

Index

Footnotes are indicated by n. after the page number.

- abuse of rights principle of Charter, 93, 147, 207
- Ackerman, Bruce, 9
- active intermediaries, platforms as, 35, 87–8, 124–5, 131–2, 153–4
 - data controllers. *See* data controllers, platforms as
 - Digital Services Act proposals based on, 212–14
 - ECJ rulings on, 56–7, 130
 - platforms' denial of agency, 181
- advertising by platforms, 15–16, 39, 53, *See also* digital capitalism
 - content moderation, business logic of, 167, 173, 176–81
 - Digital Services Act provisions on, 154–5
 - micro-targeting, 131, 173, 179–80, 217
 - search engines, promotional activities, 56–7
- algorithms
 - decision-making by. *See* automated decision-making
 - diversity regulation by, 208–9
 - spurious neutrality of, 3, 56–7, 119, 185, 229–30, 259–60
- Amazon, 7, 8, 294
- anonymity in digital environments, 14–15
 - anonymisation of personal data, 237–9
 - pseudonymisation of personal data, 239–40, 246
- Apple, 7, 8, 292
- Arab Spring uprisings, 13
- Arendt, Hannah, 175
- Arora, Payal, 303
- artificial intelligence, 3, 271, 274–5, 277–8
 - algorithms. *See* algorithms
 - for content moderation. *See* content moderation by platforms
 - for data processing, 16, 36, 236–7
 - for decision-making. *See* automated decision-making
 - discriminatory outcomes, 260–1, 278
 - EU regulation of, 283
 - competitive disadvantage concern, 281–2, 285
 - ethical guidelines, 32, 279, 282
 - regulatory proposals, 211, 274, 280, 283–4, 295, 310, 316
- Audiovisual Media Services (AVMS)
 - Directive amendments, 66, 69–71, 144, 149, 189–90
- Australian news ban by Facebook, 9, 158
- authoritarian regimes
 - Internet regulation in China, 12, 85, 87, 89, 289–90, 297
 - platforms' user governance, resemblance to, 20, 35, 112–16, 185
 - regulation of platforms in, 87, 88–9, 95, 121, 171, 284, 298
 - fear of pluralism basis, 89–92, 94, 111
- automated decision-making, 18, 119, 247
 - constitutional law concerns, 119–20, 229, 230–1, 233
 - for content moderation, 19, 53–4, 72, 158, 174, 181–2, 185–6, 214
 - human intervention, 182, 184, 185, 211, 215, 252
 - discriminatory outcomes, 260–1, 278
 - GDPR rights to protection from, 31, 76–7, 246–53, 259, 261–2, 266, 268–70
 - human-in-the-loop principle, 211, 261, 268–70
 - privacy concerns, 216–17, 229, 230

356 INDEX

- automated decision-making (cont.)
 - user benefits, 167–8
 - welfare and criminal justice contexts, 98–9
- autonomous powers of platforms, 81–2, 110–12, 121, 313–14
 - exercised under terms of service, 17, 116–18, 119
 - users rendered *status subjectionis*, 20, 35, 112–16, 210–11, 214, 317
- freedom to conduct business right, 20–1, 58, 91, 153, 207
 - regulation to take account of, 76–7, 92–3, 200, 210–11
- and horizontal effect doctrine, 200
- quasi-judicial powers, 118–19
- self-regulation. *See* self-regulation by platforms
- AVMS (Audiovisual Media Services)
 - Directive amendments, 66, 69–71, 144, 149, 189–90
- balancing of rights. *See* proportionality principle
- Balkin, Jack M., 1, 183, 195
- Barker, Adam, 227
- Barlow, John P., 42
- Bathae, Yavar, 252
- Beijer, Malu, 198
- Benkler, Yochai, 169
- Ben-Shahar, Omri, 113
- Berman, Paul S., 26–7
- Biden, Joe, 189
- Big Data analytics, 225–8, 236–7, 241, 245
 - constitutional law concerns, 229–33
 - European regulatory responses, 228–9, 233
 - GDPR. *See* GDPR (General Data Protection Regulation)
 - personal and non-personal data confusion, 236–40, 255
- biometric data, 258–9
- blocking orders, 58–9, 98, 190–2
- Bognetti, Giovanni, 165
- Boyd, Danah, 227
- Boyle, James, 26–7, 96, 101
- Brandeis, Louis D., 100, 219–20
- Brkan, Maja, 197
- Burris, Scott, 86–7
- Bygrave, Lee A., 245, 248–9
- Cambridge Analytica scandal, 2, 16, 40, 217
- Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union (Nice Charter)
 - abuse of rights principle, 93, 147, 207
 - as bill of rights, 34, 53, 54–5, 60, 312
- ECJ application of, 55–6
 - to e-Commerce Directive framework, 57–9
 - privacy and data protection rulings, 60–4
- freedom to conduct business right. *See* freedom to conduct business
- freedom to receive or impart information right. *See* freedom of expression
- horizontal effect, 196–8
- human dignity value, 22–3, 257
- limitations to rights, requirements for, 93
- non-discrimination right, 260–1
- personal data protection right, 61, 224, 240
- right to effective remedy, 205
- China. *See also* authoritarian regimes
 - artificial intelligence, technological leadership, 274, 282
 - government control of platforms, 91, 284, 298
 - Internet regulation, 12, 85, 87, 89, 289–90, 297
- Christou, George, 44
- Citron, Danielle Keats, 178
- cloud computing services for public authorities, 18–19, 99
- Cohen, Julie E., 16
- ‘collateral censorship’ risk, 207–8
- Communication Decency Act (US), 45–6, 102, 162–3, 189, 212, 288
- community guidelines of platforms. *See* terms of service of platforms
- complaints mechanism of Copyright (DSM) Directive, 69
- consent to data processing principle, 50, 154, 240, 251, 252, 259, 267
 - artificial intelligence technology undermining, 242–3
 - as ‘informational self-determination’ right, 221, 258
- constitutional law, 3–4, 5–6, 94. *See also* democratic values; fundamental rights; rule of law
 - delegated powers of platforms, constitutional law limits on, 100–1
- digital constitutionalism
 - concept, 4–6, 26–7
 - European form. *See* European digital constitutionalism
- globalisation’s challenge to, 10–11, 32–3, 83–4, 88, 311
- platforms shaping, 8–10
- proportionality principle. *See* proportionality principle

- technological regulation distinguished, 18, 84–5, 117–18
- transnational constitutionalism. *See* transnational constitutionalism
- constitutionalisation of global private spheres, 9–11, 88, 89, 111, 194
- content and data relationship. *See* data and content relationship
- content moderation by platforms, 131, 313
 - automated decision-making for, 19, 53–4, 72, 158, 174, 181–2, 185–6, 214
 - human intervention, 182, 184, 185, 211, 215, 252
 - business logic of, 167, 173, 176–81
 - disinformation control. *See* disinformation
 - and European digital constitutionalism, 67, 73–4, 144n., 189–90, 192, 200–1, 215
 - copyright content, licensing system, 67–9, 73
 - Digital Services Act. *See* Digital Services Act proposal
 - ‘equivalent’ content removal, ECJ guidance, 190–2
 - media pluralism reframing under, 201–3, 208–9
 - platform self-regulation, Commission Recommendation on, 72–3
 - positive and passive framework proposal, 207–11, 212–14, 315
 - terrorist content measures, 71–2, 149–50
 - video-sharing platforms, liability rules, 69–71, 81
- freedom of expression concerns, 14, 36, 47–8, 59, 102–5, 116, 157–60, 214
- horizontal effect doctrine, potential to address, 192–201
- for media pluralism. *See* media pluralism
- positive and passive framework to address, 207–11, 212–14, 315
- positive state obligations to address, 203–7
- private enforcement of right, 184–6
- profit-making goal overriding, 166–8, 184
- US and European attitudes compared, 160–6, 185, 189, 194–6, 214
- general monitoring ban, 46–7, 57–8, 68, 143, 149, 190–2
- rationale for, 207–8, 210
- hate speech control, 19, 104, 177, 179, 185
- EU measures on, 69–70, 129, 190
- micro-targeting, 131, 173, 179–80, 217
- notice and takedown mechanisms, 46, 103–4, 118–19, 142–3, 213
- rule of law concerns, 14, 59, 77, 186
- techniques, 176–7
- voluntary standards, 187–9, 210, 212
- Convention 108/1981 (Council of Europe), 49, 223
- Copyright (DSM) Directive, 66, 67–9, 73, 144, 149, 189
- Copyright (Infosoc) Directive, 129–30
- co-regulation, public and private actors, 290–6, 316
- Council of Europe, 66, 209, 286, 293
 - on algorithmic systems accountability, 280, 285–6, 295–6
 - Convention 108/1981, 49, 223
 - ECHR. *See* European Convention on Human Rights 1950
- Court of Justice of the European Union, 55–6
- COVID-19 pandemic, 113, 157, 300
 - disinformation during, 182
 - platform activities during, 6–7, 81, 292
- Crawford, Kate, 184, 227
- Crawford, Susan, 293–4
- cyberspace, theories of governance in, 26, 42, 84–8
- Czerniawski, Michal, 303
- Daskal, Jennifer C., 110, 302–3
- data and content relationship, 35–6, 123–5, 231, 314
 - and European digital constitutionalism, 145, 155–6
 - constitutional conflict promoting convergence, 145–8
 - GDPR promoting convergence, 150–5
 - parallel tracks approach retained, 143–5
 - procedural safeguards focus promoting convergence, 148–50
 - separate EU law regimes, 123–4, 125–8
 - Google Spain* (ECJ) illustrating convergence, 138–43, 154, 155
 - Google v Vivi Down* (Italy) illustrating convergence, 135–8, 154, 155
 - overlaps between, 128–31
 - technological convergence, 124–5, 131–5
- data controllers, platforms as, 62–3, 131, 133–5
 - accountability regime of GDPR, 74–5, 106–8, 116, 152–3, 242, 246, 263–7, 300
 - Google Spain* (ECJ) on, 63, 138–43, 154, 155
 - Google v Vivi Down* (Italy), 135–8, 154, 155

- data processing by platforms, 15–17, 36
 - artificial intelligence for, 16, 36, 236–7
 - decision-making purposes. *See* automated decision-making
 - autonomous decision-making on, 118–19
 - Big Data analytics. *See* Big Data analytics
 - data controller status. *See* data controllers, platforms as
 - ‘data power’ of platforms, 28, 39, 52, 110–11, 114, 167
 - delisting duty. *See* right to be forgotten/to erasure
 - ECJ rulings on, 60–4, 129–30, 138–43, 253–4
 - for profiling purposes, 15–16, 39, 54, 131, 167
 - privacy concerns, 14–15, 216–17, 229, 230
 - and risk-based approach of data protection regulation, 105–10, 116, 263–6
 - for security and law enforcement purposes, 43–4, 61–2, 97, 144–5, 183, 235
 - sensitive personal data, 243
- Data Protection Directive, 41, 52, 54, 56, 74, 267
 - data and content relationship under. *See* data and content relationship, separate EU law regimes
 - EU-US data transfer safe harbour, 61–2, 301–3
 - and freedom of expression, 146–7
 - internal market logic of, 38, 41–2, 49–51, 60, 127, 223–4
 - right to be forgotten. *See* right to be forgotten/to erasure
 - risk-based approach, 105–6, 127–8, 263
 - safe harbour for online intermediaries, 129, 133–5
- data protection regulation, 33, 271–2. *See also* privacy in digital environments
 - Big Data analytics, European responses, 228–9
 - competing regimes, 32–3, 51–2
 - consent principle. *See* consent to data processing principle
 - Convention 108/1981 (Council of Europe), 49, 223
 - Data Protection Directive. *See* Data Protection Directive
 - for democratic values protection, 232–3
 - and EU internal market goals, 38, 41–2, 49–51, 60, 127, 223–4
 - EU-US data transfer safe harbour, 61–2, 301–3
 - evolution, 126, 219–25
 - freedom of expression, relationship with, 145–8
 - GDPR. *See* GDPR (General Data Protection Regulation)
 - human dignity value underpinning, 220, 221, 222, 232, 279
 - GDPR, proposed human centric approach, 255–62
 - positive dimension, 126–7, 218, 224
 - proportionality principle, 75, 262–7
 - risk-based approach, 105–10, 116, 127–8, 263–6
 - in United States, 219–20, 221–2
- Data Retention Directive, 61
- De Hert, Paul, 241, 255, 265–6, 303
- delegated powers of platforms. *See* public authority functions of platforms
- delisting duty of search engines. *See* right to be forgotten/to erasure
- democratic regimes, regulation of platforms, 88–90, 91–4, 111, 159
- democratic values. *See also* constitutional law; fundamental rights; rule of law
 - and automated decision-making, 119–20, 229, 233
 - and Big Data analytics, 229–33
 - Cambridge Analytica scandal, 2, 16, 40, 217
 - data protection regulation to protect, 232–3
 - and delegated powers of platforms, 19–21, 77, 82, 99
 - freedom of expression. *See* freedom of expression
 - human dignity. *See* human dignity
 - pluralism. *See* pluralism
 - and user governance by platforms, 20, 35, 112–16
- digital capitalism, 1–2, 23–4, 43, 287. *See also* neoliberalism
 - commodification of the human, 255, 256–7
 - content moderation by platforms, business logic, 167, 173, 176–81
 - digital humanism contesting, 276, 277–80
 - hybrid European approach, 280–6
 - freedom of expression concerns overridden by, 166–8
 - market power of platforms, 28, 170, 210, 293
- digital constitutionalism
 - concept, 4–6, 26–7
 - European form. *See* European digital constitutionalism

- Digital Markets Act proposal, 211
- Digital Millennium Copyright Act (US), 45, 102
- Digital Services Act proposal, 66–7, 74, 105, 150, 283, 291
 - e-Commerce Directive regime, interaction with, 211–14
 - GDPR regime, interaction with, 154–5
- Digital Single Market (DSM) strategy, 32, 65, 125, 282, 312
- Audiovisual Media Services (AVMS) Directive amendments, 66, 69–71, 144, 149, 189–90
- Copyright (DSM) Directive, 66, 67–9, 73, 144, 149, 189
- Digital Markets Act proposal, 211
- Digital Services Act. *See* Digital Services Act proposal
- GDPR. *See* GDPR (General Data Protection Regulation)
- Privacy and Electronic Communications Regulation (proposed), 144
- TERREG (Online Terrorist Content Regulation proposal), 66, 71–2, 149–50, 190
- discrimination from automated decision-making, 260–1, 278
- disinformation, 165
 - during COVID-19 pandemic, 182
 - EU Code of Practice on, 190, 208–9
 - liability risk management by platforms, 103–4
- Drahoš, Peter, 86–7
- Dreyer, Stephan, 259
- Dynamic Coalition on Platform Responsibility (Internet Governance Forum), 187
- e-Commerce Directive, 41–2, 52, 54, 56, 104–5
 - Charter rights applied to regime, 57–9
 - data and content relationship under. *See* data and content relationship, separate EU law regimes
 - freedom of expression, Recitals referencing, 46, 56, 102, 127
 - general monitoring ban, 46–7, 57–8, 68, 143, 149, 190–2
 - rationale for, 207–8, 210
 - interactions with other EU regimes, 150–5, 211–14
 - internal market logic of, 128
 - procedural safeguards lacking, 148–9
 - safe harbours for online intermediaries, 44–8, 56–7, 67, 102, 128–9, 132–3, 151
- Digital Services Act proposals affecting, 212–14
 - no territorial scope restrictions, 306, 308
- Enforcement Directive, 129–30
- e-Privacy Directive, 129–30
- Erdos, David, 134, 146
- establishment of platforms in EU, 63, 301, 309
- EU internal market. *See* internal market of EU
- European Convention on Human Rights 1950 (ECHR)
 - EU law, relationship with, 54
 - freedom of expression right, 56, 102, 103, 204–5
 - human dignity value, 21–2, 220
 - positive state obligations, 194, 203, 204–5
 - privacy right, 126, 220, 222–3
 - right to effective remedy, 205, 206
- European Court of Justice, 55–6
- European digital constitutionalism, 20–1, 25, 64–7, 275–6, 311–14
 - competitive disadvantage concern, 281–2, 285
 - and content moderation by platforms. *See* under content moderation by platforms
 - and data and content relationship. *See* under data and content relationship
 - digital constitutionalism concept, 4–6, 26–7
 - DSM strategy. *See* Digital Single Market (DSM) strategy
 - evolution. *See* evolution of European digital constitutionalism
 - future directions. *See* future directions of European digital constitutionalism
 - GDPR. *See* GDPR (General Data Protection Regulation)
 - human dignity value underpinning, 21–4, 165, 195, 213, 257, 284, 294, 317
 - research questions and methodology, 25–34, 312–17
 - US approach compared, 24–5, 33, 93–4, 162–4, 189, 284, 288–9, 297–8
- European Green Deal, 274
- EU-US data transfer safe harbour, 61–2, 301–3
- Evans, David S., 114
- evolution of European digital constitutionalism, 34, 38–40, 78–9, 273, 278–9
 - 1st phase: digital liberalism, 2, 38–9, 41–3, 78, 80–1, 287
 - free circulation of personal data, 48–52

360 INDEX

- evolution of European digital (cont.)
 - resilience of, 43–4
 - safe harbours for online intermediaries. *See* safe harbours for online intermediaries
 - US influence on, 42, 44, 45–6
- 2nd phase: judicial activism, 53–6, 59, 312–13
- Charter rights applied to e-Commerce Directive framework, 57–9
- data protection and privacy rulings, 60–4, 129–30, 138–43, 253–4
- search engines as active intermediaries, 56–7, 130
- 3rd phase. *See* Digital Single Market (DSM) strategy; GDPR (General Data Protection Regulation)
- 4th phase. *See* future directions of European digital constitutionalism
- scholarly explanations for, 25–7
- extraterritorial reach of GDPR, 299–303
- privacy universalism critique, 303
- territorial scope of delisting/content removal orders, 303–9
- Facebook, 8, 86, 112, 177, 294
 - advertising revenue, 167
 - Australian news ban controversy, 9, 158
 - content moderation by, 14, 182, 185
 - Glawischnig-Piesczek v Facebook Ireland Ltd* (ECJ), 190–2, 306–7, 309
 - Donald Trump's accounts blocked by, 2, 14, 105, 158
 - global reach and power, 17, 21, 112, 113, 157, 169
 - Oversight Board, 6, 17, 187, 289
- fake news. *See* disinformation
- Finck, Michelle, 237–8
- First Amendment. *See* freedom of expression, US First Amendment
- Fitzgerald, Brian, 26
- Flew, Terry, 176
- Floridi, Luciano, 256–7
- Fraser, Nancy, 169
- free movement of information society services, 44–5, 46, 92, 102
- freedom of expression, 6, 33
 - Charter right, potential horizontal effect, 197–8
 - 'collateral censorship' risk, 207–8
 - and content moderation by platforms. *See* under content moderation by platforms
 - data protection and privacy, relationship with, 145–8
 - digital technology fostering, 8, 13, 14–15, 91, 157
 - e-Commerce Directive Recitals referencing, 46, 56, 102, 127
 - European balancing approach to, 164–5, 200, 206, 284, 304
 - evolution of right, 126, 160–2
 - online censorship in authoritarian regimes, 90, 171
 - positive dimension, 203–7
 - public regulation of online speech, 13–14, 44, 162–4
 - and safe harbours for online intermediaries, 46, 59
 - US First Amendment
 - content moderation influenced by, 185, 214
 - and digital regulation, 24–5, 40, 45–6, 93–4, 162–4, 178, 288–9, 293–4, 297–8
 - horizontal effect lacking, 194–5
 - liberal approach, 160–1, 162
- freedom to conduct business, 206, 262, 284
- digital technology fostering, 8
- of platforms, 20–1, 58, 91, 153, 207
- regulation to take account of, 76–7, 92–3, 200, 210–11
- Froomkin, A. Michael, 42–3, 96–7
- fundamental rights. *See also* constitutional law; democratic values; rule of law
- digital technology fostering, 8, 11, 13, 14–15, 91, 157, 261
- freedom of expression. *See* freedom of expression
- freedom to conduct business. *See* freedom to conduct business
- as general principles of EU law, 55–6
- horizontal effect doctrine, 3–4, 30, 64, 188
- and content moderation by platforms, 192–201
- human dignity. *See* human dignity
- Internet access conceived as right, 29, 66
- Nice Charter. *See* Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union (Nice Charter)
- non-delegation of state responsibility for, 100–1
- platforms, enforcement by, 17–18, 19, 82, 118–19, 184–6
- positive state obligations, 194, 203
- data protection, 126–7, 218, 224
- freedom of expression protection, 203–7
- privacy and personal data protection. *See* data protection regulation; GDPR (General Data Protection Regulation); privacy in digital environments

- proportionality principle. *See* proportionality principle
- future directions of European digital constitutionalism, 2–4, 31–3, 36–7, 273–7, 314–17
- artificial intelligence, regulatory proposals, 211, 274, 280, 283–4, 295, 310, 316
- constitutional imperialism vs. protectionism, 276–7, 296–8
- hybrid European approach, 299, 310–11, *See also* extraterritorial reach of GDPR
- content moderation
- Digital Services Act. *See* Digital Services Act proposal
- due process safeguards, 148–50
- media pluralism, reframing of, 201–3, 208–9
- positive and passive framework, 207–11, 212–14, 315
- digital humanism vs. digital capitalism, 276, 277–80
- hybrid European approach, 280–6
- GDPR, constitutional reframing, 218–19, 253–5, 271–2, 315–16
- due process safeguards, 267–71
- human centric approach, 255–62
- proportionality approach, 262–7
- public authority vs. private ordering, 32, 276, 285–8
- hybrid European approach, 290–6, 316
- Gardbaum, Stephen, 193
- GDPR (General Data Protection Regulation), 51, 66, 78, 218, 224, 231, 283, 291–2
- algorithmic data processing clashing with principles, 240–6
- automated decision-making, rights to protection from, 31, 76–7, 246–53, 259, 261–2, 263–7, 268–70
- constitutional reframing, proposals for, 218–19, 253–5, 271–2, 315–16
- due process safeguards, 267–71
- human centric approach, 255–62
- proportionality approach, 262–7
- constitutional values underpinning, 36, 259, 263, 280
- data controller accountability under, 74–5, 106–8, 116, 152–3, 242, 246, 263–6, 300
- extraterritorial reach, 299–303
- privacy universalism critique, 303
- territorial scope of delisting/content removal orders, 303–9
- fundamental rights focus, 74, 240
- interactions with other EU regimes, 150–5
- ‘personal data’ protection under, 234–7, 255–7, 258–9
- by anonymisation, 237–9
- by pseudonymisation, 239–40, 246
- sensitive personal data, 243
- privacy by design and by default principles, 75, 107–8, 246
- right to data portability, 76
- right to erasure. *See* right to be forgotten/to erasure
- weaknesses of regime, 77–8
- general monitoring ban, 46–7, 57–8, 68, 143, 149, 190–2
- rationale for, 207–8, 210
- geo-blocking, 176, 306, 309
- German ‘informational self-determination’ right, 221, 258
- Gillespie, Tarleton, 177, 184
- Ginsburg, Tom, 23
- Glawischmig-Piesczek v Facebook Ireland Ltd* (ECJ), 190–2, 306–7, 309
- global reach of GDPR, 299–303
- privacy universalism critique, 303
- territorial scope of delisting/content removal orders, 303–9
- globalisation, 83, 120, *See also* neoliberalism
- constitutional law challenged by, 10–11, 32–3, 83–4, 88, 311
- platforms facilitating, 11, 53–4
- ‘Good Samaritan’ rule and clauses, 45, 210, 212
- Google, 7, 8, 86, 292
- as active intermediary, 56–7, 130, 132–3
- data controller. *See* data controllers, platforms as
- advertising revenue, 167
- content moderation by, 182
- delisting duty. *See* right to be forgotten/to erasure
- establishment in EU, 63, 301, 309
- global reach and power, 21, 113, 169
- Google Spain* (ECJ), 62–4, 138–43, 147, 154, 155, 301
- Google v CNIL* (ECJ), 303–6, 307–8, 309
- Google v Vivi Down* (Italy), 154–5
- Greenleaf, Graham, 86
- Grimmelmann, James, 176
- Gutwirth, Serge, 241, 255
- Gyanchandani, Manasi, 227
- Habermas, Jürgen, 166, 169, 170, 171–2
- Hartzog, Woodrow, 119

362 INDEX

- hate speech control, 19, 104, 177, 179, 185
 EU measures on, 69–70, 129, 190
 Hildebrandt, Mireille, 18, 244
 Hirschl, Ran, 83
 horizontal effect doctrine, 3–4, 30, 64, 188
 and content moderation by platforms, 192–201
 hosting services, safe harbours for. *See* safe harbours for online intermediaries
 Huawei model, 290, 298
 human dignity
 and data protection and privacy protection, 220, 221, 222, 232, 279
 GDPR, proposed human centric approach, 255–62
 digital humanism vs. digital capitalism, 276, 277–80
 hybrid European approach, 280–6
 European digital constitutionalism founded on, 21–4, 165, 195, 213, 257, 284, 294, 317
 human intervention in automated decision-making, 182, 184, 185, 211, 215, 252
 human rights. *See* fundamental rights
 human-in-the-loop principle, 211, 261, 268–70
 Huq, Aziz Z., 23

 ICANN, 96–7, 101
 immunities for online intermediaries. *See* safe harbours for online intermediaries
 ‘informational self-determination’ right (Germany), 221, 258
 Infosoc Directive, 129–30
 injunctions (blocking orders), 58–9, 98, 190–2
 intellectual privacy notion, 146
 internal market of EU, 41, 290–1
 and data protection regulation, 38, 41–2, 49–51, 60, 127, 223–4
 digital constitutionalism, relationship with, 28, 29, 31–2
 DSM strategy. *See* Digital Single Market (DSM) strategy
 and early approaches to digital regulation, 43, 46, 128
 free movement of information society services, 44–5, 46, 92, 102
 Internet access, right to, 29, 66
 Internet of Things, 242–3, 279
 ‘invisible handshake’ approach to platform regulation, 43–4, 97, 183–4, 193, 298

 Jaffe, Louis, 117
 Jain, Priyank, 227

 Jenkins, Henry, 115
 Johnson, David R., 42, 84, 90

 Kaye, David (UN Special Rapporteur for Freedom of Expression), 188, 203–4
 Keller, Daphne, 143, 153
 Kessler, Fredrick, 112
 Kettemann, Matthias, 7
 Khare, Nilai, 227
 Kim, Nancy S., 114
 King, Jonathan H., 241
 Klonick, Kate, 181, 185
 Knight, Alison, 238
 Kosseff, Jeff, 45
 Kuczerawy, Aleksandra, 206

 Laney model of Big Data analytics, 226
 law. *See also* constitutional law
 as social subsystem, 7–8, 88
 interactions with other subsystems, 9–10, 84–8
 technological regulation distinguished, 18, 84–5, 117–18
 law enforcement and security purposes, data processing for, 43–4, 61–2, 97, 144–5, 183, 235
 Lessig, Lawrence, 86, 166, 260
 Leyen, Ursula von der, 273–4
 Lisbon Treaty, 23, 53, 54, 55, 60, 273
 Lynskey, Orla, 12

 Macenaite, Milda, 107, 264
 MacKinnon, Rebecca, 113
 Manila Principles on Intermediary Liability, 187
 market power of platforms, 28, 170, 210, 293
 Marsden, Christopher, 294–5
 media pluralism
 content moderation by platforms threatening, 169–76, 180
 European digital constitutionalism reframing, 201–3, 208–9
 positive state obligation to protect, 205–6
 Meiklejohn, Alexander, 162
 Melber, Ari, 119
 Mendoza, Isak, 248–9
 metadata, 235
 micro-targeting, 131, 173, 179–80, 217
 Mill, John Stuart, 161–2
 Milton, John, 161–2
 Mittelstadt, Brent, 244
 monitoring by platforms. *See* content moderation by platforms
 Montesquieu (Charles de Secondat), 120
 Mueller, Milton, 207–8

- Murray, Andrew, 11
 Myers West, Sarah, 187
- national security and law enforcement,
 data processing for, 43–4, 61–2, 97,
 144–5, 183, 235
- Negroponte, Nicholas, 80, 169
- neoliberalism, 94. *See also* digital capital-
 ism; globalisation
- constitutional values to counter, 12–13,
 29, 31–2, 317
- and early approaches to digital regula-
 tion, 2, 38–9, 43–4, 78, 287
- self-regulation by platforms encouraged
 by, 94–5
- Network Gatekeeper Theory, 86–8
- news filtering, 14, 169–76, 180
- Nice Charter. *See* Charter of Fundamental
 Rights of the European Union (Nice
 Charter)
- Nissenbaum, Helen, 15
- non-discrimination right, 260–1
- Norton, Helen L., 178
- notice and takedown mechanisms, 46,
 103–4, 118–19, 142–3, 213
- online intermediaries
 platforms. *See* platforms
 safe harbours for. *See* safe harbours for
 online intermediaries
- Pallas, Frank, 237–8
- Pariser, Eli, 14
- Pasquale, Frank, 16–17, 110, 113–14,
 178, 294
- passive hosting services, safe harbours for.
 See safe harbours for online
 intermediaries
- Perritt, Henry H., 86
- ‘personal data’ protection under GDPR,
 234–7, 255–7, 258–9
 by anonymisation, 237–9
 by pseudonymisation, 239–40, 246
 sensitive personal data, 243
- personalised content delivery
 media pluralism threatened by,
 169–76, 180
 micro-targeting, 131, 173, 179–80,
 217
 types of, 174–5
- platforms
 as active intermediaries. *See* active inter-
 mediaries, platforms as
 advertising by. *See* advertising by
 platforms
 content moderation by. *See* content
 moderation by platforms
- data processing by. *See* data processing by
 platforms
 emergence of, 53–4, 80–1, 124–5
 establishment in EU, 63, 301, 309
 powers, 27–9, 82–3
 autonomous powers. *See* autonomous
 powers of platforms
 ‘data power’, 1, 39, 52, 110–11,
 114, 167
 delegated powers. *See* public authority
 functions of platforms
 early consolidation of, 38–9, 52,
 80–1, 88–9
 market power, 28, 170, 210, 293
 of public policy influence, 8–10, 99
 quasi-judicial powers, 118–19
 regulation of. *See* public regulation of
 platforms; self-regulation by
 platforms
 transnational constitutional perspec-
 tive on, 10–11, 32–3, 83–4, 88,
 111, 194
- pluralism
 as democratic value, 88–9, 92, 94,
 111, 159
 media pluralism
 content moderation by platforms
 threatening, 169–76, 180
 European digital constitutionalism
 reframing, 201–3, 208–9
 positive state obligation to protect,
 205–6
- Polonetsky, Jules, 245
- positive state obligations, 194, 203
 data protection, 126–7, 218, 224
 freedom of expression protection, 203–7
 content moderation, positive and pas-
 sive framework, 207–11,
 212–14, 315
- Post, David, 42, 84, 90
- powers of platforms. *See* platforms, powers
- Privacy and Electronic Communications
 Directive, 48
- Privacy and Electronic Communications
 Regulation (proposed), 144
- privacy in digital environments, 14–15, 33,
 See also data protection regulation
- anonymity, 14–15
 anonymisation of personal data, 237–9
 pseudonymisation of personal data,
 239–40, 246
- automated decision-making, privacy
 concerns, 216–17, 229, 230
- ECJ rulings on, 60–4, 129–30, 138–43,
 253–4
- freedom of expression, relationship
 with, 145–8

364 INDEX

- privacy in digital environments (cont.)
 general monitoring ban, 46–7, 57–8, 68, 143, 149, 190–2
 rationale for, 207–8, 210
 privacy as negative liberty, 126, 219–20, 224
 privacy by design and by default (GDPR principles), 75, 107–8, 246
 right to be forgotten/to erasure. *See* right to be forgotten/to erasure
 profiling of users by platforms, 15–16, 39, 54, 131, 167
 Big Data analytics. *See* Big Data analytics
 privacy concerns, 14–15, 216–17, 229, 230
 proportionality principle
 and content moderation by platforms, 58, 68, 93, 129, 210–11
 and data protection regulation, 75, 262–7
 freedom of expression, European balancing approach, 164–5, 200, 206, 284, 304
 pseudonymisation of personal data, 239–40, 246
 public authority functions of platforms, 4, 12–13, 34–5, 39–40, 81–2, 95–101, 121, 313
 automated decision-making. *See* automated decision-making
 constitutional law concerns, 19–21, 77, 82, 99, 101
 constitutional law limits on, 100–1
 content moderation. *See* content moderation by platforms
 in COVID-19 pandemic, 6–7, 81, 292
 data processing. *See* data processing by platforms
 ‘functional sovereignty’ aim, 16–17
 fundamental rights protection, 17–18, 19, 82, 118–19, 184–6
 non-delegation of state responsibility for, 100–1
 and horizontal effect doctrine, 199–200
 national security and law enforcement, 43–4, 61–2, 97, 144–5, 183, 235
 public policy enforcement, 97–8, 121
 public utilities regulation of platforms (proposal), 293–4
 public-private partnerships, 18–19, 96, 98–9
 technological regulation and law distinguished, 18, 84–5, 117–18
 public policy
 platforms’ enforcement of, 97–8, 121
 platforms’ influence on, 8–10, 99
 public regulation of platforms
 arguments for, 84–5
 in authoritarian regimes. *See under* authoritarian regimes
 blocking orders, 58–9, 98, 190–2
 data protection regulation. *See* data protection regulation
 in democratic regimes, 88–90, 91–4, 111, 159
 ‘invisible handshake’ approach, 43–4, 97, 183–4, 193, 298
 online speech regulation, 13–14, 44, 162–4
 platform resistance to, 8–10
 as public utilities (proposal), 293–4
 ‘quantity’ based approaches, failures of, 29–30
 and self-regulation, 85–8
 future relationship, 32, 276, 285–8, 290–6, 316
 technological optimism influencing, 2, 8, 13, 40, 42, 78, 80–1
 in United States. *See* United States, public regulation of platforms
 public sphere discourse. *See* media pluralism
 public utilities regulation of platforms (proposal), 293–4
 quasi-judicial powers of platforms, 118–19
 quasi-public functions of platforms. *See* public authority functions of platforms
 Radin, Margaret J., 112
 Rahman, K. Sabeel, 293
 redress mechanism of Copyright (DSM) Directive, 69
 Regan, Priscilla M., 217
 regulation of platforms. *See* public regulation of platforms; self-regulation by platforms
 Reidenberg, Joel R., 11, 85, 97–8, 183–4, 260
 Richards, Neil M., 146, 241
 right to be forgotten/to erasure, 75–6, 81, 108–9, 200n., 202, 257
 Google Spain (ECJ), 62–4, 138–43, 147, 154, 155, 301
 territorial scope, 303–9
 Roberts, Sarah T., 179, 181
 Rosen, Jeffrey, 201
 rule of law. *See also* constitutional law;
 democratic values; fundamental rights
 and content moderation by platforms, 14, 59, 77, 186
 and delegated powers of platforms, 19–21, 77, 82, 99

- and GDPR, 270
- separation of powers principle, 96–7, 99, 120, 199
- Russia, Internet regulation in, 297
- safe harbours for online intermediaries
 - Data Protection Directive regime, 129, 133–5
 - e-Commerce Directive regime, 44–8, 56–7, 67, 102, 128–9, 132–3, 151
 - Digital Services Act proposals affecting, 212–14
 - no territorial scope restrictions, 306, 308
 - EU-US data transfer safe harbour, 61–2, 301–3
 - freedom of expression rationale, 46, 59
 - platforms, application to. *See* active intermediaries, platforms as
 - in United States, 45–6, 102
- Sajó, Andras, 180
- Salinger, Evan, 119
- Santa Clara Principles on Transparency and Accountability in Content Moderation, 187
- Sassen, Saskia, 85
- Schneider, Carl E., 113
- Schudson, Michael, 174
- Schulz, Wolfgang, 259
- search engines. *See also* Google
 - as active intermediaries, 56–7, 130, 132–3
 - data controllers. *See* data controllers, platforms as
 - delisting duty. *See* right to be forgotten/erasure
 - establishment in EU, 63, 301, 309
- Secondat, Charles de (Montesquieu), 120
- security and law enforcement purposes, data processing for, 43–4, 61–2, 97, 144–5, 183, 235
- self-regulation by platforms
 - on content moderation
 - Commission Recommendation on, 72–3
 - voluntary standards, 187–9, 210, 212
 - Facebook Oversight Board, 6, 17, 187, 289
 - neoliberalism encouraging, 94–5
 - and public regulation, 85–8
 - future relationship, 32, 276, 285–8, 290–6, 316
 - technological regulation and law distinguished, 18, 84–5, 117–18
- sensitive personal data, 243
- separation of powers principle, 96–7, 99, 120, 199
- Shachar, Ayelet, 83
- Shadmy, Tomer, 115
- Shapiro, Andrew L., 166
- Shearing, Clifford, 86–7
- Simpson, Seamus, 44
- single market of EU. *See* internal market of EU
- Slawson, David, 112–13
- Snowden, Edward, 15, 298
- social media, 131. *See also* Facebook; video-sharing platforms
 - content moderation. *See* content moderation by platforms
 - Donald Trump's accounts blocked, 2, 14, 105, 158
 - freedom of expression fostered by, 8, 13, 91, 157
 - media pluralism threatened by, 169–76, 180
 - public regulation of online speech, 13–14, 44, 162–4
 - safe harbours for providers. *See* safe harbours for online intermediaries
 - US liberal approach to accessing, 163–4
- speech moderation
 - by platforms. *See* content moderation by platforms
 - by public regulators, 13–14, 44, 162–4
- Srnicek, Nick, 170
- Stalla-Bourdillon, Sophie, 238
- state action doctrine (US), 30
- state regulation of platforms. *See* public regulation of platforms
- status subjectionis* of users, 20, 35, 112–16, 210–11, 214, 317
- Sunstein, Cass R., 14, 172
- surveillance capitalism, 2, 43, 173, 233
- Suzor, Nicolas, 4, 27
- technological optimism, 2, 8, 13, 40, 42, 78, 80–1, 101
- technological regulation and law distinguished, 18, 84–5, 117–18
- Telman, D.A., 114
- Tene, Omer, 245
- terms of service of platforms
 - on acceptable speech or conduct, 184
 - autonomous powers exercised under, 17, 116–18, 119
 - status subjectionis* of users under, 20, 35, 112–16, 210–11, 214, 317
- TERREG (Online Terrorist Content Regulation proposal), 66, 71–2, 149–50, 190
- Teubner, Gunther, 9–10, 88, 89, 111, 194

366 INDEX

- third-party content
 - EU copyright licensing system, 67–9, 73
 - moderation by platforms. *See* content moderation by platforms
 - safe harbours for online intermediaries. *See* safe harbours for online intermediaries
- TikTok, 298
- transnational constitutionalism
 - constitutional imperialism vs protectionism, 276–7, 296–8
 - hybrid European approach, 299–311
 - and platforms' powers, 10–11, 32–3, 83–4, 88, 111, 194
- Treaty of Lisbon, 23, 53, 54
- Trump, Donald
 - online censorship executive order, 189, 288
 - social media accounts blocked, 2, 14, 105, 158
- Turkle, Sherry, 14–15
- Tushnet, Mark, 194, 196
- Tushnet, Rebecca, 178
- Twitter, 86, 187
 - accounts blocked by, 2, 14, 105, 158, 183
- UN Sustainable Development Goals, 274
- United States
 - data protection and privacy regulation, 219–20, 221–2
 - EU-US data transfer safe harbour, 61–2, 301–3
 - freedom of expression. *See* freedom of expression, US First Amendment
 - human dignity value, 21
 - public regulation of platforms
 - Communication Decency Act, 45–6, 102, 162–3, 189, 212, 288
 - Digital Millennium Copyright Act, 45, 102
 - First Amendment underpinning, 24–5, 40, 45–6, 93–4, 162–4, 178, 288–9, 293–4, 297–8
 - safe harbours for online intermediaries, 45–6, 102
 - state action doctrine, 30, 100, 194
 - Universal Declaration of Human Rights 1948, 257
 - user governance. *See* terms of service of platforms
 - user-generated content
 - EU copyright licensing system, 67–9, 73
 - moderation by platforms. *See* content moderation by platforms
 - safe harbours for online intermediaries. *See* safe harbours for online intermediaries
- Versteeg, Mila, 23
- Vestager, Margrethe, 287
- video-sharing platforms. *See also* social media
 - access control by, 149
 - content moderation by, 69–71, 81
 - Google v Vivi Down* (Italy), 135–8, 154, 155
- Volkszählungsurteil* case (German Constitutional Court), 221, 258
- voluntary standards on content moderation, 187–9, 210, 212
- Ward, John S., 227
- Warren, Samuel D., 219–20
- Weinberg, Jonathan, 97
- Weinrib, Lorraine, 21
- Whitman, James Q., 222
- YouTube, 14, 81, 158, 176–7
- Zalnieriute, Monika, 308
- Zarsky, Tal, 243
- Zittrain, Jonathan, 96, 170
- Zuboff, Shoshana, 113, 233
- Zuckerberg, Mark, 17, 112, 217