

## POWER FROM BELOW IN PREMODERN SOCIETIES

This volume challenges previous views of social organization focused on elites by offering innovative perspectives on “power from below.” Using a variety of archaeological, anthropological, and historical data to question traditional narratives of complexity as inextricably linked to top-down power structures, it exemplifies how commoners have developed strategies to sustain nonhierarchical networks and contest the rise of inequalities. Through case studies from around the world – ranging from Europe to New Guinea, and from Mesoamerica to China – an international team of contributors explores the diverse and dynamic nature of power relations in premodern societies. The theoretical models discussed throughout the volume include a reassessment of key concepts such as heterarchy, collective action, and resistance. Thus, the book adds considerable nuance to our understanding of power in the past and opens new avenues of reflection that can help inform discussions about our collective present and future.

T. L. Thurston is Professor of Anthropology at the University at Buffalo, State University of New York. His main interest lies in the interface between people, places, and governance in late prehistoric and historical Europe, often in comparison with other regions, cultures, and times. He finds that questions linking large-scale sociopolitical conditions with the life-course experiences of individuals and groups are best addressed with mixed research methods that unify quantitative and qualitative approaches.

Manuel Fernández-Götz is Reader and Head of the Department of Archaeology at the University of Edinburgh. He has published widely on Iron Age and Roman societies in Europe, the archaeology of identities, and conflict archaeology. Among his main publications are the edited volumes *Eurasia at the Dawn of History: Urbanization and Social Change* (2016) and *Conflict Archaeology: Materialities of Collective Violence from Prehistory to Late Antiquity* (2018).

Cambridge University Press  
978-1-316-51539-6 — Power from Below in Premodern Societies  
Edited by T. L. Thurston , Manuel Fernandez-Gotz  
Frontmatter  
[More Information](#)

---

# POWER FROM BELOW IN PREMODERN SOCIETIES

THE DYNAMICS OF POLITICAL  
COMPLEXITY IN THE  
ARCHAEOLOGICAL RECORD

*edited by*

**T. L. THURSTON**

University at Buffalo, State University of New York

**MANUEL FERNÁNDEZ-GÖTZ**

University of Edinburgh



**CAMBRIDGE**  
UNIVERSITY PRESS

Cambridge University Press  
 978-1-316-51539-6 — Power from Below in Premodern Societies  
 Edited by T. L. Thurston, Manuel Fernandez-Gotz  
 Frontmatter  
[More Information](#)

## CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom  
 One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA  
 477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia  
 314-321, 3rd Floor, Plot 3, Splendor Forum, Jasola District Centre,  
 New Delhi – 110025, India  
 103 Penang Road, #05-06/07, Visioncrest Commercial, Singapore 238467

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning, and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

[www.cambridge.org](http://www.cambridge.org)  
 Information on this title: [www.cambridge.org/9781316515396](http://www.cambridge.org/9781316515396)  
 DOI: 10.1017/9781009042826

© Cambridge University Press 2021

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2021

*A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library.*

*Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data*

NAMES: Thurston, T.L., editor. | Fernández-Götz, Manuel, editor.

TITLE: Power from below in premodern societies : the dynamics of political complexity in the archaeological record / edited by T.L. Thurston, University at Buffalo, State University of New York, Manuel Fernández-Götz, University of Edinburgh.

DESCRIPTION: Cambridge, United Kingdom ; New York, NY : Cambridge University Press, 2021. | Includes bibliographical references and index.

IDENTIFIERS: LCCN 2021016017 (print) | LCCN 2021016018 (ebook) | ISBN 9781316515396 (hardback) | ISBN 9781009042826 (ebook)

SUBJECTS: LCSH: Social archaeology. | Power (Social sciences) | Social structure – History. | Social stratification – History. | Social evolution. | BISAC: SOCIAL SCIENCE / Archaeology

CLASSIFICATION: LCC CC72.4 .P69 2021 (print) | LCC CC72.4 (ebook) | DDC 930.1–dc23

LC record available at <https://lccn.loc.gov/2021016017>

LC ebook record available at <https://lccn.loc.gov/2021016018>

ISBN 978-1-316-51539-6 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

## CONTENTS

<i>List of Figures</i>	page vii
<i>List of Contributors</i>	x
<i>Preface</i>	
Carole L. Crumley	xiii
1 POWER FROM BELOW IN THE ARCHAEOLOGICAL RECORD: TRENDS AND TRAJECTORIES T. L. Thurston and Manuel Fernández-Götz	I
2 FRAGMENTING TRYPILLIAN MEGASITES: A BOTTOM-UP APPROACH Bisserka Gaydarska	40
3 STRUCTURE AND AGENCY: ON BRONZE AGE TELL SETTLEMENT IN THE CARPATHIAN BASIN Tobias L. Kienlin	61
4 POWER REQUIRES OTHERS: “INSTITUTIONAL REALITIES” AND THE SIGNIFICANCE OF INDIVIDUAL POWER IN LATE PREHISTORIC EUROPE David Fontijn	90
5 “AND MAKE SOME OTHER MAN OUR KING”: LABILE ELITE POWER STRUCTURES IN EARLY IRON AGE EUROPE Bettina Arnold	106
6 SOCIETIES AGAINST THE CHIEF? RE-EXAMINING THE VALUE OF “HETERARCHY” AS A CONCEPT FOR STUDYING EUROPEAN IRON AGE SOCIETIES Tom Moore and David González-Álvarez	125
7 PEASANTS, AGRICULTURAL INTENSIFICATION, AND COLLECTIVE ACTION IN PREMODERN STATES Lane F. Fargher and Richard E. Blanton	157

vi	CONTENTS	
	8 THE SPREAD OF SCRIBAL LITERACY IN HAN CHINA: ALL ALONG THE WATCHTOWERS Christopher J. Foster	175
	9 CONFRONTING LEVIATHAN. SOME REMARKS ON RESISTANCE TO THE STATE IN PRECAPITALIST SOCIETIES: THE CASE OF EARLY MEDIEVAL NORTHERN IBERIA Carlos Tejerizo-García and Álvaro Carvajal Castro	202
	10 THE EMERGENCE OF MONTE ALBÁN: A SOCIAL INNOVATION THAT LASTED A MILLENNIUM Gary M. Feinman, Richard E. Blanton, and Linda M. Nicholas	220
	11 DISPERSING POWER: THE CONTENTIOUS, EGALITARIAN POLITICS OF THE SALADO PHENOMENON IN THE HOHOKAM REGION OF THE U.S. SOUTHWEST Lewis Borck and Jeffery J. Clark	247
	12 THE PERPLEXING HETERARCHICAL COMPLEXITY OF NEW GUINEA FISHER-FORAGER POLITIES AT CONTACT Paul Roscoe	272
	13 RESTORING DISORDER: THOUGHTS ON THE PAST AND FUTURE OF A POLITICALLY AND SOCIALLY CONSCIOUS ARCHAEOLOGY T. L. Thurston and Manuel Fernández-Götz	295
	<i>Index</i>	315

## FIGURES

1.1	Royal palace at the Classic Maya city of Palenque	4
1.2	On the church steps in Mora (Sweden), Gustav Eriksson (later King Gustav Vasa) recruits Dalecarlian farmers to his cause	9
1.3	Contested elite power. Mutilated stone sculptures of two elite members located at the entrance to the Early Iron Age sanctuary of Vix “Les Herbues,” near the fortified site of Mont Lassois	15
1.4	Traditional setting of the Althing at Þingvellir, annual assembly place for the population of Iceland	22
2.1	Location of Cucuteni–Trypillia network, and location of sites mentioned in the text: (1) Taljanky, (2) Nebelivka, (3) Maidanetskoe	44
2.2	The complete interpretative geophysical plan for the Nebelivka megasite with putative division into quarters	45
2.3	Links between houses with more than 10 common signs	48
3.1	Distribution of Hatvan and Füzesabony period settlements on the Borsod Plain and along the foothill zone of the Bükk mountains (filled markings indicating sites with geomagnetic prospection)	64
3.2	Tard-Tatárdomb. Aerial photograph of the site and gray scale plot of the magnetometer data ( $\pm 10$ nT)	70
3.3	Hernádnémeti–Németihalom. Gray scale plot of the magnetometer data ( $\pm 10$ nT). The white bars indicate modern disturbances at the periphery of the mound not covered by magnetometry	71
3.4	Hernádnémeti–Németihalom. General view of the site from the northwest	73
3.5	Maklár–Baglyshalom. Gray scale plot of the magnetometer data ( $\pm 10$ nT)	75
3.6	Emőd–Nagyhalom. Gray scale plot of the magnetometer data ( $\pm 10$ nT)	83
4.1	Part of the barrow alignment Epe–Nierssen. People are standing on a Bronze Age mound in front, whilst another one is visible at the horizon. The barrows are situated on a small heath, as it was in late prehistory	94
4.2	Hypothetical reconstruction of the vegetation around the barrow alignment of Vaassen–Nierssen by Doorenbosch (2013, Fig. 13.2 c), based on palynological data from barrows. Data indicate a small heath	

corridor around the barrow line. Background of figure is DEM of AHN	95
4.3 Fragments of metal objects found at center underneath barrow 3 of Oss-Zevenbergen. Two are of bronze (top), two are of iron (below). The objects lay around a charred oak plank which was cut from a massive, at least 180-year-old, tree. In addition to this, there also was one fragment of cremated human bone	99
5.1a Apex model of Early Iron Age social structure	110
5.1b Early Iron Age social structure represented in a schematic image at the Landesmuseum Württemberg in Stuttgart	110
5.2 Reconstructed <i>Herrenhaus</i> on the Heuneburg hillfort plateau	112
5.3 Ceramic and bronze vessel assemblages from Speckhau Tumuli 17 and 18	116
5.4 Burial plan of Speckhau Tumulus 17 Grave 1	118
6.1 Location of case study regions (Southern Britain and Northwestern Iberia)	126
6.2 Traditional Celtic triangle	128
6.3 Comparison of (a) triangular (hierarchical) and (b) trapezoidal (heterarchical) representations of social organization and (c) an alternative representation of heterarchy	128
6.4 Plans of polyfocal <i>oppida</i> in Britain	131
6.5 Example of Late Iron Age western inscribed coinage from Britain	134
6.6 Late Iron Age regionalization in relation to social organization models according to González-Ruibal	139
6.7 Os Castros hillfort in Samartín del Valledor (Ayande, Asturias, Spain)	144
6.8 Modular wall at San Chuis hillfort (Ayande, Asturias, Spain) as recovered during 1985 excavations	145
6.9 Roman stele of NICER CLUTOSI, <i>princeps</i> of the Albioni	147
7.1 Biplot of collective action total vs. community social capital	161
7.2 Factor analysis of variables associated with collective action	162
7.3 Factor analysis of collective action, wet rice and community social capital	164
7.4 Factor analysis of public goods, bureaucratization, community social capital and wet rice	165
7.5 Biplot of collective action total vs. state participating in the construction of rural social institutions	167
8.1 <i>Cang Jie pian</i> Practice writing at Yumen Huahai watchtower	181
8.2 Aurel Stein's T.44.b watchtower	184
8.3 Yumen Huahai watchtower (Y33) in <i>Hexi Han sai diaocha yu yanjiu</i>	184
8.4 Present condition of T.44.b	185
8.5 Present condition of Yumen Huahai (T.44.d)	186
8.6 Aurel Stein's map of T.44.a-d	187
8.7 Mapping Yumen Huahai, April 2019 survey GPS points	188
9.1 Early medieval silos at the site of El Cañal (Pesquera, Valladolid)	210
9.2 Site of Canto Blanco, southern sector	211



9.3	Calculated capacities of the silos in the two phases of the site of Canto Blanco	212
9.4	San Juan de Baños (Palencia). One of the most significant “Visigothic churches”	214
10.1	Valley of Oaxaca with places mentioned in the text	222
10.2	Internal and external sources of funding	225
10.3	The fiscal model of collective action	226
10.4	The main hill of Monte Albán, includes the area settled during Monte Albán Early I	227
11.1	Overview map of U.S. Southwest	251
11.2	Hypothetical illustration of diffusion of materials across a landscape. The attitude, or reaction, to the ideas embedded in these materials will impact the empirical distribution of the materials. As ideas diffuse across landscape, isolation (or lack of knowledge) becomes less of a factor through time	256
11.3	Time series of distribution of Salado Polychrome ceramics and model of the hypothesized spread of Salado given primarily positive reactions to its ideology (see Borck, 2016 for methodology and specifics). Data are all recorded archaeological sites with thirteen or more rooms and systematically collected and analyzed ceramic counts maintained within the Heritage Southwest database that, as of this analysis, totaled more than 500 sites and 5 million ceramics. (authors). Sites are apportioned as per Roberts et al., 2013	257
12.1	Nubuai, Sawa-Erma and Ukiravi	275
12.2	The political structure of Nubuai, Sawa-Erma, and Ukiravi	276
12.3	The structure and layout of Ukiravi	277

## CONTRIBUTORS

Prof. T. L. Thurston  
Department of Anthropology  
University at Buffalo, State University of New York

Dr. Manuel Fernández-Götz  
School of History, Classics and Archaeology  
University of Edinburgh

Prof. Carole L. Crumley  
Department of Anthropology  
University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill

Dr. Bisserka Gaydarska  
Department of Archaeology  
Durham University

Prof. Tobias L. Kienlin  
Institute of Prehistory and Early History  
University of Cologne

Prof. David Fontijn  
Faculty of Archaeology  
University of Leiden

Prof. Bettina Arnold  
Department of Anthropology  
University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee

Dr. Tom Moore  
Department of Archaeology  
Durham University

Dr. David González-Álvarez  
Institute of Heritage Sciences  
Spanish National Research Council

Dr. Lane F. Fargher  
Department of Human Ecology  
Cinvestav-Unidad Mérida

Prof. Richard E. Blanton  
College of Liberal Arts  
Purdue University

Dr. Christopher J. Foster  
School of Oriental and African Studies  
University of London

Dr. Carlos Tejerizo-García  
Departamento de Geografía, Prehistoria y Arqueología  
University of the Basque Country

Álvaro Carvajal Castro  
Institute of History  
Spanish National Research Council

Dr. Gary M. Feinman  
Field Museum of Natural History

Dr. Linda M. Nicholas  
Field Museum of Natural History

Dr. Lewis Borck  
Department of Sociology, Anthropology, and Criminal Justice  
New Mexico Highlands University

Dr. Jeffery J. Clark  
Archaeology Southwest

Prof. Paul Roscoe  
Department of Anthropology  
University of Maine

Cambridge University Press  
978-1-316-51539-6 — Power from Below in Premodern Societies  
Edited by T. L. Thurston , Manuel Fernandez-Gotz  
Frontmatter  
[More Information](#)

---

## PREFACE

The chapters herein offer clear evidence that economic, political, and social power take many forms and is never entirely hierarchical, even in the most autocratic states. Instead, the authors argue that stable collaborative governance has a long history, that both hierarchical and heterarchical relations are complex, and that together they enable the analysis of shifting forms of power over time.

In the 19th century, hierarchies were widely assumed to be the epitome of social evolution and the very definition of progress (Nisbet, 1980); it followed that “complex” societies (e.g. Western nation-states) were more advanced than “simple” (e.g. pastoral) societies. This assumption provided the intellectual and moral rationale for scientific racism, colonialism, and other forms of domination. The antidote to this perceived underdevelopment was the use of force through conquest and rehabilitation.

In the 19th and early 20th centuries, social science disciplines – including archaeology – became the professions we recognize today, still trailing vestiges of earlier ideas. Hierarchy has remained a controlling model in the social sciences: ubiquitous, unchallenged, and all but invisible (Clarke, 1972; Crumley, 1987:157). The architecture of power has been routinely described as hierarchical: that is, tiered and ranked. This description does not incorporate the current realization that collective and dynamic social arrangements and management strategies undergird diverse forms of exchange in all societies.

As Ostrom and colleagues (1990) demonstrate, communities have found ways to organize their collective and individual tasks without central authority. They identify ‘design principles’ of stable common-pool resource management that include local knowledge, clear rules, effective communication, monitoring, sanctions, paths for conflict resolution, internal trust, and the recognition of self-determination by other institutions.

The old paradigm has given way in part due to archaeologists’ realization that many of them have spent their careers digging sites full of evidence for collaborative management. A new, more balanced paradigm has begun to take its place, one that sees many sources of power and new implications for governance. This paradigm shift started several decades ago but has found

acceptance only in the past decade. It is important that this dynamic moment and its implications be fully examined.

Thomas Kuhn's *The Structure of Scientific Revolutions* (1962, 1970) has thrilled generations of young scholars with the prospect that their work would revolutionize their chosen field. For Kuhn, *paradigms* are universally recognized scientific frameworks that, for a time, provide model problems and solutions to a community of practitioners (Kuhn, 1970: viii). Kuhn's book introduced into common usage the term *paradigm shift*, meaning a fundamental change in a widely used model or perception. Kuhn defines *scientific revolutions* as "when . . . the profession [adopts] a new set of commitments, a new basis for the practice of science. The extraordinary episodes in which that shift of professional commitments occurs are the ones known . . . as *scientific revolutions*. They are the tradition-shattering complements to the tradition-bound activity of normal science" (Kuhn, 1970: 6).

Kuhn reminds us that there are elements, levels, and dimensions of paradigmatic methods and theories, each of which must be retained, modified, or disassembled with due consideration (Kuhn, 1970: 34). So, it behooves us to hold *revolutions* – scientific or otherwise – in abeyance and take the time to proceed carefully.

Accordingly, the enormous body of work that has focused on hierarchies of power need not be jettisoned. Rather, as several chapters in this book demonstrate, the uncovering of alternate paths to power marks the beginning of a more complete understanding of how societal governance is achieved and what can emerge from the transtemporal examination of political forms and their fluctuating utility in environmental, social, and other contexts.

Nonetheless, grander social implications could reverse understandings that have prevailed for millennia. One example is the ongoing global shift in the understanding of sex and gender. In archaeology, despite several early 20th-century pioneers such as Dorothy Garrod and Kathleen Kenyon, the climate for women in archaeology was chilly through the 1980s. As women's presence in the field was accepted, new ways of seeing sex and gender in the archaeological record emerged (Gero & Conkey, 1991; Spector, 1993).

Similarly, this volume's authors question aggression as a central driver toward centralization. They argue that individual power requires social interaction and the social recognition of roles. Compliance built on trust makes strong communities. Collectively organized centers enjoyed longer apogees than their autocratic neighbors. As one traces shifting power relations over time, the changing roles of food storage units, enclosures, and *oppida* lead to a more robust explanation of the motivations for monumental construction. The authors further question earlier characterizations of particular peoples and eras as bellicose, demonstrating that new evidence points instead to

community-based defense. This approach supports a new appreciation of the importance of trust in social and political relations.

The next steps are to extract this knowledge from the archaeological, historical, and contemporary record, and to learn how to grow and integrate frameworks that include both ranked and nested structures along with those that are flatter and networked, and forms that are yet to be recognized. This book, balancing social, geographical, and temporal diversity with conceptual coherence, points to a clear way to proceed. It is in this manner that revolutions can happen and a new vision of the world order can be achieved.

Carole Crumley

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Clarke, D. L. (1972) Models and Paradigms in Contemporary Archaeology. In D. L. Clarke (ed.) *Models in Archaeology*, 47–52. New York, Academic Press.
- Crumley, C. L. (1987) A Dialectical Critique of Hierarchy. In T. C. & Gailey C. W. (eds.) *Power Relations and State Formation*, 155–168. Washington, American Anthropological Association.
- Gero, J. & Conkey, M. (eds.) (1991) *Engendering Archaeology*. Oxford, Blackwell.
- Kuhn, T. A. (1970) *The Structure of Scientific Revolutions*. Chicago, University of Chicago Press.
- Nisbet, R. (1980) *History of the Idea of Progress*. New York, Basic Books.
- Ostrom, E. (1990) *Governing the Commons: The Evolution of Institutions for Collective Action*. Cambridge, Cambridge University Press.
- Spector, J. (1993) *What This Aul Means: Feminist Archaeology at a Wahpeton Dakota Village*. Minneapolis, Minnesota Historical Society Press.

Cambridge University Press  
978-1-316-51539-6 — Power from Below in Premodern Societies  
Edited by T. L. Thurston , Manuel Fernandez-Gotz  
Frontmatter  
[More Information](#)

---