

## Index

- ability
  - to achieve real freedoms, 170
  - to critically examine difficult indicators, 38
  - familiarity of the forum and, 195
  - individual's, 91
  - to make choices, 108
  - of people to engage in the stages, 107
  - to speak your mind, 64
  - to standardize multifaceted phenomena, 6
  - of the user to demand, 107
- access to justice, 1
  - barriers to, 13
  - demand-driven approach to, 145
  - Hague Model of, 76, 83–85
  - justice capabilities framework, 178–179
    - data for, 199–201
    - elements of, 184–185
  - legal pluralism, 18
  - and measurement of justice delivery
    - in plural legal systems, 96–97
    - exploring the responsiveness, 97–103
    - networks, processes and a user focus, 103–110
  - measuring, 77
  - in plural legal contexts, 17
  - in plural legal systems, 164–168
    - basic capabilities required, 187–189
    - capabilities to obtain a resolution, 196–199
    - capability approach, 168–173
    - capability to navigate a procedure, 194–196
    - capability to negotiate a solution, 192–194
    - capability to understand a dispute, 189–191
    - critiques and challenges of the capability approach, 179–181
    - data, 199
    - dispute resolution process, 189
    - elements of the JCF, 184–185
    - framing a capability approach, 173–178
    - justice capabilities framework, 178–179
    - justice system, 186–187
    - operationalizing the capability approach, 181–183
    - populating the JCF, 201–202
    - user perspective, 186
  - in resolving disputes, 5

- accountability
  - engineer, 43
  - in ensuring judicial
    - professionalism, 80
  - governance, 9
  - in the higher judiciary, 143
  - indicators in law, 5
  - indicators on public, 29
  - integrity, transparency and, 82
  - to the law, 61
  - mutual, 69
  - in public procurement, 91
  - reliability and, 43
  - standards of transparency and, 90
  - transparency and, 78
- Accra Agenda for Action (2008), 69
- agency
  - capability and, 104
  - centric, 108
  - development, 58
  - donor, 33
  - and freedom of an individual, 196
  - freedoms and, 180
  - importance of, 107
  - individual, 180
- Asia Foundation, 62
- Baxi, Upendra, 62n40, 101n175, 103n187
  - in comparative law, 129n52
  - in discussing notions of access, 104
  - and human rights, 109
  - Minor Jurisprudence, 109n217
  - 'Rule of Law in India, The', 71n80, 153n148
  - 'Voices of Suffering and the Future of Human Rights', 109n215
- Bertelsmann Stiftung Bertelsmann Transformation Index, 83
- bottom-up approach
  - analysis of the needs, 89
  - demand-based, 186
  - and grounded legal indicator, 113
  - indicators from, 3–5
    - building indicators, 12–13
    - indicators and the law, 5–8
    - quantification in law, 8–12
    - questions, design and challenges, 13–17
  - justice indicator, 167
  - perspective, legal needs surveys, 147
  - realization aspects of justice, 109
  - rule of law to, 205
- Canadian Bar Association, 5n11
- capabilities, 12–13, 19, 68, 145, 147, 149, 166–172, 173, 174
- capability approach
  - dispute resolution process, 189
    - data, 198–199
    - navigate a procedure, 194–196
    - negotiate a solution, 192–194
    - obtain a resolution, 196–198
    - understand a dispute, 189–191
  - to framing access to justice, 168–169
    - in plural legal systems, 173–178
    - reason to use it, 169–173
  - justice capabilities framework, 178–179
  - basic capabilities required, 187–189
  - critiques and challenges of, 179–181
  - data for, 199–201
  - demand or user perspective, 186
  - elements of, 184–185
  - interactions with the justice system, 186–187
  - operationalizing, 181–183
  - populating the, 201–202
  - in plural legal systems, 164–168
- Centre for Global Development (CGD), 20
- commensuration
  - and aggregation, 50
  - process of, 28

- as a social process, 26n22
- validity of the measurement, 30
- community
  - challenges of plural legal systems
    - based on, 166
  - forums, 105
  - 'informal justice,' 74
  - judicial institutions, 148
  - law, 11
  - legal pluralism, 174
  - legitimacy in, 192
  - lines, 172
  - mechanisms, 89
  - rule of law programming, 52
  - social arrangements and relations
    - in, 169
  - social pressures of, 150
  - and social sanctions, 197
  - standing, 170
- conceptualization, 29
- conduct of conduct, 27
- conflict
  - arise, issues of, 99
  - criminal justice institutions and,
    - 82
  - dispute resolution, 106
  - with each other, 174
  - mask, 40
  - overarching system for mediating,
    - 58
  - resolution system, 83–84
  - violent, 176
- Constitution
  - Bolivia, 156, 157n160, 175–177
  - Comparative Constitutional Law,
    - 130n57
  - Ecuador, 158
  - establishment of global, 97
  - global values, 97
  - Indian, 150
  - life enshrined in, 150
  - provisions, existence of, 80
  - of South Africa, 176
  - contestation, masking of, 38–42. *See also* legal indicators
  - co-production
    - defined, 126, 126n46
    - grounded and reflexive framework,
      - 127
    - theories of empowerment and
      - collaboration, 125
    - transformation and, 122
  - Danish International Development Agency (DANIDA), 59
  - data
    - administrative, 82
    - analysing, 29
    - civil society organizations, 82
    - collection, systematic, 98
    - criminal justice institutions, 82
    - on criminal matters, 136
    - on disposal and pendency of cases
      - from 1950 to 2008, 137
    - from Economist Intelligence Unit, 83
    - empirical legal, 163
    - existing, 182
    - field, 82
    - on Indian judiciary, 135
    - international organizations, 82
    - for the JCF, 199–201
    - judicial, 149
    - justice, 131–134
    - on justice systems in India, source
      - of, 136
    - 'justiciable problems', 101
    - Lab, Civic, 139
    - on law and justice in India, 139
    - legal indicators, 9
    - managerial perspectives, 144
    - to measure informal justice, 35
    - for national *lok adalats*, 136
    - on NJDG, 135
    - from non-governmental
      - organizations, 81
    - pervasiveness of, 114–120

- platforms, open, 24
- production, 29
- rank-ordered, 25
- rule of law, 75
- sources of GPP and QRQ, 79
- we need and how to collect, 199
- WGI, 81
- demand
  - 'audit explosion,' 24
  - based justice data, 200
  - centred perspective, 162
  - driven approach to access to justice, 145
  - legal pluralism, 57
  - oriented approach, 95
  - or user perspective, 186
  - people-centred and, 59
  - side, 89
  - of the users in plural legal orders, 183
- Democracy Barometer, 8, 76, 79–80
- Department for International Development (DFID), 58, 59
- design
  - and challenges, 13–17
  - of the indicators, 154
  - legal pluralism in, 12
  - of legal indicators, 12, 209
  - of legal institutions, 149, 154–159
  - through learning and adaptation, project, 98
- de Sousa Santos, Boaventura, 14n36, 57n24, 119, 130n55, 156n158, 160n170, 164n1, 167
- development
  - agencies, 53
  - agencies, workings of, 66
  - agenda, 2015, 71
  - of building indicators, 30
  - Centre for Global (CGD), 20
  - of indicators as tools of construction, 23
  - of indicators, trade-offs in, 35–37
  - international law and, 96
  - of legal indicator, 112
  - of legal indicators, 5, 13
  - legal pluralism, 10
  - legal pluralism plays in, 55
  - 'social science' of law and, 7
  - of state legal systems, 40
  - of Sustainable Development Goals, 100
- dispute, 12, 15, 90, 103
  - pyramid, 105
  - tree, 107
- dispute resolution, 1, 2, 4, 12, 19, 103, 104, 162, 164
  - different avenues for, 54
  - forums, local, 52
  - lok adalats*, 136n82
  - multiple forums for, 75
  - in plural legal orders, 10
  - requires multiple points of decision-making, 106
- donors, 65, 69
- DREAMS (Determined, Resilient, Empowered, AIDS-free, Mentored and Safe), 67
- ease of business, 21, 138
- Ease of Doing Business rankings, 34–35, 40
- epistemic, 90n144
  - communities, 49
  - ROL, 70n80
- diversity and voices from the global South, 112–113
- diversifying knowledge, 159–162
- engage with legal indicators, 120–127
- legal institutions, design of, 154–159
- managerialism, 131–134
- managerial role of measuring justice, 131

- measuring justice in India, 134–149
- ‘messiness’ of law in action, 150–154
- persistence with indicators, 127–131
- persisting with quantification, 114
- pervasiveness of data, 114–120
- plural legal indicators, 149
- epistemic plurality, 112, 159, 162
- epistemologies of the South, 5, 57, 119
- expert, 79, 80, 126
- expertise, 22, 29, 39, 41, 46, 79, 132, 133
- European Union Fundamental Rights Agency, 27
  
- functioning, 7, 76, 91–92, 113, 153, 156, 171
- of courts, 139–140, 142, 144
- of dispute resolution system, 2, 90
- idea of, 170
- of institutions, 53, 82–83, 146–147, 160, 209
- of justice system, 4, 84
- of legal systems, 6, 23, 86, 90, 93, 144, 159, 166, 200, 205
- of rule of law, 81, 86–87
- freedom, 9, 37, 41, 169, 183, 196, 209
  - access to justice in plural legal systems, 178
  - capabilities are concerned with real, 171
  - of conscience, 60
  - democracy and, 63
  - democracy barometer, 79
  - for a flourishing life, 170
  - House, Transparency International and, 118
  - to make choices, people’s, 172
  - to practice their religion, 180
  - rights of women and individual, 150
- general population poll (GPP), 79
- Global North, 13, 32, 51, 62, 85, 120, 166
- Global South, 4, 12, 13, 18
  - epistemologies of, 112
  - Global North and, 62
  - plurality, power structures and, 85–90
  - and plural legal contexts, 75
  - struggles of, 95
  - voices from, 112–113
    - diversifying knowledge, 159–162
    - legal indicators from, 120–127
    - managerialism, 131–134
    - measuring justice, 131
    - measuring justice in India, 134–149
    - ‘messiness’ of law in action, 150–154
    - persistence with indicators, 127–131
    - persisting with quantification, 114
    - pervasiveness of data, 114–120
    - plural legal indicators, 149
    - reality of pluralism, 154–159
- governance, 2, 3, 22, 23
  - effect, 46
  - galaxy of, 32, 33
  - Ibrahim index of African, 8, 83
  - indicators of
    - legal, 76
    - World Bank, 7
    - worldwide, 80–81
  - matters of law and, 95
  - measure human rights and, 53
  - networks, global, 24
  - rule of law in good, 31
  - subset of, 8
  - technologies of, 27, 30
- Hague Institute for Innovation of Law, 84, 125
- Hague Model of Access to Justice, 8, 22, 76, 83–85, 95, 106, 125, 165n5

- human rights, 3  
 Committee, 73  
 and Cultural Diversity', 71  
 German Development Aid  
 organization, 47  
 gradual adherence to, 59  
 measuring of, 23  
 prioritizing efficiency over, 66  
 rule of law, 51  
 standards, 11  
 UN High Commissioner for, 64  
 universal conception of, 73  
 universalization of, 6  
 welfare state and, 69  
 Human Rights and Cultural Diversity,  
 71
- Ibrahim Index on African Governance,  
 8, 76, 83
- India, 21, 26, 34, 150  
 case of  
 Khap Panchayats, 193  
*lok adalats*, 66  
 as case study for quantification tool,  
 17  
 Economic Survey of, 137  
 High Courts, 136  
 joblessness in, 118  
*Justice Report*, 138  
 Law Commission of, 136, 137  
 measuring justice in, 134–149  
*rights in*, 62n40  
 Supreme Court of, 135  
 'The Rule of Law in India', 71n80  
 unemployment data in, 35, 118  
 in World Bank's Ease of Doing  
 Business rankings, 20
- indicators, 2  
 from below, building, 12–13  
 from the bottom up, 3–5  
 emergence of, 24–25  
 comparing and description, 25–27  
 developing an indicator, 27–30  
 as forms of valuation, 22  
 global rule of law, 144  
 from the Global South, 120–127  
 Judicial Performance, 142  
 and the law, 5–8  
 legal, 11, 31, 51–110  
 language and symbols of  
 quantification, 31–35  
 trade-offs in the development of,  
 35–37  
 persistence with, 127–131  
 plural legal, 149–162  
 'power' of legal  
 judgment of numbers, 44–47  
 presentation and representation  
 of, 47–49  
 'trust' in legal, 37–38  
 masking of contestation, 38–42  
 reliability of numbers, 42–44
- informal justice, 35  
 criminal justice and, 78  
 criteria to measure, 40  
 human rights engagement with, 73  
 mechanisms in a plural justice  
 system, 93
- International Covenant on Civil  
 and Political Rights (ICCPR),  
 73  
 Article 4 of, 73  
 Article 14 of, 73  
 Article 34 of, 73
- International Development Law  
 Organization (IDLO), 67
- International Labour Organization  
 Convention, 72
- international organizations  
 in 2019, 1  
 context-specific rule of law  
 programmes, 67  
 donor agency, 33  
 indigenous, 72–73  
 NSJS by, 58  
 United Nations (UN), 60

- justice, 1–5, 8–16, 18, 19, 36, 40  
 civil system of, 48  
 delivery in plural legal systems,  
 96–110  
 design, 16  
 informal, 35  
 Justice Capabilities Framework (JCF),  
 5, 19, 166, 213  
 constructing, 178–179  
 basic capabilities required, 187–189  
 critiques and challenges of the  
 capability approach, 179–181  
 demand or user perspective, 186  
 elements of, 184–185  
 interactions with the justice  
 system, 186–187  
 operationalizing the capability  
 approach, 181–183  
 substantive aspects of, 212–214  
 Justice for the Poor (J4P) project, 65n60,  
 68, 92  
 justice gap, 1, 12  
  
 knowledge, 3, 18, 22–24, 27, 29, 30, 33,  
 43–45, 126  
 ecologies, 120  
 indicators are used as, 49  
 legal, 108  
 of the rule of law, 67  
 source of expertise and, 46  
  
 Law and society, 15n42, 27n30, 98n161,  
 174n38  
 Law Commission of India, 136, 137,  
 140n100, 143n112  
 law in action, 18, 113  
 diversity and plurality of, 160  
 integrating the ‘messiness’ of,  
 150–154  
 messiness of, 113, 149  
 legal empowerment, 10, 68, 107  
 defined, 108  
 of the poor, 97  
  
 legal indicators, 3, 5, 12, 14, 15, 87, 96,  
 127–131, 150, 151, 161, 164  
 frameworks, 132  
 global, 114  
 grounded, 113  
 meanings of, 31  
 language and symbols of  
 quantification, 31–35  
 trade-offs, 35–37  
 power of  
 judgment of numbers, 44–47  
 presentation and representation  
 of indicators, 47–49  
 rule of law in, 75  
 trust in, 37–38  
 masking of contestation, 38–42  
 reliability of numbers, 42–44  
 legal needs, 4, 12, 16, 84, 93, 95, 102, 145  
 legal pluralism, 5, 9, 52–54  
 absence of an engagement with,  
 10–12  
 ‘access to justice’ and, 164  
 capturing, 155  
 existence of, 134  
 impacts, 173  
 in the promotion of rule of law  
 rule of law, 59–70  
 search for interconnections,  
 70–75  
 unpacking, 55–59  
 relevance of, 148  
 legal systems, 11–18, 23, 48, 54, 55, 65,  
 71, 73, 84, 85  
 legitimacy, 14, 18, 40, 42, 53, 54, 56, 105,  
 134, 155  
 capacities, rules and criteria for, 10  
 normative, 74  
 of NSJS, 59  
*lok adalats*, 66, 134n72, 136, 136n82, 143,  
 146, 146n122  
  
 managerialism, 131–134, 144, 149, 153,  
 211–212

- Merry, Sally Engle, 2n4, 8n24, 22n9, 24n15, 25n17, 27, 29
- narratives, 4, 9, 12, 22, 33, 131, 134, 144, 160  
 counter, 96  
 divergent, 86  
 emerge through the numbers, 35  
 erasure of, 28  
 impact of, 36  
 need to pluralize its, 114–120  
 neutrality, 6, 22, 38  
 standard, 114
- National Crime Records Bureau (NCRB), 136
- National Judicial Data Grid (NJDG), 135
- National Legal Services Authority (NALSA), 136
- National Lok Adalat, 136
- National Statistical Commission, 35
- NonState Justice systems (NSJS), 3, 16, 52, 58, 72
- numbers  
 judgment of, 44–47  
 reliability of, 42–44
- Nussbaum, Martha, 13, 169n16, 170n22, 172n31, 173n34, 179n58, 180, 181n68
- objectivity, 7, 21–22, 27, 34, 38, 41–43, 45, 94, 132, 151
- Paris Declaration (2005), 69  
 ‘parsimony,’ 25  
 people-centred, 59, 126, 146, 164, 183, 202, 203, 210  
 persistence, 4, 113, 163  
 with indicators, 127–131  
 of quantification, critique to, 206–209
- plural legal world, 4, 12, 19  
 complexity of, 110
- power, 2, 9, 15, 16, 21, 40, 44, 67, 68, 78, 83, 85, 110  
 differentials, 128  
 epistemological, 112  
 of legal indicators, 44  
 judgment of numbers, 44–47  
 presentation and representation of indicators, 47–49
- qualified respondent questionnaires (QRQ), 79
- quantification, 5, 6, 13, 14, 16–18, 22, 23, 31, 33, 35–37, 44, 49, 112, 113  
 from critique to persistence of, 206–209  
 in law, 8–9  
 persisting with, 114  
 engage with legal indicators, 120–127  
 persistence with indicators, 127–131  
 pervasiveness of data, 114–120  
 pervasiveness of, 113
- rankings, 47, 48, 74  
 CGD, 21  
 for issues, 34  
 law school, 43  
 rule of law in the good governance, 31
- World Bank’s Ease of Doing Business, 20
- Report on the Rule of Law and Transitional Justice in Conflict and Post-Conflict Societies*, 72
- Romer, Paul, 21
- rule of law, 2, 5, 7–11, 13, 14, 16–18, 23, 31, 32, 37, 38, 40, 48, 49  
 concept of, 54  
 index, 35  
 legal indicators and, 75  
 concept and method, 75–77



- Democracy Barometer, 79–80  
 Hague model of access to justice, 83–85  
 Ibrahim Index of African Governance, 83  
 World Justice Project, 77–79  
 Worldwide Governance Indicators, 80–83  
 orthodoxy, 87  
 programming work, 53  
 promotion of, 51–111, 55  
   interconnections, 70–75  
   promotion and application, 59–70  
   unpacking legal pluralism, 55–59  
 UN Rule of Law indicators, 76, 82–83
- Sabarimala Judgment, 150  
 Sen, Amartya, 13, 88, 109, 151n142, 165, 170n21, 171, 172n30, 181, 207n6, 212  
 Snyder, Jack, 34  
 state, 2, 4, 6, 7, 10–12, 18, 21, 34, 40, 52, 56–59, 61, 64  
*State of Justice Report*, 138  
 supply side, 9, 89, 91, 102, 104, 139, 140  
 Supreme Court, 48, 139, 141, 142  
   *Annual Reports*, 136  
   NJDG established by, 135  
   Sabarimala Judgment, 150  
 sustainable development goals (SDG), 46, 47, 100, 207, 210
- tara bandu*, customary law of, 62, 192  
 Third World Approaches to International Law (TWAAIL), 96n155  
 transparency, 5, 7, 24, 33, 36, 40, 47, 61, 78, 79, 82, 83, 90, 91, 117  
 trust, 9, 20, 23, 24, 30  
   in legal indicators, 37–38  
   masking of contestation, 38–42  
   reliability of numbers, 42–44  
   state-centric, 4, 12, 54, 165, 166
- United Nations (UN), 1  
   Children Fund, 73  
   Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous People, 73  
   Rule of Law Indicators, 8, 76, 82–83  
   Development Programme (UNDP), 71  
 United States Agency for International Development (USAID), 7  
 unpacking legal pluralism, 55–59  
   reproduction, 122, 123, 127  
   transformation, 122, 124, 210
- World Bank, 7, 8, 20, 21, 37  
   and Asian Development Bank, 81  
   Democracy Barometer and, 92  
   Worldwide Governance Indicators, 8, 76, 80  
 World Justice Project (WJP), 40, 48, 74, 77–79  
   Rule of Law Index, 8, 76  
 Worldwide Governance Indicators (WGI), 80–81