CONTENTS

Acknowledgements  page viii
Table of Cases  xi

Introduction  1

PART I Constructing Synergies: Framing the Environment–Human Rights Interface  15

1 Narratives of Environmental and Human Rights Protection: From a ‘Pristine Wilderness’ to a ‘Human Environment’  17
1.1 Protecting ‘Nature’ from ‘Humans’: Rupture and Antagonism in Early Environmentalism  18
  1.2.1 Building a Human Rights-Based Approach to Environmental Protection: The 1972 Stockholm Conference on the Human Environment  32
  1.2.2 Circumscribing Environmental Protection to Human Development: The 1992 Rio Conference on Environment and Development  43
  1.2.3 The Culmination of a Merging Agenda: A Human Right to a Healthy Environment  48
1.3 Environmentalism and Human Rights: A Legislative Taxonomy  52

2 Horizons of Synergy: Adjudicating Environmental and Human Rights Protection  56
2.1 The Inter-American Human Rights System: A ‘Healthy’ Environment  58
vi CONTENTS

2.3 The European Human Rights System: A ‘Balanced’ and ‘Safe’ Environment 65
2.4 International Courts and Tribunals: A ‘Non-Damaged’ Environment 73
2.5 Environmentalism and Human Rights: An Adjudicative Taxonomy 76

3 Constructing and Contesting Anthropocentric Synergies 80
3.1 Anthropocentrism and Synergy: A Doctrinal Construction 81
3.2 Contesting Anthropocentrism 83
3.3 Contesting Synergies 89

4 Countering the Dominant Frame: An Account of Trade-offs and Tensions 97
4.1 Framing the Environment–Human Rights Interface: The Mantra of Synergy 97
4.2 Alternative Frames: Integrating Conflicts 100
4.3 Beyond Synergy: Towards New Compositions 109

PART II Conflict Mediation Through Universalisation 113

5 The General Interest as Universalisation Strategy 119
5.1 The General Interest in Environmental Protection 123
5.2 The General Interest as a Heuristic of Conflict Adjudication 130
  5.2.1 A ‘Mantra on Environmental Protection’: The General Interest in the Court of Justice of the European Union 130
  5.2.2 The Value of ‘Outstanding Natural Beauty’: The General Interest in the European Court of Human Rights 139
  5.2.3 An Indigenous ‘Strong Attachment with Nature’: The General Interest in the African Human Rights System 156
  5.2.4 The ‘Conservation of Protected Areas’: The General Interest in the Inter-American Human Rights System 160
5.3 Discursive Hegemony and the Construction of Commonality 166

6 Expert Knowledge as Universalisation Strategy 180
6.1 The Scientific Expert: Conflict Resolution through ‘Objectivity’ 186
  6.1.1 Conflicts between Climate Change and Economic Freedoms 188
  6.1.2 Conflicts between Animal Welfare and Indigenous Peoples’ Rights 193
CONTENTS

6.1.3 Conflicts between Animal Welfare and Religious Freedoms 196
6.1.4 Assessing the CJEU’s Managerial Approach to Conflict Adjudication 201

6.2 The Human Rights Expert: Conflict Resolution through Epistemic Authority 206
6.2.1 Conflicts between Nature Conservation and Indigenous Peoples’ Rights 209
6.2.2 Conflicts between Landscape Preservation and Roma’s Rights 220
6.2.3 Assessing Regional Human Rights Courts’ Recourse to Legal Expertise 228

6.3 The Management of Expertise or the Managerialism of Experts 238

Conclusion 241

Bibliography 252
Index 283