

INDEX

Abbreviations used in the index

- A&T staff (administrative and technical staff)
 AA (Arbitration Act 1996)
 ACHR (American Convention on Human Rights (1969))
 AS (Anne Sacoolas)
 BCV (Central Bank of Venezuela)
 Bogotá (American Treaty on Pacific Settlement (1948) (Pact of Bogotá))
 Brussels I (Regulation (EC) No 44/2001 of 22 December 2000 on jurisdiction and the recognition and enforcement of judgments in civil and commercial matters, in force 1 March 2002–10 January 2015) (Judgments Regulation/JR)
 CCIU (Central Criminal Investigation Unit)
 CCL (Constitutional Court Law)
 CIL (customary international law)
 CoE (Council of Europe)
 CPR (Civil Procedure Rules (UK))
 Crimean Accession Law (Accession to the Russian Federation and Establishment of a New Constituent Entity within the Russian Federation Law (21 March 2014))
 DARIO (International Law Commission Articles on the Responsibility of International Organizations (2011))
 DCC (Netherlands Civil Code)
 ECA (effective control of area)
 ECHR (European Convention on Human Rights (1950))
 ECtHR (European Court of Human Rights)
 EEAS (European External Action Service)
 ETO (École Technique Officielle Don Bosco)
 EULEX (EU Rule of Law Mission to Kosovo)
 FCO (Foreign and Commonwealth Office)
 FPR (Front patriotique Rwandais)
 FSIA (US Foreign Sovereign Immunities Act)
 GC (1948)/GC (Genocide Convention (1948))
 Groupe Sud (ninety members of KIBAT stationed at the ETO)
 HR (human rights)
 HRA (Human Rights Act (UK) 1998)
 HRAP (UNMIK Human Rights Advisory Panel)
 HRRP (EULEX Human Rights Review Panel)
 ICCPR (International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (1966))
 ICJ (International Court of Justice/ICJ Statute)
 ICRC (International Committee of the Red Cross)
 ILC(SI) (International Law Commission [Draft] Articles on State Immunity)
 ILC(SR) (International Law Commission Articles on State Responsibility for Internationally Wrongful Acts)
 JA (Joint Action (EULEX Kosovo))
 JISP (UN Convention on the Jurisdictional Immunities of States and their Property (2004))
 KFOR (Kosovo Force)

KIBAT (Belgian battalion in Kigali)
 KLA (Kosovo Liberation Army)
 MPU (Missing Persons Unit)
 MTA (Military Technical Agreement)
 NAM (Non-Aligned Movement)
 NPT (Non-Proliferation of Nuclear Weapons Treaty (1968))
 OAS (Organization of American States/OAS Charter)
 OCRGDF (Central Office for the Suppression of Major Financial Crime)
 Palermo (UN Convention against Transnational Organized Crime (2000) (Palermo Convention))
 RCP (Rules of Civil Procedure)
 ROC (Rules of Court/Regulations of Court)
 ROPEC (Rules of Procedure for Environmental Cases (Philippines))
 SCA (Senior Courts Act 1981)
 SIA (State Immunity Act)
 SITF (Special Investigative Task Force (Kosovo))
 SOFA (NATO Status of Forces Agreement) (1951)
 SPRK (Special Prosecution Office of the Republic of Kosovo)
 STJ (Supreme Tribunal of Justice (Venezuela))
 TCN (Troop Contributing Nation)
 TEPO (Temporary Environmental Protection Order)
 TPAMB (Tubbataha Protected Area Management Board)
 TRNP (Tubbataha Reefs Natural Park/TRNP Act 2009 (Philippines))
 TS (Transition Statute of Venezuela (2019))
 UNAMIR (UN Assistance Mission for Rwanda)
 UNC (UN Charter (1945))
 UNCHR (UN Commission on Human Rights)
 UNCLOS (UN Law of the Sea Convention (1982))
 UNHCHR (UN High Commissioner for Human Rights)
 UNMIK (UN Interim Administration Mission in Kosovo)
 UNOMUR (UN Observer Mission Uganda–Rwanda)
 UNPIC (UN Privileges and Immunities Convention (1946))
 UNPROFOR (UN Protection Force)
 UNSCR (UN Security Council resolution)
 UNSG (UN Secretary-General)
 VCDR (Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations (1961))
 VCLT (Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties (1969))
 VFA (Philippines–USA Visiting Forces Agreement (US Forces in the Philippines (1998)))
 VRS (Vojska Republičke Srpske/Bosnian Serb army)
 WCIU (War Crimes Investigation Unit)

abuse of process

arbitration, applicability to 599
 burden of proof 523
 jurisprudence
 Henderson v. Henderson 521-4, 599
 Johnson v. Gore Wood 481, 522-4
 Kontic 478-81
 Tomanovic 520-7
 Virgin Atlantic Airways v. Zodiac Seats UK 521-3

- re-litigation as 520-7
 - broad merits-based approach as test 523-7
 - issue estoppel distinguished 522-3
 - res judicata* distinguished 520-4
- acquiescence**
 - jurisprudence
 - Gulf of Maine* 113
 - Obligation to Negotiate Access* 112-13
 - Pedra Branca/Pulau Batu Puteh* 112-13
 - protest/challenge, act requiring a response 113
- act of State (foreign State acts), applicability to acts unlawful under the law of the State concerned** 655-61
 - Al-Jedda (No 2)* 656-7
 - Belhaj* 627-8, 654-9
 - Blad v. Bamfield* 656
 - Buck* 628, 656-7
 - Carr v. Francis* 656
 - Dobree v. Napier* 656
 - Duke of Brunswick v. King of Hanover* 656, 659
 - Luther v. Sagor* 656
 - Maduroil Guaidó* 627-8, 654-61
 - Princess Paley Olga* 656
 - Yukos (No 2)* 654
- Arigo (environmental damage caused by warships)**
 - background (facts)
 - incident giving rise to action 299-300
 1. request for permission to enter territorial waters 299
 2. grounding of *USS Guardian* 299-300
 3. apology, promise of compensation and US Navy-led salvage operation 300
 - Tubbataha
 - description of 298
 - location 298
 - as National Marine Park (1988) 298
 - Tubbataha Reefs Natural Park Act 2009 (TRNP) 299, 334-5
 - as World Heritage Site (1993) 299
 - background (petition for issue of writ of *Kalikasan*/TEPO (ROPEC 7)) 300-3
 - alleged violations of TRNP Act 301
 - requested reliefs 301-3
 - respondents 300
 - respondents' consolidated comment 303
 - background (relevant law), ROPEC: *see* Philippines (1946-), Environmental Cases, Rules of Procedure (2010) (ROPEC)
 - standing (Court's analysis and decision)
 - environmental/intergenerational rights (flexibility of approach) 303-5
 - review of the jurisprudence 303-5
 - standing (Leonon J concurring) (applicable law possibilities)
 - petitioners' arguments 332-4
 - RCP 3(2) (real party in interest) 335-6
 - RCP 3(3) (representatives as parties) 336-7
 - citizen suit (ROPEC (5)) as 337
 - RCP 3(12) (class suit), problems related to use in environmental/intergenerational cases 340-5

Arigo (environmental damage caused by warships) (cont.)

- ROPEC 2(5)/*Oposa* doctrine
 - limitation of ROPEC to purpose of preventing an environmental catastrophe 335
 - risks of intergenerational suits 337-41
- ROPEC 7(1) (availability of writ of *Kalikasan* remedy) 345-7
- ROPEC 7(8) (TEPO), need for “extreme urgency”/“risk of grave injustice and irreparable injury” 347-8
- TRNP 37 (standing) 334-5
- State immunity (Court’s analysis and decision) 305-17
 - applicable law
 - US tort laws, relevance 313
 - VFA 313
 - damages, liability for (UNCLOS 31) 311-13
 - entitlement
 - commander of a naval vessel/warship (official acts) 308-9
 - warship (UNCLOS 32 as reflection of CIL/applicability to non-parties) 309, 312
 - methods of reaching a decision on reliefs requested (ROPEC 7(15)) 314-17
 - responsibility for determining State immunity issues/as a political question 317
 - as restrictive doctrine (*jure imperii vs jure gestionis*) 307-9
 - source of obligation
 - Constitution (XVI(3)), alternatives to, general principles of international law
 - adopted as part of the law of the land through the Constitution II(2) 305-6
 - Constitution XVI(3), applicability to foreign States 305-6
 - UNCLOS 309-13
- UNCLOS
 - applicability to non-parties/US as reflection of CIL/applicability to non-parties 309-13
 - cooperation on a global or regional basis (UNCLOS 197) 313
 - immunity (UNCLOS 32) 309, 312
 - liability for damage resulting from non-compliance with coastal State’s laws and regulations (UNCLOS 31) 311-13
 - validity of VFA as settled law (*BAYAN*) 317
 - waiver of immunity, effectiveness
 - UNCLOS waiver 313
 - VFA waiver (limitation to civil matters) 313
- State immunity (Leonen J concurring) 348-73
 - basis of immunity (international law)
 - confusion over source of obligation/survey of the alternatives 350-69
 - customary international law 359-68
 - general principles of international law 368-9
 - treaty provisions 351-67
 - basis of immunity (Philippine laws)
 - Constitution II (general principles of international law) 329, 349-50
 - Constitution VII(21) (treaties) 329, 349-50
 - Constitution XVI(3), non-applicability to foreign States 329, 349-50
 - exceptions
 - restrictive doctrine limitations 369-70
 - territorial tort exception 371-2
 - unauthorized/*ultra vires* acts 370-1
 - waiver/consent 370-1
 - jus cogens*/peremptory norm (VCLT 53), effect on immunity (*Jurisdictional Immunities*) 372
- State immunity (Sereno CJ concurring) 317-27

- decision (issue of writ of *Kalikasan* as breach of international law entailing State responsibility) 326-7
- ius cogens*/peremptory norm (VCLT 53), effect on immunity (*Jurisdictional Immunities*) 323-5
- responsibility for determining State immunity issues/as a political question 321-3, 326
- territorial tort exception to State immunity, applicability as a general principle of international law (Constitution II(2)) 321
- VFA, validity/as justification for presence of the *USS Guardian* in Philippines' archipelagic waters 319, 326
- functional nature of acts 319, 326
- Ascom* (central bank immunity from measures of constraint (JISP 21(1)(c)))**
 - (background)**
 - procedural history 391-3
 - State immunity (general)
 - applicable international law
 - customary international law as/lack of uniform State practice 394-5
 - ILC codification efforts (1978-91)/adoption of JISP (2004) 395
 - key features 393-4
 - State immunity (JISP)
 - customary international law
 - as codification/reflection of 396-7
 - as supplement or compromise 396-7
 - interpretation
 - applicable law (VCLT 31/VCLT 32 including text, context and *travaux préparatoires*) 397, 399-400
 - uniformity, desirability 397
 - measures of constraint (JISP 18-21), continuing absolutist approach to 396
 - summary of provisions 396
 - as treaty not yet in force 396
- Ascom* (central bank immunity from measures of constraint (JISP 21(1)(c)))**
 - (Court's analysis and decision)**
 - alleged abuse of rights, relevance
 - absence of JISP provision for 411
 - Jurisdictional Immunities* 411-12
 - applicable law (CIL as expressed in the Convention) 398
 - costs
 - Court's analysis/decision (respondents to pay appellants' litigation costs) 413
 - respondents' arguments 412
 - Court's decision (summary) 412
 - JISP 19 (State immunity from post-judgment measures of constraint)
 - as customary international law 399-400
 - exceptions to the "consent required" rule (JISP 19(c)), division of views 399, 400
 - text 398
 - JISP 21 (specific categories of property not to be regarded as in use for non-governmental purposes)
 - customary international law status, diversity of views 399-400
 - establishment of special status under JISP 21 as preliminary to consideration of the general rule (JISP 19) 399
 - text 398-9
 - JISP 21(1)(c) ("property of the central bank"), applicability to the National Bank of Kazakhstan

***Ascom* (central bank immunity from measures of constraint (JISP 21(1)(c)))**
(Court's analysis and decision) (*cont.*)

- applicability on category vs functional basis 406-10
- object and purpose (protection of property of particularly sensitive nature) 407
- “property” for purposes of 405-6
- status as a central bank, Court's conclusion 405
- status as a central bank, relevant factors
 - autonomy from the State 402, 403-4
 - constitution and functions 402-3
 - variety of State practice 401-2, 405
- use of property, relevance 407

Belgium

- Criminal Code 1867, Art. 136*septies*.5 (failure to take action to prevent crimes against humanity) 198
- Genocide Convention (1948): *see Mukeshimana*

central/State-owned bank

- definition/status
 - absence of definition 401-2
 - “or other monetary authority” (SIA 14(4)/JISP 21(1)(c)), significance 406-7
 - relevant factors, autonomy/separate entity test 402, 403-4
- functions, State practice, variety of 401-2, 405
- immunity from measures of constraint (JISP 21(1)(c)) (“property of the central bank”)
 - 394-412
 - abuse of rights, relevance 410-12
 - categorical vs functional basis 406-10
 - customary international law 399-400
 - object and purpose (protection of property of particularly sensitive nature) 407
 - “property” (Annex to the Convention regarding JISP 19) 405-6
 - use of property, relevance 407

Chile: *see Obligation to Negotiate*

Constitutionality of Crimea Accession Treaty

- background (determination of the issue: constitutional review of treaty involving territorial changes) 378-80
- constitutional review as prerequisite (Crimea Accession Law 7(4)) 378-9
- constitutionality finding as prerequisite for ratification (Crimea Accession Law 8(1)) 379
- initiation of the process 377
- link to Constitutional Court's general competence to review treaties before entry into force 379
 - procedures distinguished 379
- compliance of Treaty with the Constitution
 - border issues, integrity and inviolability as objective (Treaty 4/Constitution 4(3), 67, 71(m)) 393
 - elections (Treaty 8/Constitution 3) 384-5
 - language
 - establishment of official languages (Treaty 3(2)/Constitution 68(2)) 383
 - protection of (Treaty 3(1)/Constitution 68(3)) 383
 - legal acts in the Crimea (Treaty 9)

- compliance with federal law (Treaty 9(3)/Constitution 4(2) and 15(2)) 385
 - purpose (integration of Crimean system into Russian) 385
 - military service (Treaty 7/Constitution 59(2)) 384
 - nationality issues (Treaty 5/Constitution 26) 384-5
 - transitional arrangements (Treaty 6/legislative regime to be developed) 384
- Court's decision 385-6
- date of signature of Treaty as date of accession (Crimea Accession Treaty 1) 381-2
 - formation of a new constituent entity (Constitution 65(2)), combination of accession to the Federation and creation of a new entity (Crimea Accession Treaty 2) 382-3
 - as provisional application of the Treaty (VCLT 25(1)/Treaties Law 23) 381-2
- provisional application of treaty prior to entry into force (VCLT 25(1)/Treaties Law 23) 381-2
- scope of review (CCL 90)/Constitution 86, exclusion of political considerations (Constitution 3(3)) 380
- separation of powers considerations 381
- Crimea, incorporation into the Russian Federation (2014):** *see Constitutionality of Crimea Accession Treaty*
- customary international law (CIL) "as part of" municipal law**
 - Jones* (Saudi Arabia cases) 472
 - Kontic* 471-3
 - Trendtex* 471-2
- diplomatic agent, inviolability of person/immunity from arrest (VCDR 29)**
 - administrative and technical staff and (VCDR 37(2)) 667-74
 - Dunn* 672-3, 674, 695-6, 699
 - family members, entitlement 672-3
 - waiver of inviolability, requirements, waiver specific to inviolability 674
- diplomatic immunity from jurisdiction, waiver (VCDR 32)**
 - advance/pre-waiver 673
 - requirements
 - authority of sending State 673
 - separate waivers
 - for each case under consideration 673
 - for each entitled person including family members 673
 - for jurisdiction (VCDR 32) and inviolability (VCDR 29) 674
- diplomatic privileges and immunities, entitlement**
 - administrative and technical staff (A&T) (VCDR 37(2)), entitlement in their own right 672-3
 - automaticity of entitlement 672
 - family members "forming part of his household" (VCDR 37(1)), entitlement in their own right 673
- diplomatic relations:** *see also Dunn*
 - sending State's freedom to appoint all members of diplomatic mission/determine classification (VCDR 7) 671-2
 - limitations on 672
- Dunn* (diplomatic immunity) (background)** 665-87
 - facts in date order
 - notification (VCDR 10) of Mr Sacoolas' appointment to A&T staff at RAF Croughton (5 August 2019) 680
 - absence of reference to waiver or immunities 680

- Dunn (diplomatic immunity) (background)** (*cont.*)
- identification of AS as dependant 680
 - notification form (extract including reference to entitlement to privileges and immunities) 680
 - death of Harry Dunn in road accident involving AS (27 August 2019) 666, 680-1
 - US Embassy notification to FCO of fatal traffic accident (28 August 2019) 681
 - FCO investigations into AS's immunity status/advice to police of need to seek a waiver (28 August 2019) 681-2
 - FCO's view that the pre-waiver of immunity applied to AS (29 August 2019) 682
 - US Embassy's challenge to FCO view and assertion of AS's immunity from criminal jurisdiction (30 August 2019) 682
 - FCO's continuing efforts to establish AS's entitlement to immunity (30 August 2019) 682-3
 - continuing police assumption of AS's entitlement to immunity (30 August–16 September 2019) 683-4
 - FCO formal request for waiver of immunity in respect of AS and one of her children (5 September 2019) 684
 - US Embassy Note declining waiver request (13 September 2019) 684
 - FCO indication to the US Embassy that, in view of the refusal to waive immunity, they could not ask the Embassy to keep the family in the UK (14 September 2019) 684-5
 - reasons for FCO's approach 685
 - AS's departure for the US (15 September 2019) 685
 - AS's inviolability as preclusion of arrest and detention 674
 - FCO letter to US Embassy asking it to reconsider its decision (24 September 2019) 685-6
 - notification to claimants of AS's departure from the UK (26 September 2019) 685
 - UK approaches to the US Secretary of State/President Trump (7-9 October 2018) 686
 - US Embassy's refusal to reconsider request (8 October 2019) 686
 - claimants' meeting with President Trump (15 October 2019) 686
 - extradition request (10 January 2020) 666
 - request declined by US State Department on grounds of AS's immunity from criminal proceedings 666
 - US agreement to extend pre-waiver of immunity and add waiver of personal inviolability (20 July 2020) 687
 - grounds of challenge
 1. FCO's determination that AS enjoyed diplomatic immunity 666-7
 - FCO response 667
 2. FCO's alleged unlawful advice to the police that AS had immunity/obstructed a criminal investigation 667
 - FCO response 667
 3. impugned acts as alleged breach of ECHR 2 (right to life) 667
 - FCO response 667
 - legal framework (diplomatic relations) (VCDR) 667-74
 - applicability of VCLT provisions 667-9
 - automaticity of entitlement to privileges and immunities 672
 - family and A&T staff privileges and immunities entitlement as independent entitlement 672-3
 - inviolability (VCDR 29) and immunity from jurisdiction (VCDR 31) distinguished 674

- key provisions
 - 1(f) (“A&T staff”) 671
 - 7 (sending State’s freedom of appointment) 669
 - 9 (persona non grata) 669-70
 - 10 (notification of appointment, arrival and final departure/termination of functions of member of staff/arrival and departure of family member) 670
 - 11 (size of mission/refusal to accept officials of a particular category) 670
 - 12 (offices in localities other than those in which the mission itself is established) 670
 - 29 (inviolability of a diplomatic agent) 670
 - 31(1) (immunity from criminal jurisdiction) 670
 - 31(2) (immunity from obligation to give evidence) 670
 - 32 (waiver of immunity) 670
 - 37(1) (members of the family of a diplomatic agent: privileges and immunities) 671
 - 37(2) (A&T staff: privileges and immunities) 671
 - 39(1) (duration of immunities) 671
 - 39(2) (termination of functions) 671
- receiving State’s powers to affect the size and composition of the mission 672
- sending State’s freedom to appoint staff
 - as a general principle 671-2
 - restrictions on 672
- waiver of immunity (VCDR 32), requirements
 - implied/constructive waiver, exclusion 672-3
 - scholarly writings 674
 - separate waiver for all persons enjoying that immunity 673
 - travaux préparatoires* 673-4
- waiver of inviolability (VCDR 29) and of immunity (VCDR 31) as distinct possibilities 674
- RAF Croughton/US Air Force communications facility arrangements (1963-95)
 - UK’s criminal jurisdiction (SOFA VII(1)(b)) 675
 - US Department of Defense civilian personnel operating under NATO SOFA 674-5
- RAF Croughton/US Air Force communications facility arrangements (1995 Exchange of Notes)
 1. US request for an indulgence (the inclusion of diplomatic and A&T staff at premises away from the Embassy as part of the US mission) 679
 2. grant of indulgence by way of specific offer and acceptance 679
 3. inclusion of an express waiver of immunity from criminal jurisdiction of A&T employees and staff members at RAF Croughton 679
 4. absence of express reference to family members of A&T staff 679
 5. VCDR as framework for exchanges 679
- developments leading to the Exchange of Notes in date order
 - US request for US staff based at Croughton to be included on the “Diplomatic and Administrative and Technical list” (6 July 1994) 675
 - FCO request for further information (3 August 1994) 675
 - US response to request for further information (15 September 1994) 675
 - FCO letter indicating willingness to accept US request subject to an advance waiver of A&T staff immunity for acts outside the course of their duties (12 June 1995) 676
 - FCO Note setting terms of agreement to US request (15 August 1995) 676-7
 - US Ambassador’s response accepting conditions on criminal immunity of A&T staff (17 August 1995) 677
 - FCO consent to new designation (4 January 1996) 677

Dunn (diplomatic immunity) (background) (*cont.*)

- developments subsequent to the Exchange of Notes in date order
 - US proposal to increase the number of staff based at RAF Croughton (26 June 2000) 678
 - US formal request to increase the number of A&T staff at RAF Croughton with VCDR 37(2) privileges and immunities/waiver of immunity from criminal jurisdiction (6 May 2001) 678
 - FCO acceptance of US Embassy's 8 May proposal (13 July 2001) 678
 - FCO consent to US request for recognition of an extra building at RAF Croughton as diplomatic premises (5 November 2001) 678
 - US Embassy Note in same terms as that of 8 May 2001 (8 June 2006) 678-9
 - FCO's decision to agree to US Embassy's 8 June request (27 July 2006) 679

Dunn (diplomatic immunity) (Court's analysis and decision) 687-703

- Court's decision 702
- ground 1: immunity 687-95
 - analysis (Exchange of Notes)
 - exclusion as a standalone treaty/agreement 693
 - as exercise of VCDR rights and obligations 692-3
 - pre-waiver of Mr Sacoolas' immunity as only VCDR 32-compliant waiver 692
 - analysis (reasons for AS's immunity)
 - absence of any VCDR 32-compliant waiver in relation to AS 692
 - appointment of Mr Sacoolas as exercise of VCDR right/notification (VCDR 10) using FCO's form for that purpose 691
 - automaticity of entitlement 692
 - entitlement of Mr Sacoolas and his family (VCDR 37(2)/VCDR 39) to VCDR 29-35 privileges and immunities on arrival in the UK 691
 - flaws in claimants' arguments 694
 - legally binding effect of express VCDR 32(1) waiver 694
 - restriction of entitlement limited to valid waiver (VCDR 32) 691
 - VCDR as essential context 691
 - Court's conclusion (grant of permission to apply for judicial review but dismissal on the merits) 695
 - jurisprudence considered/distinguished
 - A Local Authority v. X* 695
 - In re B (A Child)* 694-5
 - Swarna v. Al-Awadi* 695
 - parties' arguments (claimants) 688-90
 - parties' arguments (FCO) 690-1
- ground 2: unlawful advice/obstruction
 - alleged non-disclosure/obstruction 698-700
 - alleged "unlawful" advice to the police 696-8
 - claimants' allegations (general) 695
 - flaws 695-6
 - Court's conclusion (no arguable error/refusal of permission to apply for judicial review) 700
- ground 3: ECHR 2 (right to life)
 - claimants' assertions/Court's response
 - "anomalous" nature of AS's immunity as reason for rejecting under CIL 700
 - FCO's breach of ECHR 2 procedural guarantees 701-2
 - inadequacy of investigation/FCO's responsibility for 701
 - VCDR as impediment to ECHR 2 investigation 700-1
 - Court's conclusion (refusal of permission to apply for judicial review) 702

ECHR (1950), applicability “within their jurisdiction” (ECHR 1)

- authorized agent of State abroad/State agent authority and control
 - authority and control, requirements, exercise of physical control and authority 462-3
 - control over claimants/relatives vs agent 510-13
- extraterritorial acts, extension to, dividing and tailoring of obligations vs “whole package” solution 461
- jurisprudence
 - Al-Saadoon* (2009) 460
 - Al-Skeini* (ECtHR) 449-52, 461-2, 510
 - Banković* 448, 512
 - Drozđ and Janousek* 511-12
 - Gentilhomme* 512
 - Hassan* 460-1
 - Ilaşcu* 448
 - Jaloud* 457-9
 - Kontić* 448-63, 510-11
 - Smith (Susan)* 453-7
 - Soering* 448
 - Tomanović* 509-13
- occupied territory/effective control of area (ECA) as result of military action
 - dividing and tailoring of obligations vs “whole package” solution, non-applicability 460
 - KFOR in Kosovo 459-63
- review of the jurisprudence
 - Kontić* 450-9
 - Tomanović* 509-12

environmental damage, remedies, writ of *Kalikasan* provisions 314: *see also Arigo*

estoppel, requirements

- clear indication of alleged position 115
- detrimental reliance on/prejudice 115, 163-4
- jurisprudence
 - Land, Island and Maritime Frontier Dispute (El Salvador/Honduras)* 115
 - Obligation to Negotiate Access* 114-15
 - Obligation to Negotiate Access* (Judge ad hoc Daudet (dissenting)) 163-4

EULEX Kosovo: see also Tomanović

- administration (EEAS) 490-1
- establishment (Joint Action 2008/124/CFSP (JA)) 490-1
 - JA as “quasi”-treaty between EU Member States 491
- immunity from jurisdiction in English courts (Regulation 2000/47 (KFOR/UNMIK, s 3.3)) 507-8
- mission statement (JA 2) 490
- status of staff (JA 10)
 - relationship of seconded staff with EULEX (*Bamieħ*) 491-2
 - responsibility for answering any claims linked to the secondment (JA 10(2)) 515
 - Zabiti* (HRRP) 515
- responsibility of participating EU/EULEX Member State
 - North Rhine-Westphalian decision (VG Köln 25 K 4280/09) 515-17
 - Tomanović* 515-17
- responsibility for seconded staff members (seconding State vs EULEX) 492
 - text 490
- tasks (JA 3(d)) 490

exchange of notes: see Dunn; treaties, definition/form/classification as (VCLT 2(1)(a))

foreign relations, responsibility for, jurisprudence: *see also* recognition of State/
 government

- Arantzazu Mendi* 633, 641
- Duff Development* 640, 643
- Kuwait Airways (Nos 4 and 5)* 634
- Maduro/Guaidó* 633-6
- Mahmoud v. Breish* 634, 635
- Republic of Somalia* 634-5

France, State immunity

- applicable law
 - customary international law (CIL) 221
 - VCDR 221
- entitlement of: *see also Transparency International France*
 - State agency/State-owned corporation/State entity
 - functional immunity, limitation to 221-4
 - organs and entities as emanation of State/agencies acting in their official capacity 221

FSIA 1976 (USA) by section: *see also Arigo*

- 28 USC 1605(a)(5) (territorial tort exception) 319-30

Genocide Convention (1948)

- interpretation
 - applicable law (VCLT 31 and VCLT 32) 277
 - travaux préparatoires*
 - GC I (obligations of States) 277-8
 - text as determining factor 277-8
- municipal law and, implementing legislation, need for 267, 277-8
- obligations/State responsibility
 - obligation to legislate (GC V) 267
 - obligation to prevent and punish (GC I), *Mothers of Srebrenica* (Netherlands Courts (2014-19)) 267

Germany, Federal Republic (FRG)

- Basic Law (*GG*) (including 2009 amendments) by article
 - 100(2) (status and effect of international law: determination by Constitutional Court) 234-5
 - 101(1) (extraordinary courts, prohibition) 233-4
- State immunity, classification of act as *jure imperii* or *jure gestionis*: *see also Greek Debt Restructuring* (German Constitutional Court)
 - issue of government bonds 234
 - legislative restructuring of government debt 235-8
- State immunity, theory/doctrine including the basis/reasons for, restrictive theory, as general principle of international law 233-8

***Greek Debt Restructuring* (German Constitutional Court)**

- background (factual in date order)
 - issue of government bonds (1998-2010) 230
 - applicable law 230
 - acquisition of bonds by the complainants on the secondary market (27 January/11 April 2011) 230
 - restructuring of debt through a bond exchange (23 February 2012) 230-1
 - exchange offer to bondholders (24 February 2012) (not accepted by the complainants) 231

- majority decision of bondholders imposed on all bondholders (9 March 2012) 231
- deregistration of existing bonds/registration of new bonds (12 March 2012) 231
- background (procedural in date order)
- action in the Osnabrück Regional Court for repayment of funds spent on the original bonds/compensation (11 December 2013) 231
- dismissal of action in tort on State immunity as *acta imperii* (15 May 2015) 231-2
 - rejection of argument that Greek government was in breach of contract 232
- Higher Regional Court of Oldenburg's rejection of appeal (18 April 2016)
 - confirmation of immunity in respect of claim in tort 232
 - non-applicability of immunity to contractual claim/Brussels I as impediment to suit 232
- Federal Court of Justice's dismissal of appeal (19 December 2017)
 - applicability of State immunity to contractual claim 232
 - irrelevance of Brussels I 232-3
 - non-applicability of JISP 10(1) (neither Germany nor Greece a party) 232-3
- Constitutional Court's analysis and decision
 - classification of act as *jure imperii* or *jure gestionis*
 - issue of government bonds (contractual nature) 235
 - legislative debt restructuring 235-8
 - dismissal of constitutional complaint (on grounds of previous verification of norms under consideration) 233-4

head of State, recognition: *see Maduro/Guaidó*

Human Rights Act 1998 (HRA)

- common law/customary international law, continuation as an autonomous principle 471-3
- ECtHR compliance obligation (ECHR 46 [ECHR 53/ECHR 54])/"must take into account" (HRA 2(1)(a))
 - "determining a question which has arisen in connection with a Convention right" 445-7
- jurisprudence
 - Chester* 445
 - Horncastle* 445
 - Kontic* 445-7
 - Manchester City Council* 445
 - SSH D v. AF* 445
- retroactivity (HRA 22(4))/applicability to acts occurring before entry into force
 - ECtHR and domestic courts' practice, possibility of divergence 469
 - evolving approach to 516-17
 - jurisprudence
 - Finucane* 518
 - Keyu* 469-71, 518
 - Kontic* 467-73
 - McCaughey* 468, 469, 470, 517-18
 - McKerr* 417, 468
 - Tomanovic* 517-18
 - temporal jurisdiction principle (*Šilih*) 467-8, 469, 470, 518-19
 - relevance to HRA-based claims 467-71

Human Rights Act 1998 (HRA) by section

- 3(1) (interpretation of legislation: "in a way compatible with the Convention rights")

Human Rights Act 1998 (HRA) by section (*cont.*)

act of State/State immunity/right of access to courts (ECHR 6(1)), *Benkharbouche* 542-3, 570, 574, 578, 603

London Steam-Ship 570

obligation to interpret SIA 1978 consistently with ECHR 6 570

7(5)(a) (12-month time limit for bringing of claim) 527-8

7(5)(b) (“such longer period as the court . . . considers equitable”) 527-8

ICJ

procedural issues

joinder of proceedings

Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities/Construction of a Road) 44

sound administration of justice/judicial economy as purpose 30-2, 39-45

status and functions (ICJ 36(1)/ICJ 38)

in accordance with the law 122-3

Court’s right to encourage parties to pursue peaceful means of settlement 123

dispute settlement (ICJ 38(1)), in absence of settled rules of international law 122-3

ICJ Rules of Court (1978 as variously amended) by rule

38(1) (Application requirements: party making it, State against which claim is brought and subject of the dispute) 17

38(2) (Application: legal basis for jurisdiction and precise nature of claim) 17, 19

43 (construction of a convention to which States not party to proceedings are party:

Court’s directions to Registrar) 11, 70

53(1) (copies of pleadings to State entitled to appear) 11, 70

53(2) (availability of documents to the public) 11, 71

61(4) (Court’s indication of points to be addressed by parties: timing of replies) 12

69(3) (observations by international organization) 11, 70

72 (oral proceedings: written reply to question/evidence or explanation) 12

79(1) (preliminary objections: time limits for lodging objection to jurisdiction/admissibility) 70

79(5) (preliminary objections: suspension of proceedings on the merits and time limits for written observations) 11, 70

79(9) (Court’s decision, alternatives: time limits for further proceedings) 25-56, 60-1, 67-8: *see also* preliminary objections (ICJ) (ICJ ROC 79)

Note: Rule 79(9) was replaced on 21 October 2019 by Rule 79*ter*.

rationale/evolution of the rule 26-56, 67-8

ILC (International Law Commission) including Draft Articles/reports on,

non-binding effect of draft articles 443

intergenerational rights and responsibilities 303-5, 337-45**international organizations, responsibility for conduct of organ of State placed at its disposal (DARIO 7)**

“effective control” test

agreement to transfer control 255-7, 265-7

Behrami, ILC/academic criticism of 441-5

TCN’s retention of “organic”/administrative control, relevance 277, 436-7

UN responsibility for acts of peacekeeping forces 265-7, 272-7

ILC Commentary (2011) (perceived criticism of *Behrami*) 441-3, 447

jurisprudence

Al-Jedda (ECtHR) 439, 514

Al-Jedda (House of Lords) 439, 514

Behrami 435-45, 514

- Kontic* 435-45, 514
- Mothers of Srebrenica* 265-6, 272-6
- Mukeshimana* 203-18
- Serdar Mohammed* (Court of Appeal) 440, 477-8, 509
- Serdar Mohammed* (Supreme Court) 509
- Tomanovic* 513-17
- mandate as determining factor (implied terms) 209-10
- ultra vires* acts (DARIO 8) 276-7
- UN Peacekeeping forces, applicability to (ILC(SR) 8, comment 9) 276-7

JISP (Jurisdictional Immunities of States and their Property Convention (2004))

- customary international law (CIL) and
 - Ascom* 394-400
 - continuing role in matters not regulated by JISP (preamble) 396-7
 - gap-filling/compromise nature of the Convention 396-7
 - post-judgment measures of constraint (JISP 19) 399-400
- gravity of the offence test/*jus cogens* exemption, decision not to include 411
- immunity from execution and jurisdiction distinguished (JISP 18-21/ILC(SI)/18-19/ILC commentary), abuse of rights considerations 411
- immunity from measures of constraint (JISP 21(1)(c)): *see* central/State-owned bank interpretation
 - Annex (Understanding with respect to certain provisions of the Convention) 405-6
 - applicable law (VCLT 31/VCLT 32 including text, context and *travaux préparatoires*) 397
 - authentic texts 397
 - desirability of uniformity 397
- JISP 21 (specific categories of property not to be regarded as in use for non-government purposes) 399-400
- ratifications/entry into force 395-7
- restrictive immunity, adoption of 384-6
- judge ad hoc (ICJ 31(2) and (3) and ROC 71)**
 - appointment (*Obligation to Negotiate Access*) 10, 69-70
 - replacement following resignation 70
- jus cogens/peremptory norm (VCLT 53)***, *Jurisdictional Immunities* 323-6
- justice**, general principles of international procedural law, contribution to 37-45

Kazakhstan

- National Bank, status 400-5
- National Bank Law, summary of provisions 403-4
- National Fund's funds, "property of a State's central bank" (SIA 14(4)/JISP 21(1)(c)), whether 405-10
- KFOR/UNMIK (UNSCR 1244 (1999))**: *see also* *Kontic*; *Tomanovic*
 - chain of command 422-4, 436-7, 491, 493-5
 - civil liability for incidents during peacekeeping operation, *Bici* 480
 - EULEX: *see* EULEX Kosovo
 - international responsibility for acts of, *Behrami* 435-45
 - KFOR, composition and role 422-5
 - Military Technical Agreement (MTA) (FRY-Serbia) (1999), conclusion 417, 420
 - status, privileges and immunities of KFOR and UNMIK and their personnel (Regulation 2000/47) 475-8

KFOR/UNMIK (UNSCR 1244 (1999)) (*cont.*)

- as basis of immunity in English courts (s 3.3) 476-8, 507-8
- EULEX, extension to (Executive Decision 2008/36) 507-8
- immunity from jurisdiction in Kosovo courts, as procedural bar 475-7
- Joint Declaration (17 August 2000), text 273-4
- as part of the law of Kosovo 475
- text
 - s 2 (KFOR and its personnel) 474
 - s 3 (UNMIK and its personnel) 506-7
- Theatre Claims Policy (versions 1 and 2) 475, 479-80, 481
- UNMIK, principal responsibilities 489
- UNSCR 1244 (text) 420-1

Kontic (background)

- evidence/witnesses
 - claimants' evidence 429-33
 - defendants 419-20, 422-8
 - late submissions 419
- facts in date order
 - events leading to the establishment of KFOR (January to June 1999) 420
 - Serb forces' agreement to leave Kosovo (8 June 1999) 420
 - MTA signed (9 June 1999) 420
 - UNSCR 1244 passed (10 June 1999) 420
- KFOR structure and role
 - command mechanism 422-3
 - composition/deployment 422
 - relevant documents (extracts)
 - MTA 422
 - UNSCR 1244 420-1
 - "restoration of law and order"
 - key objectives 424-5
 - limited policing capacity prior to the arrival of UNMIK 425, 427-8
 - main risks/threats 424-5
 - prioritization of military objectives/civilian security 425-6
 - Pristina University Hospital problems 426-7
 - relationship with the KLA 423-4
- subsequent events (in date order)
 - establishment of CCIU (1 October 1999) 433
 - establishment of MPU (1 November 1999) followed by WCIU 433
 - Del Ponte memoirs (2008) 433
 - EULEX's assumption of some of UNMIK's functions (late 2008) 433
 - establishment of Human Rights Review Panel (HRRP) to consider complaints of HR violations by EULEX (October 2009) 433
 - ICRC opening of files on more than 6000 disappearances (2010) 433
 - Marty Report (December 2010) 433-4
 - establishment of SITF (2011) 435

Kontic (Court's analysis and decision)

- issue 2(a): immunity as a bar to suit in the English courts 418, 473-82
 - Theatre Claims Policy (versions 1 and 2) 475, 479-80, 481
 - exclusion as source of abuse of rights 481
 - UNSCR 1244/Joint Declaration (17 August 2000)/UNMIK Regulation 2000/4
 - as basis for immunity in the English courts 476-8
 - extracts 473-5

- issue 2(b): attribution to the UK/UN 429, 435-48
 - Behrami* 435-45
 - academic criticism of 444-5
 - ILC Commentary (2011) (perceived criticism of *Behrami*) 441-3
 - Court's conclusions
 - attribution of acts and omissions complained of to the UN 448
 - Behrami* as persuasive authority 447
 - distinguishability of *Kontic* from *Behrami/Nuhanovic/Jaloud* 448
 - ECtHR compliance obligation (ECHR 46/HRA 2(1)(a)) 445-7
 - TCNs' retention of "organic"/administrative control, relevance 436-7
 - issue 3(a)(i) and (ii): instantaneous act or continuing violation? 418, 467
 - issue 3(b): applicability of HRA to events prior to 2 October 2000 418, 467-73
 - common law/customary international law, continuation as an autonomous principle 471-3
 - temporal jurisdiction principle (*Šilih*) 467-71
 - issue 3(c): "within the jurisdiction of the UK" (ECHR 1) 419, 448-63
 - Court's conclusions 459-62
 - dividing and tailoring of obligations vs "whole package" solution, non-applicability 461
 - effective control of the area (ECA) requirement 448-59
 - State agent and authority control 462-3
 - exercise of physical control and authority requirement 463
 - issue 3(d): existence of "operational protective duty" (ECHR 2/ECHR 3) 419, 463
 - issue 3(e): obligation to investigate (ECHR 2/ECHR 3) 419, 463-7
 - absence of requisite physical control or State agent authority 463-4
 - Court's conclusion
 - continuing obligation to investigate 464-6
 - obligation to investigate 463-4
 - transfer of responsibilities/absence of territorial/ECA jurisdiction, effect 464-7
 - issue 3(f): engagement of ECHR 8/ECHR 13 419, 467
- Kosovo (SPRK (Special Prosecution Office))**
 - competence 490
 - establishment (Law of 13 March 2008) 490
- legitimate expectation**
 - general principle of international law, whether 115-16
 - Obligation to Negotiate Access* 115-16
- life, right to (ECHR 2) (obligation to investigate death) ("procedural" obligation)**
 - "a genuine connection" requirement/relevant factors, transfer of responsibilities/absence of territorial/ECA jurisdiction 464-7
 - as separate and autonomous duty
 - suspicion of breach of substantive obligation engaging State responsibility as trigger for procedural obligation 518-19
 - trigger for investigation, need for 463-4
- life, right to (ECHR 2) (obligation to investigate death ("procedural obligation")), jurisprudence**
 - Al-Saadoon* 463, 518
 - Angelova* 464-5
 - Brecknell* 470
 - Cyprus v. Turkey* 465
 - DSD* 519-20

life, right to (ECHR 2) (obligation to investigate death (“procedural obligation”)), jurisprudence (*cont.*)

- Janowiec* 466, 469-70
- Keyu* 466
- Kontic* 463-7
- Long* 463, 518-19
- McKerr* 466
- Middleton* 518-19
- Šilih* (including discussion in *McCaughey*) 519-20
- Smith (Catherine)* 518-19
- Varnava* 465-6

London Steam-Ship Owners (High Court) (background)

- introductory note 534-5
- proceedings (arbitration)
 - first arbitration proceedings (2013 Award) 537-8
 - notice of new arbitration proceedings (8 January 2019/4 July 2019) 539-40
- proceedings (in England) (in date order)
 - Club’s section 66 enforcement proceedings (2013 Award) (2014/2015) 538
 - Club’s proceedings against Spain for breach of obligation to honour the 2013 Award (12 February 2019) 538-9
 - Club’s section 18 Application (22 March 2019)/order (4 April 2019) 540
 - Spain’s registration of Provincial Court’s enforcement order under the Brussels I Regulation (28 May 2019) 538
 - Club’s appeal against [December 2020] 538
 - Club’s proceedings against Spain for breach of obligation to abide by section 66 judgments (19 September 2019) 539
- proceedings (in Spain) 535-7
 - Provincial Court’s enforcement order against Club (1 March 2019) 537

London Steam-Ship Owners (High Court) (Court’s analysis and decisions)

- issue 1: State immunity
 - overview
 - burden/standard of proof (balance of probabilities) 541
 - SIA options 541
 - “State immunity” (common law (*I Congreso del Partido*)) 542
 - “State immunity” (SIA 1) 542
 - issue 1: State immunity (SIA 2(3)(b) (submission to the jurisdiction)) (“step in the proceedings . . . which evidences an unequivocal election to waive immunity” (*Kuwait Airways*)) 583-90
 - Court’s conclusion 589-90
 - Kuwait Airways* decision analysed (1995) 587-9
 - parties’ arguments 585-7
 - “step” taken by Spain 585-6
- issue 1: State immunity (SIA 3(1)(a) (commercial transaction)) 568-79
 - Court’s conclusion (Spain’s failure to establish immunity) 577-9
 - relevance of conclusions on SIA 9 immunity 578-9
 - Spain’s pursuit of contractual claims as basis of SIA 3(1)(a) loss of immunity 578-9
 - human rights considerations (HRA 3(1)) 570
 - potential overlap with SIA 9(1), exclusion as justification for narrow interpretation of SIA 3(1) 569, 572, 575, 577, 580
 - “proceedings relating to . . . a commercial transaction”
 - Club’s application to appoint arbitrator as 571
 - NML* distinguished 569, 574-5, 577-9

- Svenska* distinguished 571-4
- SIA 3(3)(c) (“any other transaction or activity entered into other than in exercise of sovereign authority”) as broad/exhaustive definition 568-73, 577-9
- issue 1: State immunity (SIA 3(1)(b) (obligation to be performed in the UK)) 579-83
 - Spain’s obligation in equity to pursue insurance contract claims as “an obligation of the State” 579-83
 - State’s status as party to original contract, relevance 580-1
 - issue 1: State immunity (SIA (9)(1) (agreement to arbitration in writing as waiver)) 544-68
 - conditional benefits principle, jurisprudence 547-62
 - The Angelic Grace* 552
 - Aspen Underwriting* 560-2, 565-6
 - Charterers’ Mutual* 549-50, 559
 - Fiona Trust* 564
 - Gasser* 552
 - The Jay Bola* 547-8, 565
 - The Jordan Nicolev* 548-9
 - Nori Holdings* 564
 - The Prestige (No 2)* 556-8, 562-4, 566-7
 - Through Transport (The Hari Bhum)* 550-2
 - Through Transport (The Hari Bhum) (No 2)* 552-6, 558, 563-4, 565-6
 - Turner v. Grovit* 552
 - The Yusuf Cepnioglu* 558-60, 565
 - parties’ arguments
 - Club 545
 - Spain 545-6
 - text 544
- issue 1: State immunity (SIA (9)(1) (agreement to arbitration in writing as waiver)), Court’s conclusions
 - definition of the requirement 546-7, 567
 - Spain’s assumption of the burden of the arbitration clause (conditional benefit principle) 565
 - Spain’s obligation to arbitrate on the substance and on any breach of that obligation 565-7
 - Spain’s participation in section 66 proceedings
 - balance of probabilities test 568
 - as implied contract to pursue claims by arbitration 568
 - as submission to the jurisdiction/waiver of immunity 567-8
- issue 2: Court’s jurisdiction to appoint an arbitrator (AA 18)
 - agreement to an AA 18 order except in relation to the Club’s proposed claim for breach of contract 610
 - burden/standard of proof (good arguable case) 541
 - compétence de la compétence* 391-3
 - Court’s decisions on issues related to
 - jurisdiction of the arbitrator 593, 595-6
 - merits of the claim 593-4
 - remedies available to the arbitrator 594-5
 - parties’ arguments
 - the Club 595
 - Spain 541, 543-4, 591-6
 - remedies available to the arbitrator
 - equitable damages in lieu of an injunction (SCA 1981, s 50) 604-8
 - injunction against a State (SIA 13(2)(b) read in conjunction with AA 48(5)) 600-4

London Steam-Ship Owners (High Court) (Court's analysis and decisions) (cont.)

- as matter for the arbitrator 593-4
- other equitable compensation 608-10
- standard of proof ("good arguable case")
 - Airbus* 594
 - AK* 594
 - Crowther* 593
 - Enka Insaat* 543-4, 592
 - Flannery and Merkin* 591
 - Lesotho Highlands* 594
 - London Steam-Ship Owners* 590-610
 - Midgulf* 592
 - Noble Denton* 591
 - Silver Dry Bulk* 591, 593-4
 - Sinochem* 593-4
 - West of England* 593

Maduro/Guaidó (recognition of head of State/government) (background)

- facts (in date order)
 - Maduro's election as President of Venezuela (April 2013) 619
 - National Assembly elections (December 2015)/disputed results 619
 - STJ's suspension of implementation of disputed results/opposition's continuation in defiance of 619
 - STJ's declarations of the nullity of decisions of the National Assembly while constituted in breach of STJ judgments (1 August 2016 and subsequently) 619
 - Maduro's establishment of a National Constituent Assembly as rival to the National Assembly (May 2017) 619
 - presidential elections, Maduro claiming victory (May 2018) 620-1
 - Maduro's appointment of Ortega as BCV president (19 June 2018) 619-20
 - National Assembly's resolution on the invalidity of Ortega's appointment/STJ's rejection of resolution as unconstitutional (26 June 2018) 619-20
 - swearing in of Maduro for second term as president (10 January 2019) 620
 - National Assembly's announcement (Constitution 233) of Maduro's usurpation of office and of Guaidó as Interim President (15 January 2019) 620
 - UK/EU's call on Maduro to call elections/warning of intention to recognize Guaidó as interim president (26 January 2019) 620
 - UK Foreign Secretary's statement recognizing Guaidó as interim president (4 February 2019) 620
 - Guaidó's appointment of Hernández as Special Attorney General (5 February 2019) 621
 - National Assembly's adoption of the Transition Statute (5 February 2019) 621
 - signatories 621
 - summary of provisions 621
 - STJ judgment holding the Transition Statute to be unconstitutional, null and of no legal effect (8 February 2019) 621
 - Tugendhat–Duncan exchange of letters on the legal basis for the 4 February act of recognition (25 February 2019) 620
 - STJ judgment holding the appointment of Hernández as unconstitutional, null and of no legal effect (11 April 2019) 621
 - Guaidó's appointment of BCV ad hoc Board (18 July 2019) 621
 - STJ judgment holding the appointment of the ad hoc board to be unconstitutional, null and of no legal effect (25 July 2019) 622

- Guaidó's re-election by the National Assembly as its President (5 January 2020) 622
 - National Assembly's confirmation of BCV's status as a "decentralised entity" (19 May 2020) 622
 - maintenance of UK–Venezuela diplomatic relations on basis of Maduro appointments/approvals (as at date of proceedings) 622-3
- procedural history (in date order)
 - Deutsche Bank's issue of an arbitration claim for the appointment of receivers to hold and manage a BCV gold swap (13 May 2019)/appointment of receivers and transfer of gold swap assets to them 623
 - Guaidó Board/Maduro Board statements of entitlement to give instructions on behalf of the BCV (September/October 2019) 623
 - High Court request to FCO for information on the recognition of the head of State and the head of government (14 February 2020) 623
 - FCO reply referring to 1980 statement on UK change of policy and statement of 4 February 2019 on provisional recognition of Guaidó 624
 - order for determination of recognition and justiciability issues as preliminary matters (30 March 2020) 624
 - BCV issue of proceedings against the Bank of England (14 May 2020) 624
 - preliminary issues hearings (22-25 June 2020) 624
- Maduro/Guaidó (recognition of head of State/government) (Court's analysis and decision) 626-61**
 - Court's decision 661
 - High Court judgment, summary/comment on 625-8
 - recognition issue/Foreign Secretary's 4 February 2019 statement, judge's acceptance of Guaidó Board's position including withdrawal of recognition of Maduro as president 624-5
 - limitation of Deutsche Bank's interest to presidential appointments 625-6
 - limitation of statement to recognition of president 625
 - maintenance of diplomatic relations with Maduro, relevance 625-6
 - one voice principle, effect 626-7
 - parallel *de jure* and *de facto* recognition of a president, possibility of 626-7
 - parties' arguments 624-6
 - preliminary issue 1: recognition 633-54
 - Court's conclusions
 - impossibility of a definitive answer/interim conclusion 649-50
 - suggested questions for submission to the FCO 650-1
 - de facto/de jure* recognition
 - alternative definitions 636-7
 - effect of acts of government recognized *de jure* in territory under control of *de facto* government 638
 - determination of meaning of FCO letter to the Court of 19 March 2020 645-9
 - ambiguity 648-50
 - diplomatic relations as implicit recognition 634-6
 - executive certificate, conclusiveness 640-1
 - one voice principle 618-19, 626, 640-5
 - parallel/co-existing governments, possibility of dual recognition 626-7, 637-51
 - recognition as interference in internal affairs (customary international law), whether 641-4
 - recognition as prerogative/right of recognizing government 633-4
 - UK change of practice (1980) 633-4
 - continuing right to depart from the general rule 634
 - preliminary issue 2: foreign act of State 654-61

Maduro/Guaidó (recognition of head of State/government) (Court's analysis and decision) (cont.)

- applicability of doctrine to acts unlawful under the law of the State concerned 655-61
- premature status by reason of the indeterminate state of recognition of STJ judgments 654-61
- UK's position on continuing recognition of Maduro 654

Mothers of Srebrenica (sending State responsibility for acts of peacekeeping forces) (Netherlands courts: 2014-19) (background)

facts (in date order)

- independence of Slovenia and Croatia (1991) 248
- UNSCR 743 (UNPROFOR) (21 February 1992) 248
 - extension to include Bosnia and Herzegovina (UNSCR 758 of 8 June 1992) 249
- Srebrenica: emergence as an enclave/siege of (early 1993) 249
- visit of UNPROFOR commander (Morillon) to Srebrenica/promise to Bosnian Muslims of UN protection (10/14 March 1993) 250-1
- UNSCR 819 (Bosnia and Herzegovina) (16 April 1993)
 - extension to other enclaves (UNSCR 824) 251
 - text 250-1
- Mladić (VRS)–UN demilitarization agreement (18 April 1993) 251
- Bosnia and Herzegovina–UN agreement on UNPROFOR status (14 May 1993) 251
- UNSCR 836 (Bosnia and Herzegovina) (4 June 1993), test 251-2
- UNSG's analysis of modalities for implementing UNSCR 836 (14 June 1993) 253-4
 - "close air support" 254
- UNSCR 844 (adoption of UNSG's "light option") (18 June 1993) 254
- offer of Dutchbat in implementation of UNSCR 836 "safe areas"/acceptance/Dutch government's agreement to deployment (3 September/21 October/12 November 1993) 254
- Dutchbat's relief of Canadian regiment in the Srebrenica enclave (3 March 1994) 254
- Bosnian Serbs' refusal of passage to UN convoys en route to Srebrenica (mid-1994) 257
- operation order including transfer of command and control to the UN (14 December 1994)
 - applicable codes of conduct and instructions 255
 - personnel involved at relevant period 255-7
 - text 255-6
- Post-Airstrike Guidance* (29 May 1995) (extracts) 258
- forced abandonment of OP-E (3 June 1995) 258
- Bosnian Serbs' attack on the safe area including Srebrenica (6 July 1995) 258
- Dutchbat abandonment of observation posts handing over armoured vehicles/allowing capture (8/9 July 1995) 258
- air presence above the safe area/no response to request for close air support (9 July 1995) 258-9
- Dutchbat's assumption of blocking positions (9-10 July 1995) 259
- admission of refugees to the Dutchbat compound (10 July 1995) 259-60
- agreement with Mladić on arrangements for evacuation of the refugees (11/12 July 1995) 261
- arrival of Gobilliard's order (11 July 1995) 261
- fall of Srebrenica (11 July 1995) 260
- establishment of mini safe area following the fall of Srebrenica/arrival of 20-30,000 refugees 260-1

- initial evacuation of refugees from safe area (12 July 1995) 262-3
- rape and the commission of other war crimes (12 July 1995), Dutchbat failure to report at the time 262-3
- UNSCR 1004 (call for withdrawal of Bosnian Serb forces from Srebrenica safe area) (12 July 1995)/non-compliance 261
- resumption of evacuation including removal of refugees within the compound (13 July 1995) 263
- acts of genocide (13-17 July 1995) 263
- Dutchbat's departure from the compound (21 July 1995) 263
- procedural matters
 - procedural history in date order
 - Supreme Court (13 April 2012) 241, 246
 - ECtHR proceedings (11 June 2013) 241-2, 246
 - Supreme Court (6 September 2013) 242, 246
 - District Court proceedings (16 July 2014) 242, 264
 - Court of Appeal proceedings (27 June 2017) 242, 264-70
 - Supreme Court proceedings (19 July 2019) 242-5 (summary), 245-94 (extracts)
 - requests
 - compensation 264
 - declaration of State's violation of its obligation to prevent genocide 264
 - declaration of wrongfulness of State's actions in respect of the respondents 264
 - respondents, status
 - Mothers of Srebrenica/"The Foundation"/standing (DCC 3:305a) 264
 - relatives of refugees killed after fleeing into the woods or being evacuated from the mini-safe area 263-4
 - summary of the issue 247-8
- Mothers of Srebrenica (sending State responsibility for acts of peacekeeping forces) (Netherlands courts: 2014-19) (Courts' analyses and decisions) 241-94***
 - Court of Appeal 242, 264-70
 - attribution (effective control test/*ultra vires* acts) 265-6
 - applicable law (DARIO/ILC(SR)) 265
 - burden of proof in case of doubt 265
 - clear evidence pointing to transfer of control to the UN 265-7
 - Court's interim decision 267
 - Court's decision (entitlement to compensation of relatives inside the compound on 13 July 1995) 270
 - Genocide Convention, direct effect
 - obligation to prevent genocide (GC I), limitations on 267
 - requirement for legislation (GC V) 267
 - protection of ECHR/ICCPR rights to life/freedom from torture or inhuman treatment: standards (DCC 6:162) ("knew or ought to have known") 278-9
 - State responsibility for conduct of organ or agent placed at disposal of another State (ILC(SR) 6), relevant factors 268-70
 - evacuation of refugees who were inside the compound 269-70
 - evacuation of refugees who were in the mini safe area outside the compound 268-9
 - summary 264-5
 - District Court 242, 264
 - decision
 - liability for damage caused by Dutchbat's cooperation in the evacuation of male refugees 264
 - transfer of national contingent to the UN as "organ of the UN" 265-6
 - respondents' arguments/requests 264

Mothers of Srebrenica (sending State responsibility for acts of peacekeeping forces) (Netherlands courts: 2014-19) (Courts' analyses and decisions) (cont.)

- Supreme Court (issue 1: attribution of responsibility for acts of Dutchbat under the UN flag up until 23:00 on 11 July 1995) 272-7
- for act carried out "on the instructions of, or under the direction or control of" (ILC(SR) 4) 271-2
 - acceptance by Court of Appeal/respondents of Dutchbat as an organ of the UN 272
 - effective control test 272-6
 - control of specific operation/conduct integral to the operation, need for (ILC(SR) 8, comment 3) 272-6
 - Military and Paramilitary Activities* criterion (ILC(SR) 8, comment 4) 272-4
 - retention of "organic" control, relevance 277
 - ultra vires* acts (DARIO 8) 276-7
 - Supreme Court (issue 2: direct applicability of GC I obligation to prevent genocide) 277-8
 - implementing legislation, need for (GC V) 277-8
 - interpretation (VCLT 31-3) (*text/travaux préparatoires*) 277-8
 - Supreme Court (issue 3: protection of ECHR/ICCPR rights: standards (DCC 6:162) ("knew or ought to have known")) 278-80
 1. Dutchbat's knowledge of real risk to male refugees' ECHR/ICCPR rights 280-2
 2. Dutchbat's cooperation with the evacuation of refugees in the mini safe area 282-4
 3. Dutchbat's failure to offer choice to male refugees inside the compound 284-7
 4. chance of male refugees' escaping capture had they remained in the compound 287-91
 5. Court's decision (damages) 291-2
 - Supreme Court (summary of conclusions/decision) 292-3

Mukeshimana

- background (factual in date order)
- UNCHR Special Rapporteur's report on extrajudicial, summary or arbitrary executions in Rwanda (April 1993) 183
 - Arusha Peace Accords (4 August 1993) 183
 - UNSCR 872 (establishment of UNAMIR) (5 October 1993), text 183-6
 - arrival of General Dallaire in Kigali (22 October 1993)/presentation of draft rules of engagement 186
 - Belgian government decision to provide troops to UNAMIR (10/19 November 1993) 186
 - organization of UNAMIR/KIBAT/Groupe Sud 187
 - General Dallaire's request for protection of an informer (11 January 1994)/refusal of request 187
 - Belgian government's recommendation to expand UNAMIR's mandate to permit intervention by force (February 1994) 187
 - UNSG's report to Security Council on UNAMIR recommending six-month extension of mandate (30 March 1994) 188
 - Rwandan genocide (April-July 1994) 182
 - Security Council approval of four-month extension with unchanged terms of reference (5 April 1994) 188
 - shooting down of presidential plane, killing the Presidents of Rwanda and Burundi (6 April 1994) 188
 - cancellation of external operations/resumption of patrols and protection of political figures (6-7 April 1994) 188
 - torture and murder of ten Belgian blue helmets (7 April 1994) 188

- assassination of Prime Minister of transitional Rwandan government (7 April 1994) 188
- arrival of Rwandan refugees at the ETO (7-10 April 1994) 189-90
- Operation Silver Back (10-16 April 1994) 180-1, 209-10, 214-15
- evacuation of French expatriates from the ETO (11 April 1994) 190-1
- respondents' loss of family members at the ETO (11 April 1994) 182
- Belgian Foreign Minister's meeting with UNSG (12 April 1994) 192-3
- departure of Groupe Sud from the ETO (11 April 1994) 190-1
 - Lieutenant Lemaire's account 192
 - Mrs Mukeshimana's 1997 account 191
- UNSG's report to Security Council of departure of Belgian troops (12 April 1994) 193
- Nigeria's call on behalf of NAM for a reinforcement of UNAMIR (13 April 1994) 193
- UNSCR 912 (1994) (adjustment of the UNAMIR mandate due to the current situation in Rwanda and settlement of the Rwandan conflict) (reduction of number of forces) (21 April 1994) 193
- UNSCR 925 (UNAMIR II) (8 June 1994) 193
 - failure to find troops 193
- UNSCR 929 (1994) (Operation Turquoise) (22 June 1994) 193-4
- FPR ceasefire declaration (18 July 1994) 194
- formation of government of national unity (19 July 1994) 194
- establishment of Parliamentary Commission of Inquiry (24 April 1997) 182
- Parliamentary Commission's report (5 December 1997) 182-3
 - criticisms of UN and Belgian government 194-5
- UN report on the actions of the UN during the 1994 genocide (15 December 1999) 182-3, 189
- background (procedural) (proceedings by relatives of Rwandans killed at the ETO (7 April 2004/4 December 2007)) 179-80, 195-6
- incidental appeal (Mrs Mukeshimana-Ngulinzira et al.) 197
- incidental appeal (Mrs Umwali) 197-8
- interim judgment (8 December 2010) 180, 196
 - appeal (Case 2011/292) (Marchal, Lemaire and Dewez) 196-7
 - appeal (Case 2011/294) (Belgian government) 197
- Court's analysis and decision 207-18
 1. responsibility for the repatriation decision 180-1, 208-10
 - Belgian attempts to obtain a broadening of UNAMIR's mandate to allow participation in the evacuation 208-9
 - French/Belgian control of evacuation operations 209
 - integrated plan for evacuation in case of UNAMIR withdrawal including UNAMIR assistance in evacuation of expatriates 180-1, 209-10
 - terms of mandate as evidence of 209-10
 2. execution of repatriation operations 181-2, 210-17
 - Belgium's decision to withdraw from UNAMIR, relevance 181, 211-12
 - as a tripartite collaboration (UNAMIR/France/Belgium) 181, 212-14
 - UNAMIR's retention of ultimate control 214-16
- absence of evidence of Belgium's assumption of control 216-17
 - Mothers of Srebrenica* (Netherlands Court of Appeal, 2014) distinguished 217
- legal framework/applicable law
 - ILC Articles on the Responsibility of International Organizations (2011) (DARIO) 201, 203-6
 - as codification of customary international law 201

Mukeshimana (*cont.*)

- ILC(SR) (ILC [draft] Articles on State Responsibility for Internationally Wrongful Acts) 201-3
 - as codification of customary international law 201
- UNPIC 200-1
- parties' positions (appellants)
 - Belgian government (disclaimer of State responsibility) 200
 - Marchal and Dewez (claim to immunity under UNAMIR Status of Forces Agreement 15) 200
- parties' positions (respondents) 198-200

NATO Status of Forces Agreement (1951) (SOFA), exclusive jurisdiction of receiving State with respect to offences committed within its territory (SOFA VII(1)(b)) 675

negotiation as means of dispute settlement

- agreement to negotiate (legal bases)
 - acquiescence 112-13
 - agreements not in written form/tacit agreements (CIL/VCLT 3) 96
 - bilateral agreement 95-108
 - declaration 102-5, 106-7, 111-12, 158-9, 168-71
 - exchange of notes/exchange of instruments (CIL/VCLT 13) 101-2, 106-7, 166-8
 - minutes of meetings 107-8
 - parallel communiqués cast in different terms 105-6
 - cumulative effect of successive acts 119-20, 172-3
 - estoppel 113-14
 - general obligation reflected in UNC 2(3)/OAS 3, whether 116-18
 - legal/moral, political and diplomatic obligations, distinguishability 173-4
 - legitimate expectations 115-16
 - resolutions of international organizations, OAS General Assembly 118-19
 - unilateral act 108-12
 - intention to be bound/create international legal obligations 111-12
- exhaustion of established processes/duty to negotiate in good faith to achieve equitable solution requirement, NPT VI obligation to negotiate 93-4
- exhaustion of established processes/duty to negotiate in good faith requirement including consideration of compromissory clause as option vs precondition, jurisprudence
 - Legality of Nuclear Weapons* 94
 - North Sea Continental Shelf* cases 93
 - Obligation to Negotiate Access: see Obligation to Negotiate Access*
 - Pulp Mills* 93
 - Railway Traffic between Lithuania and Poland* 93
- ICJ's duty to encourage/right to direct negotiations/other means of peaceful settlement
 - Free Zones of Upper Savoy and Gex* 123
 - Haya de la Torre* 123-4
 - Obligation to Negotiate Access* (Yusuf P) 123-4
- OAS 3(i) provisions 117, 118
 - North Sea Continental Shelf* 176
- as obligation of result vs best efforts/*pactum ad contrahendum*/*pactum de negotiando* distinguished
 - Application of the Interim Accord of 13 September 1995* 176
 - Georgia v. Russia* (CERD) 176

- Legality of Nuclear Weapons* 93-4, 177
- Obligation to Negotiate Access* 93-4
- Obligation to Negotiate Access* (Judge ad hoc Daudet dissenting) 174-7
- Obligation to Negotiate Access* (Robinson J dissenting) 150-1
- Obligation to Negotiate Access* (Salem J dissenting) 154-5, 160-2
- Pulp Mills* 93-4, 176-7
- Railway Traffic between Lithuania and Poland* 93-4, 176-7

Netherlands

- Civil Code (DCC) by article
 - 3:305a (class action suits) 264
 - 6:162 (protection of ECHR/ICCPR rights to life/freedom from torture or inhuman treatment: standards) 244, 278-80
- Civil Procedure Code 1926 (DCCP) by article, 6:162(3) (duty of care) 244
- Genocide Convention, implementation obligations (GC V) 267, 277-8
- judicial organization, Judiciary (Organization) Act by section, 81 (complaint not capable of resulting in cassation/not involving questions of law in the interests of uniform application/development of the law) 278

Non-Proliferation Treaty (1968) (NPT)

- obligation to negotiate in good faith for cessation of the nuclear arms race and nuclear disarmament (NPT VI) 93-4
- Legality of Nuclear Weapons*/UNGA resolutions underlining Court's conclusion 93-4

OAS (Organization of American States)

- OAS General Assembly resolutions, non-binding effect 119
- peaceful settlement of disputes (OAS 3(ii)) 117, 118

Obiang case: see Transparency International France**Obligation to Negotiate Access (background)**

- factual background (geography) 13-14, 73
- factual background (history in date order)
 - independence from Spain (Chile) 14, 73
 - independence from Spain (Bolivia) 14, 73
- Bolivia–Chile, Treaty of Territorial Limits (10 August 1866) 14, 73
 - exchange of instruments of ratification (9 December 1866) 73
- Bolivia–Chile, Treaty of Limits (6 August 1874) 14, 73
 - exchange of instruments of ratification (28 July/22 September 1875) 73
- Chile's declaration of war on Bolivia and Peru (War of the Pacific (1879) (including Chile's occupation of Bolivia's coastal territory)) 14, 73
- Chile–Peru, Treaty of Peace (20 October 1883) (Treaty of Ancón) 73-4
 - Chile's retention of Tacna and Arica for a period of ten years, followed by a plebiscite (Art. 2) 74
 - Peru's cession of the coastal province of Tarapacá to Chile (Art. 2) 73-4
- Bolivia–Chile, Truce Pact (Valparaiso) (4 April 1884) (including Chile's continued control over the coastal region) 14, 73
- treaties concluded between Bolivia and Chile (18 May 1895) (unratified)
 - Protocol clarifying the scope of parties' obligations (9 December 1895) 74
 - Treaty of Commerce 74
 - Treaty of Peace and Amity 74
 - Treaty on the Transfer of Territory 14, 74
- Bolivia–Chile, Treaty of Peace and Friendship (20 October 1904) ("1904 Peace Treaty") 14, 74-5
 - extracts 21-2, 74-5

Obligation to Negotiate Access (background) (*cont.*)

- declarations and diplomatic exchanges (1904–2012) 14
- diplomatic exchanges (1920–5) 76–9
- Kellogg proposal (1926)/Matte Memorandum (1926) 80–1
- Chile–Peru, Treaty of Lima (3 June 1929)/Bolivia’s reaction to (1 August 1929) 81–2
- 1950 exchange of Notes
 - Note reporting Bolivian Ambassador’s exchanges with Chilean President on opening of negotiations (28 June 1948) 82
 - Bolivian proposal for negotiations (1 June 1950) 82
 - Chilean response to proposal for negotiations (20 June 1950) 82–3
 - Chilean President’s indication of frustration with Bolivian approach to negotiations (29 March 1951) 83
 - exchanges relating to the status of the 1950 exchange of Notes (1963) 85
- Trucco Memorandum (10 July 1961) 83–5
 - Bolivian response to the Trucco Memorandum (9 February 1962) 85
 - Bolivia’s break of diplomatic relations with Chile because of its use of waters of the River Lauca (15 April 1962) 85
 - Chilean refusal to engage in any discussion which could affect national sovereignty/cession of territory (27 March 1963) 85
- Charaña process (1974–8) 85–9
 - Declaration of Ayacucho (9 December 1974) 86
 - Charaña Declaration (8 February 1975) 86
 - Bolivia’s proposed guidelines for negotiation (26 August 1975) 87
 - Chilean President’s announcement of resumption of diplomatic relations (11 September 1975) 86–7
 - Chile’s counter-proposal for negotiating guidelines (December 1975) 87–8
 - Peru’s refusal to concur in territorial transfer envisaged by Bolivia and Chile (19 December 1975) 88–9
 - Bolivia’s conditions for acceptance of Chile’s negotiating proposal (January 1976) 88
 - Bolivia’s renewed statement of its position on negotiations (March 1976) 88
 - exchange of Notes agreeing to establishment of a mixed permanent commission (28 July/11 August 1976) 88
 - establishment of mixed permanent commission (18 November 1976) 88
 - Bolivian proposal for elimination of the territorial exchange condition (24 December 1976) 89
 - Bolivia’s repeated confirmation during 1976 of willingness to consider transferring territory 88
 - continuation throughout 1977 of negotiations on basis of 1975 exchanges 89
 - Joint Declaration emphasizing positive state of the negotiations (10 June 1977) 89
 - Bolivian demand for withdrawal of exchange of territory proposal and Peru’s proposal for a zone of shared responsibility (21 December 1977)/Chile’s rejection of demand 89
 - Bolivia’s suspension of diplomatic relations (17 March 1978) 89
- OAS statements/resolutions
 - OAS Permanent Council’s Resolution CP/RES. 157 expressing concern and offering cooperation in “seeking solutions” (6 August 1975) 89
 - OAS General Assembly resolutions (1979–89) 90
 - OAS General Assembly Resolution AG/RES. 686 (18 November 1983) (with Bolivian and Chilean participation) 90
 - OAS General Assembly Resolutions AG/RES. 873 and AG/RES. 930 (XVIII-0/88) expressing regret at failure of the latest Bolivia–Chile talks (1987/1988) 91
 - Chile’s stance 90

- “fresh approach” (1986-7) 91-2
 - letter from Chilean President as hint of a desire for (18 January 1978) 159, 160
 - Montevideo meeting/presentation of Bolivian proposals (21/23 April 1987) 91
 - Chile’s rejection of Bolivian proposals (9 June 1987) 91
 - OAS General Assembly resolution recording discontinuance of the talks (14 November 1987) 92
 - Bolivia’s request for a concrete proposal as a basis for discussion (17 February 2011) 92-3
 - statements to the OAS General Assembly indicating that the negotiations were at an end (7 June 2011) 93
 - Peru’s reiteration of its existing position (28 July 2011) 93
- renewed bilateral discussions (1995-2011) 92-3
 - discussion of a proposal for the creation of a special economic zone for Bolivia (2000-3) 92
 - Algarve Declaration (22 February 2000) 92
 - announcement of a 13-Point Agenda (17 July 2006) 92
 - discussion of issues on the 13-Point Agenda in the Bolivian–Chilean mechanism of Political Consultation (2006-10) 92
 - Joint Declaration on progress in the 13-Point Agenda discussions (7 February 2011) 92
- parties’ positions (general)
 - Bolivia
 - Application 12, 14-15, 71-2
 - Memorial 12-13, 72
 - oral hearings (preliminary objections) 13
 - oral proceedings (merits) 72
 - Reply to Chile’s counter-memorial 72
 - response to Chile’s preliminary objection 13, 16
 - Chile
 - counter-memorial/rejoinder 72
 - oral hearings (preliminary objection) 13
 - oral proceedings (merits) 72
 - preliminary objection 13, 16
- procedural history in date order
 - Application (24 April 2013) (Bogotá XXXI as claimed basis of jurisdiction) 10, 69
 - appointment of ad hoc judges 10, 70
 - Order fixing time limits for filing of Memorial/Counter-Memorial (18 June 2013) 10-11
 - notifications in accordance with ROC 63(1) and ROC 69(3) 11, 70
 - preliminary objection (Chile) (15 July 2014) 11, 70
 - time limit for Bolivia’s written response to objection (14 November 2014) 11, 70
 - public hearings on the preliminary objection (4-8 May 2015) 12, 70
 - agreement to making preliminary objection and written observations accessible to the public (ROC 53(2)) 11
 - judgment on preliminary objection (24 September 2015) 10-68, 70-1
 - Order fixing time limits for filing of Memorial/Counter-Memorial (24 September 2015) 71
 - Order authorizing Reply/Rejoinder (21 September 2016) 71
 - resignation of Judge ad hoc Arbour (26 May 2017)/appointment of replacement 70
 - judgment on the merits (1 October 2018) 68-178
- Obligation to Negotiate Access (Merits) (Court’s analysis and decision)***
 - general points
 - issue (Chile’s obligation to negotiate in good faith) 94

- Obligation to Negotiate Access (Merits) (Court's analysis and decision)*** (*cont.*)
- as obligation of result vs best efforts/*pactum ad contrahendum*/*pactum de negotiando* distinguished 93-4
 - qualification as “agreement to arbitrate”
 - agreements not in written form/tacit agreements (CIL/VCLT 3) 96
 - intention to be bound/create international legal obligations 96-108
 - “sovereign access to the sea”, ambiguity in use of 94
 - agreement to negotiate, basis (bilateral instrument)
 - communiqués (November 1986), Court's analysis and decision
 - absence of commitment to negotiate access 106
 - dissimilarity of language 106
 - communiqués (November 1986), parties' arguments
 - Bolivia 105
 - Chile 105-6
 - Court's decision on bilateral instruments (failure to establish obligation to negotiate) 108
 - declaration, Court's analysis and conclusion
 - absence of commitment to negotiate Bolivia's sovereign access to the sea 107
 - Algarve Declaration (2000) 107
 - Charaña Declaration (1975) 104-5
 - intention to be bound/create international legal obligations 104
 - Joint Declaration (1977) 104
 - declaration, parties' arguments (Bolivia)
 - Algarve Declaration (2000) 106
 - Charaña Declaration (1975) 102-3
 - declaration, parties' arguments (Chile)
 - Algarve Declaration (2000) 106-7
 - Charaña Declaration (1975) 103-4
 - “embodi[ment] . . . in two or more related instruments”, Trucco Memorandum, relevance 102
 - exchange of notes/exchange of instruments (CIL/VCLT 13)
 - 1950 exchange of Notes 99-102
 - diplomatic exchanges (1920s) 96-9
 - Matte Memorandum (1926), status 97-8
 - minutes of meeting
 - 13-Point Agenda, Court's analysis/conclusion 108
 - 13-Point Agenda, parties' arguments (Bolivia) 107
 - 13-Point Agenda, parties' arguments (Chile) 107
 - Acta Protocolizada 96-9, 107-8
 - Agenda as expression of political will 108
 - commitments/intention to be bound, need for 99, 107-8
 - inclusion of “maritime issue”, relevance 108
 - minutes of meeting, Court's conclusion 108
 - agreement to negotiate, basis (miscellaneous)
 - acquiescence
 - Court's analysis and decision (absence of action requiring a response) 113
 - parties' arguments (Bolivia) 112-13
 - parties' arguments (Chile) 113
 - cumulative effect of successive acts
 - Court's analysis and decision (failure of Bolivia's argument in absence of any evidence in any contest of a commitment to negotiate on its sovereign access) 120

- parties' arguments (Bolivia) 119-20
- parties' arguments (Chile) 120
- estoppel
 - Court's analysis and decision (failure to fulfil essential conditions) 115
 - parties' arguments (Bolivia) 114
 - parties' arguments (Chile) 114-15
- legitimate expectations
 - Court's analysis and decision (absence of CIL principle of legitimate expectations) 116
 - parties' arguments (Bolivia) 115-16
 - parties' arguments (Chile) 115-16
- OAS resolutions
 - Court's analysis and decision (non-binding effect of OAS resolutions/limitation to recommendation to begin a process of rapprochement) 119
 - parties' arguments (Bolivia) 118
 - parties' arguments (Chile) 118-19
- UNC 2(3)/OAS 3(i)
 - Court's analysis and decision (negotiation as an option) 117
 - parties' arguments (Bolivia) 116-17
 - parties' arguments (Chile) 117
- unilateral declarations or other unilateral act
 - parties' arguments (Bolivia) 108-10
 - parties' arguments (Chile) 110-11
- Court's general conclusion
 - absence of obligation to negotiate sovereign access 120-1
 - meaningful negotiations as continuing option 121
- Obligation to Negotiate Access (Merits) (separate opinions and declarations)***
 - Daudet, Judge ad hoc (dissenting) (existence of an obligation to negotiate, bases) 162-78
 - 1950 exchange of Notes/subsequent practice 166-8
 - dissimilarity of languages, relevance 166
 - Acta Protocolizada (1920) 164-6
 - as authorized official act 165
 - binding commitments, effect of inclusion in the record 166
 - Charaña Process 168-71
 - contextualization of the obligation to negotiate, Court's over-strict positivism 172-7
 - cumulative effect of successive acts 172-3
 - legal/moral, political and diplomatic obligations, distinguishability 173-4
 - as obligation of result vs best efforts 174-7
 - estoppel, exclusion (failure to meet requirements) 163-4
 - historical context 162-4
- Robinson J (dissenting) 124-54
 - introduction/conclusion 124, 154
 - Chile's obligation to negotiate, diplomatic exchanges as basis (Bolivia's claims) 125
 - instruments qualifying as treaties under VCLT (Trucco Memorandum/Charaña Joint Declaration) (Robinson J) 124-5, 130-50
 - Chile's obligations to negotiate Bolivia's sovereign access to the Pacific, compliance with the "fresh approach" 152-3
 - OAS resolutions 152
 - obligation of result vs obligation of conduct 150-1
 - post-1990 developments 153-4
 - Trucco Memorandum/Bolivia's response 151-2
 - classification as a treaty (VCLT 2(1)(a))

Obligation to Negotiate Access (Merits) (separate opinions and declarations) (cont.)

- Charaña Joint Declaration 147-9
 - compatibility of Charaña Declaration with 1950 exchange of Notes 148-9
 - review of the jurisprudence 126-30
 - Trucco Memorandum/Bolivia's response 141-7
- Salem J (dissenting) 154-62
 - Chile's obligations to negotiate Bolivia's sovereign access to the Pacific, basis instruments qualifying as treaties/treaty obligations under VCLT (Trucco Memorandum/Charaña Joint Declaration/Chilean President's letter of 18 January 1978) 157-60
 - Trucco Memorandum/Bolivia's response 158
 - Chile's obligations to negotiate Bolivia's sovereign access to the Pacific, compliance with, obligation of result vs obligation of conduct 154-5, 160-2
 - classification as a treaty (VCLT 2(1)(a))
 - 1950 exchange of Notes 155-7
 - Charaña Declaration 158-9
 - range of possibilities 155
 - Trucco Memorandum/Bolivia's response 157-8
- Yusuf P (declaration) 122-4
 - Court's right/duty to recommend/encourage negotiation/other means of peaceful settlement 123-4

Obligation to Negotiate Access (Preliminary Objection) (Pact of Bogotá XXXI as basis)

- Application 10, 15
- Court's analysis
 - Court's conclusion (non-applicability of Bogotá VI) 24-5
 - exclusively preliminary character requirement (ROC 79(9)) 25
 - "matters already settled . . . on the date of the conclusion of the [Pact]" (Bogotá VI), applicability
 - 1904 Peace Treaty provisions 21-2
 - "matters governed by" (Bogotá XXXIV)/"matters settled by" (Bogotá VI), distinguishability 22, 24, 25
 - parties' arguments (Bolivia) 23-4
 - parties' arguments (Chile) 22-3
 - "subject of the dispute", obligation to indicate in Application (ICJ 40(1)/ROC 38(2)) 17-20
 - Court's conclusion (subject of dispute: Chile's obligation to negotiate Bolivia's sovereign access in good faith) 19-20
 - Court's responsibility for objective determination of 17
 - parallel disputes, importance of distinguished between 17, 19-20
 - "precise nature of claim" requirement (ICJ 40(1)/ROC 38(1)) 17
 - relevant factors (Application/parties' pleadings) 17
- Court's decision (dismissal of preliminary objection) 25
- parties' arguments (general)
 - Bolivia 16
 - Chile 16
- parties' status in relation to Pact of Bogotá (Bolivia)
 - ratification (14 April 2011) 15
 - reservation (Bogotá VI) 15-16
 - Chile's objection to 16
 - withdrawal (10 April 2013) 16
 - signature (1948)/ratification (14 April 2011) 15
- parties' status in relation to Pact of Bogotá (Chile), signature (1948)/ratification (1974) 15

- separate opinions/declarations
- Arbour, Judge ad hoc (dissenting) (“already settled” (Bogotá VI)) 60-8
 - acquisition of sovereign access, inevitable impact on a matter “governed by” 1904 Treaty 66-7
 - ambiguity of Bolivia’s position 61-4
 - determination of true nature of alleged obligation to negotiate, dependence on the merits 65-7
 - “subject of the dispute”, Court’s responsibility for determining 61-5
 - Bennouna J (declaration) (ROC 79(9)) 26-8
 - Cançado Trindade J (separate opinion) (rationale/evolution of ROC 79(9)) 29-56
 - clear-cut separation between preliminary objections and merits as outdated concept 30-2
 - Court’s move away from joinder 32-7
 - general principles of international procedural law, contribution to justice 37-45
 - non-exclusively preliminary character of objection 53-6
 - Pact of Bogotá, role in promoting principle of compulsory juridical settlement/as compromissory clause 45-53
 - sound administration of justice as determining consideration 30-2, 39-45
 - Gaja J (“already settled” (Bogotá VI)) 57-9
 - acquisition of sovereign access, inevitable impact on a matter “governed by” 1904 Treaty 57-8
 - ambiguity of “sovereign access to the sea”, relevance 57
 - determination of true nature of alleged obligation to negotiate, dependence on the merits 59-60
 - “matters governed by” (Bogotá XXXIV)/“matters settled by” (Bogotá VI), distinguishability 58
 - non-exclusively preliminary character of objection 59-60
- one voice principle**
- in case of incomplete or ambiguous content 644-5
 - jurisprudence
 - Arantzazu Mendi* 641
 - Carl Zeiss (No 2)* 641, 646
 - Duff Development* 640, 644, 646-7
 - Gur* 641, 644-5
 - Kuwait Airways (Nos 4 and 5)* 641
 - Maduro/Guaidó* 618-19, 626, 640-5: *see also* *Maduro/Guaidó*
 - Mahmoud v. Breish* 626, 643-4, 645, 661
- Pact of Bogotá (1948) (pacific settlement):** *see also* *Obligation to Negotiate Access* (Preliminary Objection)
- applicability to disputes arising after entry into force 56
 - dispute settlement by pacific means obligation (Bogotá I-VIII)
 - “matters already settled” (Bogotá VI) 56-60: *see also* *Obligation to Negotiate Access* (Preliminary Objection)
 - “on the date of the conclusion of the [Pact]” (30 April 1948) 20-5
 - judicial procedure/ICJ and (Bogotá XXXI-XXXVII)
 - acceptance of compulsory jurisdiction (Bogotá XXXI), whether 47-53
 - Bogotá XXXI and optional clause declarations as separate bases of jurisdiction, *Border and Transborder Armed Actions (Nicaragua v. Honduras)* 53
 - “matters settled by” (Bogotá XXXI), “matters governed by” (Bogotá XXXIV), distinguishability 22, 24, 26, 58

- Pact of Bogotá (1948) (pacific settlement) (cont.)**
 role of Pact in developing principle of compulsory judicial settlement 45-53
 reservations (Bogotá VI) 15-16
- peaceful settlement of disputes (UNC 2(3))**
 ICJ's right to encourage 123-4
 methods, hierarchy, free choice of means 117-18
 OAS 3(i) compared 117, 118
- peacekeeping operations (UN): see Mukeshimana**
- Philippines (1946-)**
 Constitution 1987 by article
 II(2) (renunciation of war and adoption of generally accepted principles of international law as part of law of) 303, 305, 329, 349, 350
 II(16) (right to a healthy ecology) 296 n. 2
 VII(21) (treaties: two-thirds approval of the Senate requirement) 329
 XVI(3) (proceedings against the State, requirement for consent)
 as generally accepted principle of international law 305
 non-applicability to foreign States 305-6, 329, 349-50
- Environmental Cases, Rules of Procedure (2010) (ROPEC) by rule: *see also Arigo*
 2(5) (citizen suit) 304-5, 335-41
 3(3) (mediation) 315-16
 3(5) (settlement) 315-16
 5(1) (reliefs in a citizen suit) 316-17
 7 (writ of *Kalikasan*) 298, 329-31
 7(1) (nature of the writ) 345-7
 7(8) (Temporary Environmental Protection Order (TEPO)) 347-8
 "extreme urgency"/"risk of grave injustice and irreparable injury", need for 348
 7(15) (reliefs) 314
 7(17) (as special civil action) 313-14
 10 (fines/damages for breach of environmental law) 314
- standing, environmental/intergenerational rights (flexibility of approach) (ROPEC 2(5)) 303-5, 337-45
- State immunity: *see also* Constitution 1987 by article, XVI(3) (proceedings against the State, requirement for consent) *above*
 basis/source of obligation
 confusion over/survey of the alternatives 350-69
 Constitution XVI(3) (division of opinion on scope) 305-6, 329, 349-50
 general principles of international law through Constitution (Constitution II(2)) 329
 treaty (Constitution VII(21)) 329
- entitlement
 commander of a naval base/naval vessel/warship (official acts) 308-9
 commanding officer of a warship 308-9
 individual/official acting in official capacity 308-9, 319
- jurisprudence (Philippines courts)
Aligaen 370-1
Arigo: see Arigo
China National Machinery 318
Firme 370
JUSMAG 369-70
Minucher 306-7
Shauf 308, 371
Vinzon 350, 369

- jus cogens*/peremptory norm (VCLT 53), effect on (*Jurisdictional Immunities*) 323-5
 - responsibility for determining/as a political question 317, 321, 326
 - restrictive doctrine (*jure imperii vs jure gestionis*) 307-9, 318-19, 326-7, 328-9, 369-70
 - State immunity (foreign State): *see also* Philippines (1946-), US military bases in, jurisdiction over
 - entitlement, commanding officer of a warship: *see* warships *below*
 - State immunity from jurisdiction in own courts 305-6, 329, 349-50
 - Air Transportation Office v. Ramos* 305, 349
 - territorial tort exception to State immunity 319-21
 - applicability as a general principle of international law (Constitution II(2)) 321, 372
 - Chavez v. Sandiganbayan* 371
 - Wylie v. Rarang* 371
 - Tubbataha: *see Arigo*
 - UNCLOS, applicability as customary international law to non-parties 311-13
 - warships
 - immunity (UNCLOS 32 as reflection of CIL/applicability to non-parties) 309, 312
 - waiver (VFA), limitation to criminal matters 313-15
 - liability for damage resulting from non-compliance with the coastal State's laws and regulations (UNCLOS 31) 309, 311-13
- Philippines (1946-), US military bases in, jurisdiction over:** *see also* Philippines (1946-), warships
 - applicable law
 - US tort laws, relevance 313
 - VFA 313
 - immunity, waiver
 - VFA (1998) 313-15
 - limitation to criminal matters 313-15
 - jurisprudence
 - BAYAN* 313, 317, 319, 355, 359
 - Guinto* 304-6, 307
 - VFA (1998)
 - object and purpose 313
 - validity 317, 319
 - waiver of criminal immunity 311-15
- preliminary objections (ICJ) (ICJ ROC 79)**
 - exclusively preliminary character of parties' statements of facts and law (79*ter*(4) [79(9)]) 25
 - joinder with merits: *see also* preliminary objections, jurisprudence (joinder with merits/exclusively preliminary character (ROC 79*ter*(4) [79(9)] and comparable rules)
 - rationale/evolution of ROC 79(9)/79*ter* 26-8, 29-56, 67
 - clear-cut separation between preliminary objections and merits as outdated concept 30-7
 - Court's move away from joinder 30-7
 - sound administration of justice as determining consideration 30-2, 39-45
- preliminary objections, jurisprudence (joinder with merits/exclusively preliminary character (ROC 79*ter*(4) [79(9)] and comparable rules))**
 - Administration of the Prince von Pless* 32
 - Application of the Genocide Convention* 37
 - Barcelona Traction* 27, 32, 33
 - Certain Norwegian Loans* 33
 - Land and Maritime Boundary* 36-7
 - Lockerbie* 36, 54

preliminary objections, jurisprudence (joinder with merits/exclusively preliminary character (ROC 79ter(4) [79(9)] and comparable rules)) (*cont.*)

Losinger 33

Military and Paramilitary Activities 28, 34, 36, 54

Obligation to Negotiate Access 25-6

Obligation to Negotiate Access (dissenting opinions) 26-56, 67-8

Pajzs, Csáky, Esterházy case 33

Panevezys–Saldutiskis Railway 31-2, 33, 34-5

Right of Passage 33

Territorial and Maritime Dispute (Nicaragua v. Colombia) 25, 54, 55-6

prescription (territorial acquisition), examples, *Pedra Branca/Pulau Batu Puteh* 112-13

recognition of State/government

de facto de jure

alternative definitions

Luther v. Sagor 636

Oppenheim (9th edition) 636-7

effect of acts of government recognized *de jure* in territory under control of *de facto* government 638

jurisprudence

Banco de Bilbao v. Sancha 637-8

Bank of Ethiopia v. National Bank of Egypt 637

Carl Zeiss (No 2) 639, 644, 646

Mahmoud v. Breish 639

parallel/co-existing governments, possibility of dual recognition 626-7, 637-51

evidence of/implied: *see also* foreign relations, responsibility for, jurisprudence

diplomatic relations, relevance, diplomatic functions (VCDR) as indicative of 636

executive certificate/statement, conclusiveness 640-1: *see also* one voice principle

in case of incomplete or ambiguous content 644-5

Oppenheim (9th edition) 634

as interference in internal affairs (customary international law) 651-4

reparation for breach of treaty (ACHR 63(1)) (public apology and acknowledgement of State responsibility) 166-7

***res judicata/non bis in idem* principle**, re-litigation abuse of process distinguished 520-4

Russian Federation (1991-)

Constitution 1993 (including 1999 amendments) by article

3(1) (sovereignty of the multinational people) 385

3(2) (people's exercise of power) 385

3(3) (referenda and free elections as supreme direct power of the people) 385

4(2) (supremacy of Constitution throughout Russian territory) 385

text 378

4(3) (integrity and inviolability of the territory) 383

5 (constituent entities of the Russian Federation), text 377, 382-3

15(2) (obligation of public authorities and officials to respect Constitution and laws of the Federation) 385

59(2) (military service obligation) 384

65(2) (admission into the Russian Federation and creation of a new constituent entity) 378-86: *see also* *Constitutionality of Crimea Accession Treaty*

text 377

66(1) (status of a Republic) 382

text 377

- 67(1) (territory of the Russian Federation) 382
 - text 377
- 68(3) (right to preserve native language) 383
- 71(j) (foreign policy and international relations including treaties) 381
- 71(m) (federal jurisdiction: status and protection of the State border, territorial sea, airspace, EEZ and continental shelf) 383
 - text 377
- 80(3) (President's role: internal and foreign policy guidelines) 381
- 80(4) (President's role: representation of the Federation) 381
- 86(a) (President's role: government of foreign policy) 381
- 86(b) (President's role: responsibility for negotiations and treaties) 381
- 125(2)(d) (constitutionality of treaties not yet in force) 379
- Constitutional Court Law 1994 (CCL) by article
 - 3(1)(1)(d) (review of constitutionality of international treaties prior to entry into force) 379
 - 3(1)(7) (Court's exercise of other powers vested in it) 378-9
 - 3(3) (scope: limitation to matters of law) 380
 - 6 (binding effect of decisions) 385
 - 71 (questions requiring/not requiring a decision) 380
 - 71(2) (final decision on items listed in Constitution 3) 385
 - 72 (reaching the decision) 385
 - 78 (promulgation of decision) 385
 - 79 (immediate, binding and final effect of decision) 385
 - 89 (admissibility of request for constitutional review) 379
 - 90 (scope of verification) 379, 380
 - 91 (decision in a case on the constitutionality of a treaty) 385
- Crimea, Law on Accession to the Russian Federation and Establishment of a New Constituent Entity (21 March 2014) by article: *see also Constitutionality of Crimea Accession Treaty*
 - 7(4) (Constitutional Court's obligation to proceed directly to consideration of the merits) 378-80
 - 8(1) (entry into force of treaty involving accession of territory: dependence on ratification) 379
- Criminal Code (CC) (1996 as amended 2012) by article, 86 (scope of verification) 380
- Federal Constitutional Law on Accession to the Russian Federation and Establishment of a New Constituent Entity within the Russian Federation by article, 7(4) 378, 379-80
- separation of powers 381
- treaties
 - entry into force, provisional application prior to (VCLT 25(1)) 381-2
 - International Treaties of the Russian Federation Law (Law No 101-FZ of 15 July 1995) by article, 23 (application of treaty prior to entry into force (VCLT 25(1))) 381-2
 - ratification of treaties providing for territorial change (Law No 114-FZ, Art. 15/Crimean Accession Law 2014, Art. 7(4)) 378-80
- Rwanda:** *see Mukeshimana*
- Security Council (Chapter VI action)**, parties' obligation to seek negotiated solution (UNC 33) 117
- Security Council resolutions (UNSCRs) by number and year**
 - 743 (1992) (UNPROFOR) 248-9

Security Council resolutions (UNSCRs) by number and year (*cont.*)

- 836 (1993) (Bosnia and Herzegovina), text 251-2
- 872 (1993) (UNAMIR), text 183-6
- 912 (1994) (adjustment of the UNAMIR mandate due to the current situation in Rwanda and settlement of the Rwandan conflict) 193
- 925 (1994) (UNAMIR II) 193
- 929 (1994) (Rwanda: Operation Turquoise) 193-4
- 1004 (1995) (Srebrenica, Bosnia and Herzegovina) 261
- 1244 (1999) (Kosovo): *see* KFOR/UNMIK (UNSCR 1244)

“**sovereign access to the sea**” 20, 57, 94: *see also* *Obligation to Negotiate Access* (Preliminary Objection) (Pact of Bogotá XXXI as basis)

standing (municipal courts/general)

- environmental/intergenerational rights (flexibility of approach) 303-5
- jurisprudence
 - Arigo* 303-5
 - Bayan Muna v. Romulo* 304
 - Biraogo v. Philippine Truth Commission of 2010* 304
 - Oposa v. Factoran* 304-5, 327-8

State immunity

- classification of act as *jure imperii* or *jure gestionis*
 - contract in relation to/purchase/sale of, insurance 570
 - issue of government bonds (contractual nature) 235
 - legislative debt restructuring 235-8
 - management of the State’s economy 410
 - motivation, relevance 570-1
- entitlement of official acting in official capacity (functional immunity/*ratione materiae*)
 - commander of a naval vessel/warship 308-9, 319
 - ultra vires* acts 370-1
- as general principle of international law, adopted as part of the law of the land 305-6
- restrictive theory
 - customary international law (CIL) and 233
 - general principle of international law 234-8
- theory/doctrine
 - Greek Debt Restructuring* 229-38
 - Greek Government Bonds Immunity* 237
 - Jurisdictional Immunities* 234

waiver

- arbitration clause/agreement as: *see* *London Steam-Ship Owners*
- implied/submission to the jurisdiction
 - arbitration clause/agreement as 544-67
 - participation in section 66 proceedings 567-8
- jurisprudence
 - Arigo* 313-15
 - London Steam-Ship Owners* 544-68

State Immunity Act 1978 (UK) (SIA) by section (Part I (proceedings in the UK by or against other States)) 542

- 2(3)(b) (submission to the jurisdiction: intervention or step in the proceedings)
 - “step . . . which evidences an unequivocal election to waive immunity”
 - (*Kuwait Airways* (1995)) 584-90
 - Eagle Star Insurance* 588
 - London Steam-Ship Owners* 584-90
- 3(1) (exceptions to immunity in proceedings relating to)

- potential overlap with SIA 9(1), exclusion as justification for narrow interpretation of
 s 3(1)(a) 569, 572, 575, 577, 580
 “proceedings relating to”
NML 569, 574-5, 577-9
Svenska 571-4, 576, 578, 580
- 3(1)(a) (commercial transaction exception) 568-79
London Steam-Ship Owners 568-79
- 3(1)(b) (contract falling to be performed in UK)
JH Rayner (Mincing Lane) 580-1
London Steam-Ship Owners 579-83
The Prestige (No 2) 579-81
 State’s status as party to original contract, relevance 580-1
- 3(3) (“commercial transaction”) 568-73, 577-8
- 3(3)(c) (“any other transaction or activity entered into other than in exercise of sovereign
 authority”)
 as broad/exhaustive definition
Benkharbouche 570
Kuwait Airways (1995) 569, 570
London Steam-Ship Owners 568-73, 577-9
- 9(1) (waiver: arbitration agreement)
London Steam-Ship Owners 544-68
The Pegasus 544-68
- 13(2)(b) (enforcement process), arbitrator’s power to grant an injunction against a State
 (read in conjunction with AA 48(5)) 600-4
- State responsibility for acts of private persons or groups directed or controlled by
 State (ILC(SR) 8)**
 effective control test
 jurisprudence
Application of the Genocide Convention 274
Military and Paramilitary Activities 273-4
Mothers of Srebrenica (2014-19 proceedings) 272-7
Tadić 274
 requirements
 control of specific operation/conduct integral to the operation (ILC(SR) 8,
 comment 3) 272-6
Military and Paramilitary Activities criterion (ILC(SR) 8, comment 4) 272-4
 “overall control”, incompatibility with fundamental principle of State responsibility
 274-5
- State responsibility for conduct of organ or agent placed at disposal of another State
 (ILC(SR) 6)** 268-70
- State responsibility for conduct of police/armed forces/military authorities/security
 forces (ILC(SR) 4)**, peacekeeping forces 271-2
- “subject of the dispute”, obligation to indicate in Application (ICJ 40(1)/ROC 38(2))**
 determination, responsibility for
 basis of decision
 application including in particular the basis of the claim 17
 parties’ pleadings 17
 jurisprudence
Fisheries Jurisdiction (Spain v. Canada) 17
Nuclear Tests cases 17, 61
Obligation to Negotiate Access 61-5
Territorial and Maritime Dispute 17

“subject of the dispute”, obligation to indicate in Application (ICJ 40(1)/ROC 38(2))
 (*cont.*)

- as obligation of the Court to determine on an objective basis 17
- parallel disputes, importance of distinguishing between
 - Border and Transborder Armed Actions* 17
 - Georgia v. Russia* (CERD) 17
 - Obligation to Negotiate Access* 17, 19-20
 - US Diplomatic and Consular Staff in Tebran (Hostages case)* 17
- “precise nature of claim” (ICJ 40(1)/ROC 38(1)) 17

Sweden

- JISP (2004)
 - implementation as a reflection of customary international law 396-7: *see also Ascom*
 - implementing legislation (2009) (to take effect on entry into force of JISP) 396-7
 - jurisprudence (Sweden) 408-9

territorial tort exception to State immunity

- acts of armed forces in the forum State, *Arigo* 319-21
- customary international law 319-20, 372

Tomanovic* (background): *see also Kontic

- claimants and deceased relatives 487-9
- evidence (claimants’ witnesses)
 - Bamieh 495-6
 - Fearon 496
 - Pasqualetti (*Bamieh*) 496-7
- evidence (defendant’s witness) (Ratel) 493-5, 497
- institutions
 - EULEX
 - establishment and role 490-2
 - responsibility for seconded staff (seconding State vs EULEX) 492
 - status of staff (JA 10(2)) (*Bamieh*) 491-2
 - SPRK (establishment and role) 490
- litigation history (in date order)
 - Del Ponte memoirs (2008) 497
 - establishment of Human Rights Review Panel (HRAP) to consider complaints of HR violations by EULEX (October 2009) 490
 - HRAP finding of UNMIK violation of ECHR 2/ECHR 3 (*Tomanovic*) (23 April 2013) 498
 - HRRP’s findings of EULEX breaches of ECHR 2/ECHR 3/ECHR 8 and ECHR 13 (11 November 2015/19 October 2016) 498
 - Kontic* (High Court) 498-501
 - Kontic* (appeal) (27 June 2017) 501-2
 - issue of proceedings in respect of *Tomanovic* (18 October 2017) 502
 - claimants’ explanation of timing 503
 - parallel proceedings 503
 - summary of claim 502-3
- parties’ positions
 - claimants 487
 - defendant 487
- Ratel (relationship with EULEX in date order)
 - vetting and nomination by the FCO for appointment as EULEX prosecutor (early 2009) 493

- appointment by EEAS as EULEX prosecutor (March 2010) 493
- FCO contract (1 March 2010)
 - employment by FCO as “UK government funded secondee”/secondment to EULEX 493
 - EULEX’s direction and control 493
 - FCO’s reserved residual disciplinary powers 493
- assumption of duties as head of SPRK (1 January 2013) (on new FCO secondment) 493
 - duties and responsibilities 494-5
 - EULEX’s direction and control 494
- Tomanovic* (Court’s analysis and decision)**
 - approach to summary judgment application grounds (CPR 24.2) 503
 - “real prospect of succeeding” 503-4
 - Court’s role 504
 - relevant factors 504
 - Court’s decision 530
 - issue 1 (factual): FCO direction/control of Ratel
 - conclusion (“no real prospect of establishing”) 506
 - evidence 505-6
 - legal framework 504-5
 - issue 2: Ratel’s immunity from legal process/circumvention by action against the FCO, immunity of KFOR/UNMIK (Regulation 2000/47, s 3):
 - see also* KFOR/UNMIK (UNSCR 1244 (1999)), status
 - issue 2(a): Ratel’s immunity from legal process 506-9
 - CoE Resolution 1979 (2014)/recommendation 2037, irrelevance 508
 - immunity of KFOR/UNMIK personnel (Regulation 2000/47, s 3) 506-7
 - applicability to English courts 507-8
 - extension to EULEX (Executive Decision 2008/36) 507
 - limitation of immunity to those listed in Regulation 2000/47, s 3 508
 - issue 2(b): immunity of FCO (existence of “real possibility”)
 - dependence of decision on trial 508
 - limitation of immunity to legal process in Kosovo 508
 - as moot point in view of decision in favour of summary judgment on other grounds 508
 - UNPIC 22/UN and ICJ (Privileges and Immunities) Order 1974, art. 27 508-9
 - issue 3: presence of claimants “within their jurisdiction” (ECHR 1)
 - Court’s decision (no real possibility) 512-13
 - extension to extraterritorial acts/authorized agent abroad 510-13
 - absence of necessary UK control 512-13
 - control over claimants/relatives vs agent 510-13
 - factors militating against proposition 513
 - review of the jurisprudence 509-12
 - issue 4: attribution of Ratel’s conduct as head of the SPRK
 - Court’s decision (no real possibility) 517
 - responsibility of participating EU/EULEX Member State, North Rhine-Westphalian decision (VG Köln 25 K 4280/09) 513-17
 - seconding State’s responsibility for answering any claims linked to the secondment (JA 10(2)) (*Zahiti* (HRRP)) 515
 - review of the jurisprudence 514-15
 - issue 5: applicability of HRA to events prior to 2 October 2000 517-18
 - Court’s decision (“real possibility”) 518
 - evolving approach to 517-18

Tomanovic (Court's analysis and decision) (*cont.*)

- issue 6: re-litigation abuse of process 520-7
 - broad merits-based approach as test 523-7
 - burden of proof 523
 - Court's decision 526-7
 - issue estoppel distinguished 522-3
 - res judicata* distinguished 520-4
 - review of *Kontic* to establish whether the issue could/should have been raised there 524-6
- issue 7: time-barring (HRA 7) 527-8
- issue 8: other compelling reason? 528-9

Transparency International France v. Obiang

- background (parties' status)
 - Obiang
 - lack of diplomatic status/registration as diplomat in France 222
 - Minister of Agriculture and Forestry (1997–May 2012) 221
 - second Vice-President in charge of defence and security (21 May 2012) 221
 - Transparency International (standing) 220
- background (procedural history in date order)
 - Court of Cassation judgment limiting diplomatic immunity of persons with nationality of the receiving State to functional acts (8 April 2010) 222
 - OCRGDF report (25 November 2011) 221
 - indictment (concealment and money laundering) (31 January 2012) 221
 - appointment as second Vice-President of Equatorial Guinea (21 May 2012)
 - (as response to 31 January indictment) 222
 - judgment confirming that the charges were not related to sovereign acts (13 June 2013) 222-3
 - French request for assistance from Equatorial Guinea under the Palermo Convention (14 November 2013/13 February 2014) 220
 - indictment (18 March 2014) 220
 - charges/acts committed on French territory from 1997 to October 2011 221
 - request for annulment of indictment on the ground of personal immunity 220
 - Chambre d'instruction's* rejection of request 220
 - judgment (Court of Cassation (Criminal Chamber)) (15 December 2015) 220-6
- Court's analysis and decision (issue 1: immunity) 221-4
 - nature of defendant's acts (judgment of 13 June 2013) 222-3
 - precedents
 - Arrest Warrant* (time-limited nature of immunity) 222
 - Court of Cassation judgments of 19 January and 8 May 2010 distinguished 222
 - principles of law relating to State immunity in relation to State official 223
 - private nature of defendant's acts under consideration/confirmation of *Chambre d'instruction's* decision 222-3
- Court's analysis and decision (issue 2: alleged violation of ECHR 6 by Gabon, Congo and Equatorial Guinea) 224-6
 - alleged violations 224-5
 - Chambre d'instruction's* dismissal of challenge 225
 - Court of Cassation's endorsement of *Chambre d'instruction's* decision 225-6
 - Transparency's standing 225-6

***travaux préparatoires* as supplementary means of interpretation (VCLT 32)**

- in respect of
 - Bogotá VI 58
 - JISP 21(1)(c) (property of central bank) 406-7, 409-10

- VCDR 32 673-4
 VCLT 2(1)(a) 127
- treaties, definition/form/classification as (VCLT 2(1)(a))**
 communiqué/*procès-verbal*, parallel communiqués cast in different terms 105-6
 declarations (self-standing)
 Algarve Declaration (2000) 106-7
 Charaña Declaration (1975) 102-5, 158-9, 168-71
 intention to be bound/create international legal obligations 104, 106-7
 exchange of notes/exchange of instruments (CIL/VCLT 13)
 dissimilarity of languages, relevance 166
 “embodi[ment] . . . in two or more related instruments” 101-2
 method of exchange, relevance 102
 State practice/flexibility 102
 “governed by international law” 127
 intention to create international legal obligations 96-108
 jurisprudence
 Obligation to Negotiate Access (Robinson J dissenting) 124-54
 Qatar/Bahrain 128, 155
 South China Sea 130
 Temple of Preah Vihear 128
 legal/moral, political and diplomatic obligations, distinguishability 173-4
 political intent distinguished 98-9, 104, 108
 international agreements not within the scope of the VCLT (VCLT 3), *Obligation to Negotiate Access* 96
 minutes of meeting
 commitments/intention to be bound, need for 99, 107-8
 as duly authorized record 164-5
 jurisprudence
 Obligation to Negotiate Access 96-9, 107-8
 Obligation to Negotiate Access (Judge ad hoc Daudet (dissenting)) 164-6
 Qatar/Bahrain 99
 unilateral declaration/undertaking: *see* unilateral declaration/undertaking
- treaties, entry into force (VCLT 24)**, provisional application prior to entry into force (VCLT 25(1)) 381-2
- treaty interpretation**
 VCLT 31(2) (context), content of treaty, order of assessment 399
 VCLT 32 (supplementary means): *see also travaux préparatoires* as supplementary means of interpretation (VCLT 32)
 ILC Commentary 397
 scholarly writings 397, 399-400
- UN Privileges and Immunities Convention (1946) (UNPIC)**, operations authorized by the Security Council, non-applicability to 508-9
- unilateral declaration/undertaking (including validity and legal effects)**
 circumstances of declaration/statement, relevance 111-12
 jurisprudence
 Armed Activities (Congo v. Rwanda) (New Application) 111-12, 159
 Eastern Greenland 160
 Nuclear Tests 111-12, 159
 Obligation to Negotiate Access 108-12
 requirements, intention to be bound/create international legal obligations 111-12

United Kingdom

- Arbitration Act 1996 by section
 - 18 (failure of appointment procedure): *see London Steam-Ship Owners*
 - 30 (*compétence de la compétence*) 591-3
 - 66 (enforcement of the award): *see London Steam-Ship Owners*
- diplomatic agent, inviolability of person/immunity from arrest (VCDR 29)
 - administrative and technical staff and (VCDR 37(2)) 667-74
 - family members, entitlement 672-3
 - waiver specific to inviolability 674
- diplomatic immunity from jurisdiction (VCDR 31), alternative forum, desirability:
 - see also Dunn*
- diplomatic immunity from jurisdiction, waiver (VCDR 32)
 - advance/pre-waiver 673
 - authority of sending State, need for 673
 - requirements, authority of sending State 673
 - separate waivers, need for
 - for each case under consideration 673
 - for each entitled person including family members 673
 - for jurisdiction (VCDR 32) and inviolability (VCDR 29) 674
- Diplomatic Privileges Act 1964 (DPA), interpretation, VCDR provisions not included
 - in DPA, binding effect on UK under international law/as aid to interpretation 669
- diplomatic privileges and immunities, entitlement
 - administrative and technical staff (VCDR 37(2)), entitlement in their own right 672-3
 - automaticity of entitlement 672
- diplomatic relations
 - sending State's freedom to appoint all members of diplomatic mission/determine classification (VCDR 7) 672-3
 - as a general principle 671-2
 - limitations on 672
- recognition of government
 - change of practice (1980)
 - Maduro/Guaidó* 633-4
 - Mahmoud v. Breish* 634, 635
 - de facto/de jure*, recognition/acknowledgement of parallel/co-existing governments 626-7, 637-51
- UN and ICJ (Immunities and Privileges Order 1974/1261) by section, 17
 - (expert on a mission: entitlement to functional immunity) 508-9
- UNPROFOR**, responsibility for internationally wrongful acts (including DARIO (2004/2009/2011)), *ultra vires* acts (DARIO 8) 276-7
- USS Guardian case**: *see Arigo*

Venezuela

- Constitution 1999 by article
 - 233 (permanent unavailability of the President to serve) 620
 - 333 (continuation of Constitution in case of non-observance) 621

Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations (1961) (VCDR) (procedural aspects)

- implementing legislation 669
- interpretation, applicability of VCLT principles 667-9
 - Al-Malki* 667-9
 - Dunn* 667-9

visiting forces/armed forces abroad, jurisdiction over

- treaty provision, Philippines–USA Visiting Forces Agreement (1998) 313-15:
 - see also* Philippines (1946-), US military bases in, jurisdiction over
- waiver, visiting forces agreement 313-14

warships

- immunity from jurisdiction
 - applicability of UNCLOS 32, as reflection of CIL/applicability to non-parties 309, 312
 - waiver
 - civil and criminal jurisdiction distinguished 313-14
 - visiting forces agreement as 313-15
- liability for damage resulting from non-compliance with the coastal State's laws and regulations (UNCLOS 31) 309, 311-13