

CONTENTS

Foreword page xvii

PIOTR HOFMAŃSKI

Acknowledgements xxi

- 1 Using the International Criminal Court to Address Grave Environmental Harm 1
 - 1.1 A Holistic and Novel Approach: Overview of Methodology and Core Conclusions 1
 - 1.1.1 Summary of Major Conclusions Regarding Measures to Address Environmental Harm 6
 - 1.1.1.1 The Status Quo Approach 6
 - 1.1.1.2 The Amendment Approach 7
 - 1.1.1.3 The Establishment of an International Court for the Environment (ICE) 9
 - 1.2 Defining the Key Terms 11
 - 1.2.1 The Natural Environment 11
 - 1.2.2 Harm 12
 - 1.2.3 Anthropocentricism 12
 - 1.2.4 Ecocentricism 13
 - 1.2.5 Ecocide 17
 - 1.3 Applying Cosmopolitan Justice to Environmental Harm 20
 - 1.3.1 The Threat of Anthropocentric Harm to the Natural Environment 20
 - 1.3.2 Primary Branches of International Law Relevant to Prosecuting Environmental Harm 25
 - 1.3.2.1 International Environmental Law 26
 - 1.3.2.2 International Criminal Law 34
 - The Expressive and Enforcement Functions of International Criminal Justice Vis-à-Vis Communal Threats 38

1.3.3	The International Criminal Court: A Unique Judicial Institution	43
1.3.3.1	Applicable Law	44
1.3.4	Adjudicative Coherence: A Novel Approach to Assessing the Court's Ability to Address Environmental Harm	49
2	Environmental Harm as a Crime under the Rome Statute	53
2.1	Preambular Guidance	54
2.2	Gateway Considerations	56
2.2.1	Jurisdiction	56
2.2.2	Trigger Mechanisms	61
2.2.3	Admissibility and Complementarity	65
2.2.4	Gravity	69
2.3	Substantive Crimes	71
2.3.1	Genocide	72
2.3.1.1	Underlying Acts	73
2.3.1.2	Specific Intent (<i>Dolus Specialis</i>)	76
2.3.2	Crimes Against Humanity	77
2.3.2.1	Contextual Elements	78
2.3.2.2	Key Underlying Crimes Against Humanity	79
	Murder	79
	Extermination	81
	Deportation and Forcible Transfer	81
	Persecution	83
	Other Inhumane Acts	87
	Additional Crimes Against Humanity	88
2.3.3	War Crimes	90
2.3.3.1	Contextual Elements	91
2.3.3.2	Launching an Attack with Knowledge It Will Result in Excessive Environmental Harm (Article 8(2)(b)(iv))	93
	Elements of Article 8(2)(b)(iv)	95
2.3.3.3	Other Relevant War Crimes in the Rome Statute	115
	Pillage	117
	Destruction of Property	119
	Intentionally Directing Attacks Against Civilians and Civilian Objects	121

CONTENTS

xi

	Intentionally Using Starvation of Civilians as a Method of Warfare	125
	Using Poisonous Weapons, or Asphyxiating, Poisonous or Other Gases, Liquids, Materials, or Devices	127
2.3.4	Aggression	128
2.4	Conclusion on the International Criminal Court's Substantive Crimes and Environmental Harm	131
3	Fact-Finding, Procedure, and Evidence at the International Criminal Court Concerning Environmental Harm	134
3.1	The International Criminal Court's Procedural Framework: Major Phases	134
3.1.1	Investigation and Fact-Finding	135
3.1.1.1	Preliminary Examination	135
3.1.1.2	Investigation	137
	Collecting In Situ Evidence	139
	Witness Testimonial Evidence on Environmental Harm	142
	Insider Witness Evidence Concerning Environmental Harm	144
3.1.1.3	Judicial Involvement in Investigations	145
3.1.1.4	State Cooperation in the Investigation of Environmental Harm	149
3.1.2	Arrest, Confirmation of Charges, Commencement of Trial, and Expeditious Proceedings at the International Criminal Court	153
3.1.2.1	Arrest Warrant, Initial Hearings, and Confirmation of Charges	153
3.1.2.2	Trial Proceedings	155
3.1.2.3	Ensuring Expeditious Proceedings in the Case of Environmental Harm	157
3.1.3	Conclusions on Investigation, Fact-Finding, and Major Phases of Proceedings at the International Criminal Court	159
3.2	Evidentiary Challenges in Environmental Harm Cases	161
3.2.1	Approach to Admission of Evidence	161
3.2.1.1	Hearsay Evidence	164

3.2.1.2	The Admission of Documents Through Witnesses	165
3.2.1.3	Bar Table Motions	166
3.2.1.4	Exclusionary Rules Concerning Evidence	167
3.2.2	Standards and Burdens of Proof Applicable to Environmental Harm Cases	168
3.2.2.1	The Applicability of the Principles of International Environmental Law	170
3.2.3	Order of Presentation of the Case	174
3.2.4	Proving Key Elements of Environmental Harm	176
3.2.4.1	The Nature of the Wrongful Act	176
3.2.4.2	The Extent of the Damage Resulting from the Wrongful Act	181
3.2.4.3	The Person or Persons Responsible for the Causative Act and the Manner in Which They Brought About the Act	185
3.2.4.4	The Knowledge and Intent of the Accused	188
3.2.5	Expert Evidence Concerning Environmental Harm	194
3.2.5.1	The Definition of an Expert at the International Criminal Court	196
3.2.5.2	The Subject-Matter of Expert Testimony in Environmental Harm Cases	197
3.2.5.3	The Role of the Expert: Neutral Interlocutor or Partisan Witness?	198
3.2.5.4	Expert Evidence on Environmental Matters: Facilitating the Judges' Assessments	200
3.3	Conclusions on the International Criminal Court's Fact-Finding, Procedure, and Evidence Concerning Environmental Harm	202
4	Victim Participation and Reparations for Environmental Harm at the International Criminal Court	208
4.1	The Prominent Role of Victims at the International Criminal Court	208
4.2	Victim Participation	210
4.2.1	Rules and Principles Governing Victims' Participation	210
4.2.1.1	Preambular References to Victims	210

CONTENTS

xiii

4.2.1.2	The Definition of Victims: Key Provisions	211
4.2.1.3	Rules on Modalities of Victims' Participation	212
4.3	Victim Reparations	214
4.3.1	The Law on Victim Reparations at the International Criminal Court	215
4.3.2	Individual versus Collective Reparations	221
4.3.3	Implementation: The Trust Fund for Victims	222
4.4	Applying the International Criminal Court's Regulatory Scheme for Victim Participation and Reparations to Environmental Harm	224
4.4.1	Harm to the Environment Per Se versus Harm to Individual Humans or Organizations as a Result of Environmental Destruction	224
4.4.1.1	The Environment as a Victim Per Se	225
4.4.1.2	Individual Persons who Suffer Due to Environmental Harm as Victims	228
4.4.2	Causation Required for Reparations for Environmental Harm	229
4.4.3	Quantifying Reparations for Environmental Harm	230
4.5	Conclusions on Victims' Participation and Reparations for Environmental Harm	232
5	Three Case Studies of Environmental Harm	238
5.1	Destruction of the Environment During Armed Conflict in the Middle East: From Saddam Hussein to the So-Called Islamic State	239
5.1.1	Armed Conflict and the Environment in the Middle East	239
5.1.2	Saddam Hussein's Attacks on the Environment	241
5.1.3	ISIS/Da'esh Environmental Attacks in Iraq and Syria	244
5.1.4	Prosecuting the Environmental Destruction Wrought by Saddam Hussein and ISIS under the Rome Statute	249
5.1.4.1	Saddam Hussein's Environmental Destruction	251
5.1.4.2	ISIS's Environmental Destruction	255

5.1.5	Victim Status Concerning Military Attacks that Result in Disproportionate Environmental Harm	257
5.1.5.1	Qualifying and Participating as Victims	257
5.1.5.2	Reparations for Victims of Environmental Harm During Armed Conflict	259
5.2	Toxic Dumping: Polluting the World Through Exportation and Exploitation	263
5.2.1	The Growing Threat of Toxic Dumping	263
5.2.1.1	The Basel Convention	267
5.2.2	Trafigura's Dumping of Noxious Chemicals in Cote d'Ivoire	272
5.2.3	Prosecuting Toxic Dumping under the Rome Statute	273
5.2.3.1	Toxic Dumping as a Crime Against Humanity	273
5.2.3.2	Toxic Dumping as a War Crime	278
5.2.3.3	Toxic Dumping as Genocide	282
5.2.4	Victim Status Concerning Toxic Dumping	282
5.2.4.1	Qualifying and Participating as Victims	282
5.2.4.2	Reparations for Victims of Toxic Dumping	283
5.3	Wildlife Exploitation: A Growing Threat to the Survival of Vulnerable Species	287
5.3.1	The Plague of Wildlife Exploitation	287
5.3.2	Assessing the Unlawfulness of Wildlife Exploitation	293
5.3.2.1	Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora (CITES)	293
5.3.2.2	Other Conventions Relevant to Wildlife Exploitation	294
5.3.3	Prosecuting Wildlife Exploitation under the Rome Statute	295
5.3.3.1	Wildlife Exploitation as Genocide	295
5.3.3.2	Wildlife Exploitation as Crimes Against Humanity	296
5.3.3.3	Wildlife Exploitation as War Crimes	298
5.3.4	Victim Status Concerning Wildlife Exploitation	304
5.3.4.1	Qualifying and Participating as Victims	304
5.3.4.2	Reparations for Victims of Wildlife Crime	306

CONTENTS

xv

6 Overall Conclusions and Options for Redress	309
6.1 The International Criminal Court's Anthropocentric Orientation	310
6.2 Adjudicative (In)Coherence Arising from the Investigation, Prosecution, Adjudication, and Victim Redress for Environmental Harm Before the International Criminal Court	311
6.3 Policy Options: Adjusting the Existing Court's Framework and/or Establishing a Purpose-Built Institution to Address Harm to the Environment	314
6.3.1 Maintain Status Quo	314
6.3.2 Amending the Rome Statute	317
6.3.2.1 Extending Article 8(2)(b)(iv) to Non-International Armed Conflict	318
6.3.2.2 Adding an Additional Crime for Environmental Harm Linked to Armed Conflict	319
6.3.2.3 Adding Ecocide to the Rome Statute	322
6.3.2.4 Factors Militating For and Against Amending the Rome Statute	333
6.3.3 Establishing an International Court for the Environment (ICE)	337
6.3.4 Maximizing Complementarity Between the International Criminal Court and Other Legal Systems Addressing Environmental Harm	346
6.4 Defining Ecocide	348
6.5 Final Thoughts on Confronting Environmental Harm	353
<i>Index</i>	356