  
Ejército Zapatista de Liberación Nacional, 317  
non-state armed groups (NSAGs) in, 317
- MFN clause. *See* Most favoured nation (MFN) clause
- Military and Paramilitary Activities in and Against Nicaragua* case. *See* *Nicaragua* case (ICJ 1986)
- Military Tribunal for the Far East, 60
- Mill, John Stuart, 68
- Miller, David, 448
- Minto, William, 83
- Misinterpretation of CIL  
acquiescence to, 59–60  
*actus reus* and, 52–53  
avoiding, 60–61  
Bush Doctrine and, 57  
change in CIL and, 47–48  
‘CIL as path’ metaphor and, 41–42  
consensus legitimising, 58  
consequences of, 40, 47, 57–60  
‘corrupt pedigree’, 40, 57–59  
crystallisation of rules and, 48, 61  
defined, 40  
discovered in subsequent proceedings, 50–53  
*exitus acta probat*, 49, 52  
expectation and, 56–57  
fallacious misinterpretation, 49–50, 53–55  
fluidity of CIL and, 42, 58  
head of state immunity and, 55–56  
ICTY and, 50–55  
identifying, 61  
Joint Criminal Enterprise (JCE) and, 50–52

- as *lex ferenda*, 40, 47–48  
 misinterpretation of rule as whole  
   versus misinterpretation of *opinio juris* or state practice, 48–49  
 motivation, irrelevance of, 48  
 negligent misinterpretation, 49, 52–53  
 political motivation, 57  
 process and, 47  
 reasons for, 40  
 relation of *opinio juris* to state practice and, 53–55  
 relevance of, 61  
 revision of CIL versus, 43  
 ‘self-fulfilling prophecy’, 57–58, 59–60, 61  
 subsequent state practice legitimising, 58  
 torture and, 57
- Modal aspects of reality  
 generally, 205  
 certitudinal aspect, 222–23  
 enumeration of, 208  
 ethical aspect, 222–23  
 faith aspect, 222–23  
 jural aspect (*See* Jural aspect)  
 moral aspect, 222–23  
 psychic aspect, 214–15, 227  
 theory of entities compared, 211–12
- Monaco, R., 153  
 Moral aspect, 222–23  
 Morelli, Gaetano, 422, 428  
 Most favoured nation (MFN) clause, 165, 167, 178–79, 181  
 Müller, F., 353  
 Murray, Daragh, 313
- Necessity, 96  
 Negation versus belief, 79  
 Negligent misinterpretation, 49, 52–53  
 Nepal, non-state armed groups (NSAGs) in, 316
- Netherlands  
 ICJ Statute Art. 38, application in, 147  
 on ILC Draft Conclusions, 46  
 VCLT, application in, 482–83
- Network of reasons, state practice as, 382–85
- New Critique of Theoretical Thought* (Dooyeweerd), 205
- NIAC. *See* Non-international armed conflict (NIAC)
- Nicaragua* case (ICJ 1986)  
 generally, 271, 283  
 enkapsis and, 220  
 interpretation of CIL in, 423  
*opinio juris* and, 81, 133  
 rule of non-intervention in, 58  
 treaty interpretation and, 411  
 two-factor formula in, 44–46, 357
- Nihilism, 39
- Nollkaemper, A., 465
- Nolte, Georg, 168, 397–98
- Noncognitivism, 10
- Nondomination, state consent and, 114, 118, 127–28
- Non-international armed conflict (NIAC), 298, 309, 315–16
- Non liquet*, 63, 68, 71, 73, 74, 241
- Non-manipulation principle, 107–8, 119, 123–24, 127
- Non-refoulement, 106
- Non-state actors, 84
- Non-state armed groups (NSAGs), incorporation into CIL  
 generally, 298–99  
 arguments supporting, 301  
 compliance argument in favor of, 305–8  
 consequentialist approach, 318–19  
 current state of law, 299–300  
 equal application, implications for, 315–16
- Geneva Conventions Common Article 3 and, 298, 301
- ICRC and, 299–300, 314, 319
- ICTY and, 300, 314
- ILC Draft Conclusions and, 300, 303–4
- jus cogens* and, 311–12  
 legal implications of, 312–18  
 legitimacy problem regarding, 301–5  
 negative practices of, 310–11

- Non-state armed groups (cont.)  
 non-international armed conflict (NAIC) and, 309, 315–16  
 ownership argument in favor of, 305–8  
 policy-making perspective, 319  
 practice of NSAGs, based on, 309–12  
 protective standards, implications for, 316–18  
 rationales for, 301–8  
*ratione materiae* and, 309–12  
*ratione personae* and, 308–9  
 scope of, 308–12  
 selected NSAGs, 308–9  
 sovereignty and, 302–3  
 state practice and, 313  
 structure of CIL, implications for, 313–14
- Normative nature of state practice, 370–71, 379–81, 392
- Norms  
 approximately plausible  
 empowerment norms (AppPENs), 5, 26–28  
 empowerment norms, 23  
 general versus particular norms, 396  
 justification based on, 386–88  
 meta-norms, 292  
 moral norms, state consent versus, 135–36  
 peremptory norms (See *Jus cogens*)  
 pre-existing norms, state consent and, 121  
 reasons versus, 382–85, 392  
 state practice based on, 386–88  
 substantive norms, 23  
 treaty norms, 485
- North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA) (1993), 244–45
- North Macedonia  
 contract law in, 470–71  
 interpretation of CIL in, 470–71  
 teleological interpretation in, 470–71
- North Sea Continental Shelf* case (ICJ 1969)  
 generally, 444  
 acceptance by majority of states and, 498–99
- adoption of norms as creating CIL, 216  
 as authority for CIL, 442  
 identification of CIL in, 236, 239, 266  
 interpretation of CIL in, 44–46, 259, 357, 409–10, 422, 428  
 judicial discretion in, 264  
 silence and, 81  
 state motivation and, 71–72, 80  
 two-factor formula in, 44–46, 257, 259, 273, 357
- NSAGs. See Non-state armed groups (NSAGs), incorporation into CIL
- Nuclear Weapons Advisory Opinion* (ICJ 1996)  
 interpretation of CIL in, 420–21  
*jus cogens* and, 312  
*opinio juris* and, 81, 336  
 treaty interpretation and, 411  
 Nuremberg Principles, 165, 504
- ‘Oceanic’ custom, 441–42
- Oligarchies, 190
- Ontological critiques of CIL, 183, 189–90, 201
- ‘Ontological’ custom, 442
- Onuf, Nicholas, 96
- ‘Open-system’ approach, 84, 86
- Opinio juris*. See also *specific topic*  
 absence in (See *Absence in CIL*)  
 as conventional criterion, 387  
 convergence with state practice, 203–4  
 custom and, 88–90, 93–94, 400  
 differing interpretations of, 259  
 domestic courts and, 453  
 as epistemic tool, 19–20  
 in ICJ, 16–17  
 ICJ Statute Art. 38 and, 235, 277, 299, 399  
 ICRC and, 13, 267  
 ICTY, relation of *opinio juris* to state practice in, 53–55, 267–68  
 identification of, 88–89  
 ILC and, 181  
 ILC Draft Conclusions and, 130–31, 133

- ILC Identification of Customary Law  
 Project and, 203–4  
 inductive reasoning and, 256–57  
 as intellectual construct, 261  
 interpretation and, 101  
 as law-creating element, 18  
 as law-identifying element, 18  
 misinterpretation of versus  
 misinterpretation of CIL, 48–49  
 in PCIJ, 16–17  
 psychic aspect and, 227  
 relation to state practice, 53–55  
 social reality, as grounded in, 29–31  
 state consent and, 129–33  
 state practice in absence of, 377  
 state practice versus, 13, 14  
 subjective nature of, 261–62  
 UN General Assembly Resolutions  
 and, 81  
 universal methodology for  
 ascertaining, myth of, 260–61  
 UN on, 417  
 WTO Appellate Body and, 296
- Oppenheim, L., 115–16  
 Orakhelashvili, A., 394, 397–98, 407  
 Orthodoxy, culture of, 8  
 Ottawa Convention (1997), 317  
 Oxford University Press, 487–88
- Pacta sunt servanda*  
 crystallisation of rules and, 112  
 regulation of sources of CIL and, 142  
 state consent and, 125, 134  
 in VCLT, 112, 121, 125
- Pal, Radhabinod, 60  
 Palombella, G., 203, 219, 225  
 Particular norms, 396  
 Path metaphor of CIL, 41–42  
 Paulus, Andreas, 93  
 PCIJ. *See* Permanent Court of  
 International Justice (PCIJ)
- Peat, D., 193  
 Pellet, A., 153  
 ‘Penumbra of uncertainty’, 198  
 Peremptory norms. *See* *Jus cogens*  
 Permanent Court of International  
 Justice (PCIJ)  
 argument from silence in, 81  
 custom and, 399–400  
 drafting of Statute, 231  
 interpretation of CIL in, 354–55  
*Lotus* case (*See* *Lotus* case (PCIJ  
 1927))  
*opinio juris* and, 16–17  
 oral agreements and, 350–51  
*SS Lotus (France v. Turkey)* (*See*  
*Lotus* case (PCIJ 1927))  
 Statute Art. 38, 143–44, 152–53,  
 154, 158, 204
- Persuasive argumentation, 63,  
 73–75, 85
- Peters, A., 117  
 Petersen, N., 234  
*Petitio principii*, 141–42, 148, 159  
 Petric, Ernest, 397–98  
 Philimore, Lord, 355  
 Philippines  
 non-state armed groups (NSAGs)  
 in, 316  
 on UN General Assembly, 321  
 war crimes, prosecution of, 220
- Piracy, 502–3  
 Pluralist ontology, 218–19  
 Popper, Karl, 65  
 Postema, Gerald, 374, 380, 381  
 Practical reasoning in interpretation of  
 CIL, 370–71  
 Practice. *See* State practice  
 Pragmatic view of CIL  
 generally, 4, 5  
 ‘astigmatic pragmatists’, 6–8  
 ILC Identification of Customary Law  
 Project and, 4–5, 6–7  
 insufficiency of, 24  
 orthodoxy, culture of, 8  
 practice as solving theoretical  
 problems, 7  
 problems with, 5–6  
 ‘theory’, etymology of, 7
- Precautionary principle in WTO  
 Appellate Body, 286, 293–94, 296  
 Predictability, state consent and, 115,  
 118, 128  
 Prescription, 436–37  
 ‘Presumed’ consent, 110–11, 129  
 Principle of Established Practices, 126

- Proportionality principle in WTO  
Appellate Body, 283–84, 286,  
287–88, 293, 294–95, 296
- Prussia  
interstate disputes involving in  
Weimar Republic, 511–13  
preeminence of in German  
Empire, 512
- Psychic aspect, 214–15, 227
- Pure Theory of Law* (Hart), 65
- Quotability, 180–81
- Rape, 408–9
- Rawls, John, 118–19, 405
- Raz, Joseph, 65, 383–84
- Reductivism, 8–11
- Refugee Convention (1951), 503
- Regional custom, 74–75
- Regulation of sources of  
international law  
generally, 137–38, 139–40, 159–60  
CIL as law on sources, 151–58  
custom as source of rules arising out  
of general practice accepted as law,  
141–51  
custom *in foro* versus custom *in pays*,  
154, 156–58, 159–60  
domestic courts and, 146–51  
ICJ Statute Art. 38 and, 137–38, 141,  
143–54, 159  
international courts and tribunals  
and, 143–44  
normativity and, 159  
*pacta sunt servanda* and, 142  
*petitio principii* objections, 141–42,  
148, 159  
rule of recognition and, 155–57  
skepticism regarding, 142  
state practice and, 144–51  
treaties and, 145
- Relativism, 39
- Religious freedom, 220
- Reprisals, 267
- ‘Residual negative principle’, 25
- Restrictive interpretation, 428–29
- ‘Reverse’ consistent interpretation, 368,  
491–92
- Ricci-Busatti, Arturo, 355
- Roberts, Anthea, 310, 314, 332, 340,  
463–64
- Robinson, Patrick, 266
- Rome (Ancient), XII Tables, 190–91
- Rome Statute (1998), 163–64, 354, 503
- Ross, Alf, 272
- Roughan, Nicole, 340–41
- ‘Rulebook conception’ of CIL, 376
- Rule of recognition, 69, 155–57, 372
- Rules  
crystallisation of (*See* Crystallisation  
of rules)  
custom as source of rules arising out  
of general practice accepted as law,  
141–51  
effect of interpretation on practice,  
192–93  
examples, interaction with, 191  
guidelines versus, 455  
interpretation of, 192–94  
practice versus, 191–92  
states and, 188
- The Rules of Interpretation of  
Customary International Law  
(TRICI-Law), 455, 466, 481, 504
- Russia, on crimes against  
humanity, 164
- Rwanda. *See* International Criminal  
Tribunal for Rwanda (ICTR)
- Ryngaert, Cedric, 368
- ‘Sacred trust of civilization’, 221–22
- Same sex relations, criminalisation of,  
324–25
- San Francisco Conference (1945), 321
- San Remo Manual on International  
Law applicable to Armed Conflicts  
at Sea (1990), 503–4
- Sassòli, Marco, 305, 309
- Scanlon, T.M., 107, 119, 126
- Scelle, Georges, 92, 157
- Schmalenbach, K., 352
- Schmitt, Carl, 5, 95
- Schomburg, Wolfgang, 408
- Schrödinger, Erwin, 87, 91
- ‘Schrödinger’s custom’, 88, 90–94, 101
- Schwarzenberger, Georg, 69–70

- Science, defined, 64
- Scientific method, defined, 64
- SCSL. *See* Special Court for Sierra Leone (SCSL)
- Seabed Disputes Chamber, 248
- Self-defence, 125
- Self-determination
- Chagos* Advisory Opinion and, 243–44, 269–70, 278, 428, 442–49
  - crystallisation of rules and, 269–70, 444–45
  - defined, 443
  - states, limiting to, 448
  - UN Charter and, 443, 444, 448
  - UN General Assembly Resolutions and, 269–70, 428, 445
  - uti possidetis juris* and, 447–48
- 'Self-fulfilling prophecy,' 57–58, 59–60, 61
- Self-production, 30
- Semiotics, 438
- Sender, O., 287
- Senegal
- Universal Periodic Review
  - Recommendations and, 328
  - violence against women in, 328
- Separate legal order, CIL as, 27
- Serbia, Constitution, 82
- Shahabuddeen, Mohamed, 420–21
- Shaw, Malcolm, 94, 96, 334
- Sierra Leone
- non-state armed groups (NSAGs) in, 316
  - Special Court for Sierra Leone (SCSL) (*See* Special Court for Sierra Leone (SCSL))
- Silence
- absence distinguished, 75–76
  - argument from, 75–76, 78, 80–81
  - richness of, 80
- Si loqui debuisset ac potuisset*, 72–73
- Simma, Bruno, 78, 93
- Sinclair, I., 142
- Single source of CIL, 27–28
- Skillen, JW, 207, 212
- Sivakumaran, Sandesh, 310, 314
- Slavery, 106
- Social reality, CIL grounded in, 29–31, 35–36, 38
- Social science, law as
- generally, 64, 67–68
  - falsification test and, 65
  - lack of testability, 66
  - lack of traditional method in, 65–66
  - narrow subject matter of law and, 65–66
  - normative nature of law and, 64–65, 66–67
  - quasi-logical nature of law and, 66, 67
- Somek, A., 100
- Sørensen, Max, 71–72, 80, 409–10
- Sources of international law
- assumptions regarding, 139
  - CIL as law on sources, 151–58
  - custom as source of legal obligation, 88–90
  - defined, 138
  - doctrine of sources, 30, 372
  - regulation of (*See* Regulation of sources of international law)
  - single source of CIL, 27–28
- South Africa
- apartheid in, 221–23
  - statutory interpretation in, 490
- South Korea
- comfort women and, 359, 364–65
  - constitution, 365
  - interpretation of CIL in, 359, 364–65, 367
  - on state practice, 150
- Sovereignty
- CIL and, 188
  - non-state armed groups (NSAGs) and, 302–3
  - state consent and, 117
  - state practice and, 387
- Spain, Universal Periodic Review
- Recommendations and, 328–29
- Special Court for Sierra Leone (SCSL)
- actus reus* in, 52–53
  - misinterpretation of CIL and, 52–53
  - non-state armed groups (NSAGs) and, 301
  - Taylor* case, 52–53
- Special Rapporteur, 14, 46, 142



- Speech acts, 16
- SPS Agreement (1995), 286, 293
- SS Lotus (France v. Turkey)*. *See Lotus case* (PCIJ 1927)
- State consent
- challenges of CIL, 106, 108–9
  - ‘chronological paradox’, 110
  - coerced consent to peace treaties, 122, 134
  - consent-based CIL as superior to treaties, 124–26
  - coordination for mutual gain and, 116–17
  - defence of, 108, 113–14, 127–28
  - diplomatic immunity and, 125
  - excusing conditions, 121–22
  - expectations, inducing, 119–20, 123–27
  - hypothetical consent, 106–7
  - ILC Draft Conclusions and, 111, 112, 130–31, 133
  - ILC Identification of Customary Law Project and, 108
  - impossibility of, 127
  - impracticality of, 127
  - individuals acting on behalf of state and, 109–10
  - jus cogens* and, 106, 112, 123, 134–35, 136
  - material aspects of domain of valid treaties, 123
  - moral norms versus, 135–36
  - as necessary normative basis of CIL, 109–11
  - non-consent based CIL versus, 108, 112, 134
  - in non-democratic states, 118, 122
  - nondomination and, 114, 118, 127–28
  - non-manipulation principle, 107–8, 119, 123–24, 127
  - opinio juris* and, 129–33
  - pacta sunt servanda* and, 125, 134
  - predictability and, 115, 118, 128
  - pre-existing norms, 121
  - ‘presumed’ consent, 110–11, 129
  - procedural aspects of becoming bound by consenting to treaties, 121–22
  - self-defence and, 125
  - sovereignty and, 117
  - status equality and, 115–16, 118, 128
  - strategic control over future and, 114–15, 118, 128
  - ‘tacit’ consent, 110–11, 129
  - transaction costs and, 123
  - treaties, normative bases for legitimate authority of consent and, 118–20
  - VCLT and, 107, 110, 112, 121, 134
  - voluntariness, 111
- Statehood, incidents of, 442
- State immunity, 247, 425–27, 499–501
- State interests
- generally, 88
  - constitutionalist arguments regarding, 99–100
  - enumeration of, 96–97
  - existence of, 94–95
  - identification of CIL and, 100, 101
  - individuals acting on behalf of state and, 97–98
  - law creation and, 95–96
  - structural arguments regarding, 98–99
- State official immunity, 493–99
- State practice. *See also specific topic*
- absence in (*See Absence in CIL*)
  - in absence of *opinio juris*, 377
  - container/content duality (*See Container/content duality*)
  - convergence with *opinio juris*, 203–4
  - defined, 13–14, 370–71
  - differing interpretations of, 259
  - domestic courts and, 453
  - double meaning of, 13
  - dual inquiry into existence of, 237
  - as epistemic tool, 19–20
  - habit distinguished, 376–77, 383
  - ICJ and, 376–77
  - ICJ Statute Art. 38 and, 144–51, 235
  - ICRC and, 13, 267
  - ICTY, relation of *opinio juris* to state practice in, 53–55, 267–68
  - identification of, 88–89
  - ILA on, 380–81
  - ILC and, 181, 376–77

- ILC Draft Conclusions and, 58  
 ILC Identification of Customary Law Project and, 203–4  
 inductive reasoning and, 256–57  
 as intellectual construct, 261  
 international relations theory and, 94  
 invariable pattern and, 378–79  
 as law-creating element, 18  
 as law-identifying element, 18  
 legal obligation and, 377–78  
 misinterpretation of versus misinterpretation of CIL, 48–49  
 as network of reasons, 382–85  
 non-state armed groups (NSAGs) and, 313  
 normative nature of, 370–71, 379–81, 392  
 norms, based on, 386–88  
*opinio juris* versus, 13, 14  
 regulation of sources of international law and, 137–38, 144–51  
 relation to *opinio juris*, 53–55  
 social reality, as grounded in, 29–31  
 sovereignty and, 387  
 subjective nature of, 261–62  
 UN General Assembly Resolutions and, 269–70  
 universal methodology for ascertaining, myth of, 260–61  
 Universal Periodic Review Recommendations and, 332–35  
 usage distinguished, 376–77  
 verbal practice (*See* Verbal practice)
- State responsibility. *See* Articles on Responsibility of States for Internationally Wrongful Acts (ARSIWA)
- States  
 families compared, 187, 189  
 primacy of CIL over, 189  
 rules and, 188
- Status equality, state consent and, 115–16, 118, 128
- Steinberg, Richard, 95–96
- Strategic control over future, state consent and, 114–15, 118, 128
- Strategic formalism, 194–96, 202
- Strathern, Margaret, 437–38
- Strati, A., 145
- Study Group on Content and Evolution of the Rules of Interpretation, 461
- Suarez, F., 110–11
- Submarine warfare, 215–16
- Substantive norms, 23
- Sudan  
 non-state armed groups (NSAGs) in, 317  
 People's Liberation Army, 317  
 People's Liberation Movement, 318
- Sunstein, Cass R., 263–64
- Sur, S., 138, 151–52, 153, 349, 369, 396, 401
- Switzerland  
 autonomous interpretation of CIL in, 494  
 interpretation of CIL in, 360  
 state official immunity and, 494  
 on state practice, 150  
 war crimes and, 494
- Syllogistic fallacy, 82–83
- Systemic integration, 358–59, 368
- Systemic interpretation, 475, 479, 491, 505
- 'Tacit' consent, 110–11, 129
- Taliban, 317
- Talmon, Stefan, 234, 332, 486
- Tams, C. J., 158, 234
- Tanaka, Kōtarō, 223, 259, 357
- Targeted killing of terrorists, 466–70
- Teleological argumentation, 74, 85
- Teleological interpretation, 359, 365–66, 469–71, 475, 479
- Temporality of CIL, 36–37
- Territorial enkapsis, 213
- Territorial tort exception, 242–43, 425–27
- Teson, Fernando, 40, 58, 59, 343
- Theory of entities  
 generally, 205, 226–27  
 founding function, 211  
 modal aspects of reality compared, 211–12  
 qualifying function, 211
- Third United Nations Conference on the Law of the Sea (1973), 419–20

- Third World Approaches to International Law (TWAAIL), state consent and, 126, 128
- Thirlway, Hugh, 192, 195–96, 302, 323
- Timeline of CIL, 459
- Tolkien, J. R. R., 435
- Tomka, Peter, 85–86, 253
- Tomuschat, C., 152
- Toope, Stephen, 341
- Torture, 106, 123, 179–80, 268, 324, 405
- Torture Convention (1984), 268, 328
- Trachtman, Joel, 335
- Traditional method of ascertaining CIL  
 ICJ ignoring, 234  
 in international courts and tribunals, 235–39  
 two-factor formula, 235–36
- Transaction costs, 123
- Transparency in CIL, 434–35
- Treaties. *See also specific treaty*  
 bilateral investment treaties (BITs), 244–45  
 CIL distinguished, 439–40  
 coerced consent to peace treaties, 122, 134  
 consent-based CIL as superior to, 124–26  
 container/content duality and, 372–73  
 context of, 412  
 domestic courts, treaty interpretation and, 453  
 evolutive interpretation of, 390–91  
 ICJ, treaty interpretation in, 43  
 ICJ locating CIL in, 242–44  
 ICJ Statute Art. 38, application of, 145  
 ICTY, treaty interpretation in, 407–9  
 identification and interpretation as distinct processes, 420–21  
 ILC Draft Conclusions and, 363  
 international courts and tribunals locating CIL in, 239–40, 241–46  
 interpretation of, 44, 432  
 interpretation of CIL, treaty interpretation distinguished, 394, 407–13, 429, 454, 456  
 intersection with CIL, 219  
 investor–state dispute settlement locating CIL in, 244–46  
 material aspects of domain of valid treaties, 123  
 modification of, 362–63  
 normative bases for legitimate authority of consent and, 118–20  
 procedural aspects of becoming bound by consenting to, 121–22  
 regulation of sources of international law and, 145  
 revision of, 362–63  
*travaux préparatoires*, 44, 409–10, 411, 412–13  
 treaty norms, 485  
 UN Security Council Resolutions compared, 484  
 use of CIL in treaty interpretation, 410–12  
 WTO Appellate Body, treaty interpretation in, 279–82
- Treaty norms, 485
- Treaty of London (1930), 216
- ‘True positives’ of interpretation of CIL in domestic courts, 481–82, 492–504
- Truyol y Serra, A., 138, 139
- Tunkin, G. I., 139
- Turkey, on crimes against humanity, 164
- TWAAIL (Third World Approaches to International Law), state consent and, 126, 128
- Two-dimensional temporality of CIL, 36–37
- Two-factor formula  
 ICJ and, 357  
 in ICJ Statute Art. 38, 44–46, 277, 399  
 identification of CIL and, 226, 235–36, 273–74  
 ILC and, 226, 235–36  
 in ILC Draft Conclusions, 259–60, 277, 322–23  
 interpretation of CIL and, 347, 348–49  
 in *Jurisdictional Immunities of the State* case, 44–46, 242–43  
 in *Nicaragua* case, 44–46, 357

- in *North Sea Continental Shelf* case, 44–46, 257, 259, 273, 357
- opinio juris* (See *Opinio juris*)
- state practice (See *State practice*)
- Uganda, non-state armed groups (NSAGs) in, 316
- Ulpian, 421–22
- Ultra vires*, legal fiction and, 198
- Uncertain nature of CIL, 433–34
- UNCLOS. See *Convention on the Law of the Sea (UNCLOS) (1982)*
- Unger, R., 39
- Unilateral acts of states
  - ICJ on, 353
  - ILC on, 353, 456–57
  - interpretation of CIL and, 353, 456–57
- Unilateral enkapsis, 213
- Unitary nature of CIL, 313–14
- United Kingdom
  - Chagos Islands and, 435–37 (See also *Chagos Advisory Opinion (ICJ 2019)*)
  - statutory interpretation in, 490
- United Nations. See also *specific Convention*
- Charter (See *United Nations Charter (1945)*)
- Commission on Human Rights, 321, 327
- General Assembly Resolutions (See *United Nations General Assembly Resolutions*)
- Human Rights Council (See *Human Rights Council (HRC)*)
- on *opinio juris*, 417
- Security Council Resolutions (See *United Nations Security Council Resolutions*)
- Sixth Legal Committee, 175, 250, 252
- Third United Nations Conference on the Law of the Sea, 419–20
- United Nations Charter (1945)
  - adoption of, 144
  - ILC and, 169
  - Italian case law and, 366
  - obligations of members under, 484
  - self-defence and, 125
  - self-determination and, 443, 444, 448
  - UN Security Council Resolutions and, 484
  - use of force, prohibition on, 23
- United Nations General Assembly Resolutions
  - Chagos Islands and, 243–44, 269–70, 436
  - custom and, 321–22
  - Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples (1960), 326
  - ICJ and, 322, 343
  - opinio juris* and, 81
  - reprisals and, 267
  - self-determination and, 269–70, 428, 445
  - state practice and, 269–70
- Universal Periodic Review Recommendations and, 327, 331–33
- United Nations Security Council Resolutions
  - ICTY and, 264
  - Resolution 1244 (1999), 82
  - treaties compared, 484
  - UN Charter and, 484
  - VCLT and, 482–85
- United States
  - authoritative written documents, interpreting CIL norms in, 502–3
  - autonomous interpretation of CIL in, 494–95
  - Bush Doctrine, 57
  - Chagos Advisory Opinion* and, 443
  - corporate liability, 489–90
  - Foreign Sovereign Immunities Act, 495
  - Germany, occupation of, 516
  - ICJ Statute Art. 38, application in, 146–47
  - identification of CIL versus interpretation of CIL in, 489–90
  - interpretation of CIL in, 360
  - Offenses Clause, 489
  - piracy and, 502–3
  - state official immunity and, 494–95

- United States (cont.)
  - statutory interpretation in, 490
  - torture and, 57
- Universal Periodic Review
  - Recommendations
    - generally, 321, 322
    - categories of, 327–29
    - child marriage and, 342–43
    - communication of state positions on, 333–34
    - constructivist interpretation of CIL and, 344
    - corporal punishment and, 337–38
    - criticisms of framework, 343–44
    - domestic violence and, 320–21
    - empiricist interpretation of CIL and, 344
    - ILC and, 343
    - number of, 327
    - obligation and, 340–43
    - ‘paradigm shift’ in, 343
    - quantification of, 336–40
    - rationalist interpretation of CIL and, 344
    - realist interpretation of CIL and, 344
    - rejection of, 335
    - rules, accepted Recommendations as, 336–40
    - state behaviour, effect on, 326–32
    - state practice, accepted
      - Recommendations and, 332–35
    - UN General Assembly Resolutions and, 327, 331–33
    - as verbal practice, 333
  - Unwritten nature of CIL, objection to interpretability based on, 349–53, 399, 456–57
  - Uruguay
    - corporal punishment and, 338
    - Universal Periodic Review
      - Recommendations and, 338
  - Usage, state practice distinguished, 376–77
  - Use of force
    - ICJ and, 220
    - prohibition on, 23
    - United Nations Charter, prohibition under, 23
  - Usus*, 15–17, 53–54, 214–15, 267, 380
  - Ut i possidetis juris*, 23, 74, 437, 439, 447–48, 457–58
  - Ut res magis valeat quam pereat*, 89, 281–82, 357, 360, 365
  - van Steenberghe, Raphaël, 311
  - van Woudenberg, 204, 211
  - de Vattel, Emer, 95, 421–22
  - VCLT. *See* Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties (VCLT) (1969)
  - Verbal practice
    - generally, 11, 149
    - acceptance of, 12–13
    - ‘battlefield practice’ versus, 12
    - custom and, 14–16
    - doctrinal objections to, 13–14
    - ICRC on, 12
    - ILC and, 12, 13
    - speech acts and, 16
    - theoretical objections to, 14–16
    - Universal Periodic Review
      - Recommendations as, 333
  - Verdier, P. H., 52
  - Verdross, Alfred, 27, 153, 158
  - Vienna Convention on Consular Relations (VCCR) (1961), 496
  - Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations (VCDR) (1961), 498
  - Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties (VCLT) (1969)
    - generally, 432, 454, 456, 485
    - applicability beyond treaties covered by, 482–85
    - Austria and, 527, 529
    - codification of rules of interpretation, 402, 407, 415
    - construction versus interpretation, 403
    - crystallisation of rules and, 42
    - domestic courts and, 462, 494, 495–96, 497, 500, 501, 502, 503
    - evolutive interpretation and, 391
    - identification of CIL versus interpretation of CIL, 487
    - ILC and, 162, 168, 179
    - interpretation of CIL and, 42, 350, 364, 397

- legal interpretation and, 357
- oral agreements and, 350–52
- pacta sunt servanda* and, 112, 121, 134
- state consent and, 107, 110, 112, 121, 134
- successive treaties, application of, 42–43
- techniques of interpretation and, 421
- UN Security Council Resolutions and, 482–85
- use of CIL in treaty interpretation, 411
- WTO Appellate Body and, 279–82, 286, 287, 295
- Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties between States and International Organizations or Organizations (not in force), 422–23, 483
- Vietnam
  - National Liberation Front, 305
  - non-state armed groups (NSAGs) in, 305
- Villiger, Mark, 12–13
- Virally, M., 140
- de Vitoria, Francisco, 223
- Voeten, E., 52
- Vohrah, Lal Chand, 265–66
- Voyiakis, Emmanuel, 340
  
- Waibel, Michael, 263
- War crimes, 220, 494
- Watts, A., 141, 148, 153
- Weimar Republic
  - generally, 508
  - application of CIL in, 510–11, 513–14, 516, 528
  - Baden, interstate disputes involving, 512–13
  - Bremen, interstate disputes involving, 511–12
  - Brunswick, interstate disputes involving, 512
  - Constitution of 1919, 509–16, 517, 526–27, 528
  - friendly co-existence of states in, 514–16
  - historical background, 509
  - interstate disputes in, 511–14
  - limited sovereignty of states in, 514–15
  - Lübeck, interstate disputes involving, 512
  - Mecklenburg–Strelitz, interstate disputes involving, 512
  - Prussia, interstate disputes involving, 511–13
  - Reichsverwaltungsgericht* (Fedreal Administrative Court) (proposed), 510
  - Staatgerichtshof für das deutsche Reich* (RStGH) (Federal State Court), 508, 509–16, 528
  - Thuringia, interstate disputes involving, 512
  - Württemberg, interstate disputes involving, 512–13
- Weiss, Edith Brown, 172
- West Germany
  - generally, 508
  - application of CIL in, 521–22, 523–24, 528
  - Baden–Württemberg, creation of, 516–19
  - Basic Law, 517–20, 526–27
  - Bavaria, interstate disputes involving, 520–21
  - Bundesverfassungsgericht* (BVerfG) (Federal Constitutional Court), 508, 516–24, 528
  - Coburg, interstate dispute regarding, 520–21
    - as continuation of Reich, 523
    - creation of states in, 517
    - East Germany, treaty with, 522–24
    - French occupation zone, 516
    - interstate disputes in, 516–21
    - Thuringia, interstate disputes involving, 520–21
    - US occupation zone, 516
- Windsor, M., 193
- Withhold/deny fallacy, 79
- Wittgenstein, Ludwig, 62–63, 191
- Wolff, Christian, 98–99
- Wolfke, Karol, 15, 299

- Wood, Michael C.  
 on assertion, 287  
 on identification of CIL, 233–34  
 ILC and, 168  
 law-identification versus law-creating, 24, 46  
 pragmatic view of CIL and, 4, 5–8  
 on regulation of sources of CIL, 138, 142  
 on VCLT, 484  
 verbal practice and, 12–13, 14
- World Trade Organization (WTO)  
 Appellate Body  
 generally, 278–79, 296–97  
 absence of decision on CIL in, 249  
 Agreement on Textiles (1995), 294  
 Agreement on the Application of Sanitary and Phytosanitary Measures (SPS) (1995), 286, 293  
 ARSIWA and, 282–85, 286, 287  
 assertion versus identification, 287  
 ‘boundaries’ of interpretation of CIL in, 292–96  
*Brazil – Desiccated Coconut* case, 281  
*China – Publications and Audiovisual Products* case, 282  
*de facto* precedent, problem of adopting, 247  
 Dispute Settlement Body, 172–73  
 Dispute Settlement Understanding (DSU), 279–80, 281, 289, 295  
*EC – Hormones* case, 282, 286, 293  
*EC and Certain Member States – Large Civil Aircraft* case, 282, 285, 286  
 good faith principle in, 295  
 hesitancy in identification of CIL in, 286–87  
 ICJ as authority for CIL in, 247, 280, 283, 287, 296  
 interpretation of CIL in, 458
- Japan – Alcoholic Beverages II* case, 281–82  
 jurisdiction, 278, 289–92  
 limited areas of identification of CIL in, 285–86  
 methodology of identification of CIL in, 279  
*opinio juris* and, 296  
 precautionary principle in, 249, 286, 293–94, 296  
 proportionality principle in, 283–84, 286, 287–88, 293, 294–95, 296  
 SPS Agreement (1995), 286, 293  
 state responsibility and, 282–85  
 treaty interpretation in, 279–82  
 trends in identification of CIL in, 285–88
- US – Carbon Steel* case, 281  
*US – Cotton Yarn* case, 287–88, 294  
*US – Definitive Anti-Dumping and Countervailing Duties on Certain Products from China* case, 284–85, 286  
*US – Gasoline* case, 280–81  
*US – Line Pipe* case, 283–84, 294–95  
*US – Softwood Lumber IV* case, 281  
 use for interpretation versus application of CIL in, 288–89, 292–96  
 VCLT and, 279–82, 286, 287, 295
- WTO Appellate Body. *See* World Trade Organization (WTO) Appellate Body
- Yugoslavia. *See* International Criminal Tribunal for the former Yugoslavia (ICTY)
- Yusuf, Abdulqawi Ahmed, 78
- Zander, J., 294