

## Index

- Abu El-Haj, Tabatha, 15, 114–15  
 Achen, Christopher H., 9–10  
 Alabama, 90, 124–5, 207–9  
 Alaska, 69, 130–2  
 Aldrich, John, 33, 52, 127–8  
   on party in government, 58, 79  
   on responsible party model, 123  
   on two-party system, 88–9, 162–3  
 Alito, Samuel, 226–7  
*American Party of Texas v. White* (Supreme Court, U. S.), 188–9  
 American Political Science Association report, on two-party system (1950), 14–16, 53–4, 71–2, 75, 123  
 Anderson-Burdick test, 194–5, 212, 221  
 Anderson, John, 203–4  
*Anderson v. Celebrezze* (Supreme Court, U. S.), 193, 205–6  
   associational rights in, 193–7, 203–4  
   ballot access in, 193–8, 200–4  
   equal protection and, 193–7  
   independent candidates, voters and, 196, 201  
   Nader and, 205  
   party in the electorate and, 203–4  
   party in government and, 194  
   party organization and, 194–5, 203  
   on sore losers, 193–9, 203  
   Tashjian and, 205  
 Ansolabehere, Stephen, 160  
 Article I, U. S. Constitution  
   public forum doctrine and, 240–1  
   Section 2, 201, 238  
   Section 4, 17–31, 63–4, 112–13, 115–16, 174, 181, 194, 201–2, 212–13, 221, 236, 238, 240–1, 244–5  
 Article II, Section 1, U. S. Constitution, 30, 187, 194, 201–2  
 association, 39  
   collective action and, 35–6  
   exit, freedom of, and, 46–50  
   rigged-rules-of-the-game problem in, 45–6  
   rules of, 45–6  
   U. S. as, 35–9, 43  
 association, political party as, 87–8, 108, 162–3  
   party organization and, 39, 57–8, 77–8, 84, 171  
   party organization as coalition, 57–8  
   Supreme Court inconsistency on, 52, 121–2, 171  
   voluntary, 39–40, 42–5, 48–50, 52, 82, 88–9, 92, 98, 100–2, 118–19, 145–6, 171, 223, 225, 228, 246–8  
   voluntary, third party as, 108  
 associational rights, 26, 245–6  
   in *Anderson*, 193–7, 203–4  
   campaign finance law and, 135–6, 153, 162–3, 170–1, 249–50  
   case-by-case approach to, 34, 52  
   in *Clingman*, 108–9, 111–13, 240  
   collective, 205–6  
   in *Cousins*, 27–9  
   in *Democratic Party v. Wisconsin*, 29–31, 34, 101–2  
   in *Elrod*, 218–20  
   equal protection approach and, 182, 186, 204–5, 223, 237  
   in *Eu*, 219, 241–2  
   exclusion, inclusion, and, 39–40, 90–1, 120, 129–30  
   fair and effective participation balanced with, 34  
   under First Amendment, 25–9, 32, 41, 51–2, 81–3, 91, 101–4, 108–9, 117, 120, 125–6, 153, 162–3, 171, 212–13, 217, 240–1

- associational rights (cont.)  
 gerrymandering and, 221  
 government speech and, 228–9  
 in *Jones*, 112–13, 120–2, 124–7  
 limited public forum and, 178–9, 223–4, 232–5, 251  
*Martinez* and, 232–5  
 nonpartisan redistricting commissions and, 221  
 party in the electorate and, 92, 186–8, 205–6, 236, 240  
 party in government and, 236  
 party membership and, 39–43, 86–7, 90–1, 96–7, 171, 240  
 party organization and, 28, 39, 186, 190, 203, 236, 247–8  
 for political parties, problem of, 45–50, 60, 92, 101, 182, 212, 224, 228–9  
 primaries and, 64, 68–9, 73–4, 81–3, 216–17, 240–1, 247  
 public forum doctrine and,  
 158, 178–9, 223–5, 230, 232–5, 237, 240–1, 245, 251  
 speech, freedom of, and, 32–4, 36, 91, 144–7  
 state action, party action as, and, 27–8, 228–9, 237–9  
 in *Tashjian*, 101–6, 108, 115–19, 239  
 third parties and, 108–11  
 in *Timmons*, 109–11  
 two-party system and, 46, 52, 88–9, 108, 162–3, 246–7  
 in *Williams*, 187
- Austin v. Michigan Chamber of Commerce*  
 (Supreme Court, U. S.), 159
- Australian ballot, 155, 186
- autonomy, party, 19–20, 25, 33
- Azari, Julia, 84
- Baier, Bret, 198
- Baker v. Carr* (Supreme Court, U. S.), 207–8, 211–12
- ballot access  
 in *American Party of Texas*, 188–9  
 in *Anderson*, 193–8, 200–4  
 equal protection and, 186–90, 250  
 party organization and, 187  
 third party, 186–9  
 in two-party system, 186–8
- Balsam v. Sec'y of N. J.* (Third Circuit Court of Appeals, U. S.), 81, 86–7, 93
- Bartels, Larry M., 9–10
- Bauer, Robert F., 84–5, 180
- BCRA. *See* Bipartisan Campaign Reform Act
- Berry, Jeffrey M., 12–13
- Bickel, Alexander, 181
- Bipartisan Campaign Reform Act (2002) (BCRA), 143–4, 160, 164–5, 169, 175–6
- bipartisanship, mid-twentieth century, 14
- Black, Hugo, 187
- blanket primaries, 70  
 California, 72, 120–7, 130–1, 192, 248  
 in *Jones*, 120–7, 130–1, 248  
 nonpartisan, 69, 72, 126–7, 130–1, 235  
 partisan and nonpartisan, differences between, 130–1  
 Washington State, nonpartisan, 72, 127, 130, 235
- board games, 3–5
- Brady, David, 9–11
- Brennan, William, 39–40
- Brewer, Mark, 128–9
- Breyer, Stephen, 214, 239–40  
 in *Colorado Republican I*, 167, 171–2  
 inconsistency of, 171–3  
*McCutcheon* dissent of, 165–7, 169–75
- Briffault, Richard, 177
- Buckley v. Valeo* (Supreme Court, U. S.), 138–9, 156  
*Colorado Republican I* and, 142  
*Colorado Republican II* and, 151–2  
 on contributions, expenditures and, 137–8, 141–3, 145, 151–2, 157, 169  
 on corruption, 141–2, 158–61, 163–4  
 FECA and, 137–8, 142–3, 151–2  
 First Amendment in, 138, 141–2, 248–9  
*McConnell* and, 163–4  
*McCutcheon* and, 169
- Burger Court, 177, 186, 250
- Burke, Edmund, 42
- buying, of elections, 139
- cadre parties, 56
- Cain, Bruce, 9–11, 73, 84–5, 140–1, 176
- California, 219  
 blanket primaries in, 72, 120–7, 130–1, 192, 248  
 Elections Code, 190–3, 235–6  
 endorsement ban in, 219, 235–7, 241–3  
 nonpartisan blanket primaries in, 72, 126–7, 130–1  
 Proposition 14, 127
- California Democratic Party v. Jones* (*Jones*)  
 (Supreme Court, U. S.), 114, 120–1  
 associational rights in, 112–13, 120–2, 124–7  
 blanket primaries in, 120–7, 130–1, 248  
 dissent in, 123, 125–6  
 First Amendment and, 125–7  
 nonpartisan blanket primaries and, 126–7, 130–1  
 party in the electorate and, 120–2, 124–6, 248  
 party in government and, 121, 124–6  
 party organization and, 120–1, 124–5, 248

- privacy in, 124–5  
 Stevens in, 40–1, 90, 92–3, 123, 125–6, 235  
*Tashjian* and, 120–2  
 campaign finance, 135, 140–1, 155, 175–7  
 campaign finance law, 180–2, 249  
   arguments for, 139–40, 158–9  
   associational rights and, 135–6, 153, 162–3, 170–1, 249–50  
   BCRA, 143–4, 160, 164–5, 169, 175–6  
   *Buckley* on, 137–9, 141–3, 145, 151–2, 156–61, 163–4, 169, 248–9  
   buying, of elections, and, 139  
   *Citizens United* on, 24–5, 32, 142, 145–7, 159–61, 175–6, 224  
   *Colorado Republican I* on, 142–3, 149–52, 155–7, 159, 167, 171–2, 180, 249  
   *Colorado Republican II* on, 150–3, 155–6, 167, 180, 249  
   contributions, expenditures in, 135–8, 141–3, 145, 148–52, 155–9, 169, 171–2, 180, 249  
   corruption in, 136–7, 140–2, 158–67, 170–5, 178–9  
   FECA, 137–8, 142–4, 148–52, 175–6  
   financial thresholds, high, and, 139  
   First Amendment and, 24–5, 32, 138, 141–7, 152–3, 155–9, 162–3, 165–6, 170, 178–9, 224, 248–9  
   527 organizations under, 175–6  
   fundraising, time spent on, and, 139–40  
   history of, 136–44  
   *McCormell* on, 156–7, 160–4, 166–7, 170, 175–7  
   *McCutcheon* on, 165–7, 169–77, 249–50  
   networks theory and, 178–9, 250  
   *Newberry* on, 17–19  
   party in the electorate and, 135–6, 146–7, 218  
   party in government and, 135–6, 141, 146–58, 161  
   party organization and, 135–6, 142–3, 146–57, 161–2, 170, 173  
   permanent campaign and, 139–40  
   public debate and, 140  
   responsiveness and, 164–6  
   Roberts Court on, 159–61, 163–4, 167, 170, 176–7, 249–50  
   shadow parties under, 176–9, 181, 250  
   soft money under, 143–4, 159–67, 169–72, 175–7, 249  
   speech, freedom of, and, 138, 141–2, 144–7, 158–9  
   as state action, party action and, 157–8  
   Super PACs under, 175–6, 249–50  
   three-tier critique of constitutionalized, 144–7  
   tripartite model, of political parties, and, 147–57  
   Cardozo, Benjamin, 25  
  
*Carolene Products*. *See United States v. Carolene Products*  
*Christian Legal Society v. Martinez* (*Martinez*) (Supreme Court, U. S.), 231–5  
*Citizens United v. FEC* (Supreme Court, U. S.), 142, 146–7, 160–1  
   on corruption, 159  
   criticisms of, 146  
   dissent in, 146  
   First Amendment in, 24–5, 32, 145, 224  
   Super PACs after, 175–6  
 citizenship, 36–8, 43–4  
 civil rights movement, 14–15, 26–7, 124–5  
 Clark, Tom C., 25–6, 92–3  
*Classic*. *See United States v. Classic*  
*Clingman v. Beaver* (Supreme Court, U. S.), 112, 239  
   associational rights in, 108–9, 111–13, 240  
   O'Connor in, 55, 85, 108–9, 112–13, 117, 239–40  
   party organization in, 106–7  
   party registration in, 106–8, 111–12, 240  
   Stevens in, 41, 111  
   *Tashjian* and, 105–6, 108, 112–14  
   third parties in, 105–9, 111  
 closed primaries, 75–6, 86, 95. *See also* semi-closed primaries  
   *Balsam* on, 81, 86–7, 93  
   Connecticut, 100–4, 117, 238  
   inclusive major parties and, 99–104  
   *Nader* on, 81–3, 87–8, 90–3, 96, 100–3, 170–1, 205, 220  
   open and, 70–3, 91  
   party raiding and, 89–90  
   semi-closed, 34, 70–2, 76, 85–6, 93, 98–100, 112–13, 239–40  
   *Tashjian* on, 100–6, 108, 110–17, 121–2, 205, 238–9  
   voting rights and, 93–8  
 coalition, party organization as, 57–8  
 Cohen, Marty, 57–8, 67–8  
 collective, 205–6. *See also* association  
   Constitution as product of, 35–6  
   rigged-rules-of-the-game problem in, 45–6  
   rules of, 45–6  
   self-interest feedback loop in, 46–8  
   speech of, 36, 144–5  
   Supreme Court privileging, 218  
   U. S. as, 35–9  
 collective action, 35–6, 117–18

- Colorado Republican Federal Campaign Committee v. FEC* (Supreme Court, U. S.) (*Colorado Republican I*), 142, 152  
 Breyer in, 167, 171–2  
 contributions, expenditures in, 149–51, 155–7, 159, 171–2, 180, 249  
 on corruption, 159, 167, 171–2  
 FECA and, 143, 149–51  
 soft money and, 143
- Colorado Republican II (FEC v. Colorado Republican Federal Campaign Committee)*, 150–3, 155–6, 167, 180, 249
- Commerce Clause, 18
- compulsory speech laws, 104
- Connecticut, 100–4, 110–11, 113  
 closed primaries in, 100–4, 117, 238  
 Republican Party, 100–4, 116–17, 238  
 conservative, identification as, 9–10
- Constitution, U. S., 47, 197–8. *See also*  
 associational rights; First Amendment;  
 speech, freedom of
- Article I, public forum doctrine and, 240–1  
 Article I, Section 2, 201, 238  
 Article I, Section 4, 17–31, 63–4, 112–13, 115–16, 174, 181, 194, 201–2, 212–13, 221, 236, 238, 240–1, 244–5  
 Article II, Section 1, 30, 187, 194, 201–2  
 as collective product, 35–6  
 Commerce Clause, 18  
 democracy and, 197  
 Fifteenth Amendment, 21, 25–6, 36, 77, 80, 92–3, 208–9, 247  
 Fifth Amendment, 113–14  
 Fourteenth Amendment, 21, 23, 37–8, 43–4, 77, 80–1, 92–3, 96, 102–3, 113–14, 185–90, 193, 204–5, 207–10, 244–5, 247, 250  
 parties and, 7–8, 180–1, 197–8  
 parties constrained by, 16, 19–20  
 regulation by, parties shielded from, 16, 24–9  
 responsiveness and, 164–6  
 state action doctrine, 20–1  
 on state power, over elections, 201–2  
 Thirteenth Amendment, 22–3  
 tripartite model, of political parties, and, 52–3, 57  
 Twenty-Fourth Amendment, 22–3, 80–1
- corruption, 159  
*Buckley* on, 141–2, 158–61, 163–4  
*Citizens United* on, 159  
*Colorado Republican I* on, 159, 167, 171–2  
*Colorado Republican II* on, 167  
 Federal Corrupt Practices Act, 136–7  
*McConnell* on, 160–4, 166–7  
*McCutcheon* and, 170–5
- networks theory on, 178–9  
 quid pro quo, 136–7, 140–2, 159, 163–7, 170–4, 178–9  
 Roberts Court on, 159–61, 163–4, 167  
 soft money and, 159–67, 171–2  
*Cousins v. Wigoda* (Supreme Court, U. S.), 27–9  
 cracking, in gerrymandering, 208–9, 216–17  
 crisis, in party system, 8–9, 12  
 intraparty democracy in, 11–12  
 polarization in, 9–11  
 weak parties in, 11
- Davis v. Bandemer* (Supreme Court, U. S.), 210
- DCCC. *See* Democratic Congressional Campaign Committee
- democracy, direct and representative, 197
- Democratic Congressional Campaign Committee (DCCC), 162
- Democratic Party  
 superdelegates of, 73  
 Texas, 21–2
- Democratic Party of the United States v. Wisconsin ex rel. La Follette (Democratic Party v. Wisconsin)* (Supreme Court, U. S.), 29–31, 34, 101–2
- discrimination  
 against women, 39–40  
 Fifteenth Amendment on, 21, 77, 80, 92–3, 208–9, 247  
 invidious, 188–90, 195–6, 204–5  
 LGBT, 125  
 racial, 21–4, 41, 50, 63, 77, 79–80, 92–3, 96–7, 104, 129–30, 156, 185–6, 204–5, 208–9, 218, 247  
 voting rights and, 22–3, 80, 96–7
- doctrinal threshold, questions of, 201
- Douglas, William O., 23
- Drutman, Lee, 10–11, 214
- Duke, David, 40–1
- Duke v. Massey* (Eleventh Circuit Court of Appeals, U. S.), 40–1
- duopoly, 4
- Duverger's law, 46, 105
- Eisler, Jacob, 155–6
- election procedures, U. S. Constitution and, 197–8, 244–5
- Electoral College, 198–202
- electoral policy, judicial takeover of, 113–19
- Elrod v. Burns* (Supreme Court, U. S.), 218–20
- endorsements  
 endorsement ban, in California, 219, 235–7, 241–3  
 party organization and, 219–20

- equal protection. *See also* Fourteenth Amendment  
*Anderson* and, 193–7  
 associational rights and, 182, 186, 204–5, 223, 237  
 ballot access and, 186–90, 250  
*Caroline Products* and, 96–7  
 First Amendment approach and,  
 182, 186, 189–90, 192–7, 204–5, 223, 244–5, 250  
 in Fourteenth Amendment, 80–1, 92–3, 96,  
 102–3, 185–90, 193, 204–5, 207–10, 244–5, 247,  
 250  
 gerrymandering and, 208–10, 250–1  
 in *Nader*, 81–2, 101–2  
*Nixon v. Herndon* and, 185–6  
 party in the electorate and, 185, 192–3, 247, 250  
 party in government and, 185  
 party organization and, 185  
 race and, 185–6, 204–5, 208–9  
*Storer* and, 190–4, 205  
 voting rights and, 93–7, 102–3, 185–6, 205  
 White Primary Cases and, 96–7, 185–6, 237  
*Eu v. San Francisco County Democratic Central  
 Committee* (Supreme Court, U. S.), 219,  
 235–7, 241–3  
 exclusion  
 associational rights and, 39–40, 90–1, 120, 129–30  
 inclusion and, 39–40, 120, 129–30  
 from party membership, 40–3, 90–1  
 by party organization, 41, 74  
 exit, freedom of, 46–50  
 fair and effective participation, 34  
 FEC. *See* Federal Election Commission  
*FEC v. Colorado Republican Federal Campaign  
 Committee*. *See* *Colorado Republican II*  
 FECA. *See* Federal Election Campaign Act  
 Federal Corrupt Practices Act (1910),  
 136–7  
 Federal Election Campaign Act (1974) (FECA),  
 137, 143–4, 148, 175–6  
*Buckley* and, 137–8, 142–3, 151–2  
*Colorado Republican I* and, 143, 149–51  
*Colorado Republican II* and, 151–2  
 Federal Election Commission (FEC), 137, 143,  
 148–57, 175  
 Federalist No. 10, 191  
 Fifteenth Amendment, 25–6, 36  
 primaries and, 21, 92–3  
 on racial discrimination, 21, 77, 80, 92–3, 208–9,  
 247  
 Fifth Amendment, 113–14  
 financial thresholds, high, 139  
 First Amendment, 24–5, 36, 106, 115, 215, 222, 244  
 ad hoc approach to parties and, 222–4  
*Anderson* and, 193–7, 203–4  
 associational rights under, 25–9, 32, 41, 51–2,  
 81–3, 91, 101–4, 108–9, 117, 120, 125–6, 153,  
 162–3, 171, 212–13, 217, 240–1  
 autonomy, party, under, 26–7  
 in *Buckley*, 138, 141–2, 248–9  
 campaign finance law and, 24–5, 32, 138, 141–7,  
 152–3, 155–9, 162–3, 165–6, 170, 178–9, 224,  
 248–9  
 categories under, 222–3  
 in *Citizens United*, 24–5, 32, 145, 224  
 equal protection approach and, 182, 186, 189–90,  
 192–7, 204–5, 223, 244–5, 250  
 government speech and, 27–8, 59, 157–8, 224–7,  
 238  
*Jones* and, 125–7  
 limited public forum and, 223–4, 232–4, 241–2,  
 251  
*Martinez* and, 232–5  
 party in government and, 59  
 party membership and, 33–4, 41, 91  
 party organization and, 192–3, 203, 250  
 public forum doctrine and, 158, 223–5, 230,  
 232–4, 237, 240–3, 245, 251  
 in *Tashjian*, 101–6, 120, 238  
 tripartite model, of political parties, and,  
 144, 251  
 unconstitutional conditions and, 234  
 in *Washington State Grange*, 127  
 in *Williams*, 187  
 Fishkin, Joseph, 181  
 on Burke, 42  
 on campaign finance law, 135, 177  
 on exit, freedom of, 50  
 on polarization, 10, 50  
 on shadow parties, 177, 181  
 527 organizations, 175–6  
 formalism, 17–19, 24, 87–8  
 founding fathers, 6–8, 47, 191  
 Fourteenth Amendment, 37–8, 43–4, 113–14  
*Anderson* and, 193  
 ballot access under, 186–90, 250  
 equal protection in, 80–1, 92–3, 96, 102–3,  
 185–90, 193, 204–5, 207–10, 244–5, 247, 250  
 primaries and, 21, 23, 92–3  
 voting rights and, 77, 80, 92–3, 96, 204–5  
 franchise, expansion of. *See* voting rights  
 free speech. *See* speech, freedom of  
 fundraising, time spent on, 139–40  
 fusion candidacies, 109–11, 128  
 games, 3–5  
 Gardbaum, Stephen, 66–8, 75  
 Garrett, Elizabeth, 33–4, 235  
 Gerber, Elizabeth R., 71–2

- Gerken, Heather, 8, 181  
 on Burke, 42  
 on campaign finance law, 135, 177  
 on exit, freedom of, 50  
 on polarization, 10, 50  
 on shadow parties, 177–9, 181
- Gerry, Elbridge, 208  
 gerrymandering, 208, 210  
 associational rights and, 221  
 contemporary examples of, 209  
 equal protection and partisan, 210, 250–1  
 equal protection and racial, 208–9  
 House of Representatives, U. S., and, 210, 214–17  
 as nonjusticiable, partisan, 210–12, 250–1  
 nonpartisan redistricting commissions and, 220–1  
 packing and cracking in, 208–9, 216–17  
 party in government and, 209–13, 216–19, 221  
 party in the electorate and, 211, 217–18  
 party organization and, 211–13, 217–18  
 privatization, of elections, and, 216–18  
 Republican Party favored by, 210–11  
*Rucho* on, 211–18, 220, 250–1  
 as state action, 211–12, 216  
 Texas, 209, 211  
*Vieth* on, 210–11  
 winner-takes-all system and, 214
- Gimpel, James G., 71–2
- Gingrich, Newt, 14–15
- Ginsburg, Ruth Bader, 92, 111
- Gomillion v. Lightfoot* (Supreme Court, U. S.), 208–9
- government, protection from, 27–8, 246
- government electoral safeguards, party in government and, 50–1
- government speech. *See* speech, government
- Gray, Cody, 73, 84–5, 140–1, 176
- Gray v. Sanders* (Supreme Court, U. S.), 207
- Green, Donald, 157
- Grovey v. Townsend* (Supreme Court, U. S.), 21–2
- Hagen, Michael, 68
- Hague v. CIO* (Supreme Court, U. S.), 229–30, 251
- Hair* (musical), 242–3
- Harlan, John M., II, 26–7, 187–8
- Hirano, Shigeo, 68, 95–6, 130
- Hoffman, Adam H., 71–2
- House of Representatives, U. S., gerrymandering and, 210, 214–17
- Humphrey, Hubert, 66
- Hurley v. Irish-American Gay-Lesbian and Bisexual Group of Boston* (Supreme Court, U. S.), 125
- inclusion, exclusion and. *See* exclusion
- inclusive major parties, closed primaries and, 99–104
- inclusive third party, semi-closed primaries and, 104–13
- Independent Voter Project, 84
- independents, 73, 93, 107, 197  
*Anderson* and, 196, 201  
 identification as, 10, 54–5, 71, 73–5, 87  
 leaning, 83, 95  
 party in the electorate and, 83  
 polarization and, 73–5  
 as spoilers, candidates of, 198–200  
*Tashjian* on, 100–4, 106
- intraparty democracy, 11–12, 53–4
- Invernizzi Accetti, Carlo, 8–9
- invidious discrimination, 188–90, 195–6, 204–5
- invisible primary, 67
- Issacharoff, Samuel, 131, 180
- Jaybird Association, 23–6
- Johnson, Lyndon, 14–15
- Jones. See California Democratic Party v. Jones*
- judicial takeover, of electoral policy, 113–19
- Kagan, Elena, 209, 213
- Kang, Michael, 28–9, 135, 155, 179
- Karol, David, 57–8, 67–8
- Kaufmann, Karen M., 71–2
- Kennedy, Anthony, 124, 163–4, 166–7
- Klar, Samantha, 73–4, 83, 87, 95
- Kramer v. Union Free School District* (Supreme Court, U. S.), 94–5
- Krupnikov, Yanna, 73–4, 83, 87, 95
- laboratories, states as, 200
- League of United Latin American Citizens v. Perry* (Supreme Court, U. S.), 211
- Levitsky, Steven, 3–4, 11–12
- LGBT, discrimination against, 125
- liberal, identification as, 9–10
- Libertarian Party, 105–8, 111–12, 162
- Libertarian Party of Oklahoma, 105–7, 112–13
- limited public forum, 225, 231–7, 241–3  
 associational rights and, 178–9, 223–4, 232–5, 251  
 in *Martinez*, 231–5  
 tripartite model, of political parties, and, 223–4
- Lowenstein, Daniel, 8, 28, 59, 118–21, 228–9
- low-information voter, 49–50
- Lowry, Rich, 48–9
- machine politics, 13, 154, 218–19
- Madison, James, 6–7, 47, 191, 197
- Maisel, L. Sandy, 12–13

- major parties  
 closed primaries and inclusive, 99–104  
 exit, freedom of, and, 48–50  
 party membership in, 41–5  
 party organization and, 15–16  
 malapportionment, 207–8  
 Marshall, Thurgood, 102–3, 116  
*Martinez*. *See* *Christian Legal Society v. Martinez*  
 Maryland, 209  
 Masket, Seth E., 10–11, 64, 67  
 mass-membership parties, 56  
 Mayer, William, 68  
 Mayhew, David, 13  
 McCain-Feingold Act. *See* Bipartisan Campaign Reform Act  
*McCormell v. FEC* (Supreme Court, U. S.), 156–7  
 Buckley and, 163–4  
 reversal for, possibility of, 176–7  
 on soft money, 160–4, 166–7, 170, 175–7  
*McCutcheon v. FEC* (Supreme Court, U. S.), 160–1, 170, 176–7, 249–50  
 Breyer dissent in, 165–7, 169–75  
 Buckley and, 169  
 corruption and, 170–5  
 Roberts, J., in, 165–6, 170, 173–4  
 McGovern-Fraser Commission, 66, 142–3  
 McReynolds, James, 17–18  
 membership, party, 43–4  
 associational rights and, 39–43, 86–7, 90–1, 96–7, 171, 240  
 citizenship compared with, 43–4  
 exclusion from, 40–3, 90–1  
 First Amendment and, 33–4, 41, 91  
 formal definition of, 53–4, 86  
 in major parties, 41–5  
 in multiple parties, 108–9  
 party in the electorate and, 33–56, 71, 84–6, 96, 102, 118  
 party organization and, 42, 71, 76, 84  
 party registration and, 70, 86–90, 97, 118, 240  
 primary form and, 69–77, 99  
 state laws determining, 40–1  
 in *Tashjian*, 103–4, 118  
 Meyer, William G., 43  
 Michigan, Citizens Redistricting Commission in, 219–21  
 Michigan Model, 54  
 Minnesota, 40–1, 109–11  
 minor parties, 39  
*Minor v. Happersett* (Supreme Court, U. S.), 36–8  
 Minton, Sherman, 23–4  
 mixed system, 65–6, 75  
 Monopoly (board game), 3–5  
 Morton, Rebecca B., 71–2  
 multiple parties, membership in, 108–9  
*NAACP v. Alabama ex rel. Patterson* (Supreme Court, U. S.), 26–7  
*Nader v. Schaffer* (District Court, U. S.)  
 on closed primaries, 81–3, 87–8, 90–3, 96, 100–3, 170–1, 205, 220  
 equal protection in, 81–2, 101–2  
*Tashjian* and, 100–4  
 on two-party system, 88  
 networks theory, 178–9, 250  
 New Jersey, 81, 86–7  
*Newberry v. United States* (Supreme Court, U. S.), 17–19  
*Nixon v. Condon* (Supreme Court, U. S.), 21, 96–7  
*Nixon v. Herndon* (Supreme Court, U. S.), 21, 96–7, 185–6  
 Noel, Hans, 13, 57–8, 67–8, 178  
 nonjusticiable, partisan gerrymandering as, 210–12, 250–1  
 nonpartisan blanket primaries, 69  
 California, 72, 126–7, 130–1  
*Jones* and, 126–7, 130–1  
 partisan and, differences between, 130–1  
 Washington State, 72, 127, 130, 235  
 nonpartisan primaries, 84  
 nonpartisan redistricting commissions, 220–1  
 North Carolina, 209  
 Norton, Helen, 226  
 O'Connor, Sandra Day  
 on associational rights, 108–9, 112–13, 116–17, 239–40  
 in *Clingman*, 55, 85, 108–9, 112–13, 117, 239–40  
 in *Davis*, 210  
 Ohio, 193–4, 196–8, 201–4  
 Oklahoma, 105–7, 112–13, 239–40  
 one-party dominance, 95–6  
 one-person-one-vote principle, 23, 207–8, 210  
 open primaries, 71, 85–6  
 closed and, 70–3, 91  
 party raiding in, 30–1, 70, 89–91  
 originalism, 17–19  
 packing, in gerrymandering, 208–9, 216–17  
 participation, fair and effective, 34  
 partisan balance, on state courts, 221  
 partisan blanket primaries. *See* blanket primaries  
 partisan gerrymandering. *See* gerrymandering  
*The Party Decides* (Cohen et al.), 57–8, 67–8  
 party in government, 12, 50–1, 59, 63–4, 106  
 Aldrich on, 58, 79  
 Anderson and, 194

- party in government (cont.)  
 associational rights and, 236  
 campaign finance law and, 135–6, 141, 146–58, 161  
*Elrod* and, 218–20  
 equal protection and, 185  
 gerrymandering and, 209–13, 216–19, 221  
 government speech and, 36, 227–8  
*Jones* and, 121, 124–6  
 in *McCormell*, party organization and, 163  
 party in the electorate and, 56, 59–60, 110, 118–19, 121, 125, 153–4  
 party organization and, 26–8, 58–60, 68–9, 76, 100–1, 110, 118–19, 121, 124–5, 146–7, 153–4, 157, 161, 163, 211, 217–20  
 patronage and, 218–20  
 primary form and, 76, 78–9, 101, 247  
 rigging and, 51, 114–15  
*Rucho* and, 217–18  
 self-dealing by, 111, 194  
 state action and, 59–60, 78–9, 100–1, 107–8, 125–6, 156–8, 211–12, 216, 227, 247  
 Supreme Court neglecting, 52–3  
*Tashjian* and, 100–1, 110–11, 118–20  
 in tripartite model, 6, 8, 153–4, 245–7  
 two-party system and, 107–8, 111, 245–6  
 White Primary Cases and, 156
- party in the electorate, 176  
*Anderson* and, 203–4  
 associational rights and, 92, 186–8, 205–6, 236, 240  
 campaign finance law and, 135–6, 146–7, 218  
 equal protection and, 185, 192–3, 247, 250  
 exit, freedom of, and, 49–50  
 gerrymandering and, 211, 217–18  
 government speech and, 227–8  
*Jones* and, 120–2, 124–6, 248  
 low-information voter and, 49–50  
 party in government and, 56, 59–60, 110, 118–19, 125, 153–4  
 party leaders, weakening, and, 13–14  
 party membership and, 33–56, 71, 84–6, 96, 102, 118  
 party registration and, 54–5, 83, 85  
 primaries and, 64–9, 75–6, 83–5, 89, 96, 99–100, 102–4, 129, 155, 218, 236, 248  
 primary form and, 76–7, 247  
 under public forum rubric, 235, 243  
 self-identification of, 54–5, 240  
 semi-closed primaries and, 99  
 Supreme Court neglecting, 52–3  
*Tashjian* and, 102–4, 118–19  
 in tripartite model, 6, 8, 153–4, 245–7  
 two-party system and, 245–6
- voting rights of, 96, 98, 205  
 White Primary Cases and, 77, 129  
 in *Williams*, 187–8
- party in the electorate, party organization and, 56, 77, 154  
 in mixed system, 65–6, 75  
 party organization, favoring of, 8–9, 51–2, 98, 187–8, 190, 192–3, 205, 211, 216, 220, 224, 248, 250  
 in primaries, 65–9, 75, 84–5, 96, 99–100, 102–4, 236, 247–8  
 tension between, 26, 67–9, 74, 99, 102–4, 106, 110, 118–19, 121, 124–5, 129–30, 146–7, 153–4, 186–8, 247  
 in Trump era, 154
- party machines, 13, 154, 218–19
- party membership. *See* membership, party
- party organization, 13–16, 56, 77, 127–8, 185  
*Anderson* and, 194–5, 203  
 Anderson-Burdick test favoring, 194–5  
 association, political party as, and, 39, 57–8, 77–8, 84, 171  
 associational rights and, 28, 39, 186, 190, 203, 236, 247–8  
 cadre parties, U. S., 56  
 campaign finance law and, 135–6, 142–3, 146–57, 161–2, 170, 173  
 in *Clingman*, 106–7  
 as coalition, 57–8  
 constitutionalizing, 26  
 endorsements and, 219–20  
 exclusion by, 41, 74  
 favoring of, 8–9, 51–3, 98, 132, 155–6, 187–8, 190, 192–5, 205, 211, 216, 224, 248, 250–1  
 First Amendment approach and, 192–3, 203, 250  
 gerrymandering and, 211–13, 217–18  
 government speech and, 227–8  
 image constructed by, 128–9  
 in *Jones*, 120–1, 124–5, 248  
 in *McCormell*, party in government and, 163  
 in mixed system, 65–6, 75  
 party in government and, 26–8, 58–60, 68–9, 76, 100–1, 110, 118–19, 121, 124–5, 146–7, 153–4, 157, 161, 163, 211, 217–20  
 party membership and, 42, 71, 76, 84  
 polarization and, 10  
 primaries, openness of, and, 71  
 primaries and, 63–9, 75–6, 78, 84–5, 96, 99–100, 102–6, 129–30, 155, 236, 248  
 primary form and, 75–8, 99, 247  
 under public forum rubric, 235  
*Rucho* and, 217–18  
 rules established by, 12, 51  
 semi-closed primaries and, 99



- soft money and, 161–2  
 Super PACs and, 176  
 in *Tashjian*, 100–4, 116–19, 205  
 in tripartite model, 6, 8, 153–4, 245–7  
 in Trump era, 154  
 in *Washington State Grange*, 128–30  
 White Primary Cases and, 104  
*Williams* and, 187
- party organization, party in the electorate and. *See*  
 party in the electorate, party organization and  
 party raiding, 30–1, 70, 89–91  
 party registration. *See* registration, party  
 party regulation. *See* regulation, party  
 party system, advantages of, 7  
 patronage, 136–7, 154, 218–20  
 peer review selection system, in primaries,  
 66, 75
- Pendleton Civil Service Act (1883), 136–7  
 Pennsylvania, 73, 76  
 permanent campaign, 139–40  
 Pildes, Richard, 46, 66–8, 75, 89, 200, 203  
 Pitney, Mahlon, 18
- polarization, 9–11, 15, 131–2, 248  
 exit, freedom of, and, 49–50  
 independent, identification as, and, 73–5  
 networks theory and, 178  
 primaries and, 64, 73–5, 192  
 race and, 14–15
- Powell, Lewis, 42, 90  
 presidential election, 2016, 67–8, 84–5, 198
- primaries  
 associational rights and, 64, 68–9, 73–4, 81–3,  
 216–17, 247  
 categories of, 69–70  
*Classic* on, 18–20, 63–4, 238  
*Clingman* and, 105–6, 112, 114, 239–40  
 Constitution and regulation of, 17–18, 236, 238,  
 240–1  
 in *Democratic Party v. Wisconsin*, 29–31, 34,  
 101–2  
 in *Eu*, 219, 235–7, 241  
 Fourteenth, Fifteenth Amendments and, 21, 23,  
 92–3  
 gerrymandering and, 216–17  
 history of, 65–9, 247  
 independent voters and, 73–5, 100–4, 106  
 invisible primary, 67  
 in *Jones*, 114, 120–7, 130–1, 248  
 in mixed system, 65–6, 75  
*Newberry* on, 17–19  
 party in government and, 63–4, 68–9, 76, 101,  
 247  
 party in the electorate, party organization in,  
 65–9, 75, 84–5, 96, 99–100, 102–4, 236, 247–8
- party in the electorate and, 64–9, 75–6, 83–5, 89,  
 96, 99–100, 102–4, 129, 155, 218, 236, 247–8  
 party membership, registration and, in, 70,  
 86–90  
 party organization and, 63–9, 71, 75–6, 78, 84–5,  
 96, 99–100, 102–6, 129–30, 155, 236, 247–8  
 party raiding in, 30–1, 70, 89–91  
 peer review selection system in, 66, 75  
 polarization and, 64, 73–5, 192  
 private, public, and, 63  
 state action, party action as, and, 20–4, 40, 63,  
 78–81, 92–3, 125–6, 156, 216–17, 247  
*Storer* on, 190–5, 199  
*Tashjian* on, 100–6, 108, 110–17, 121–2, 205,  
 238–9  
*Terry* on, 23–6, 92–3  
 tripartite model, of political parties, and, 68–9,  
 89  
 Twenty-Fourth Amendment and, 22–3, 80–1  
 in two-party system, 65, 74–5, 247  
 2016 presidential, 67–8, 84–5  
 voting rights and, 80–2, 93–8
- primaries, blanket. *See* blanket primaries  
 primaries, closed. *See* closed primaries  
 primaries, nonpartisan. *See* nonpartisan primaries  
 primaries, nonpartisan blanket. *See* nonpartisan  
 blanket primaries  
 primaries, open. *See* open primaries  
 primary form, 78  
 party in government and, 76, 78–9, 101, 247  
 party in the electorate and, 76–7, 247  
 party membership and, 69–77, 99  
 party organization and, 75–8, 99, 247
- privacy, 124–5  
 private, political parties as, 16–18, 22, 246  
 private, public and, 5–6, 28, 63, 246–7  
 privatization, of elections, 216–18  
 progressive era, reforms in, 13, 63–4, 155, 186,  
 218–19
- Proposition 14 (California), 127  
 public, private and. *See* private, public and  
 public debate, campaign finance law and, 140  
 public forum doctrine, 230, 241  
 associational rights and, 158, 178–9, 223–5, 230,  
 232–5, 237, 240–1, 245, 251  
*Eu* and, 235–7, 241–3  
 First Amendment and, 158, 223–5, 230, 232–4,  
 237, 240–3, 245, 251  
 government speech and, 229–30, 236–7, 243  
*Hague* and, 229–30, 251  
 limited public forum in, 178–9, 223–5, 231–7,  
 241–3, 251  
*Martinez* and, 231–5  
 mechanics of public fora, 231–7

public forum doctrine (cont.)  
 party in the electorate under, 235, 243  
 party organization under, 235  
 RSO and, 232–4  
 tripartite model, of political parties, and, 223–4, 251  
 two-party system and, 230–2, 237  
 types of public fora, 231  
 public park, 229–30, 251  
 public theater, 242–3

quid pro quo corruption. *See* corruption

race  
 discrimination, 21–4, 41, 50, 63, 77, 79–80, 92–3, 96–7, 104, 129–30, 156, 185–6, 204–5, 208–9, 218, 247  
 equal protection and, 185–6, 204–5, 208–9  
 Fifteenth Amendment and discrimination, 21, 77, 80, 92–3, 208–9, 247  
 gerrymandering by, 208–9  
 polarization and, 14–15  
 redistricting and, 218  
 voting rights and discrimination, 22–3, 80, 96–7  
 in White Primary Cases, 21–2, 41, 50, 63, 77, 79, 96–7, 104, 129, 156, 185–6, 224  
 ranked-choice voting, 199–200  
 Ranney, Austin, 44, 53–4  
 Rappaport, Ronald B., 128  
 realism, 17–18, 24, 87–8  
 redistricting, 218, 220–1  
 registered student organization (RSO), 232–4  
 registration, party, 95  
 in *Clingman*, 106–8, 111–12, 240  
 Libertarian Party of Oklahoma, 105, 107  
 party in the electorate and, 54–5, 83, 85  
 party membership and, 70, 86–90, 97, 118, 240  
 semi-closed primaries and, 99  
 regulation, party  
 importance of, 6–9  
 party in government and, 12  
 party organization and, 12, 51  
 Rehnquist, William, 39–40, 160–3  
 Rendon, Marjorie, 56  
 Republican Party  
 Connecticut, 100–4, 116–17, 238  
 Duke and, 40–1  
 gerrymandering favoring, 210–11  
 2016 presidential debate in, 198  
 Weld in, 108–9  
 responsible party model, 14–15, 71, 123  
 responsiveness, 164–6  
*Reynolds v. Sims* (Supreme Court, U. S.), 207–8  
 rigged-rules-of-the-game problem, 45–6

rigging, party in government and, 51, 114–15  
 Roberts, John, 165–6, 170, 173–4, 214, 218  
 Roberts, Owen, 229–30, 251  
 Roberts Court  
 on campaign finance law, 159–61, 163–4, 167, 170, 176–7, 249–50  
 on corruption, 159–61, 163–4, 167  
*Roberts v. U. S. Jaycees* (Supreme Court, U. S.), 39–40  
 Rosenblum, Nancy, 43, 58  
 Rosenbluth, Frances McCall, 11, 67  
 RSO. *See* registered student organization  
*Rucho v. Common Cause* (Supreme Court, U. S.)  
 on gerrymandering, 211–18, 220, 250–1  
 party in government, party organization, and, 217–18  
 rules, 3–5  
 of association, 45–6  
 party in government establishing, 12  
 party organization establishing, 12, 51  
 rigged-rules-of-the-game problem, 45–6  
 rigging, party in government and, 51, 114–15  
 voting, 47–8

Sanders, Bernie, 73, 84  
 Scalia, Antonin, 109, 171  
 in *Citizens United*, 32, 145  
 on First Amendment, 32, 41, 212–13  
 on gerrymandering, 210–11  
 in *Jones*, 120–1  
 in *Tashjian*, 116–18, 122  
 in *Vieth*, 210–11  
 in *Washington State Grange*, 127–8, 235  
 Schattschneider, E. E., 7  
 self-identification, of partisanship, 54–6, 73–5, 85, 240  
 self-interest feedback loop, 46–8  
 semi-closed primaries, 34, 70–2, 85–6, 93, 98  
 inclusive third party and, 104–13  
 Oklahoma, 112–13, 239–40  
 party in the electorate, party organization, and, 99  
 party registration and, 99  
 Pennsylvania, 76  
 shadow parties, 176–9, 181, 250  
 Shapiro, Ian, 11–12, 67  
*Smith v. Allwright* (Supreme Court, U. S.), 21–2, 25, 92–3, 227, 238  
 Snyder, James M., Jr., 68, 95–6, 130, 160  
 soft money  
 BCRA on, 143–4, 160, 164, 169, 175  
*Colorado Republican I* and, 143  
 corruption and, 159–67, 171–2  
 loophole closed, 160–1, 169, 176, 249

- McCormell* on, 160–4, 166–7, 170, 175–7  
 in two-party system, 162  
 sore losers  
   *Anderson* on, 193–9, 203  
   *Storer* on, 190–4, 199  
 speech, collective, 36, 144–5  
 speech, freedom of, 26, 36, 219, 222, 244  
   associational, 32–4, 36, 91, 144–7  
   in *Buckley*, 138, 141–2  
   campaign finance law and, 138, 141–2, 144–7, 158–9  
   in *Citizens United*, 24–5, 32, 145  
   compulsory speech laws and, 104  
   *Martinez* and, 232–4  
   party membership and, 33–4, 91  
   public forum doctrine and, 158, 241–2, 251  
 speech, government, 36  
   associational rights and, 228–9  
   doctrine, 27–8, 157–8, 224–7  
   First Amendment and, 27–8, 59, 157–8, 224–7, 238  
   party in government and, 36, 227–8  
   public forum doctrine and, 229–30, 236–7, 243  
   state action, party action as, and, 36, 227–9, 238, 241–2  
   tripartite model, of political parties, and, 227–8  
 spoiler candidates, 198–200  
 state action, party action as, 20, 22, 147, 226  
   associational rights and, 27–8, 228–9, 237–9  
   campaign finance law and, 157–8  
   *Democratic Party v. Wisconsin* and, 30  
   gerrymandering and, 211–12, 216  
   government, protection from, and, 27–8, 246  
   government speech and, 36, 227–9, 238, 241–2  
   party in government and, 59–60, 78–9, 100–1, 107–8, 125–6, 156–8, 211–12, 216, 227, 247  
   primaries and, 20–4, 40, 63, 78–81, 92–3, 125–6, 156, 216–17, 247  
   state action doctrine, 20–1  
   in *Tashjian*, 238–9  
   Twenty-Fourth Amendment on, 22–3  
   in *White Primary Cases*, 21–2, 26, 50, 63, 79, 156, 217, 228, 237, 241–2  
 state courts, partisan balance on, 221  
 Stevens, John Paul  
   in *Clingman*, 41, 111  
   in *Jones*, 40–1, 90, 92–3, 123, 125–6, 235  
 Stone, Harlan, 18–31, 96  
*Storer v. Brown* (Supreme Court, U. S.), 190–5, 199, 205  
 Super PACs, 175–6, 249–50. *See also* shadow parties  
 superdelegates, 73  
 Supreme Court, U. S. *See specific topics*  
*Tashjian v. Republican Party of Connecticut* (Supreme Court, U. S.), 116, 239  
   *Anderson* and, 205  
   associational rights in, 101–6, 108, 115–19, 239  
   *Clingman* and, 105–6, 108, 112–14  
   dissent in, 105–6, 114, 116–18, 122  
   First Amendment in, 101–6, 120, 238  
   on independent voters, 100–4, 106  
   *Jones* and, 120–2  
   *Nader* and, 100–4  
   party in the electorate and, 102–4, 118–19  
   party in government and, 100–1, 110–11, 118–20  
   party membership and, 103–4, 118  
   party organization and, 100–4, 116–19, 205  
   tripartite model, of political parties, and, 118–19  
*Terry v. Adams* (Supreme Court, U. S.), 23–6, 92–3, 227  
 Texas  
   *American Party of Texas*, 188–9  
   gerrymandering in, 209, 211  
   Texas Democratic Party, 21–2  
   Texas State Constitution, 25  
   third parties  
     in *American Party of Texas*, 188–9  
     associational rights and, 108–11  
     ballot access for, 186–9  
     in *Clingman*, 105–9, 111  
     fusion candidacies and, 109–11, 128  
     Libertarian Party, 105–8, 111–12, 162  
     registration in, 105  
     semi-closed primaries and inclusive, 104–13  
     *Timmons* on, 109–11  
     in two-party system, 105, 107–9, 111, 128, 162, 248  
     in *Williams*, 186–9  
   Thirteenth Amendment, 22–3  
   Thomas, Clarence, 106–7, 109, 111–12, 150, 167, 210–11  
   Tillman Act (1907), 136–7  
   *Timmons v. Twin Cities Area New Party* (Supreme Court, U. S.), 109–11, 200  
   top-two primaries. *See* nonpartisan blanket primaries  
   tripartite model, of political parties, 6, 8, 68–9, 89, 153–4, 181, 247  
     campaign finance law and, 147–57  
     Constitution and, 52–3, 57  
     First Amendment protection and, 144, 251  
     government speech and, 227–8  
     networks theory and, 178–9  
     progressive era reforms and, 13  
     public forum doctrine and, 223–4, 251  
     in *Tashjian*, 118–19  
     two-party system and, 5–6, 245–6  
   Trump, Donald, 48–9, 67–8, 84–5, 154, 198

- Twenty-Fourth Amendment, 22–3, 80–1  
 two-party system, 7–8, 51–2, 98, 128–9, 146–7, 225.  
   *See also* major parties  
 Aldrich on, 88–9, 162–3  
 American Political Science Association report  
   on, 1950, 14–16, 53–4, 71–2, 75, 123  
 associational rights and, 46, 52, 88–9, 108, 162–3,  
   246–7  
 ballot access in, 186–8  
 bipartisanship, mid-twentieth century, in, 14  
 critiques of, 14  
 Duverger's law on, 46, 105  
 exit, freedom of, and, 46, 48–50  
 Nader on, 88  
 party in the electorate and, 245–6  
 party in government and, 107–8, 111, 245–6  
 polarization and, 11, 248  
 primaries and, 65, 74–5, 247  
 public forum doctrine and, 230–2, 237  
 soft money in, 162  
 third parties in, 105, 107–9, 111, 128, 162, 248  
 Timmons on, 200  
 tripartite model, of political parties, and, 5–6,  
   245–6  
 Williams on, 186–8  
 2016 presidential election, 67–8, 84–5, 198
- unconstitutional conditions rubric, 234  
 United States (U. S.), as association, 35–9, 43  
*United States v. Carolene Products (Carolene  
 Products)* (Supreme Court, U. S.), 96–8  
*United States v. Classic (Classic)* (Supreme Court,  
 U. S.), 18–20, 63–4, 238
- Vieth v. Jubelirer* (Supreme Court, U. S.), 210–11  
 voluntary association, political party as. *See*  
   association, political party as  
 voluntary, party membership as, 39–40, 44–5  
 voter education, 196–7  
 voting rights, 47–8, 205–6, 248  
   closed primaries and, 93–8  
   discrimination and, 22–3, 80, 96–7  
   equal protection and, 93–7, 102–3, 185–6, 205  
   expansion of, 36–41
- Fourteenth Amendment and, 77, 80, 92–3, 96,  
   204–5  
 as fundamental, 93–4, 192, 205  
 Kramer on, 94–5  
 of party in the electorate, 96, 98, 205  
 primaries and, 80–2, 93–8  
 racial discrimination and, 22–3, 80, 96–7  
 of women, 37–9  
 Voting Rights Act (1965), 205, 208–9  
 voting, rules of, 47–8
- Warren, Earl, 207–8  
 Warren Court, 186, 207–8, 250  
 Washington, George, 6–7  
 Washington State, 72, 127, 130, 235  
*Washington State Grange v. Washington State  
 Republican Party* (Supreme Court, U. S.),  
   127–30, 235  
 Watergate scandal, 227  
 weak parties, 11, 153–4  
 Weld, William, 108–9  
 White, Byron, 157, 189–92  
 White Primary Cases, 174, 241  
   equal protection and, 96–7, 185–6, 237  
   *Nixon v. Condon*, 21, 96–7  
   *Nixon v. Herndon*, 21, 96–7, 185–6  
   party in the electorate and, 77, 129  
   party organization and, 104  
   race in, 21–2, 41, 50, 63, 77, 79, 96–7, 104, 129,  
     156, 185–6, 224  
   as state action, party action in, 21–2, 26, 50, 63,  
     79, 156, 217, 228, 237, 241–2  
*Whitney v. California* (Supreme Court, U. S.), 26  
*Williams v. Rhodes* (Supreme Court, U. S.), 186–9  
 winner-takes-all system, 214  
 Wisconsin. *See Democratic Party of the United  
 States v. Wisconsin ex rel. La Follette*
- Wolkenstein, Fabio, 8–9  
 women  
   discrimination against, 39–40  
   voting rights of, 37–9
- Zaller, John, 57–8, 67–8  
 Ziblatt, Daniel, 3–4, 11–12