

Contents

<i>List of Figures</i>	<i>page</i> xi
<i>List of Tables</i>	xv
<i>Acknowledgments</i>	xix
1 Letting Sleeping Dogs Lie?	I
1.1 Neither Retribution, nor Reconciliation	7
1.2 Concepts and Mechanisms of Transitional Justice	12
1.3 The Contributions	17
1.4 A Novel Approach to Collecting Transitional Justice Data	20
1.5 Road Map	26
PART I TRANSPARENCY AND PURGES	
2 Blackmail and Transparency	33
2.1 Introduction	33
2.2 Historical and Contemporary Research on Lustration	35
2.3 The Formal Model	40
2.3.1 Setup	41
2.3.2 Sequence of Play	43
2.4 Equilibria	45
2.4.1 Quality of Representation under the Three Equilibrium Types	50
2.4.2 Discussion	53
2.5 Conclusion	57
3 Purging the Authoritarian State	59
3.1 Introduction	59
3.1.1 Terminology	63
3.2 Illustrative Examples of Purges	65

3.3	The Model	71
3.3.1	The Decision to Purge as a Simple Delegation Model	75
3.3.2	Discretion Limits	79
3.3.3	Modeling the Value of Expertise	82
3.4	Discussion and Comparative Statics	90
3.5	Illustrative Examples	91
3.6	Conclusion	97
PART II IMPLICATIONS FOR DEMOCRATIC STABILITY		
4	Measuring Transitional Justice	109
4.1	Why Do We Need a New Transitional Justice Dataset?	111
4.2	Taking Time Seriously: Transitional Justice as a Time Series	115
4.2.1	Dataset Construction Technology	117
4.2.2	Creating Measures of Transitional Justice	119
4.3	Conclusion	137
5	Lustration and Programmatic Representation	139
5.1	How Does Lustration Affect Politicians' Responsiveness?	139
5.2	Programmaticness	140
5.3	Hypotheses	143
5.4	The Statistical Model	147
5.5	Discussion and Robustness Checks	153
5.6	Conclusion	156
6	Truth Commissions and the Quality of Democracy	159
6.1	The Transparency Enhancing Function of Truth Commissions	160
6.2	Dealing with the Endogeneity of Transitional Justice	166
6.3	Research Design: Exploiting Time and Intensity of Truth Commissions	167
6.4	Introducing the Dependent Variables	171
6.5	The Identification Strategy and Parallel Trends	176
6.6	The Statistical Model	179
6.6.1	Results	180
6.6.2	Discussion	180
6.7	Robustness Check: Synthetic Matching	189
6.8	Comparison with Lustration	191
6.9	Conclusion	194
7	Purges and the Quality of Democracy	197
7.1	Introduction	197
7.2	How to Model the Data Generating Process?	198
7.3	Measuring Institutionalization	204

<i>Contents</i>		ix
7.4	Splitting the Sample by Capacity Score	207
7.4.1	Alternative Measure of Institutionalization	212
7.4.2	Limitations of Fixed-Effect Regressions	215
7.5	Conclusion	216
8	Taking Stock: Joint Analysis of All Mechanisms	218
8.1	<i>De jure</i> versus <i>De facto</i> Transitional Justice	219
8.2	How Transitional Justice Affects Former Authoritarian Leaders	220
8.3	Measuring Authoritarian Elite Survival	223
8.4	Presence of Authoritarian Elites in Parties, the State, and Business	227
8.4.1	Reproduction of Authoritarian Elites	228
8.4.2	Reproduction of Authoritarian Elites in Business	232
8.5	Several Examples	235
8.5.1	High Lustration Severity: Brazil and Paraguay	235
8.5.2	High Leadership Purge Severity: Serbia and Greece	240
8.6	Lustration Also Undermines Authoritarian Networks: Evidence from HLM	245
8.6.1	Using the Entire Data Range	245
8.6.2	A Fully Structured HLM Model of the Effects of TJ on Democratic Quality	246
8.7	Conclusion	251
9	Conclusion: Beyond Ritual Sacrifices	253
9.1	Out of the Doll House	253
9.2	Democratic Backsliding	257
9.3	Global Transitional Justice Dataset	258
9.4	Quality of Democracy	259
	Appendices	261
	Appendix A Proofs to Chapter 2	261
	A.1 Players, Preferences, Strategies, and Beliefs	261
	A.2 Proposition	262
	Appendix B Proofs to Chapter 3	267
	B.1 Baseline Model	267
	B.2 Model with Discretion	268
	B.3 Model with Imperfect Expertise	270
	Appendix C Quantitative Supplement to Chapter 5	272
	C.1 Programmaticness (<i>cosal_3</i>)	272
	C.2 Additional Robustness Checks	273
	Appendix D Quantitative Supplement to Chapter 6	277
	D.1 Fixed Effects Regressions	278

Appendix E	Quantitative Supplement to Chapter 7	284
E.1	Regressions of PdSES Split Sample by Institutionalization with Pooled Purges	284
E.2	Robustness Check: Two-Stage SLS	284
E.3	Two-Stage Regression Analysis: Political Corruption	287
E.4	Two-Stage Regression: PdSES	291
Appendix F	Quantitative Appendix to Chapter 8	292
F.1	Deriving Public Employment	292
F.2	Correlates of Authoritarian Elite Presence	294
Appendix G	GIS Appendix	298
<i>References</i>		311
<i>Index</i>		325