

### GROWTH AND SURVIVAL

Bridging disparate literatures on courts and the legal profession in China, Jonathan J. Kinkel introduces an innovative cross-disciplinary framework to understand the reality of Chinese politics and society. Fusing a variety of perspectives from social ecology, historical institutionalism, and empirical legal studies, Kinkel contextualizes patterns of court reform within China's rapid economic and social transformations. This book's extensive case studies emphasize the dynamic expansion of the legal system in the post-Mao reform period and demonstrate that law firm growth in large cities, especially in the early twenty-first century, pressured courts at the local and national levels to enhance judicial autonomy. Advancing debates on the multiplicity of political–legal regimes, this book offers a comprehensive, empirical account of how reforms in both the public and private arenas can interact and operate alongside one another.

JONATHAN J. KINKEL is a lecturer in the School of Politics and Global Studies at Arizona State University, where his research has focused on the intersection between comparative politics, law and society, and Chinese studies. He is also an Associate in Research with the Fairbank Center for Chinese Studies at Harvard University. He is a past recipient of the Best Graduate Student Paper award from Law & Social Inquiry (LSI), and his research has appeared in journals including *LSI*, *China Quarterly*, and the *Journal of East Asian Studies*. This is his first book.





### GROWTH AND SURVIVAL

An Ecological Analysis of Court Reform in Urban China

JONATHAN J. KINKEL

Arizona State University





## **CAMBRIDGE**UNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom
One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA
477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia
314–321, 3rd Floor, Plot 3, Splendor Forum, Jasola District Centre,
New Delhi – 110025, India

103 Penang Road, #05-06/07, Visioncrest Commercial, Singapore 238467

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning, and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org
Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781316514368
DOI: 10.1017/9781009086868

© Jonathan J. Kinkel 2022

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2022

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data
Names: Kinkel, Jonathan J., author.

Title: Growth and survival: an ecological analysis of court reform in urban China / Jonathan J. Kinkel, Arizona State University.

Description: Cambridge, United Kingdom; New York, NY:

Cambridge University Press, 2022. | Includes bibliographical references and index.

Identifiers: LCCN 2021970022 | ISBN 9781316514368 (hardback) |

ISBN 9781009086868 (ebook)

Subjects: LCSH: Courts - China. | Judges - China. | Justice, Administration of - China. Classification: LCC KNQ1580 .K56 2022 | DDC 347.5101-dc23/eng/20220131 LC record available at https://lccn.loc.gov/2021970022

ISBN 978-1-316-51436-8 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



for Rey





### **CONTENTS**

| List of Figures  | pa    | ge ix |
|------------------|-------|-------|
| List of Tables   | X     |       |
| Acknowledgmen    | ıts   | xi    |
| List of Abbrevia | tions | xiii  |

### 1 Introduction 1

A New Theoretical Approach to Court Reform in China Chapter Summaries 9
Conclusion 11

### 2 An Ecological Theory of Court Reform in Urban China

The Central Puzzle and Extant Theoretical Explanations 14

Court Change in Urban China through the Lens of Ecology

Conceptualizing and Measuring the Outcome

Variable: Personal Judicial Autonomy 33

Case Studies: The Interrelated Spatial and Temporal

Aspects of Courts in Urban China 38

Conclusion 45

# 3 The Judicial Cadre Evaluation System: Foundational Institutional Incentives Undergirded by "Intra-state Legibility" 48 The Emergence of Unified Judicial Performance

Evaluation, 1980–2014 52

The Boundary between Boss and Worker: The Collective
Nature of Court Leader Performance Evaluation
in China Prior to the 2014–2016 Judicial Reforms 55

Quantified Assessment of Ordinary Judges
and the Salience of Intrastate Legibility 60

Intrastate Legibility Supplants Formal Rewards and Punishments 64

Conclusion 67

vii

12



| viii |   | CONTENTS   |            |  |  |
|------|---|--|------------|--|--|
|      | 4 | High-End Demand for Legal Services and Local Pressure to Professionalize the Judiciary The First Two Decades of the Post-Mao Legal Profession in China: From State Legal Worker to "Unhooked" Private Counsel (1979–2000) 72 The Emergence of Localized Markets for Private Legal Services (2000–present) 76 Local Law Market Revenues and Characteristics 83 The Suitability of Judges for Law Firm Careers in Urban China 86 Conclusion 90 | 70         |  |  |
|      | 5 | Expansions in Competitive Promotion and the Implications for Judicial Autonomy 93  Changes to Judicial Selection and Promotion Before and After 1992 95  The Effects of High-End Demand on Judicial Selection and Promotion: Case Study Comparison 104  Transparency Rankings: Case Study Comparison (2012–2013)  Autonomy Reflected in Published Judicial Opinions (2006–2011): Case Study Comparison 116  Conclusion 125                   |            |  |  |
|      | 6 | Court Personnel, Bureaucratic Specialization, and the Limits of Top-Down Theory 127 Personnel Policy and the Origins of the PRC Court System Case Studies on Bureaucratic Specialization in Local Courts Conclusion 146  | 128<br>138 |  |  |
|      | 7 | Conclusion 148  Historical Perspectives, Post-2016 Realities, and the Limits of This Study 152  Building a Research Agenda 156  Lessons for Authoritarian Judiciaries 161  Conclusion 164  Appendix 167  References 168  Index 183   |            |  |  |



### **FIGURES**

- 1.1 FDI and law firm growth page 9
- 2.1 Map of primary case study cities 41
- 4.1 Selected annual estimates of lawyer income across local legal services case study cities (as of 2014) 80
- 5.1 Summary of general procedure for promoting mid-level judicial cadres (2012–2014) 101
- 5.2 Local courts' scores on the 2012–2013 CASS Transparency Index (max score = 100) 114



### **TABLES**

| 1.1 | Periodization of p | olitical-legal reform in post-Mao China, with focus |
|-----|--------------------|---|
|     | on 1992-2016       | page 4  |

- 2.1 Overview of judicial rankings at an intermediate-level court in urban China (as of 2014) 30
- 2.2 Review of variation in judges' decisional autonomy across urban court case studies (2012–2014) 44
- 3.1 Selection of indices deemed important in Chinese lower courts' Case QualityAssessment System (2008–2013)58
- 4.1 Variation in revenue from legal services across selected Chinese provinces (2009) 84
- 4.2 Summary of revenue from lawyer practice (2004 and 2006) 85
- 4.3 Distribution of case type across localities (2004) 85
- 5.1 Review of variation in competitive promotion across urban court case studies (2012–2014) 111
- 5.2 Review of variation in competition and transparency across cases (2012–2014) 115
- 5.3 Measures of "giving reasons requirement" (opinion length and citation count) and mediation rate across case study localities (2006–2011) 124
- 6.1 Chengdu Intermediate People's Court, internal structure (2012) 140
- 6.2 Shenzhen Intermediate Court, internal structure (2013) 142
- 6.3 Shanghai Second Intermediate Court, internal structure (2013) 145



### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

I am more than fortunate to have received the support of many friends and colleagues during the years spent writing this book. First, my advisers and dissertation committee members at the University of Texas deserve much of the credit, and none of the blame, for the following pages. This project would not have been possible without Dan Brinks' constructive, incisive feedback on seemingly countless drafts of chapters and article manuscripts. Bill Hurst has been as important an influence as anyone on this project, intellectually and personally; I thank Bill not only for his mentorship, but also for his collegial and collaborative spirit. Zachary Elkins, Patricia Maclachlan, and H. W. Perry never assumed secondary status in their roles as committee members, providing indispensable advice and timely revisions to draft manuscripts. As scholars whose substantive interests in the discipline overlap in many ways with mine, their non-China perspectives have proven both fresh and valuable.

Many others provided important help revising earlier drafts or offered comments at various conferences and invited talks in the past few years. I particularly thank Mary Gallagher, Nico Howson, Sida Liu, Eva Pils, Ethan Michelson, Rachel Stern, and Yuhua Wang. For all of the guidance from these scholars and from my dissertation committee, any remaining flaws in reasoning are mine alone.

I would not have been able to conduct the fieldwork in China upon which this book relies without the help of Professor Wang Qian at East China University of Political Science and Law (ECUPL) in Shanghai, who hosted my research and helped arrange for me to serve as a visiting professor at ECUPL during 2012–2013. I am grateful for the financial support of the Boren Fellowship, the University of Texas at Austin's Government Department, the University of Texas College of Liberal Arts, the Chinese University of Hong Kong, and Arizona State University, which all provided funding at various points of my research and writing from 2012 to 2021.

Many Mandarin teachers in the United States and China have corrected my tones, comprehension, and basic writing skills over the years,



xii

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

providing me with the indispensable language foundation that I used to conduct this study's interviews and documentary analysis. More Chinese teachers than I can remember deserve my gratitude, but I would like to particularly thank Camille Hsieh and Jeanette Chen for their patience and persistence at a time when my naivete in the language would have been comical if not for my sincerity.

Numerous friends in China who cannot be named here provided indispensable help finding contacts and arranging interviews and stays in several cities throughout China, and I owe them all a debt of gratitude. I also thank Christian Sorace, Eddie Schmitt, Bill McCormick, and many others for their friendships at difficult moments of graduate school and the book drafting process.

At Cambridge University Press, I sincerely thank Finola O'Sullivan and Joe Ng for encouragement and support of the project, as well as three anonymous reviewers who provided constructive and helpful reviews that shaped the manuscript in significant ways.

Most importantly, I would like to thank my family for constantly supporting me before, during, and after this project was launched. My parents no doubt did more in this regard than anyone. Danielle and Ben have always provided encouragement and a warm, happy home-away-fromhome on the many trips I have taken to Boston for "a little R&R" (relaxation and research). Of course, my wife Nichole has lived this book as much as I have, and looking back, the much-needed distractions she and our daughters brought me over the past few years will always be the most cherished part of the time spent moving this project along.



### **ABBREVIATIONS**

CASS Chinese Academy of Social Sciences

CCP Chinese Communist Party
CQAS Case Quality Assessment System

ECUPL East China University of Political Science and Law

FDI foreign direct investment IP intellectual property KMT Kuomintang

MOJ Ministry of Justice

OD Organization Department (of the CCP)

PRC People's Republic of China

SAIC State Administration for Industry and Commerce

SEZ Special Economic Zone SPC Supreme People's Court

TMRS Target Management Responsibility System TRIPs Trade-related intellectual property rights

USCBC US-China Business Council WTO World Trade Organization

