

FEMINIST JUDGMENTS: REWRITTEN TAX OPINIONS

Could a feminist perspective change the shape of the tax law? Most people understand that feminist reasoning has tremendous potential to affect, for example, the law of employment discrimination, sexual harassment, and reproductive rights. Few people may be aware, however, that feminist analysis can likewise transform tax law (as well as other statutory or code-based areas of the law). By highlighting the importance of perspective, background, and preconceptions on the reading and interpretation of statutes, Feminist Judgments: Rewritten Tax Opinions shows what a difference feminist analysis can make to statutory interpretation. This volume, part of the Feminist Judgments Series, brings together a group of scholars and lawyers to rewrite tax decisions in which a feminist emphasis would have changed the outcome or the court's reasoning. The volume includes cases that implicate gender on their face (such as medical expense deductions for fertility treatment or gender confirmation surgery and special tax benefits for married individuals) as well as cases without an obvious connection to gender (such as the tax treatment of tribal lands and the business expense deduction). This book thus opens the way for a discussion of how viewpoint is a key factor in all statutory interpretation cases.

Bridget J. Crawford is Professor of Law at the Elisabeth Haub School of Law at Pace University.

Anthony C. Infanti is Professor of Law at the University of Pittsburgh School of Law.



Feminist Judgments Series Editors

Bridget J. Crawford

Elisabeth Haub School of Law at Pace University

Kathryn M. Stanchi Temple University Beasley School of Law

Linda L. Berger University of Nevada, Las Vegas William S. Boyd School of Law



Advisory Panel for Feminist Judgments Series

- **Kathryn Abrams**, Herma Hill Kay Distinguished Professor of Law, University of California, Berkeley, School of Law
- **Katharine T. Bartlett**, A. Kenneth Pye Professor of Law, Duke University School of Law
- Mary Anne Case, Arnold I. Shure Professor of Law, The University of Chicago Law School
- April L. Cherry, Professor of Law, Cleveland-Marshall College of Law
- Margaret E. Johnson, Professor of Law, University of Baltimore School of Law
- Sonia Katyal, Chancellor's Professor of Law, University of California, Berkeley, School of Law
- Nancy Leong, Associate Professor of Law, University of Denver Sturm College of Law
- Rachel Moran, Michael J. Connell Distinguished Professor of Law and Dean Emerita, University of California, Los Angeles School of Law
- **Angela Onwuachi-Willig**, Chancellor's Professor of Law, University of California, Berkeley, School of Law
- Nancy D. Polikoff, Professor of Law, American University Washington College of Law
- Daniel B. Rodriguez, Dean and Harold Washington Professor, Northwestern University Pritzker School of Law
- Susan Deller Ross, Professor of Law, Georgetown University Law Center



Dean Spade, Associate Professor of Law, Seattle University School of Law

Robin L. West, Frederick J. Haas Professor of Law and Philosophy, Georgetown University Law Center

Verna L. Williams, Judge Joseph P. Kinneary Professor of Law, University of Cincinnati College of Law



Feminist Judgments: Rewritten Tax Opinions

Edited by

BRIDGET J. CRAWFORD

Pace University

ANTHONY C. INFANTI

University of Pittsburgh





CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom

One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA

477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia

314-321, 3rd Floor, Plot 3, Splendor Forum, Jasola District Centre, New Delhi - 110025, India

79 Anson Road, #06-04/06, Singapore 079906

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning, and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781316510209 DOI: 10.1017/9781108225007

© Cambridge University Press 2017

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2017

Printed in the United States of America by Sheridan Books, Inc.

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

NAMES: Crawford, Bridget J., editor. | Infanti, Anthony C., 1968–, editor.

TITLE: Feminist judgments: rewritten tax opinions / Bridget J. Crawford, Elisabeth Haub School of Law at Pace University; Anthony C. Infanti, University of Pittsburgh School of Law.

DESCRIPTION: Cambridge, United Kingdom; New York, NY, USA: Cambridge University Press, 2018. | Series: Feminist judgments series | Includes bibliographical references and index.

IDENTIFIERS: LCCN 2017025167 | ISBN 9781316510209 (hardback : alk. paper) | ISBN 9781316649596 (pbk. : alk. paper)

SUBJECTS: LCSH: Taxation–Law and legislation–United States–Cases. | Sex discrimination–Law and legislation–United States–Cases. | Feminist jurisprudence–United States.

CLASSIFICATION: LCC KF6289 .F46 2018 | DDC 343.7304–DC23 LC record available at https://lccn.loc.gov/2017025167

ISBN 978-1-316-51020-9 Hardback ISBN 978-1-316-64959-6 Paperback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



In loving memory of my mother, Jane Crawford –BJC For Hien and Rose Mai –ACI



Contents

Advi	isory Panel for Feminist Judgments: Rewritten Tax Opinions	page xiii
Note	es on Contributors	XV
Pref	ace	xvii
Ackı	nowledgments	xix
Table of Cases		xxi
Table of Internal Revenue Code Sections x		xxix
Table of Treasury Regulation Sections		xxxi
PAR	T I INTRODUCTION AND OVERVIEW	1
1	Introduction to the Feminist Judgments: Rewritten Tax Opinions Project Bridget J. Crawford and Anthony C. Infanti	3
2	Feminist Judging for Substantive Gender Equality in Tax Law: Changing International and Comparative Constitutional Contexts Kathleen A. Lahey	22
PAR	T II THE FEMINIST JUDGMENTS	53
3	United States v. Rickert, 188 U.S. 432 (1903) Commentary: Chloe Thompson Judgment: Grant Christensen	55
4	Lucas v. Earl, 281 U.S. 111 (1930) Commentary: Francine J. Lipman Judgment: Ann M. Murphy	80



> xii Table of Contents 5 Welch v. Helvering, 290 U.S. 111 (1933) 95 Commentary: Nicole Appleberry Judgment: Mary Louise Fellows 6 United States v. Davis, 370 U.S. 65 (1962) 121 Commentary: Linda M. Beale Judgment: Patricia A. Cain Bob Jones University v. United States, 461 U.S. 574 (1983) 7 140 Commentary: Elaine Waterhouse Wilson Judgment: David A. Brennen 8 Manufacturers Hanover Trust Co. v. United States, 775 F.2d 459 (2d Cir. 1985) 164 Commentary: Mildred Wigfall Robinson Judgment: Mary L. Heen Estate of Clack v. Commissioner, 106 T.C. 131 (1996) 186 9 Commentary: Goldburn P. Maynard Jr. Judgment: Wendy C. Gerzog Cheshire v. Commissioner, 115 T.C. 183 (2000), 10 aff'd, 282 F.3d 326 (5th Cir. 2002) 215 Commentary: Michelle Lyon Drumbl Judgment: Danshera Cords Magdalin v. Commissioner, 96 T.C.M. (CCH) 491 (2008), 11 aff'd, 2010-1 U.S. Tax Cas. (CCH) ¶ 50,150 (1st Cir. 2009) 243 Commentary: Katherine Pratt Judgment: Jennifer E. Bird-Pollan O'Donnabhain v. Commissioner, 134 T.C. 34 (2010), 12 266 acq., 2011-47 I.R.B. Commentary: Nancy J. Knauer Judgment: David B. Cruz United States v. Windsor, 133 S. Ct. 2675 (2013) 13 297 Commentary: Allison Anna Tait Judgment: Ruthann Robson

Index

317



Advisory Panel for Feminist Judgments: Rewritten Tax Opinions

- Alice G. Abreu, Professor of Law, Temple University Beasley School of Law
- Patricia A. Cain, Professor of Law, Santa Clara University School of Law
- Joseph M. Dodge, Professor of Law, Emeritus, Florida State University College of Law
- Mary Louise Fellows, Everett Fraser Professor of Law, Emerita, University of Minnesota Law School
- Wendy C. Gerzog, Professor of Law, Emerita, University of Baltimore School of Law
- **Steve R. Johnson**, Dunbar Family Professor, Florida State University College of Law
- Marjorie E. Kornhauser, John E. Koerner Professor of Law, Tulane University Law School
- **Ajay K. Mehrotra**, Executive Director and Research Professor, American Bar Foundation, and Professor of Law, Northwestern University Pritzker School of Law
- **Beverly I. Moran**, Professor of Law & Professor of Sociology, Vanderbilt University School of Law
- **Richard L. Schmalbeck**, Simpson Thacher & Bartlett Professor of Law, Duke University School of Law
- Nancy Staudt, Dean and Howard & Caroline Cayne Professor of Law, Washington University School of Law
- **Lawrence A. Zelenak**, Pamela B. Gann Professor of Law, Duke University School of Law

xiii



Notes on Contributors

Nicole Appleberry is Clinical Assistant Professor of Law at the University of Michigan Law School.

Linda M. Beale is Professor of Law and Director of Graduate Studies at Wayne State University School of Law.

Jennifer E. Bird-Pollan is the James and Mary Lassiter Associate Professor of Law at the University of Kentucky College of Law.

David A. Brennen is Dean and Professor of Law at the University of Kentucky College of Law.

Patricia A. Cain is Professor of Law at Santa Clara University School of Law.

Grant Christensen is Assistant Professor of Law at the University of North Dakota School of Law.

Danshera Cords is Professor of Law at Albany Law School.

Bridget J. Crawford is Professor of Law at the Elisabeth Haub School of Law at Pace University.

David B. Cruz is Professor of Law at the University of Southern California Gould School of Law.

Michelle Lyon Drumbl is Clinical Professor of Law and Director of the Tax Clinic at Washington and Lee University School of Law.

Mary Louise Fellows is the Everett Fraser Professor of Law, Emerita at the University of Minnesota Law School.

Wendy C. Gerzog is Professor of Law, Emerita at the University of Baltimore School of Law.



xvi

Notes on Contributors

Mary L. Heen is Professor of Law at the University of Richmond School of Law.

Anthony C. Infanti is Professor of Law at the University of Pittsburgh School of Law

Nancy J. Knauer is the I. Herman Stern Professor of Law and Director of Law & Public Policy Programs at Temple University Beasley School of Law.

Kathleen A. Lahey is a professor on the Faculty of Law, Queen's University.

Francine J. Lipman is the William S. Boyd Professor of Law at the University of Nevada, Las Vegas William S. Boyd School of Law.

Goldburn P. Maynard Jr. is Assistant Professor of Law at the University of Louisville Brandeis School of Law.

Ann M. Murphy is Professor of Law at Gonzaga University School of Law.

Katherine Pratt is Professor of Law and the Sayre Macneil Fellow at Loyola Law School Los Angeles.

Mildred Wigfall Robinson is the Henry L. and Grace Doherty Charitable Foundation Professor of Law at the University of Virginia School of Law.

Ruthann Robson is Professor of Law and University Distinguished Professor at the City University of New York School of Law.

Allison Anna Tait is Assistant Professor of Law at the University of Richmond School of Law.

Chloe Thompson is an attorney for the Snoqualmie Indian Tribe and Adjunct Professor at Seattle University School of Law.

Elaine Waterhouse Wilson is Associate Professor of Law at the West Virginia University College of Law.



Preface

Could a feminist perspective change the shape of the tax law? To begin to answer this question, we brought together a group of scholars and lawyers to rewrite, using feminist perspectives, significant tax cases from the United States Tax Court, federal Circuit Courts of Appeals, and the United States Supreme Court. Some of them implicate gender on their face – questions about the deductibility of fertility expenses or the use of gender in actuarial tables, for example – but others implicate gender less obviously, such as a case involving the ability of state and local governments to tax Indian land, or the ability of a businessman to take a deduction for the repayment of certain debts. This book challenges the belief that statutes are neutral. Most people understand that feminist reasoning has tremendous potential to affect, for example, the law of employment discrimination, sexual harassment, and reproductive rights. Few people may be aware, however, that feminist analysis can likewise transform tax law (as well as other statutory or code-based areas of the law). By highlighting the importance of perspective, background, and preconceptions on the reading and interpretation of statutes, Feminist Judgments: Rewritten Tax Opinions shows what a difference feminist analysis can make to statutory interpretation.

This volume, like all of the books in Cambridge University Press's Feminist Judgments Series, demonstrates that judges with feminist viewpoints could have changed the law, based on the precedent and law in effect at the time of the original decision. Or, even if the desired result could not be achieved under the current law, this volume shows how a powerful dissent can serve to draw attention to the fact that the tax law operates in many cases to the disadvantage of women, racial minorities, LGBT taxpayers, and other historically subordinated groups. Together, the opinions and commentaries in this volume illustrate the importance of diversity of perspectives on the bench so that judges do not approach their work with a uniform worldview influenced

xvii



xviii Preface

by the same set of preconceptions and privileges. For judges, lawyers, students, and members of the general public, reading these critical opinions helps expose the ways in which judges – and, in turn, the development of the law – are subtly influenced by preconceptions, existing power hierarchies, prevailing social norms, and "conventional" wisdom. This book argues that the tax law is not neutral, but rather is shaped by the society that produces and applies it. At the same time, this book holds out the hope that the tax system can be reformed to be an instrument of greater justice and equality for all people.



Acknowledgments

This book would not have been possible without the support of Cambridge University Press, which so enthusiastically endorsed a series of books following the publication of *Feminist Judgments: Rewritten Opinions of the United States Supreme Court* (2016). The larger U.S. Feminist Judgments project is intellectually indebted to the women who created the Women's Court of Canada and the UK Feminist Judgments Project, which inspired similar projects throughout the world.

We are grateful to Kathryn M. Stanchi and Linda Berger for their leadership and guidance. We wish to thank the members of our Advisory Panel, who embraced the project with enthusiasm and helped us think about the book's organization, limitations, and challenges. Our editor John Berger provided guidance and assistance throughout the publication process.

For research assistance, we thank Briana Alongi and Kyrie Lokhaiser. For administrative assistance, we thank Judy Jaeger and the staff in the University of Pittsburgh School of Law's Document Technology Center.

Each of us would like to thank the many colleagues, students, friends, and family members who have supported our work on this project. Bridget Crawford thanks Horace Anderson, Catharine MacKinnon, Vanessa Merton, Dan Renkin, Michelle Simon, and the members of the Women's Association of Law Students at the Elisabeth Haub School of Law at Pace University. Anthony Infanti thanks the University of Pittsburgh School of Law for its financial support of his work on this project and his family for their support and understanding during his many hours of work on this project. We both thank all of our contributors for their enthusiasm and many hours of work and dedication (and numerous rounds of editing!) that have brought this project to life.



Table of Cases

A. Harris & Co. v. Lucas, 48 F.2d 187 (5th Cir. 1931)
Adarand Constructors, Inc. v. Pena, 515 U.S. 200 (1995)
Allard v. Gomez, 9 F. App'x 793 (9th Cir. 2001) 288, 294
American Rolling Mill Co. v. Commissioner, 41 F.2d 314
(6th Cir. 1930)
Andrews v. Law Society of British Columbia, [1989] 1 S.C.R. 143 (Can.) 31
Arizona Christian School Tuition Organization v. Winn, 563
U.S. 125 (2011)
Arizona Governing Committee for Tax Deferred Annuity & Deferred
Compensation Plans v. Norris, 463 U.S. 1073 (1983) 175, 177
Atlantic & Gulf Railroad Co. v. Allen, 15 Fla. 637 (1876)
Attorney General of Canada v. Lavell, [1974] S.C.R. 1349 (Can.) 28, 29, 32
Bakke v. University of California, 438 U.S. 265 (1978) 27, 28, 39
Beard v. Knox, 5 Cal. 252 (1855)
Bender v. Pfaff, 282 U.S. 127 (1930)
Bliss v. Attorney General of Canada, [1979] 1 S.C.R. 183 (Can.) 29, 32
Bob Jones University v. Simon, 416 U.S. 725 (1974) 143, 153
Bob Jones University v. United States, 468 F. Supp. 890
(D.S.C. 1978)
Bob Jones University v. United States, 639 F.2d 147 (4th Cir. 1980) 153
Bob Jones University v. United States, 461 U.S. 574 (1983) 15, 140-42, 144.
145, 147
Bolling v. Sharpe, 347 U.S. 497 (1954)
Bowers v. Kerbaugh-Empire Co., 271 U.S. 170 (1926) 107
Boyter v. Commissioner, 668 F.2d 1382 (4th Cir. 1981)
Bozick v. Commissioner, 99 T.C.M. (CCH) 1242 (2010) 223
Brown v. Board of Education, 347 U.S. 483 (1954)
46, 70, 141–43, 150, 155, 161
Brown v. Commissioner, 51 T.C. 116 (1968)
Brown v. Zavaras, 63 F.3d 967 (10th Cir. 1995)
Butler v. Commissioner, 114 T.C. 276 (2000)
Califano v. Goldfarb, 430 U.S. 199 (1977)



xxii

Table of Cases

California Federal Savings & Loan Association v. Guerra,	
479 U.S. 272 (1987)	33
Canadian National Railway Co. v. Canada,	
[1987] 1 S.C.R. 1114 (Can.)	34
Cannon v. University of Chicago, 441 U.S. 677 (1979)	144, 156
Charlton v. Commissioner, 114 T.C. 333 (2000)	240, 242
Cherokee Nation v. Georgia, 30 U.S. 1 (1831)	75
Cherokee Nation v. Hitchcock, 187 U.S. 294 (1902)	74
Cheshire v. Commissioner, 115 T.C. 183 (2000) 14, 216,	
Cheshire v. Commissioner, 282 F.3d 326 (5th Cir. 2002) 22,	
Choctaw Nation v. United States, 119 U.S. 1 (1886)	55
City of Cleburne v. Cleburne Living Center, 473 U.S. 432 (1985)	312
City of Los Angeles, Department of Water & Power v. Manhart,	,
435 U.S. 702 (1978)	182, 183
435 U.S. 702 (1978)	310
Cole v. Commissioner, 20 B.T.A. 602 (1033)	220
Cole v. Commissioner, 81 F.2d 485 (9th Cir. 1935)	221, 220
Cole v. Van Riper, 44 Ill. 58 (1867)	156
Commissioner v. Duberstein, 363 U.S. 278 (1960)	137
Commissioner v. Halliwell, 131 F.2d 642 2d Cir. 1942)	121, 133
Commissioner v. Marshman, 279 F.2d (6th Cir. 1960)	121, 133
Commissioner v. Mesta, 123 F.2d 986 (1941)	121, 134
Commissioner v. Mills, 183 F.2d 32 (9th Cir. 1950)	. 132–34
Commissioner v. Wemyss, 324 U.S. 303 (1945)	137
Commissioner v. Glenshaw Glass Co., 348 U.S. 426 (1955)	255
Confederated Tribes of the Chehalis Reservation v. Thurston County,	//
724 F.3d 1153 (9th Cir. 2013)	62
Cooper v. Aaron. 358 U.S. 1 (1058)	155
Coosaw Mining Co. v. South Carolina, 144 U.S. 550 (1892)	72, 73
Corbett v. Corbett, [1970] 2 All E.R. 33 (Eng.)	. 204–05
Corning Glass Works v. Lucas, 37 F.2d 793 (D.C. Cir. 1929)	112
Craig v. Boren, 429 U.S. 190 (1976) 145, 149, 174, 175,	179, 180
Crane v. Commissioner, 331 U.S. 1 (1947)	289
Crawford v. Commissioner, 39 B.T.A. 521 (1939)	
Crowe v. Commissioner, 86 F.2d 796 (7th Cir. 1936)	22Q
Cuoco v. Moritsugu, 222 F.3d 99 (2d Cir. 2000)	
Darling v. Commissioner, 34 B.T.A. 1062 (1936)	
Davidson v. Aetna Life & Casualty Insurance Co., 420 N.Y.S.2d 450	,
(Sup. Ct. 1979)	204
De Godey v. Godey, 39 Cal. 157 (1870)	01
De'lonta v. Angelone, 330 F.3d 630 (4th Cir. 2003)	288
DeCoteau v. District County Court for Tenth Judicial District,	
420 U.S. 425 (1075)	57, 58
Dickie v. Commissioner, 77 T.C.M. (CCH) 1916 (1999)	28a
Dickman v. Commissioner, 465 U.S. 330 (1984)	100
Doe v. Bolton, 410 U.S. 179 (1973)	22
/ 1 // ////	. ,,



Table of Cases	xxiii
Doe v. Minnesota Department of Public Welfare, 257 N.W.2d 816	
(Minn. 1977)	294
Dred Scott v. Stanford, 60 U.S. 393 (1856)	161
E. B. Higley & Co. v. Commissioner, 25 B.T.A. 127 (1932)	108
E.E.O.C. v. Colby College, 589 F.2d 1139 (1st Cir. 1978)	
Earl v. Commissioner, 10 B.T.A. 723 (1928)	81, 90
Earl v. Commissioner, 30 F.2d 898 (9th Cir. 1929)	83, 94
Edwards v. Attorney Gen. of Can., [1930] AC 124 (PC)	26
Eisenstadt v. Baird, 405 U.S. 438 (1972)	156
Eisner v. Macomber, 252 U.S. 1 (1920)	
Eldridge v. Trezevant, 160 U.S. 452 (1896)	
Elk v. Wilkins, 112 U.S. 94 (1884)	
Estate of Alexander v. Commissioner, 82 T.C. 34 (1984)	
Estate of Clack v. Commissioner, 106 T.C. 131 (1996)	
Estate of Clayton v. Commissioner, 97 T.C. 327 (1991)	
Estate of Clayton v. Commissioner, 976 F.2d 1486	. ,
(5th Cir. 1992)	7, 205, 209
Estate of Higgins v. Commissioner, 91 T.C. 61 (1988)	201
Estate of Lee v. Commissioner, 11 T.C. 141 (1948)	
Estate of Robertson v. Commissioner, o8 T.C. 678 (1992) 188, 10	06. 107. 200
Estate of Robertson v. Commissioner, 15 F.3d 779	,,, ,
(8th Cir. 1994)	96, 197, 214
Estate of Robertson v. Commissioner, 15 F.3d 779 (8th Cir. 1994)	188, 196
Estate of Spencer v. Commissioner, 43 F.3d 226	. ,
(6th Cir. 1995)	204–6, 209
Evanoff v. Commissioner, 44 T.C.M. (CCH) 1394 (1982)	
Evans v. Abney, 396 U.S. 435 (1970)	140
Farmers' Loan & Trust Co. v. Minnesota, 280 U.S. 204 (1930)	284, 286
Faulkner v. Jones, 51 F.3d 440 (4th Cir. 1995)	
Fay v. Commissioner, 76 T.C. 408 (1981)	5, 286, 288
Fernandez v. Commissioner, 114 T.C. 324 (2000)	242
Fernandez v. Wiener, 326 U.S. 340 (1945)	26, 134
Fischer v. Commissioner, 50 T.C. 164 (1968) 285, 28	6, 288, 289
Flaherty v. Commissioner, 35 B.T.A. 1131 (1937)	229
Flast v. Cohen, 392 U.S. 83 (1968)	309
Fratt v. Whittier, 58 Cal. 126 (Cal. 1881)	
Frontiero v. Richardson, 411 U.S. 677 (1973) 13, 1	
Furnish v. Commissioner, 262 F.2d 727 (9th Cir. 1958)	
Geduldig v. Aiello, 417 U.S. 484 (1974)	29, 33, 180
General Electric Co. v. Gilbert, 429 U.S. 125 (1977)	179
General Utilities & Operating Co. v. Helvering, 296 U.S. 200 (1931)	5) 133
Georgia Federal Bank, F.S.B. v. Commissioner, 98 T.C. 105 (1992)	281
Gerstacker v. Commissioner, 49 T.C. 522 (1968)	
Goldsboro Christian Schools, Inc. v. United States, 436 F. Supp. 13	314
(E.D.N.C. 1977)	155
Goldsboro Christian Schools, Inc. v. United States, 644 F.2d 879	
(4th Cir. 1081)	155



xxiv

Table of Cases

Goldsboro Christian Schools, Inc. v. United States, 454 U.S. 892 (19	81) 155
Goodell v. Koch, 282 U.S. 118 (1930)	87
Green v. Connally, 330 F. Supp. 1150 (D.D.C. 1971)	151, 153
Green v. Kennedy, 309 F. Supp. 1127 (D.D.C. 1970)	151, 153
Griffin v. County School Board, 377 U.S. 218 (1964)	155
Griggs v. Duke Power Co., 401 U.S. 424 (1971)	194
GTE Sylvania, Inc. v. Consumers Union of the United States, Inc.	
445 U.S. 375 (1980)	310
Haines v. Commissioner, 71 T.C. 644 (1979)	277
Halliwell v. Commissioner, 44 B.T.A. 740 (1941)	121
Hannah v. Swift, 61 F.2d 307 (9th Cir. 1932)	135
Harris v. Commissioner, 340 U.S. 106 (1950)	137
Havey v. Commissioner, 12 T.C. 409 (1949) 245, 24	₁ 7, 262, 289
Haybum's Case, 2 U.S. 408 (2 Dall. 409) (1792)	310
Hein v. Freedom from Religion Foundation, Inc., 551 U.S. 587 (200	
Helvering v. Clifford, 309 U.S. 331 (1940)	130
Hendrick v. Commissioner, 35 T.C. 1223 (1961)	285, 286
Hopkins v. Bacon, 282 U.S. 122 (1930)	87
Hospital Corp. of America v. Commissioner, 81 T.C. 520 (1983)	242
Hoyt v. Florida, 368 U.S. 57 (1961)	160, 161
Huff v. Commissioner, 69 T.C.M. (CCH) 2551 (1995)	······ 245
Hyman v. Commissioner, 36 B.T.A. 202 (1937)	229
Illinois Merchants Trust Co. v. Commissioner, 4 B.T.A. 103 (1926)	118
In re Estate of Burdick, 112 Cal. 387 (1896)	91
In re Estate of Gardiner, 42 P.3d 120 (Kan. 2002)	295
In re Lau Ow Bew, 141 U.S. 583 (1891)	66
In re Marriage of Simmons, 825 N.E.2d 303 (Ill. App. Ct. 2005)	295
INS v. Chadha, 462 U.S. 919 (1983)	311
J.D. v. Lackner, 145 Cal. Rptr. 570 (Ct. App. 1978)	294
Jackson v. United States, 376 U.S. 503 (1964)	204–5
Jacob v. Falgoust, 150 La. 21, 24 (1922)	135
Jacobs v. Commissioner, 62 T.C. 813 (1974) 245, 247, 276, 28	
Johnson v. M'Intosh, 21 U.S. 543 (1823)	68, 75
The Kansas Indians, 72 U.S. 737 (1866)	59
Kirchberg v. Feenstra, 450 U.S. 455 (1981)	174, 179
Kirstein v. Rector & Visitors of University of Virginia,	
309 F. Supp. 184 (E.D. Va. 1970)	
Lawrence v. Texas, 539 U.S. 558 (2003)	313
Lenn v. Commissioner, 75 T.C.M. (CCH) 1892 (1998)	204
Longino v. Commissioner, 105 T.C.M. (CCH) 1491 (2013)	
Loving v. Virginia 288 U.S. (1967)	29
Loving v. Virginia, 388 U.S. 1 (1967) Lucas v. Earl, 281 U.S. 111 (1930)	140, 100
Magdalin v. Commissioner, 96 T.C.M. (CCH) 491 (2008)	10, 04, 100
	1/, 19, 443,
247, 248 Maggert v. Hanks. 131 F.2d 670 (7th Cir. 1007)	288
1714CCO16 7. 11411NO. 141 1 .44 U /U / /UI UII. 194 / /	400



Table of Cases

XXV

Manufacturers Hanover Trust Co. v. United States, 576 F. Supp. 837	
(S.D.N.Y. 1983)	4
Manufacturers Hanover Trust Co. v. United States,	
775 F.2d 459 (2d Cir. 1985)	3
McCrary v. Runyon, 515 F.2d 1082 (4th Cir. 1975)	2
Meriwether v. Faulkner, 821 F.2d 408 (7th Cir. 1987) 288, 29.	4
Merrill v. Fahs, 324 U.S. 308 (1945)	7
Mesta v. Commissioner, 42 B.T.A. 933 (1940)	1
Michael M. v. Superior Court of Sonoma County,	
450 U.S. 464 (1981)	0
Mississippi University for Women v. Hogan,	
458 U.S. 718 (1982)	9
Moe v. Salish & Kootenai Tribes of Flathead Reservation,	
425 U.S. 463 (1976)	3
Montana v. United States, 450 U.S. 544 (1981)	3
Moore v. Charlotte-Mecklenburg Board of Education,	
402 U.S. 47 (1971)	0
Moritz v. Commissioner, 469 F.2d 466 (10th Cir. 1972)	6
Morrissey v. United States, No. 8:15-cv-2736-T-26-RAL-AEP,	
2016 WL 8198717 (M.D. Fla. Dec. 22, 2016)	8
Muller v. Oregon, 208 U.S. 412 (1908)	1
New Colonial Ice Co., Inc. v. Helvering, 292 U.S. 435 (1934) 203, 25	5
<i>Nico v. Commissioner</i> , 67 T.C. 647 (1977)	6
North Haven Board of Education v. Bell, 456 U.S. 512 (1982) 144, 150	6
Northeastern Pennsylvania National Bank & Trust Co. v. United States,	
387 U.S. 213 (1967) 200	0
Norwood v. Harrison, 413 U.S. 455 (1973)	3
O'Donnabhain v. Commissioner, 134 T.C. 34 (2010) 16, 19, 266, 269, 270-7.	2
Obergefell v. Hodges, 135 S. Ct. 2584 (2015) 22, 141, 148-51, 301, 301	4
Old Colony Railroad Co. v. Commissioner, 284 U.S. 552 (1932) 286	9
Oliphant v. Suquamish, 435 U.S. 191 (1978)	3
Orr v. Orr, 440 U.S. 268 (1979)	9
Ott v. Specht & Spahn, 13 Del. 61 (1887)	7
Packard v. Arellanes, 17 Cal. 525 (1861)	3
Philadelphia Park Amusement Co. v. United States, 126 F. Supp. 184	
(Ct. Cl. 1954)	4
Phillips v. Michigan Department of Corrections, 932 F.2d 969	
(6th Cir. 1991)	8
Pinneke v. Preisser, 623 F.2d 546 (8th Cir. 1980) 29.	
Plessy v. Ferguson, 163 U.S. 537 (1896)	2
Poe v. Seaborn, 282 U.S. 101 (1930) 86, 87, 124, 134, 139, 186, 310	
Pollock v. Farmers' Loan & Trust Co., 157 U.S. 429 (1895) 80, 94, 9	
Porter v. Commissioner, 132 T.C. 203 (2009)	7
Railway Co. v. McShane, 89 U.S. 444 (1874)	3
Reed v. Reed, 404 U.S. 71 (1971)	3
Regan v. Taxation with Representation of Washington,	
461 U.S. 540 (1983)	4



xxvi

Table of Cases

Reilly v. Robertson, 360 N.E.2d 171 (1977)	179
Reilly v. Robertson, 360 N.E.2d 171 (1977)	82, 92
Robertson v. United States, 343 U.S. 711 (1952)	137
Roe v. Wade, 410 U.S. 113 (1973)	33, 143
Roe v. Wade, 410 U.S. 113 (1973)	77
Romer v. Evans, 517 U.S. 620 (1996)	
Rostker v. Goldberg, 453 U.S. 57 (1981)	180 181
Runyon v. McCrary. 427 U.S. 160 (1076)	152, 155
Runyon v. McCrary, 427 U.S. 160 (1976)	217. 231
Scudder v. Commissioner, 48 T.C. 36 (1967)	222
Seder v. Commissioner, 38 B.T.A. 874 (1938)	220
Sedgwick v. Commissioner, No. 10133-94 (T.C. filed June 14, 1994)	246, 257
Sims v. Commissioner, 39 T.C.M. (CCH) 700 (1979)	285, 286
Slee v. Commissioner, 42 F.2d 184 (2d Cir. 1930)	140
Sommers v. Iowa Civil Rights Commission, 337 N.W.2d 470 (Iowa	1083) 204
Spreckels v. Spreckels, 172 Cal. 775 (1916)	02
Stanley v. Commissioner, 45 T.C. 555 (1966)	230. 231
Stanton v. Stanton, 421 U.S. 7 (1975)	
Starrett v. Commissioner, 41 T.C. 877 (1964)	85. 286. 200
Sura v. Minister of National Revenue, [1962] S.C.R. 65 (Can.)	
Swann v. Charlotte-Mecklenburg Board of Education, 402 U.S. 1	(1071) 141
Thompson v. Thompson, 218 U.S. 611 (1910)	200
Trinidad v. Sagrada Orden, 262 U.S. 578 (1924)	162
Tso v. Commissioner, 40 T.C.M. (CCH) 1277 (1980)	280
United States Department of Agriculture v. Moreno	ŕ
413 U.S. 528 (1073)	311–13
Union Compress Co. v. State, 64 Ark. 136 (1897)	77
United States v. Anderson, 269 U.S. 422 (1926)	108
United States v. Carolene Products Co., 304 U.S. 144 (1938)	312
United States v. Davis, 370 U.S. 65 (1962) 18, 121,	, 122–24, 126
United States v. Kagama, 118 U.S. 375 (1886) 59), 70, 74, 75
United States v. Le Bris, 121 U.S. 278 (1887)	67
United States v. Malcolm, 282 U.S. 792 (1931)	87
United States v. Rickert, 106 F. 1 (1001)	55
United States v. Rickert, 188 U.S. 432 (1903) 12	4, 55, 59, 6 6
United States v. Robbins, 269 U.S. 315 (1926)	82
United States v. Rodgers, 45 U.S. 567 (1846)	67
United States v. San Jacinto Tin Co., 125 U.S. 273 (1888)	67, 68
United States v. Union Pacific Railway Co., 168 U.S. 505 (1897).	66
United States v. Virginia, 518 U.S. 515 (1996) 141, 146, 2	
United States v. Windsor, 133 S. Ct. 2675 (2013) 18, 141, 149, 2	
Utah & Northern Railway Co. v. Fisher, 116 U.S. 28 (1885)	76
Van Brocklin v. Tennessee, 117 U.S. 151 (1886)	
Van Maren v. Johnson, 15 Cal. 308 (1860)	
Walz v. Commissioner, 32 B.T.A. 718 (1935)	132-34, 138
Walz v. Tax Commission, 397 U.S. 664 (1970)	162, 163
Ward v. Race Horse, 163 U.S. 504 (1894)	76



Washington v. Confederated Tribes of the Colville Indian Reservation, 63 447 U.S. 134 (1980) 194 Washington v. Davis, 426 U.S. 229 (1976) 194 Weinberger v. Wiesenfeld, 420 U.S. 636 (1975) 176, 179, 311 Welch v. Commissioner, 25 B.T.A. 117 (1932) 106 Welch v. Commissioner, 63 F.2d 976 (1933) 106 Welch v. Helvering, 290 U.S. 111 (1933) 19, 96, 97 Wengler v. Druggists Mutual Insurance Co., 175, 176, 179 446 U.S. 142 (1980) 175, 176, 179 White v. Farrier, 849 F.2d 322 (8th Cir. 1988) 288 Williams v. McNair, 316 F. Supp. 134 (D.S.C. 1970) 146

Windsor v. United States, 699 F.3d 169 (2d Cir. 2012) 298, 307

Wisconsin Central Railway Co. v. Price County,

Table of Cases

xxvii



Table of Internal Revenue Code Sections

0	·
	1
S	32
2	61
Š	72 169, 184
Š	102
Š	162
Š	170 151, 160
Š	212
Š	213 244-49, 255-60, 263-64, 267-69, 273, 276-79, 281-86, 288-90, 292-93, 296
Š	221
~	223
	262
Š	267 126, 315
Š	280A
Š	501 140, 142, 150–51, 153–55, 158, 160–61
Š	1041
Š	1201
0	2032
~	2032A
	2037
~	2041
0	2044
	2056
	2514
	2518
Š	2519
S.	4975
	6013
S.	
C D	
J	6651

xxix



XXX	Table of Internal Revenue Code Sections
§ 6662	
§ 7421	
§ 7805	



Table of Treasury Regulation Sections

§ 1.72-9	184
§ 1.79-3	
	185
	140
§ 1.213-1	244, 246–47, 257, 273, 279–82, 285–86, 288–89
§ 20.2056(b)-5	
§ 20.2056(b)-7	
\$ 20.2031-7	173, 17Ġ
§ 20.2037-1	

xxxi