

Cambridge University Press  
978-1-316-51593-8 — The Hughes Court  
Mark V. Tushnet  
Frontmatter  
[More Information](#)

## THE HUGHES COURT

*The Hughes Court: From Progressivism to Pluralism, 1930–1941* describes the closing of one era in constitutional jurisprudence and the opening of another. This comprehensive study of the Supreme Court from 1930 to 1941 – when Charles Evans Hughes was chief justice – shows how nearly all justices, even the most conservative, accepted the broad premises of a Progressive theory of government and the Constitution. The Progressive view gradually increased its hold throughout the decade, but at its end, interest-group pluralism began to influence the law. By 1941, constitutional and public law was discernibly different from what it had been in 1930, but there was no sharp or instantaneous Constitutional Revolution in 1937 despite claims to the contrary. This study supports its conclusions by examining the Court's work in constitutional law, administrative law, the law of justiciability, civil rights and civil liberties, and statutory interpretation.

Mark V. Tushnet is the William Nelson Cromwell Professor of Law emeritus at Harvard Law School. After graduating from Harvard College and Yale Law School, he served as law clerk to Supreme Court Justice Thurgood Marshall. He has written widely on constitutional theory, comparative constitutional law, and US legal and constitutional history. His book *The NAACP's Legal Strategy against Segregated Education* won the Littleton Griswold Prize awarded by the American Historical Association.

THE OLIVER WENDELL HOLMES DEVISE  
HISTORY OF THE SUPREME COURT  
OF THE UNITED STATES

---

General Editor: MAEVA MARCUS

---

VOLUME I, *Antecedents and Beginnings to 1801*, by Julius Goebel, Jr.

VOLUME II, *Foundations of Power: John Marshall, 1801–1815*, by George L. Haskins and Herbert A. Johnson

VOLUMES III–IV, *The Marshall Court and Cultural Change, 1815–1835*, by G. Edward White

VOLUME V, *The Taney Period, 1836–1864*, by Carl B. Swisher

VOLUME VI, *Reconstruction and Reunion, 1864–1888, Part One A*, by Charles Fairman

VOLUME VI, *Reconstruction and Reunion, 1864–1888, Part One B*, by Charles Fairman

VOLUME VII, *Reconstruction and Reunion, 1864–1888, Part Two*, by Charles Fairman

SUPPLEMENT TO VOLUME VII, *Five Justices and the Electoral Commission of 1877*, by Charles Fairman

VOLUME VIII, *Troubled Beginnings of the Modern State, 1888–1910*, by Owen M. Fiss

VOLUME IX, *The Judiciary and Responsible Government, 1910–1921*, by Alexander M. Bickel and Benno C. Schmidt, Jr.

VOLUME X, *The Ambivalent Construction of Modernity, 1921–1930*, by Robert C. Post

VOLUME XI, *The Hughes Court: From Progressivism to Pluralism, 1930–1941*, by Mark V. Tushnet

VOLUME XII, *The Birth of the Modern Constitution: The United States Supreme Court, 1941–1953*, by William W. Wiecek

Cambridge University Press  
978-1-316-51593-8 — The Hughes Court  
Mark V. Tushnet  
Frontmatter  
[More Information](#)



THE  
Oliver Wendell Holmes  
DEVISE

---

HISTORY OF  
THE SUPREME COURT  
OF THE UNITED STATES

VOLUME XI

Cambridge University Press  
978-1-316-51593-8 — The Hughes Court  
Mark V. Tushnet  
Frontmatter  
[More Information](#)

---

THE OLIVER WENDELL HOLMES DEVISE

History of the  
SUPREME COURT  
of the United States

---

Cambridge University Press  
978-1-316-51593-8 — The Hughes Court  
Mark V. Tushnet  
Frontmatter  
[More Information](#)



---

VOLUME XI  
The Hughes Court  
From Progressivism to Pluralism,  
1930–1941

---

Mark V. Tushnet  
*Harvard Law School, Massachusetts*



Cambridge University Press  
978-1-316-51593-8 — The Hughes Court  
Mark V. Tushnet  
Frontmatter  
[More Information](#)

## CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom  
One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA  
477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia  
314-321, 3rd Floor, Plot 3, Splendor Forum, Jasola District Centre,  
New Delhi - 110025, India  
103 Penang Road, #05-06/07, Visioncrest Commercial, Singapore 238467

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of  
education, learning, and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

[www.cambridge.org](http://www.cambridge.org)  
Information on this title: [www.cambridge.org/9781316515938](http://www.cambridge.org/9781316515938)  
DOI: 10.1017/9781009031141

© The Oliver Wendell Holmes Devise 2021

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception  
and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements,  
no reproduction of any part may take place without the written  
permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2021

Printed in the United Kingdom by TJ Books Limited, Padstow Cornwall

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library.

*Library of Congress Cataloguing-in-Publication Data*

NAMES: Tushnet, Mark V., 1945– author.

TITLE: The Hughes Court : from progressivism to pluralism,  
1930 to 1941 / Mark V. Tushnet.

DESCRIPTION: Cambridge, United Kingdom ; New York, NY : Cambridge  
University Press, 2022. | Series: Oliver Wendell Holmes Devise history  
of the Supreme Court of the United States | Includes index.

IDENTIFIERS: LCCN 2021043532 (print) | LCCN 2021043533 (ebook) |  
ISBN 9781316515938 (hardback) | ISBN 9781009031141 (ebook)

SUBJECTS: LCSH: United States. Supreme Court – History. | Political questions  
and judicial power – United States – History. | Constitutional history – United States. |  
Progressivism (United States politics) – History – 20th century. | Legal polycentricity –  
United States – History – 20th century. | Hughes, Charles Evans, 1862–1948. | BISAC:

HISTORY / United States / 20th Century

CLASSIFICATION: LCC KF8742 .T873 2022 (print) | LCC KF8742 (ebook) |  
DDC 347.73/2609–dc23/eng/20211004

LC record available at <https://lccn.loc.gov/2021043532>

LC ebook record available at <https://lccn.loc.gov/2021043533>

ISBN 978-1-316-51593-8 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of  
URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication  
and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain,  
accurate or appropriate.

## *Contents*

<i>Acknowledgments</i>	<i>page</i> x
<i>Preface</i>	xiii
<i>Table of Cases</i>	xvii
INTRODUCTION	I
PART I: THE OPENING YEARS	
SECTION A: SETTING THE STAGE	
1 PERSONNEL AND ORGANIZING IDEAS	II
2 FORMULAS AND CONCEPTIONS OF BASIC NEEDS: AN OVERVIEW	57
3 THE COMPLEX WORLD OF SIMPLE FORMULAS	75
4 FORMULAS AND CONSIDERATIONS OF BASIC NEEDS IN BUSINESS REGULATION CASES	87
SECTION B: THE FALSE DAWN	
5 <i>BLAISDELL</i>	119
6 <i>NEBBIA</i>	137
7 THE GOLD CLAUSE CASES	146
SECTION C: CRISIS	
8 BLACK MONDAY, MAY 27, 1935	167
9 WINTER 1935–36	201
10 SPRING 1936	223
11 THE COURT-PACKING PLAN	250
12 RESOLUTION	270
13 WAS THERE A “SWITCH IN TIME”?	296

## CONTENTS

## SECTION D: THE NEW CONSTITUTIONAL REGIME

14	AFTER THE STORM: PERSONNEL AND ORGANIZATION	311
15	CONSOLIDATING THE NEW CONSTITUTIONAL REGIME: THE FIRST PLANK – THE SCOPE OF NATIONAL POWER	342
16	CONSOLIDATING THE NEW CONSTITUTIONAL REGIME: THE SECOND PLANK – STATE REGULATION OF BUSINESS	361
17	CONSOLIDATING THE NEW CONSTITUTIONAL REGIME: THE THIRD AND FOURTH PLANKS – LABOR LAW AND INTERGOVERNMENTAL IMMUNITY	389
18	TOWARD A THEORY OF PLURALISM	408

## PART II: CONTINUITIES

## SECTION A: ADMINISTRATIVE LAW

19	ENVISIONING ADMINISTRATIVE LAW	423
20	CONSTITUTIONAL LIMITATIONS ON AGENCIES	432
21	THE PRESIDENT'S ROLE	472
22	THE COURTS' ROLE IN ADMINISTRATIVE LAW	512

## SECTION B: CIVIL LIBERTIES AND CIVIL RIGHTS

23	THE UNCERTAINTIES OF THEORY	551
24	PROGRESSIVISM, PROHIBITION, AND ORGANIZED CRIME: CRIMINAL LAW IN THE 1930S	565
25	RACE, CRIMINAL JUSTICE, AND “LABOR DEFENSE”	610
26	RACE AND STRATEGIC LITIGATION	648
27	THE HUGHES COURT AND RADICAL POLITICAL DISSENT	679
28	THE HUGHES COURT AND RADICAL RELIGIOUS DISSENT	726

## SECTION C: JUSTICIABILITY

29	BASIC CONCEPTS OF JUSTICIABILITY	747
30	SOVEREIGN IMMUNITY AND POLITICAL QUESTIONS	784
31	REGULATING ACCESS TO THE NATIONAL COURTS	803
32	<i>ERIE</i>	851
33	<i>ERIE</i> 'S LEGACY	875
34	FORM AND STYLE IN STATUTORY INTERPRETATION	915

## PART III: NEW APPROACHES BEGIN TO EMERGE

## SECTION A: ECONOMICS

35	THE SUPREME COURT AND NEW DEAL ECONOMICS	941
----	--	-----

## CONTENTS

36 REGULATING STRIKES	986
37 REGULATING THE NLRB	1006
38 THE LABOR–ANTITRUST INTERFACE	1028
SECTION B: CIVIL LIBERTIES AFTER 1937	
39 THE JUSTICES AND THE THEORIES	1043
40 DEMONSTRATIONS, PICKETING, AND FIRST AMENDMENT THEORIES	1060
41 THE JEHOVAH'S WITNESSES AND FIRST AMENDMENT THEORIES	1116
42 CONCLUSION	1142
<i>Historiographical Essay</i>	1146
<i>Index</i>	1165

## Acknowledgments

I THANK HARVARD Law School's deans during my time there – Elena Kagan, Martha Minow, and John Manning – for the support they gave me as I worked on this project. I presented portions of the manuscript at workshops at Harvard Law School, Georgetown University Law Center, and the University of Minnesota Law School. Versions of some chapters of this book were published as “Administrative Law in the 1930s” (2011) 60 *Duke Law Journal*: 1565–637, and “The Hughes Court and Radical Dissent: The Case of Dirk De Jonge and Angelo Herndon” (2012) 28 *Georgia State University Law Review*: 333–77, after having been presented as lectures at those schools, and at which I received helpful comments. Daniel Ernst and L. Michael Seidman read more of the manuscript than anyone reasonably should have, and I greatly appreciate the comments they gave me. I have learned an enormous amount about the history of administrative law from Professor Ernst, and an equally enormous amount about constitutional law from Professor Seidman, and some of their thinking is reflected in the book. I am sure that I have forgotten other presentations I made of portions of the book, and comments I received from numerous colleagues – including, but not limited to, John Coates, John Goldberg, and Vicki C. Jackson – and others whose comments are buried somewhere in my files. I express my gratitude to them all, named and unnamed, and offer my apologies to the latter.

Sarah Alexander, Melissa Alpert, Victoria Baranetsky, Stanley Chen, Gabriel Cohen, Alison Dame-Boyle, Brian Feinstein, Kristin Garcia, Medha-Kameswari Gargeya, Mark Hirschboeck, Jeffrey Hughes, Elizabeth McCaw, Ruofei Qu, Marie Rehg, James Sasso, Brett Stark, Edward Swidrski, Joshua Whitaker, and Yujing Yue provided valuable research assistance to me over the years, and I thank them for their contributions as well.

Finally, I thank the Oliver Wendell Holmes Devise Committee for choosing me as the author of this volume of the Holmes Devise History of the Supreme Court, and the general editor Maeva Marcus for her detailed comments on the entire

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

manuscript. I learned more than I can say from my work on the project, and regard it as the capstone of a career in the legal academy that has now ended.

*Mark Tushnet*  
February 6, 2021

Cambridge University Press  
978-1-316-51593-8 — The Hughes Court  
Mark V. Tushnet  
Frontmatter  
[More Information](#)

---

## *Preface*

I made many choices in writing this volume. This is a history of the Supreme Court during Chief Justice Hughes's tenure. The New Deal raised many constitutional questions that were mooted within the executive branch and Congress, but that did not get to the Supreme Court before Hughes's retirement (and sometimes not after that either). For example, the treatment of African Americans in various New Deal programs raises important constitutional questions, some of which were noted at the time. Racial matters also affected the structure of important New Deal statutes in ways that might raise constitutional concerns today. But, because the questions did not reach the Supreme Court, I do not discuss them here. An obvious exception to my focus on what happened at the Supreme Court is my discussion of the Court-packing plan – its origins and its fate in Congress. Even there, though, I do not offer a comprehensive account of all the political maneuvering associated with the plan. A slew of good secondary works lays out the day-by-day events, and I do not intend to replicate in detail what is known about how the plan developed and foundered.<sup>1</sup>

In addition, the Supreme Court is something of a “lagging indicator” for legal theory. It takes time for novel theoretical approaches to work their way into the legal culture to the point where they become available to Supreme Court justices. The Progressive theory of administrative law was well developed by 1930, and the Court’s administrative law decisions rested in part upon that theory. In contrast, theories of political pluralism had begun to flourish in political science departments but had not deeply penetrated law schools, much less the Supreme Court, by 1941, and only hints of those theories can be found in the cases. Perhaps even more dramatic, the jurisprudence known as American Legal Realism, given that name only in the 1930s, floated in the background, available only in headline to judges trained well before its advent. And, because it was critical of standard modes of legal thinking, it was likely to be resisted at least implicitly by most of the justices.

---

<sup>1</sup> Here too there are some exceptions, as in my discussion of the historiographical controversy about when Chief Justice Hughes visited Justice Owen Roberts (Chapter 13).

## PREFACE

The Supreme Court's work is not done by the justices alone. As Jeremy Bentham put it, in a critical tone, the law is made by "judge and company" – that is, by the judges and the lawyers who appear before them. The lawyering before the Court has received some attention in the literature on the events of 1936–37, as Chapter 13 explains. Broadening that focus to the Court's work as a whole, I have devoted reasonably systematic attention to the briefs upon which the justices necessarily relied in shaping their opinions.

In contrast, I do not often discuss the evolution of opinions within a justice's chambers. Justice Hugo Black's papers, for example, contain many pages of initial and revised drafts of his opinions, illustrating how he drafted, then modified, them before circulating them to his colleagues. This evolution can be a matter of great interest to biographers of individual justices, but it would distract from the story of the Court taken as a whole. And, given that intra-chambers material of this sort is available for some but not all the justices, including much of it would distort the picture we have of the Court itself.

The availability of inter-chambers material varies as well. For much of the decade Justice Harlan Fiske Stone's papers are substantially more complete than those of other justices, those of Justice Willis Van Devanter consist almost entirely of personal correspondence, and those of Justices Pierce Butler and Owen Roberts simply do not exist. I have tried to be attentive to the possibility that our understanding of the interactions among the justices might be distorted by the idiosyncrasies of the papers that are available – for example, the relative formality of the papers Hughes retained and the personal interests that shaped and are reflected in Stone's and Frankfurter's papers.

I discuss a rather large number of relatively small cases in some detail. Sometimes the cases fill in details of a picture sketched in the Court's major decisions, and seeing the picture can change the way we see the sketch. In addition, one of the book's themes, sometimes stated explicitly, is that the work of the Supreme Court is, well, work. The justices' experience was shaped by everything they did, not only by the cases that got the headlines. To capture that experience we must look at as much of the Court's work as feasible.

Despite my hope to be reasonably comprehensive in coverage, I devote no attention to one major area, the law of federal income taxation. Congress adopted a major revision of the income tax system in 1924, and cases raising questions about the new statute's interpretation filtered up to the Supreme Court through the mid-1930s. The 1924 Act undoubtedly was an important element as the nation's fiscal capacity became increasingly centered in the income tax, and the Hughes Court grappled with some basic issues in defining "income" for tax purposes. I found no substantial historical treatments of the Hughes Court's role in developing such issues or in contributing to the growth of national fiscal capacity,<sup>2</sup> and without guidance from such accounts I felt unable to offer a useful perspective on the Court's tax cases.

<sup>2</sup> One modest exception is Thomas Brennan, Lee Epstein, and Nancy Staudt, "Economic Trends and Judicial Outcomes: A Macrotheory of the Court" (2009) 58 *Duke Law Journal*: 1191–230, which argues that

the Court's members, viewing the Depression as the product of forces beyond the government's control, increased the rate at which they ruled in the government's favor in tax cases, compared to the prior decade in

## PREFACE

I did my best to adhere to the methodological prescription that if it happened after 1941, when Hughes retired, it did not happen. Of course, as Justice David Souter wrote about one of the cases conventionally taken to indicate the triumph of the Constitutional Revolution of 1937, the majority opinion “did not reject [the previous standard] in so many words . . . But we know what happened.”<sup>3</sup> Still, I have tried to avoid interpreting what happened before 1941 in light of what happened afterward, in an effort to see things as the justices, who did not then know what would happen, saw them.<sup>4</sup>

Part I proceeds largely chronologically, describing the Court’s jurisprudence in the first years of Hughes’s tenure, then the “false dawn” of the Court’s initial encounters with legislation responding to the economic crisis of the 1930s, before turning to the “crisis” of 1936–37. That discussion concludes with an examination of how much the Court’s jurisprudence changed – and did not change – in the crisis’s immediate aftermath.

Part II presents the material thematically overall, although within topics much of the presentation is chronological. When coupled with my aim to be reasonably comprehensive in my treatment of the Hughes Court’s work, the thematic organization presents significant expository difficulties. I have tried to reduce them to the extent possible, in part by presenting the origins, unfolding, and resolution of the crisis of 1935–37 at the book’s outset. But undoubtedly the organization leads to some repetition, at times because I have tried to make the work somewhat “modular,” in the sense that a reader interested only in a single topic can read the discussion of that topic without having read the rest of the book.

Finally, though I did substantial archival work, where there are good secondary accounts – for example, with respect to much that happened in 1936 and 1937, and in connection with several specific cases throughout Hughes’s tenure – I relied on those accounts for factual information unless my own archival research suggested some difficulties with the secondary treatments. Sometimes, in part to signal my appreciation of the authors’ own archival work, I have cited *their* presentation of archival material rather than citing the archival material directly, and in the course of doing so I occasionally (quite rarely) corrected typographical and transcription errors in the secondary sources without specifically noting my alterations.

A note on sources: Many of the newspaper, magazine, and law review sources cited in this book are available online, but in general I have provided citations only to the works themselves without providing links to the online versions. Some archival material has become available online since I began my research for this book. I have not tried systematically to provide links to such material, but occasionally have done so, in particular when revising and editing draft chapters, I found online versions of material I had originally cited directly to the archives. And, for all cited online materials I have created and provided perma-links to guard against link

---

which temporarily adverse economic conditions could be attributed to an incompetent government, which should not be rewarded with victories in tax cases.

<sup>3</sup> United States v. Lopez, 514 US 549, 615 (1995) (Souter, J., dissenting).

<sup>4</sup> But see Chapter 41 (discussing the aftermath of *Minersville Sch. Dist. v. Gobitis*, 310 US 586 (1940), and Chapter 22 (discussing the path toward the adoption of the Administrative Procedure Act in 1946).

## PREFACE

rot. Finally, I have done my best to verify all direct quotations as they appear in this volume, but constraints occasioned by the pandemic made it impossible to do so with respect to some sources, mostly the papers of Supreme Court justices. Librarians at Harvard Law School did a heroic job in finding sources not available online from which I quoted. More than a few errors of transcription as well as typographical errors in citations undoubtedly remain.

## *Table of Cases*

- Abrams v. United States, 250 US 616 (1919), 564, 681, 715  
Adair v. United States, 208 US 161 (1908), 990–91  
Adkins v. Children's Hospital, 261 US 525 (1923), 231, 232–33, 234, 235, 236, 251, 272–73, 297, 298–300, 304  
Aetna Life Ins. Co. v. Haworth, 300 US 227 (1937), 755, 826  
A.L.A. Schechter Poultry Corp. v. United States, 295 US 495 (1935), 173, 176, 190, 191, 192–96, 223, 224, 225, 226, 228–29, 230, 247, 251, 275–76, 277, 281, 285, 287, 299, 302, 304, 305, 306, 309, 357–58, 372, 394, 395, 440, 486, 496, 499, 770, 830, 877, 979, 983, 1152, 1154  
A.L.A. Schechter Poultry Corp., United States v., 76 F2d 617 (2nd Cir 1935), 193  
Alabama Power Co. v. Ickes, 302 US 464 (1938), 764, 769–71, 772–73, 777  
Alaska Packers Ass'n v. Industrial Accident Comm'n of California, 294 US 532 (1935), 262, 905–8  
Aldridge v. United States, 283 US 308 (1931), 567, 613–14  
Alexander Sprunt & Son, Inc. v. United States, 281 US 249 (1930), 764–66, 770  
Alston v. School Bd. of City of Norfolk, 112 F2d 992 (4th Cir), cert. den., 311 US 693 (1940), 667, 819  
Alton Railroad. *See* Railroad Retirement Bd. v. Alton R. Co.  
Amalgamated Utility Workers v. Consolidated Edison, 309 US 261 (1940), 1021–22  
American Federation of Labor v. National Labor Relations Bd., 308 US 401 (1940), 1014–16  
American Federation of Labor v. Swing, 312 US 321 (1941), 1057, 1110–12  
American Press Co. v. Grosjean, 10 F Supp 161 (DC La 1935), 719. *See also* Grosjean v. American Press Co. *for Supreme Court decision*  
American Steel Foundries v. Tri-City Trades Council, 257 US 184 (1921), 1079  
American Well Works v. Layne & Bowler Co., 241 US 257 (1916), 829  
Anderson, Indiana ex rel. v. Brand, 303 US 95 (1938), 404, 834, 836–39, 877  
Anderson, State ex rel. v. Brand, 214 Ind 347 (1937), 835–36

## TABLE OF CASES

- Anglo-Chilean Nitrate Sales Corp. v. Alabama, 288 US 218 (1933), 102  
 Anglo-Continentale Treuhand, A.G. v. St. Louis Southwestern Ry. Co., 81 F2d 11 (2nd Cir), cert. den., 298 US 655 (1936), 406  
 Apex Hosiery Co. v. Leader, 310 US 469 (1940), 1030–35, 1037, 1038, 1040  
 Appalachian Coals, Inc. v. United States, 288 US 344 (1933), 977–79, 980, 983  
 Ashby v. White, 92 ER 126 (1703), 801  
 Ashton v. Cameron County Dist., 298 US 513 (1936), 245–47, 248, 303  
 Ashwander v. Tennessee Valley Auth., 8 F Supp 893 (ND Ala 1934), 946  
 Ashwander v. Tennessee Valley Auth., 297 US 288 (1936), 757–62, 768, 769, 945–51  
 Associated Press v. National Labor Relations Bd., 301 US 103 (1937), 441, 723–25  
 Atchison, Topeka, & S F R Co. v. Gee, 139 F 583 (SD Ia 1905), 1079  
 Atchison, Topeka, & S F R Co. v. Matthews, 174 US 96 (1899), 43  
 Atchison, Topeka, & S F R Co. v. United States, 284 US 248 (1932), 91  
 Avery v. Alabama, 308 US 444 (1940), 634–35  
 Bailey v. Drexel Furniture Co., 259 US 20 (1922), 795  
 Bakelite Corp., Ex parte, 279 US 438 (1929), 160  
 Baldwin v. G.A.F. Seelig, Inc., 294 US 511 (1935), 264, 269  
 Baldwin v. Missouri, 281 US 586 (1930), 103  
 Baltimore & Ohio R. Co. v. Baugh, 149 US 368 (1893), 858–59, 860–61, 868–69  
 Baltimore & Ohio R. Co., United States v., 284 US 195 (1931), 95–96  
 Bank of New York & Trust Co., United States v., 77 F2d 866 (2nd Cir 1935), 504, 506  
 Bank of New York & Trust Co., United States v., 296 US 463 (1936), 504–6  
 Bank of United States v. Deveaux, 91 US (5 Cranch) 61 (1809), 853  
 Barnwell Bros. *See* South Carolina State Highway Dep’t v. Barnwell Bros., Inc.  
 Bartles v. Iowa, 262 US 404 (1923), 557  
 Beal v. Missouri Pacific R. Co., 108 F2d 898 (8th Cir 1940), 794  
 Beal v. Missouri Pacific R. Co., 312 US 45 (1941), 793–95  
 Bekins, United States v., 304 US 27 (1938), 247, 303  
 Belcher, United States v. (ND Ala 1934), 174–76, 302  
 Belmont, United States v., 85 F2d 542 (2nd Cir 1936), 506  
 Belmont, United States v., 301 US 24 (1937), 506–8, 511  
 Ben Avon. *See* Ohio Valley Water Co. v. Ben Avon Borough  
 Benson v. Crowell, 33 F2d 137 (SD Ala 1929), supplemented at 38 F2d 306 (SD Ala 1930), 453–54, 458, 459, 461, 462–64, 465–66, 468, 469–70. *See also* Crowell v. Benson for Supreme Court decision  
 Berger v. United States, 295 US 78 (1935), 607–9, 936–37  
 Berman v. United States, 302 US 211 (1937), 600  
 Bird v. St. Paul Fire & Marine Ins. Co., 224 NY 47 (1918), 830  
 Black & White Taxicab & Transfer Co. v. Brown & Yellow Taxicab & Transfer Co., 276 US 518 (1928), 859–60, 862, 864, 868–69, 872, 873  
 Blaisdell v. Home Building & Loan Ass’n, 189 Minn 422 (1933), 124, 125. *See also* Home Building & Loan Ass’n v. Blaisdell for Supreme Court decision  
 Bland v. United States, 42 F2d 842 (2nd Cir 1930), 730–31, 737

## TABLE OF CASES

- Block v. Hirsch, 256 US 135 (1921), 123  
 Board of County Comm'ners v. United States, 308 US 343 (1939), 883, 884–85  
 Board of Trustees v. United States, 289 US 48 (1933), 106  
 Borden's Farm Products Co., Inc. v. Ten Eyck, 297 US 251 (1936), 343  
 Bose Corp. v. Consumers Union of United States, Inc., 466 US 485 (1984), 458  
 Bradford Dyeing Ass'n, National Labor Relations Bd. v., 310 US 318 (1940),  
     1025–26, 1027  
 Bradford Elec. Light Co. v. Clapper, 51 F2d 992 (1st Cir 1931), 901–2  
 Bradford Elec. Light Co. v. Clapper, 286 US 145 (1932), 901–5, 906, 907, 908  
 Briggs v. Elliott, 103 F Supp 920 (EDSC 1952), 29  
 Briggs v. Elliott, 132 F Supp 776 (EDSC 1955), 29  
 Bronson v. Kinzie, 42 US (1 How) 311 (1843), 122, 161  
 Brooks v. United States, 267 US 432 (1925), 578  
 Browder v. United States, 312 US 335 (1941), 928–29, 937  
 Brown v. Board of Educ., 347 US 483 (1954), 29  
 Brown v. Mississippi, 297 US 278 (1936), 639–41, 759, 1052  
 Brown v. State, 158 So 339 (Miss 1935), 638  
 Brown v. State, 161 So 465 (Miss 1935), 638  
 Bruno v. United States, 308 US 287 (1939), 926–27  
 Buchanan v. Worley, 245 US 60 (1917), 555–56, 557  
 Buck v. Duncan, 32 F2d 366 (WD Mo 1929), 81  
 Buck v. Gallagher, 307 US 95 (1939), 329  
 Buck v. Jewell-LaSalle Realty Co., 283 US 191 (1931), 81–82  
 Bunting v. Oregon, 243 US 426 (1917), 231  
 Burford v. Sun Oil Co., 319 US 315 (1943), 387  
 Burke v. Kleiman, 277 Ill Ap 519 (1st Dist 1934), 806–7, 808, 809, 810  
 Burnet v. Coronado Oil & Gas Co., 285 US 393 (1932), 108, 109  
 Burroughs v. United States, 290 US 534 (1934), 265  
 Butler, United States v., 297 US 1 (1936), 205, 210–14, 215, 225, 246, 252, 281,  
     292, 301, 307, 336, 343–44, 350, 353, 599, 727, 944, 947, 951, 1044, 1061, 1155  
 Butler v. United States, 78 F2d 1 (1st Cir 1935), 210  
 California v. Grace Brethren Church, 457 US 393 (1982), 755–56  
 California v. Thompson, 313 US 109 (1941), 381–82  
 Callahan v. United States, 285 US 515 (1932), 594  
 Cantwell v. Connecticut, 310 US 296 (1940), 1095, 1119–23, 1124  
 Carlson v. California, 310 US 106 (1940), 1099  
 Carmichael v. Southern Coal & Coke Co., 301 US 495 (1937), 288–89, 292  
 Carolene Products Co., United States v., 7 F Supp 500 (SD Ill 1934), 410–11  
 Carolene Products Co., United States v., 304 US 144 (1938), 410–16, 418, 984,  
     1057–59, 1061, 1074, 1078, 1097, 1113–14, 1143, 1158  
 Carroll v. United States, 267 US 132 (1925), 578, 601, 602  
 Carter v. Carter Coal Co., 298 US 238 (1936), 223, 224–31, 277–78, 279, 280, 281,  
     282, 283, 305–7, 349, 355, 356, 357, 359, 394, 533, 1154, 1155, 1157, 1161,  
     1162  
 Casey v. United States, 276 US 413 (1928), 589

## TABLE OF CASES

- Chambers, United States v., 292 US 217 (1934), 262, 605  
 Chambers v. Florida, 309 US 227 (1940), 642–47  
 Chambers v. State, 167 So 697 (Fla 1936), 642  
 Champlin Refining Co. v. Corporation Comm'n, 286 US 210 (1932), 90  
 Chas. Wolff Packing Co. v. Industrial Court, 262 US 535 (1923), 66, 67, 1162  
 Chicago, Milwaukee, St. Paul & Pacific R. Co., United States v., 282 US 311 (1931), 95–96  
 Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific Ry. Co. v. United States, 284 US 80 (1931), 92–93  
 Chicago, St. Paul, Minneapolis & O. R. Co. v. Holmberg, 282 US 162 (1930), 98–99  
 Chicago Great Western R. Co., United States ex rel. v. Interstate Commerce Comm'n, 71 F2d 336 (DC Cir 1934), 767, 777  
 Chicago Great Western R. Co., United States ex rel. v. Interstate Commerce Comm'n, 294 US 50 (1935), 766–68  
 Chisholm v. Georgia, 2 US (2 Dall) 419 (1793), 784–85, 786  
 Cities Service Oil Co. v. Dunlap, 312 US 630 (1941), 887–88  
 Civil Rights Cases, 109 US 3 (1883), 650  
 Clark v. Paul Gray, Inc., 306 US 583 (1939), 327, 823–25  
 Clark v. United States, 72 F Supp 94 (Ct Cl 1947), 1066–67  
 Classic, United States v., 35 F Supp 66 (ED La 1940), 660–61  
 Classic, United States v., 313 US 299 (1941), 661–66  
 Clawans, In re, 69 NJ Super 373 (1961), 570  
 Cochran v. Louisiana State Bd. of Educ., 281 US 370 (1930), 78, 717  
 Cohens v. Virginia, 19 US (6 Wheat) 264 (1821), 790  
 Coleman v. City of Griffin, 189 SE 427 (Ga Ct App 1936), 1117–18  
 Coleman v. Miller, 307 US 433 (1939), 796–802  
 Colgate v. Harvey, 296 US 404 (1935), 6, 202–5, 361–62, 813  
 Colorado v. Symes, 286 US 510 (1932), 920–21  
 Columbian Enameling & Stamping Co., National Labor Relations Bd. v., 96 F2d 948 (7th Cir 1938), 1024  
 Columbian Enameling & Stamping Co., National Labor Relations Bd. v., 306 US 292 (1939), 1024–25  
 Congress of Industrial Orgs. v. Hague, 25 F Supp 127 (D NJ 1938), 1069. *See also Hague v. Congress of Industrial Orgs. for Supreme Court decision*  
 Connally v. General Construction Co., 269 US 385 (1926), 686  
 Connecticut General Life Ins. Co. v. Johnson, 303 US 77 (1938), 326–27, 368  
 Consolidated Edison Co. v. National Labor Relations Bd., 305 US 197 (1938), 390–94, 524–25, 547, 995, 1007–9  
 Constantine, United States v., 296 US 287 (1935), 201–2, 605  
 Continental Baking Co. v. Woodring, 286 US 352 (1932), 96, 99  
 Conway v. O'Brien, 312 US 492 (1941), 876–77  
 Cook v. United States, 288 US 102 (1933), 587–89  
 Coombes v. Getz, 285 US 434 (1932), 76–77  
 Cooper Corp., United States v., 312 US 600 (1941), 780–81  
 Coronado Coal Co. v. United Mine Workers, 268 US 295 (1925), 1030

## TABLE OF CASES

- Corrigan v. Buckley, 271 US 323 (1926), 651, 805–6
- Cox v. New Hampshire, 312 US 569 (1941), 327, 1123–25, 1141
- Coyle v. Smith, 221 US 559 (1911), 800
- Crawford v. United States, 212 US 183 (1909), 573, 574–75, 576, 577
- Crowell v. Benson, 285 US 22 (1932), 18, 450, 451–61, 520–21, 522, 524, 749, 1011, 1148
- Currin v. Wallace, 306 US 1 (1939), 348–49, 358, 359, 362
- Curry v. McCanless, 307 US 357 (1939), 365–66
- Curtiss-Wright Export Corp., United States v., 14 F Supp 230 (SD NY 1936), 496
- Curtiss-Wright Export Corp., United States v., 299 US 304 (1936), 496–502, 507, 508, 510, 511
- Danovitz v. United States, 281 US 389 (1930), 594
- Darby, United States v., 312 US 100 (1941), 304, 352–56, 359, 361, 362
- Davis, Commonwealth v., 39 N. 113 (Mass 1895), aff'd, 167 US 43 (1897), 1067, 1069, 1071–72, 1073, 1074, 1075
- De Jonge v. Oregon, 299 US 353 (1937), 270, 413, 606–7, 702–6, 713–14, 715–16, 1055, 1098, 1122
- Debs v. United States, 249 US 211 (1919), 680, 681–82
- Defore, People v., 242 NY 13 (1926), 1049
- Deitrick v. Greaney, 309 US 190 (1940), 883–84
- Dick v. New York Life Ins. Co., 359 US 437 (1959), 876–77
- Dillon v. Gloss, 256 US 368 (1921), 797, 798–99
- Dimick v. Scheidt, 293 US 474 (1935), 266
- DiSanto v. Pennsylvania, 273 US 34 (1927), 32–33, 371, 381–82
- District of Columbia v. Clawans, 300 US 617 (1937), 570–72
- Doyle v. Continental Ins. Co., 94 US 535 (1877), 738
- Dred Scott v. Sandford, 60 US 393 (1857), 163, 236, 948
- Driscoll v. Edison Light & Power Co., 307 US 104 (1939), 448–49
- Duke v. United States, 301 US 492 (1937), 919–20
- Duncan v. Louisiana, 391 US 145 (1968), 1056–57
- Duplex Printing Press Co. v. Deering, 254 US 443 (1921), 1029, 1079
- E.C. Knight, United States v., 156 US 1 (1895), 979, 1029
- Educational Films Corp. v. Ward, 282 US 379 (1931), 106, 107
- Edwards v. United States, 286 US 482 (1932), 510
- Electric Bond & Share Co. v. Securities and Exchange Comm'n, 303 US 419 (1938), 973–75
- Entick v. Carrington [1765] EWHC KB J 98, 599
- Erie R. Co. v. Duplak, 286 US 440 (1932), 876
- Erie R. Co. v. Tompkins, 90 F2d 603 (2nd Cir 1937), 865
- Erie R. Co. v. Tompkins, 304 US 64 (1938), 110, 375, 749, 761, 762, 763, 772, 777, 820, 827, 864–74, 875, 876–83, 884–85, 887–88, 890, 894–95, 901, 903–4, 908–10, 911, 912–14
- Evans v. Gore, 253 US 245 (1920), 402

## TABLE OF CASES

- Fainblatt, National Labor Relations Bd. v., 306 US 601 (1939), 306–7, 394–96, 1025–26, 1035
- Fansteel Metallurgical Co., National Labor Relations Bd. v., 306 US 240 (1930), 993–1001, 1002, 1033
- Farmers Loan & Trust Co. v. Minnesota, 280 US 204 (1930), 102–3
- Farrar, United States v., 281 US 624 (1930), 593
- Farwell v. Boston & Worcester R. Co., 45 Mass 49 (1842), 902
- Federal Communications Comm'n v. Columbia Broadcasting System, Inc., 311 US 132 (1940), 781
- Federal Communications Comm'n v. Pottsville Broadcasting Co., 309 US 134 (1940), 548–49
- Federal Communications Comm'n v. Sanders Bros. Radio Station, 309 US 470 (1940), 549–50, 778–80, 781–82
- Federal Power Comm'n v. Hope Natural Gas Co., 320 US 591 (1944), 449
- Federal Radio Comm'n. v. General Elec. Co., 281 US 464 (1930), 88–89
- Federal Radio Comm'n. v. Nelson Bros. Co., 289 US 266 (1933), 89
- Federal Trade Comm'n v. Algoma Lumber Co., 291 US 67 (1934), 514
- Fidelity Trust Co. v. Field, 311 US 169 (1940), 881–82
- First National Bank of Boston v. Maine, 284 US 312 (1932), 103–4
- Fisher v. State, 110 So 361 (Miss 1926), 611–12, 640
- Fiske v. Kansas, 274 US 380 (1927), 413
- Fox, United States v., 130 F2d 56 (3rd Cir 1941), 1071
- Fox v. Standard Oil Co., 294 US 87 (1935), 262, 963–64, 965
- Fox Film Corp. v. Muller, 296 US 207 (1935), 832–34
- Friedman-Harry Marks Clothing Co., National Labor Relations Bd. v., 301 US 58 (1937), 287, 305, 306, 309, 1031
- Frohwerk v. United States, 249 US 204 (1919), 680
- Frost & Frost Trucking Co. v. Railroad Comm'n, 271 US 583 (1926), 738–40
- Frothingham v. Mellon, 262 US 447 (1923), 208, 210, 212, 770, 800
- Fruehauf Trailer Co., National Labor Relations Bd. v., 301 US 49 (1937), 278, 284
- Funk v. United States, 290 US 371 (1933), 265–66
- Fur Workers Union, Local No. 21238 v. Fur Workers Union, No. 72, 308 US 522 (1939), 1095
- Furst v. Brewster, 282 US 493 (1931), 102
- Gaines, Missouri ex rel. v. Canada, 305 US 337 (1938), 667–70, 671, 676, 678
- Gaines, State ex rel. v. Canada, 113 S.W. 2d 783 (Mo 1938), 667
- Gaynor, State v., 119 NJL 582 (1938), 606
- Gebardi v. United States, 287 US 112 (1932), 82–84
- Gelpcke v. Dubuque, 68 US 175 (1863), 858
- General Motors Acceptance Corp. v. United States, 286 US 49 (1932), 596–97
- George S. Bush & Co., United States v., 310 US 371 (1940), 359
- George W. Bush & Sons Co. v. Malloy, 267 US 317 (1925), 96
- Gibbs v. Buck, 307 US 66 (1939), 327–29
- Gibson v. Phillips Petroleum Co., 353 US 874 (1956), 876–77
- Giles v. Harris, 189 US 475 (1903), 657–58

## TABLE OF CASES

- Gillespie v. Oklahoma, 257 US 501 (1922), 108  
 Gilliland, United States v., 312 US 86 (1941), 921–22  
 Gitlow v. New York, 268 US 652 (1925), 413, 683–84, 685, 688, 689, 695, 697, 710, 711, 712, 713–14, 716, 720, 724, 1044, 1046  
 Go-Bart Importing Co. v. United States, 282 US 344 (1931), 597–98, 604, 935–37  
 Gobitis v. Minersville School Dist., 21 F Supp 581 (ED Pa 1937), 1127–29. *See also*  
     Minersville Sch. Dist. v. Gobitis for Supreme Court decision  
 Gobitis v. Minersville School Dist., 24 F Supp 271 (ED Pa 1938), 1128  
 Gooch v. United States, 297 US 124 (1936), 919  
 Gouled v. United States, 255 US 298 (1921), 599  
 Grau v. United States, 287 US 124 (1932), 600, 604  
 Graves v. Elliott, 307 US 383 (1939), 365, 366  
 Graves v. New York ex rel. O’Keefe, 306 US 466 (1939), 397–400, 403, 839–40  
 Gray v. Powell, 213 US 402 (1941), 548  
 Great Atlantic & Pacific Tea Co. v. Grosjean, 301 US 412 (1937), 964–65  
 Great Northern Ry. Co. v. Sunburst Oil & Refinings Co., 287 US 358 (1932), 109–10  
 Greater New York Live Poultry Chamber of Commerce v. United States, 47 F2d 156 (2nd Cir), cert. den., 283 US 837 (1931), 191–92  
 Grosjean v. American Press Co., 297 US 233 (1936), 413, 717–22, 724, 725  
 Group No. 1 v. Bass, 283 US 279 (1931), 108  
 Grovey v. Townsend, 295 US 45 (1935), 653–57, 659, 661, 662, 666  
 Guaranty Trust Co. v. Henwood, 307 US 247 (1939), 405–7  
 Guinn v. United States, 238 US 347 (1915), 657  
 Gully v. First National Bank in Meridian, 299 US 109 (1936), 827, 828–30  
 Gwin, White & Prince v. Henneford, 305 US 434 (1939), 374–75  
  
 Hague v. Congress of Industrial Orgs., 307 US 496 (1939), 362, 812–14, 1064–78, 1098, 1115, 1129  
 Hale v. Bimco Trading, Inc., 306 US 375 (1939), 848–49  
 Halter v. Nebraska, 205 US 34 (1907), 679  
 Hamilton v. Board of Regents of University of California, 293 US 245 (1934), 263–64, 727, 740–45, 1126, 1127–28, 1148  
 Hammer v. Dagenhart, 247 US 251 (1918), 352, 353–54, 361, 395, 411, 578, 795  
 Hans v. Louisiana, 134 US 1 (1890), 785–86, 788, 789, 790  
 Hansberry v. Lee, 311 US 32 (1940), 804, 805–12, 1018  
 Hansen v. Haff, 291 US 559 (1934), 922  
 Harrisonville v. W.S. Dickey Mfg. Co., 289 US 334 (1933), 876  
 Hawks v. Hamill, 288 US 52 (1933), 858  
 Healy v. Ratta, 67 F2d 554 (1st Cir 1933), 821–22  
 Healy v. Ratta, 292 US 263 (1934), 821–23, 824  
 Hegeman Farms Corp. v. Baldwin, 293 US 163 (1934), 269, 343  
 Heiner v. Donnan, 285 US 312 (1932), 59–63  
 Helvering v. Davis, 301 US 619 (1937), 292–93  
 Helvering v. Gerhardt, 304 US 405 (1938), 327, 397, 399, 400–1  
 Helvering v. Hallock, 309 US 106 (1940), 331–32

## TABLE OF CASES

- Hering v. State Bd. of Educ., 194 A 177 (NJ 1937), 1126  
 Herndon v. Georgia, 295 US 441 (1935), 711–16  
 Herndon v. Lowry, 301 US 242 (1937), 413, 606–7, 618, 1055  
 Herndon v. State, 178 Ga 832 (1934), 707, 708–9  
 Hinderlider v. La Plata Co., 304 US 92 (1938), 885–86  
 Hines v. Davidowitz, 312 US 52 (1941), 379–81  
 H.J. Heinz Co. v. National Labor Relations Bd., 311 US 514 (1941), 1012–14  
 Hodge Drive-It-Yourself Co. v. Cincinnati, 284 US 335 (1932), 100  
 Hooper v. Tax Comm'n, 284 US 206 (1931), 61  
 Hoeppel v. United States, 85 F2d 737 (DC Cir 1936), 574  
 Hollins v. Oklahoma, 295 US 394 (1935), 261  
 Home Building & Loan Ass'n v. Blaisdell, 290 US 398 (1934), 18, 123–36, 137,  
     142, 152, 162–63, 165, 178, 237, 247, 250, 263, 267–68, 303, 403, 405, 418, 419,  
     834, 965, 1151, 1152, 1161  
 Home Ins. Co. v. Dick, 281 US 397 (1930), 899–901  
 Hopkins v. United States, 171 US 578 (1898), 1162  
 H.P. Hood & Sons v. United States, 307 US 588 (1939), 359  
 Hudson v. Moonier, 304 US 397 (1938), 879  
 Hulac v. Chicago & N.W. R. Co., 194 F 747 (D Neb 1912), 859  
 Humphrey's Executor v. United States, 295 US 602 (1935), 7, 190, 247–48, 435,  
     478, 484–90, 511  
 Hurn v. Oursler, 61 F2d 1031 (2nd Cir 1932), 825  
 Hurn v. Oursler, 289 US 238 (1933), 825–29, 842–43  
 Huron Holding Co. v. Lincoln Mine Operating Co., 312 US 183 (1941), 882  
 Hurtado v. California, 110 US 516 (1884), 626, 1053, 1055  
 Husty v. United States, 282 US 694 (1931), 601  
 Hutcheson, United States v., 32 F Supp 600 (ED Mo 1940), 1037  
 Hutcheson, United States v., 312 US 219 (1941), 968–69, 981–82, 1036–41  
  
 Indemnity Ins. Co. v. Hoage, 58 F2d 1074 (DC Cir 1932), 462  
 Indian Motorcycle Co. v. United States, 283 US 570 (1931), 107–8  
 Indiana Farmer's Guide Publishing Co. v. Prairie Farmer Publishing Co., 293  
     US 268 (1934), 980  
 International Ass'n of Machinists v. National Labor Relations Bd., 311 US 72  
     (1940), 1021, 1026  
 Interstate Commerce Comm'n v. New York, N.H. & H.R. Co., 287 US 178 (1932),  
     446–47  
 Interstate Commerce Comm'n v. Oregon-Washington R. Co., 288 US 14 (1933),  
     93–95  
 Interstate Transit, Inc. v. Lindsey, 283 US 183 (1931), 102  
 Iowa-Des Moines Bank v. Bennett, 284 US 239 (1931), 793  
 Ives v. South Buffalo Ry. Co., 94 NE 431 (NY 1911), 39–40  
  
 Jacobs v. United States, 290 US 12 (1933), 150, 152  
 Jones v. Securities and Exchange Comm'n, 79 F2d 617 (2nd Cir 1935), 527

## TABLE OF CASES

- Jones v. Securities and Exchange Comm'n, 298 US 1 (1936), 7, 247–48, 526, 527–32, 546, 1148
- Jones & Laughlin Steel Corp., National Labor Relations Bd. v., 83 F2d 998 (5th Cir 1936), 280
- Jones & Laughlin Steel Corp., National Labor Relations Bd. v., 301 US 1 (1937), 280–87, 300, 305–6, 309, 350, 355, 390, 391, 393, 395, 990–91, 992, 993, 1021, 1031, 1035, 1037, 1083, 1086, 1153, 1154, 1155, 1160, 1162
- J.W. Hampton, Jr., & Co. v. United States, 276 US 394 (1928), 176, 177, 436, 437, 438, 499
- Kapp, United States v., 302 US 214 (1937), 921
- Kellogg Co. v. National Biscuit Co., 305 US 111 (1938), 877–78
- Kennedy, Commonwealth v., 170 Mass 18 (1897), 680
- Kentucky Whip & Collar Co. v. Illinois Central R. Co., 299 US 334 (1937), 352
- Kepner v. United States, 195 US 100 (1904), 1052, 1053, 1054, 1056
- Kersch Lake Drainage Dist. v. Johnson, 309 US 485 (1940), 882
- Kessler v. Strecker, 307 US 22 (1939), 923–24
- Kiefer & Kiefer v. Reconstruction Finance Corp., 306 US 381 (1939), 400–1, 403
- King, United States ex rel. v. Gokey, 32 F2d 793 (ND NY 1932), 935–36
- Klaxon Co. v. Stentor Elec. Mfg. Co., 313 US 487 (1941), 910–13
- Knickerbocker Ice Co. v. Stewart, 253 US 149 (1920), 451
- Kroger Grocery & Baking Co. v. Lutz, 299 US 300 (1936), 823
- Kuhn v. Fairmont Coal Co., 215 US 349 (1910), 861
- KVOS v. Associated Press, 299 US 269 (1936), 823
- La Franca, United States v., 282 US 568 (1931), 593–94
- La Prade, Ex parte, 289 US 444 (1933), 791–93, 795
- Lafayette Ins. Co. v. French, 59 US (18 How) (1856), 854
- Lane v. Vick, 44 US (3 How) 44 (1845), 857
- Lane v. Wilson, 307 US 268 (1939), 657–59
- Lanzetta v. New Jersey, 306 US 451 (1939), 606–7
- Lauf v. E.G. Shinner & Co., 303 US 323 (1938), 1084–88, 1092, 1093, 1095
- Lawrence v. State Tax Comm'n, 286 US 276 (1932), 104–5
- Lawton v. Steele, 152 US 133 (1894), 41
- Leader v. Apex Hosiery Co., 108 F2d 71 (3rd Cir 1939), 1031–32. *See also* Apex Hosiery Co. v. Leader for Supreme Court decision
- Lee, United States v., 455 US 252 (1982), 744–45
- Lee v. Hansberry, 372 Ill. 369, 24 NE 2d 37 (1939), 806, 807, 808
- Leedom v. Kyne, 358 US 184 (1958), 1016
- Lefkowitz, United States v., 285 US 452 (1932), 598–600, 604
- Legal Tender Cases, 79 US 457 (1870), 151
- Leoles v. Landers, 192 SE 218 (Ga 1937), 1126
- Levering & Garrigues Co. v. Morrin, 289 US 103 (1933), 828
- Leviton Mfg. Co., National Labor Relations Bd. v., 111 F2d 619 (2nd Cir 1940), 1002
- Levitt, Ex parte, 302 US 633 (1937), 317, 935

## TABLE OF CASES

- Limehouse, United States v., 285 US 424 (1932), 79
- Link-Belt Co., National Labor Relations Bd. v., 311 US 584 (1941), 1025–26
- Lisenba v. California, 314 US 219 (1941), 335–36
- Lochner v. New York, 198 US 45 (1905), 39–40, 42, 51, 231, 554–55, 559, 561, 562, 564, 685, 819, 1044, 1063, 1084
- Loewe v. Lawlor, 208 US 274 (1908), 1028–29
- Los Angeles Gas & Elect. Co. v. Railroad Comm'n of California, 289 US 287 (1933), 89–90, 446–47
- Louis K. Liggett Co. v. Baldridge, 278 US 105 (1928), 956
- Louis K. Liggett Co. v. Lee, 288 US 517 (1933), 960–62, 969–70, 984
- Louisville, Cincinnati & Charleston R. Co. v. Letson, 43 US 497 (2 How) (1844), 853–54
- Louisville Joint Stock Land Bank v. Radford, 295 US 555 (1935), 187–90, 247, 293, 294–95, 303
- Love v. Griffith, 266 US 32 (1924), 654
- Lovell v. City of Griffin, 303 US 444 (1938), 413, 1066, 1117–19, 1120, 1124, 1141
- Lowry v. Herndon, 182 Ga 582 (1936), 713
- Lukens Steel Co. v. Perkins, 107 F2d 627 (DC Ct App 1939), 774
- Lynch v. United States, 292 US 571 (1934), 151–53, 263
- Macintosh, United States v., 283 US 605 (1931), 730–38, 741, 742, 743, 745, 746, 1129
- Macintosh v. United States, 42 F2d 845 (2nd Cir 1930), 730–31
- Mackay Radio & Telegraph Co., National Labor Relations Bd. v., 87 F2d 611 (9th Cir 1937), 990, 992, 994, 995, 996, 998, 1007
- Mackay Radio & Telegraph Co., National Labor Relations Bd. v., 308 US 333 (1938), 989–93
- Madden v. Kentucky, 209 US 83 (1940), 204, 361–62
- Marbury v. Madison, 5 US 137 (1803), 148
- Marcus Brown Holding Co. v. Feldman, 256 US 170 (1921), 123
- Marron v. United States, 275 US 192 (1927), 598, 604
- Massachusetts v. EPA, 549 US 497 (2007), 183
- Masses Publishing Co. v. Patten, 244 Fed. 535 (SD NY 1917), rev'd, 45 F2d (2nd Cir 1917), 438, 563–64
- Maurer v. Hamilton, 309 US 598, 602 (1940), 378–79, 381
- Mayflower Farms, Inc. v. Ten Eyck, 297 US 266 (1936), 343
- McAuliffe v. Mayor of New Bedford, 155 Mass 216 (1892), 737
- McBoyle v. United States, 43 F2d 273 (10th Cir 1930), 579
- McBoyle v. United States, 283 US 25 (1931), 579–81
- McCabe v. Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe Ry., 235 US 151 (1914), 668, 671, 672, 673, 675–76
- McCarroll v. Dixie Greyhound Lines, 309 US 176 (1940), 376, 377
- McCart v. Indianapolis Water Co., 302 US 419 (1938), 325, 330
- McCulloch v. Maryland, 17 US (4 Wheat) 316 (1819), 105–6, 398, 413, 949–50
- McGoldrick v. Berwind-White Co., 309 US 33 (1940), 372–73
- McGoldrick v. Gulf Oil Co., 309 US 414 (1940), 377–78

## TABLE OF CASES

- McNutt v. General Motors Acceptance Corp., 298 US 178 (1936), 823
- Meadowmoor Dairies, Inc. v. Milk Wagon Drivers' Union No. 753, 21 NE 2d 380, 371 Ill. 377 (1939), 1103–4. *See also* Milk Wagon Drivers' Union No. 753 v. Meadowmoor Dairies, Inc. for Supreme Court decision
- Mecom v. Fitzsimmons Drilling Co., 284 US 183 (1931), 860
- Metcalf & Eddy v. Mitchell, 269 US 514 (1926), 106, 107
- Metropolitan Casualty Co. v. Brownell, 294 US 580 (1934), 265
- Meyer v. Nebraska, 262 US 390 (1923), 413, 556–57
- Milk Wagon Drivers' Union No. 753 v. Meadowmoor Dairies, Inc., 312 US 287 (1941), 1102–10, 1111–12, 1113, 1114
- Milk Wagon Drivers' Union v. Lake Valley Co., 311 US 91 (1940), 1104–5
- Milnot Co. v. Richardson, 350 F Supp 221 (ND Ill 1972), 412
- Minersville Sch. Dist. v. Gobitis, 108 F2d 683 (3rd Cir 1939), 1129
- Minersville Sch. Dist. v. Gobitis, 310 US 586 (1940), xv, 1057, 1126–40, 1144
- Minnesota v. Blasius, 290 US 1 (1933), 264
- Minnesota v. National Tea Co., 309 US 551 (1940), 840–42, 966
- Mitchell v. Chicago, Rock Island & P. Ry. Co., 299 ICC 703 (1938), 670, 671–72
- Mitchell v. United States, 313 US 80 (1941), 670, 672–75
- Mobley v. New York Life Ins. Co., 295 US 632 (1935), 876
- Moffat Tunnel League v. United States, 289 US 113 (1933), 766
- Monaco, Principality of v. Mississippi, 292 US 313 (1934), 18, 786–90
- Mooney v. Holahan, 294 US 193 (1935), 262, 639
- Moore v. Dempsey, 261 US 86 (1923), 614–15, 624, 625
- Moore v. Illinois Central R. Co., 312 US 630 (1941), 879–80
- Moore v. Wheeler, 109 Ga 62 (1900), 713
- Morehead v. New York ex rel. Tipaldo, 298 US 587 (1936), 232–36, 248, 253, 258, 272, 274, 298, 299, 300, 301, 304, 309, 1155, 1157
- Morgan, United States v., 307 US 183 (1939), 533, 538–39, 547
- Morgan v. United States, 298 US 468 (1936), 533, 534–35, 547
- Morgan v. United States, 304 US 1 (1938), 535–39, 547, 1007
- Morgan v. United States, 313 US 409 (1941), 539, 547
- Mulford v. Smith, 307 US 38 (1939), 350–51, 353–54, 362
- Muller v. Oregon, 208 US 412 (1908), 23
- Munn v. Illinois, 94 US 113 (1877), 63–65, 143, 162
- Murdock, United States v., 290 US 389 (1933), 923
- Murdock v. City of Memphis, 87 US (20 Wall) 590 (1875), 831
- Murdock v. Pennsylvania, 319 US 105 (1943), 1115
- Murray's Lessee v. Hoboken Land & Improvement Co., 59 US (18 How) 272 (1856), 433, 453, 457
- Mutual Benefit, Health & Accident Ass'n v. Bowman, 304 US 549 (1938), 879
- Myers v. United States, 272 US 52 (1926), 113, 302, 478, 480, 484, 485
- Nardone, United States v., 90 F2d 630 (2nd Cir 1937), 582
- Nardone, United States v., 106 F2d 41 (2nd Cir 1939), 585
- Nardone, United States v., 127 F2d 521 (2nd Cir), cert. den., 3126 US 698 (1942), 587

## TABLE OF CASES

- Nardone, United States v., 302 US 379 (1937), 581–84, 585, 586
- Nardone, United States v., 308 US 338 (1939), 584–87
- Nashville, Chattanooga & St. Louis Ry. Co. v. Wallace, 288 US 249 (1933), 753–54, 755–56, 757
- Nashville, Chattanooga & St. Louis Ry. Co. v. Walters, 294 US 422 (1935), 267
- Nathanson v. United States, 290 US 41 (1933), 600–1, 604
- National Labor Relations Bd. v. *See name of defendant*
- National Licorice Co. v. National Labor Relations Bd., 309 US 350 (1940), 1019–21
- National Mutual Building & Loan Ass'n v. Brahan, 193 US 635 (1904), 858
- National Prohibition Cases, 253 US 350 (1920), 111
- National Tea Co. v. State, 208 Minn 607 (1940), 842
- Near v. Minnesota, 283 US 697 (1931), 413, 691–92, 694–702, 719, 720, 745–46, 1046, 1073, 1119
- Nebbia, People v., 262 NY 259 (1933), 141
- Nebbia v. New York, 291 US 502 (1934), 137, 142, 161, 162–63, 164, 165, 178, 230, 235, 250, 268–69, 272, 343, 344, 345, 347, 418, 419, 965, 1159–62
- Neirbo v. Bethlehem Corp., 308 US 167 (1939), 845–47
- Nelson v. Sears, Roebuck & Co., 312 US 359 (1941), 373–74
- Newark Fire Ins. Co. v. State Bd. of Tax Appeals, 307 US 313 (1939), 366–68
- Newberry v. United States, 256 US 232 (1921), 651, 660–61, 662–63, 665
- New Negro Alliance v. Sanitary Grocery Co., 92 F2d 510 (DC Ct App 1937), 1090–91
- New Negro Alliance v. Sanitary Grocery Co., 303 US 552 (1938), 1088–95, 1100
- Newport News Shipbuilding & Dry Dock Co., National Labor Relations Bd. v., 308 US 241 (1939), 1019
- New State Ice Co. v. Liebmann, 285 US 262 (1932), 70–73
- New York Life Ins. Co. v. Dodge, 246 US 357 (1918), 899
- New York Life Ins. Co. v. Head, 234 US 149 (1914), 899
- New York Life Ins. Co. v. Jackson, 304 US 261 (1938), 879
- Ng Fung Ho v. White, 259 US 276 (1922), 450–51, 454–55, 458, 460–61, 463, 465, 466–67, 468, 523
- Nicholls v. Mayor and School Committee of Lynn, 7 NE 2d 577 (Mass 1937), 1126
- Nixon v. Condon, 49 F2d 1012 (5th Cir 1931), 650
- Nixon v. Condon, 286 US 73 (1932), 413, 650–53, 666
- Nixon v. Herndon, 273 US 536 (1927), 6–7, 413, 650
- NLRB v. *See name of defendant*
- Norman v. Baltimore & Ohio R. Co., 294 US 240 (1935), 150–51, 153
- Norris v. Alabama, 294 US 587 (1935), 262, 630–31, 633, 634, 656
- Norris v. State, 156 So 556 (Ala 1934), 630
- Norris v. United States, 300 US 564 (1937), 922–23
- North American Co. v. Securities and Exchange Comm'n, 327 US 686 (1946), 974–75
- Nortz v. United States, 294 US 317 (1935), 154–56
- O'Donoghue v. United States, 289 US 516 (1933), 158–59

## TABLE OF CASES

- Oetjen v. Central Leather Co., 246 US 297 (1918), 505
- Ogden v. Saunders, 25 US (12 Wheat) 213 (1827), 122
- O'Gorman & Young, Inc. v. Hartford Fire Ins. Co., 282 US 251 (1931), 24, 68–70, 745–46
- Ohio v. Chattanooga Boiler Co., 289 US 439 (1933), 905
- Ohio v. Helvering, 292 US 360 (1934), 263
- Ohio Oil Co. v. Conway, 281 US 146 (1930), 717
- Ohio Valley Water Co. v. Ben Avon Borough, 253 US 287 (1920), 442–45, 450, 451, 463, 466, 520–21, 522
- Oklahoma Packing Co. v. Oklahoma Gas & Elec. Co., 309 US 4 (1940), 849, 932–34
- Olmstead v. United States, 277 US 438 (1928), 578–79, 582, 583–84, 585
- Olsen v. Nebraska, 313 US 236 (1941), 362–63
- Olson, State ex rel. v. Guilford, 174 Minn 457 (1928), 693
- O'Malley v. Woodrough, 307 US 277 (1939), 402–3
- One 1936 Model Ford V-8 De Luxe Coach, United States v., 307 US 219 (1939), 602–4
- One Ford Coupe Automobile, United States v., 272 US 321 (1926), 595
- Opp Cotton Mills v. Administrator, 312 US 126 (1941), 359–60
- Osaka Shosen Kaisha Line v. United States, 300 US 98 (1937), 918–19
- Osborn v. Ozlin, 310 US 53 (1940), 368
- Pacific Employers Ins. Co. v. Industrial Accident Comm'n of California, 306 US 493 (1939), 908–9, 913
- Pacific Greyhound Lines, Inc., National Labor Relations Bd. v., 303 US 272 (1938), 1012
- Packer Corp. v. Utah, 285 US 105 (1932), 102
- Palko, State v., 121 Conn. 669 (1936), 1051–52
- Palko v. Connecticut, 302 US 319 (1937), 1051–57, 1115
- Panama Refining Co. v. Ryan, 293 US 388 (1935), 175, 178–86, 302, 337, 357–58, 440, 496, 498, 982
- Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Co. v. State Highway Comm'n of Kansas, 294 US 613 (1935), 264
- Paramount Famous Lasky Corp. v. United States, 282 US 30 (1930), 832, 977
- Parrish v. West Coast Hotel, 185 Wash. 581 (1936), 272. *See also* West Coast Hotel v. Parrish for Supreme Court decision
- Patterson v. Alabama, 294 US 600 (1935), 262, 632–33, 634, 832, 840–42
- Patterson v. Colorado, 205 US 454 (1907), 679, 685
- Patton v. United States, 281 US 276 (1930), 111
- Paul Gray, Inc. v. Ingels, 23 F Supp 946 (SD Cal 1938), 824
- Pennsylvania Greyhound Lines, National Labor Relations Bd. v., 91 F2d 178 (3rd Cir 1937), 1010–11
- Pennsylvania Greyhound Lines, National Labor Relations Bd. v., 303 US 261 (1938), 1008, 1010–12
- Pennsylvania R. Co. v. United States R. Labor Bd., 261 US 72 (1923), 84
- Pennsylvania v. Williams, 294 US 176 (1935), 847–48

Cambridge University Press  
 978-1-316-51593-8 — The Hughes Court  
 Mark V. Tushnet  
 Frontmatter  
[More Information](#)

## TABLE OF CASES

- People v. *See name of defendant*
- Perkins, United States v., 116 US 483 (1886), 477
- Perkins v. Lukens Steel Co., 310 US 113 (1940), 773–77, 778, 782
- Perry v. United States, 294 US 330 (1935), 148–49, 152–53, 155–57, 164
- Phelps Dodge Corp. v. National Labor Relations Bd., 313 US 177 (1941), 1005
- Phillips v. United States, 312 US 246 (1941), 843, 844–45, 850
- Pierce v. Society of Sisters, 268 US 510 (1925), 413, 557, 722
- Pinel v. Pinel, 240 US 594 (1916), 824–25
- Pittsburgh Plate Glass v. National Labor Relations Bd., 313 US 146 (1941), 1016–18
- Pittsburgh & West Virginia R. Co. v. United States, 281 US 479 (1930), 765–66
- Plessy v. Ferguson, 163 US 537 (1896), 667, 673–74
- Polk Co. v. Glover, 305 US 5 (1938), 329–30
- Poresky, Ex parte, 290 US 30 (1933), 844
- Port Gardner Investment Co. v. United States, 272 US 564 (1926), 595
- Powell v. Alabama, 287 US 45 (1932), 624–28, 634–35, 638, 639, 720, 1053, 1054–55, 1148, 1152
- Powell v. State, 141 So 201 (Ala 1932), 621–23
- Powers, United States v., 307 US 214 (1940), 927
- Public Service Comm'n v. Great Northern Utilities Co., 289 US 130 (1933), 446–47
- Puget Sound Power & Light Co. v. Seattle, 291 US 619 (1934), 263
- Purvis v. Bazemore, 5 F Supp 230 (SD Fla 1933), 174–75
- Quaker City Cab Co. v. Pennsylvania, 277 US 389 (1928), 956, 957
- Radford. *See Louisville Joint Stock Land Bank v. Radford*
- Railroad Co. v. Ellerman, 105 US 166 (1881), 771
- Railroad Comm'n of California v. Pacific Gas & Elec. Co., 302 US 388 (1938), 448
- Railroad Comm'n of Texas v. Pullman Co., 312 US 496 (1941), 387, 814–21
- Railroad Comm'n of Texas v. Rowan & Nichols Oil Co., 310 US 573 (1940), 383–88, 932
- Railroad Comm'n of Texas v. Rowan & Nichols Oil Co., 311 US 614 (1940), 386
- Railroad Retirement Bd. v. Alton R. Co., 295 US 330 (1935), 237–44, 248, 281, 288–89, 301, 302
- Rathbun v. United States, 355 US 107 (1957), 481
- Realty Co., United States v., 163 US 427 (1896), 207
- Republic Steel, National Labor Relations Bd. v., 311 US 7 (1940), 1001–5, 1041
- Reynolds v. United States, 98 US 145 (1878), 728–29
- Ribnik v. McBride, 277 US 350 (1928), 68, 362–63
- Richbourg Motor Co. v. United States, 281 US 528 (1930), 595–96, 597
- Richter, In re, 204 NW 492 (Wis 1925), 1085–86
- Rock Royal Co-operative, Inc., United States v., 307 US 533 (1939), 347, 349, 358–59, 362
- Rosenthal v. New York Life Ins. Co., 304 US 263 (1938), 879
- Ruhlin v. New York Life Ins. Co., 304 US 202 (1938), 878–79, 880–81, 882
- Russell v. Todd, 309 US 280 (1940), 882–83

## TABLE OF CASES

- Ryan, United States v., 284 US 167 (1931), 594–95  
 Ryan v. Amazon Petroleum Corp., 71 F2d 1 (5th Cir 1934), 180
- Safe Deposit & Trust Co. v. Virginia, 280 US 83 (1929), 103  
 St. Joseph Stock Yards Co. v. United States, 11 F Supp 322 (WD Mo 1935), 520–21  
 St. Joseph Stock Yards Co. v. United States, 298 US 38 (1936), 518, 520–24,  
 525–26, 530–31, 547  
 Sands Mfg. Co., National Labor Relations Bd. v., 306 US 332 (1939), 1023–24,  
 1025  
 Sandstrom, People v., 18 NE 2d 840 (NY 1939), 1126  
 Schechter. *See* A.L.A. Schechter Poultry Corp. v. United States  
 Schenck v. United States, 249 US 47 (1919), 680–81, 682, 684, 685, 689, 709, 710,  
 711, 712, 713–14, 715, 730  
 Scher v. United States, 305 US 251 (1938), 601–2  
 Schneider v. New Jersey, 308 US 147 (1939), 1062–64, 1078  
 Schwimmer v. United States, 279 US 644 (1929), 729–30, 731, 733, 735–36,  
 737, 743  
 Seelig v. Baldwin, 7 F Supp 776 (SD NY 1934), 344  
 Selective Draft Law Cases, 245 US 366 (1918), 729, 743  
 Semler v. Oregon Bd. of Dental Examiners, 294 US 608 (1935), 267, 268  
 Senn v. Tile Layers Protective Union, 301 US 468 (1937), 417, 1080–84, 1086,  
 1087–88, 1095, 1104  
 Shreveport Grain & Elevator Co., United States v., 287 US 77 (1932), 79–81  
 Shurtleff v. United States, 189 US 311 (1903), 479–80, 484, 485  
 Sibbach v. Wilson & Co., 312 US 1 (1941), 891–95  
 Silverthorne Lumber Co. v. United States, 251 US 385 (1920), 586  
 Slaughterhouse Cases, 83 US 36 (1873), 812, 813  
 Smiley v. Holm, 285 US 355 (1932), 112–13  
 Smith, United States v., 286 US 6 (1932), 113–15  
 Smith v. Allwright, 321 US 649 (1944), 666  
 Smith v. Cahoon, 283 US 553 (1931), 97–98  
 Smyth v. Ames, 169 US 466 (1898), 441–43, 444–45, 446, 447, 448–49, 450  
 Snyder, Commonwealth v., 282 Mass 401 (1933), 1047  
 Snyder v. Massachusetts, 291 US 97 (1934), 262, 1047–51, 1055  
 Socony-Vacuum Oil Co., United States v., 310 US 150 (1940), 981–84  
 Sorrells v. United States, 57 F2d 973 (4th Cir 1932), 589–90  
 Sorrells v. United States, 287 US 435 (1932), 590–92  
 South Carolina State Highway Dep’t v. Barnwell Bros., Inc., 303 US 177 (1938),  
 408–9, 413, 1057  
 Southern Pacific Co. v. Arizona, 325 US 761 (1945), 791–92, 793  
 Southern Pacific Co. v. Gallagher, 306 US 167 (1939), 371–73  
 Southern Pacific Co. v. Jensen, 244 US 205 (1917), 41–42, 451, 861–62  
 Southern R. Co. v. United States, 222 US 27 (1911), 871  
 Sprague, United States v., 44 F2d 967 (D NJ 1930), rev’d, 282 US 716 (1931),  
 1066–67  
 Sprague, United States v., 282 US 716 (1931), 111–12

## TABLE OF CASES

- Sproles v. Binford, 286 US 374 (1932), 99–100, 101
- Stafford v. Wallace, 258 (US) 495 (1922), 280–81, 283, 348, 1162
- State Bd. of Tax Comm’ners v. Jackson, 283 US 527 (1931), 957–60, 961, 963–65
- State Tax Comm’n v. Van Cott, 306 US 511 (1939), 839–40, 841–42
- Stentor Elec. Mfg. Co. v. Klaxon Co., 115 F2d 268 (3rd Cir 1940), 911
- Stentor Elec. Mfg. Co. v. Klaxon Co., 125 F2d 820 (3rd Cir 1942), 913
- Sterling v. Constantin, 287 US 378 (1932), 18, 115–17
- Stettler v. O’Hara, 243 US 649 (1917), 231
- Steward Machine Co. v. Davis, 301 US 548 (1937), 289–92
- Stewart Dry Goods v. Lewis, 294 US 550 (1935), 262, 841, 962–63
- Stone v. Mississippi, 101 US 814 (1880), 122–23
- Stratton v. St. Louis Sw. R. Co., 282 US 10 (1930), 109
- Strawbridge v. Curtis, 7 US (3 Cranch) 267 (1806), 853
- Stromberg v. California, 283 US 359 (1931), 413, 686–89, 697, 713–14, 715–16, 740, 1052, 1053
- Sugar Institute v. United States, 297 US 553 (1936), 975, 976–77, 979–81, 982
- Sunshine Anthracite Coal Co. v. Adkins, 310 US 381 (1940), 356–57, 362
- Swift v. Tyson, 41 US (1 Pet) 1 (1842), 855–58, 859, 860–62, 863–64, 865–67, 868–70, 871, 872, 873, 877, 887, 888, 909, 1161, 1162
- Taylor v. United States, 286 US 1 (1932), 599–600, 604
- T.B. Harms Co. v. Eliscu, 339 F2d 823 (2nd Cir 1964), 829
- Tennessee Elec. Power Co. v. Tennessee Valley Auth., 306 US 118 (1939), 549, 771–73, 775, 951–53
- Tennessee Valley Auth. v. Ashwander, 78 F2d 578 (5th Cir 1935), 947. *See also* Ashwander v. Tennessee Valley Auth. *for Supreme Court decision*
- Texas v. Florida, 306 US 398 (1939), 330–31, 335
- Texas & N.O. R. Co. v. Railway Clerks, 281 US 548 (1930), 84–86
- Texas & Pacific Ry. Co. v. United States, 289 US 627 (1933), 95–96
- Thompson v. Magnolia Petroleum Co., 309 US 478 (1940), 882
- Thornhill v. Alabama, 310 US 88 (1940), 1057, 1095–100, 1104–5, 1107, 1109, 1114
- Tipaldo. *See* Morehead v. New York ex rel. Tipaldo
- Tompkins v. Erie R. Co., 98 F2d 49 (2nd Cir), cert. den., 305 US 637 (1938), 874. *See also* Erie R. Co. v. Tompkins *for Supreme Court decision*
- Treigle v. Acme Homestead Ass’n, 297 US 189 (1936), 136
- Trinityfarm Co. v. Grosjean, 291 US 466 (1934), 263
- Truax v. Corrigan, 257 US 312 (1921), 1080, 1081–82, 1083, 1084, 1086, 1087–88, 1090, 1103
- Twining v. New Jersey, 211 US 78 (1908), 1053, 1055
- Tyler v. United States, 281 US 497 (1930), 58–59, 62
- Tyson & Bro. v. Banton, 273 US 418 (1927), 67
- Union Pacific R. Co. v. Botsford, 141 US 250 (1891), 891, 892, 893, 894
- United Mine Workers v. Coronado Coal Co., 259 US 344 (1922), 1029–30
- United Rys. & Elec. Co. v. West, 280 US 234 (1930), 445–46

## TABLE OF CASES

- United States Fidelity & Guaranty Co. v. Guenther, 281 US 38 (1930), 875  
*United States v. See name of defendant*  
 Utah Power & Light Co. v. Post, 286 US 165 (1932), 101–2
- Valentine v. Great Atlantic & Pacific Tea Co., 299 US 32 (1936), 841  
 Van Cott v. State Tax Comm'n, 98 Utah 264 (1939), 840  
 Various Items of Personal Property, *In re*, 282 US 577 (1931), 593–94  
 Vegelahn v. Gunther, 44 NE 1077, 167 Mass 92 (1896), 19  
 Vinton. *See* Wright v. Vinton Branch Bank  
 Virginian Ry. Co. v. System Federation No. 40, 300 US 515 (1937), 271  
 Voehl v. Indemnity Ins. Co., 288 US 162 (1933), 462–63  
 Volpe, United States ex rel. v. Smith, 289 US 422 (1933), 915–16
- Wabash Valley Elec. Co. v. Young, 287 US 488 (1933), 446–47  
 Waialua Co. v. Christian, 305 US 91 (1938), 879  
 Wan v. United States, 266 US 1 (1924), 611–12  
 Warden v. Hayden, 387 US 294 (1967), 599  
 Washington v. W.C. Dawson & Co., 264 US 219 (1924), 451  
 Washington, Virginia & Maryland Coach Co. v. National Labor Relations Bd., 301 US 142 (1937), 278  
 Washingtonian Co. v. Pearson, 306 US 30 (1939), 916–18  
 Waterman Steamship Co., National Labor Relations Bd. v., 309 US 206 (1940), 1022, 1025–26  
 W.B. Worthen Co. v. Kavanaugh, 295 US 56 (1934), 136  
 W.B. Worthen Co. v. Thomas, 292 US 426 (1934), 135–36, 152  
 Welch v. Commissioner, 290 US 111 (1933), 31  
 Welch v. Henry, 305 US 134 (1938), 363–64, 368  
 West Coast Hotel v. Parrish, 300 US 379 (1937), 272–75, 292, 293, 294–95, 296, 298, 300, 303, 304–5, 307, 362, 1086, 1142, 1153, 1157  
 Western Union Tel. Co. v. Kansas, 216 US 1 (1910), 738  
 West India Oil Co. v. Domenech, 311 US 20 (1940), 378  
 West Ohio Gas Co. v. Public Utilities Comm'n, 294 US 63 (1935), 264–65  
 West v. American Telephone & Telegraph Co., 311 US 223 (1940), 880–81  
 West v. Chesapeake & Potomac Tel. Co., 295 US 662 (1935), 447, 449  
 West Virginia State Bd. of Educ. v. Barnette, 319 US 624 (1943), 1140  
 White v. County Democratic Executive Committee of Harris County, 60 F2d 973 (SD Tex 1932), 653–54  
 White v. State, 128 SW 2d 51 (Tex Crim App 1939), 646  
 White v. Texas, 310 US 530 (1940), 646–47  
 Whitfield v. Ohio, 297 US 431 (1936), 220–21, 1156  
 Whitney v. California, 274 US 357 (1927), 413, 684–85, 687, 688–89, 711, 716, 746, 969, 1044, 1046, 1097  
 Wichita Co. v. City Bank, 306 US 103 (1939), 880  
 Williams v. United States, 289 US 553 (1933), 159–60  
 Willing v. Chicago Auditorium Ass'n, 277 US 274 (1928), 751–53, 754, 755, 761  
 Wisconsin v. J.C. Penney Co., 311 US 435 (1940), 368–69

## TABLE OF CASES

- Wong Kim Ark, United States v., 169 US 649 (1898), 450  
Wood, United States v., 299 US 123 (1936), 573–77  
Wood v. Lovett, 313 US 362 (1941), 403–5  
Wood v. United States, 83 F2d 587 (DC Cir 1936), 573  
Wright v. United States, 302 US 583 (1938), 510  
Wright v. Vinton Branch Bank, 300 US 440 (1937), 293–94, 303, 1159  
  
Yates v. Milwaukee, 77 US (10 Wall) 497 (1870), 857–58  
Young, Ex parte, 209 US 123 (1908), 790–93, 794, 795  
Young v. California, 308 US 147 (1939), 1062  
  
Zenith Radio Corp., United States v., 12 F2d 614 (ND Ill 1926), 88  
Zerbst v. Kidwell, 304 US 359 (1938), 924–25