

- arbitrariness, protections (cont.)
 - customary international law and, 331–336
 - EU approach to, 340–343
 - fair and equitable treatment and, 236–238, 336–340
 - in Indian foreign investment agreements, 213–219
 - in US FCN treaties, 51–54
- arbitration clauses
 - internationalisation of investment contracts and, 16–17, 434–436
 - judicial arbitration *vs.*, 111–115
 - notification of intent to arbitrate and, 18 n. 61
 - Sornarajah's opposition to, 18–19
 - treaty-based clauses, 18
- arbitration without privity, COMESA dispute settlement provisions and, 168–170
- arbitrators and arbitral tribunals
 - absence of conduct safeguards in European Commission ISDS reforms for, 111–115, 122–129
 - appointment and eligibility of arbitrators, 163–164
 - in Australian free trade agreements, 86–92
 - bias in favour of capital exporting states and, 139–142
 - bilateral investment treaties and, 5 n. 10, 23–24
 - citations of *Methanex* decision by, 273–276
 - COMESA innovations concerning, 171–174
 - COMESA roster of arbitrators, 175–176
 - contractual claims and role of, 363–365, 434
 - criticism of, 133–135
 - customary international law and, 23 n. 85
 - design of, 38
 - differing interpretations of fair and equitable treatment by, 331–336
 - exploitation of treaty ambiguity in, 111–115
 - fair and equitable treatment in investment treaties and, 324–345
 - in Indian–ASEAN trade agreement, 92–95
 - Indian public law remedies *vs.*, 213–219
 - for investor-state dispute settlement, 104–106
 - legitimacy of, criticisms concerning, 161–163
 - legitimate expectations principle used by, 343–344
 - in *Methanex v. United States of America*, 265–268
 - myths of state instability promoted by, 136–137
 - neoconservatism and, 438–439
 - norm entrepreneurs in, 131–132, 149–152
 - political crossroads for, 154–155
 - resiliency of, 145–153
 - soft law and, 408–410
 - Sornarajah's analysis of, 29–32
 - state power and, 147–149, 442–445
 - substantive rights of action under CCIA agreement based on, 178–184
 - transparency concerns about, 163–164
 - treatment of expropriation safeguards by, 285–287
 - umbrella clauses and rulings by, 240–243, 381–382
 - in US Model BIT, 240–243
- Argentina
 - Calvo Doctrine in, 79 n. 39
 - expropriation claims and arbitration cases in, 273–276
 - fair and equitable treatment *vs.* expropriation claims in, 276–277
 - investor-state arbitration in, 76–78
 - investor-state dispute settlement cases filed against, 159–166, 449–452
 - Methanex v. United States of America* claims and investment arbitration in, 273–276

- “pesification” policy and economic crisis in, 11 n. 28
- Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN), 38
 - Indian trade agreement with, 92–95
 - intra-regional investment liberalization and, 314
- asymmetric protection, investor-state arbitration as, 69–70
- Australia
 - Indian free trade negotiations with, 95
 - investor-state arbitration policies in, 86–92
 - negotiation of investor-state arbitration in, 70–78
 - politics of investor-state arbitration in, 96–98
 - US trade agreement with, 142–145
- Automatic Route for investment, in India’s Foreign Direct Investment Policy, 203–210
- “autonomous” treaty norms
 - fair and equitable treatment and, 336–340, 344–345
 - stabilisation clauses in investment contracts and, 396–399
- balance of payments exceptions
 - Indian balance of payment crisis and, 197–199
 - in US bilateral investment treaties, 59–60
- balance of rights and responsibilities
 - COMESA investor-state dispute provisions and, 171–174
 - investor-state dispute settlements and omission of, 115–129
 - privileging of investor rights in ISDS and, 122–129
 - state right to regulate in ISDS reforms and, 121–122
 - United Nations Code of Conduct on Transnational Corporations negotiations and, 187–193
- Banco Nacional de Cuba v. Sabbatino*, 447–449
- Bernasconi-Osterwalder, Nathalie, 39, 324–345, 442–445
- BG Group v. Argentina*, 276
- bilateral diplomacy, customary international law and, 434
- Bilateral Investment Promotion and Protection Agreements (BIPA) programme (India), 210–213
- bilateral investment treaties (BITs). *See also* investor-state arbitration
 - “admissions model” of, 11 n. 27
 - arbitration clauses and, 18
 - backlash against, 3–6, 33–34, 36–38, 431–438, 442–445
 - Chinese approach to, 223–224, 231, 236–243
 - Cold War ideology and origins of, 289–292
 - crisis framing of, 142–145
 - criticism of, 64–67
 - customary international law and, 24–28
 - developing nations’ termination of, 36–38
 - dispute settlements and, 31 n. 123
 - emergence of, 3–6, 15–19
 - European approach to, 305–306
 - expropriation safeguards in wake of *Methanex* arbitration, 278–287
 - Germany–Pakistan BIT, 54–56
 - global shift in, 223–224
 - in India, 197–199
 - Indian Model BIT, 219–221
 - investor-state arbitration provisions in, 70–78
 - national law overridden by, 176–178
 - neoliberalism in US and, 56–60
 - New Haven legal methodology and, 23–24
 - norm entrepreneurs and promotion of, 149–152
 - origins of, 43
 - postwar movement toward, 12–15
 - round-tripping by companies and, 209–210

- bilateral investment treaties (cont.)
 rules of treaty interpretation, 369–371
 Sornarajah's analysis of, 29–32
 South African Development Council (SADC) Model for, 311–314
 state's right to regulate vs. investors' rights and, 121–122, 147–149, 305–311
 statistics on cases involving, 159–166
 travaux of, 384–387
 umbrella clause origins and, 351–352
Bilcon of Delaware v. Canada, 256 n. 5, 336–343
BIVAC v. Paraguay, 371 n. 88, 382–384
Biwater v. Tanzania, 275 n. 99
 Black Economic Empowerment Act (BEE) (South Africa), 305–306
 Blanchard, Sadie, 133–135, 152–153
 “body of concordant practice,” state responsibility in ISDS agreements for, 136–137
 Bolivia, rejection of investor-state arbitration in, 69–70, 73–74, 96
 Bolivarian Alliance of the Americas, 449–452
 Brazil, selective negotiation of investor-state arbitration in, 70–78
 breach of contract
 fair and equitable treatment and, 391–396
 Indian municipal law protections for foreign investment and, 213–219
 internationalisation of umbrella clauses and, 382–384
 state responsibility for, 39–40, 377–378
 umbrella clauses and, 381–391
 Bretton Woods system, protection of foreign investment and, 12–15
British Yearbook of International Law, 10
 Buddhism, in Sri Lanka, 8–10
 Cabinet Committee on Economic Affairs (CCEA) (India), 203–210
 Calvo Doctrine (Argentina), 79 n. 39
CANACAR v. USA, 234–235
 Canada
 bilateral treatment model, 330–331
 China's bilateral agreement with, 76–78
 expropriation safeguards in post-*Methanex* BITs, 278–279
 Canada–European Trans-Atlantic Comprehensive Economic and Trade Agreement (CETA), 35–36
amicus representation in, 111–115
 critique of, 106–108
 expropriation safeguards in, 279–283
 fair and equitable treatment standard in, 118–120, 236–238, 340–343
 German criticism of, 133–135
 government economic development policy vs. investors' rights in, 315–317
 most favoured nation treatment in, 119–121
 privileging of investors rights in, 122–129
 proposals for, 103–104
 state rights and responsibilities in, 116–122
 threats to ratification of, 142–145
 transparency protections in, 111–115
 Canada–Korea Free Trade Agreement, 279–283
 Canadian Model Foreign Investment Protection Agreement, 172 n. 69
 capital-intensive industrialisation, liberalisation of Chinese policies concerning, 232–235
Capital in the Twenty-First Century (Piketty), 292–294
 capital movement
 capital inflow into India, 199–202
 Chinese BIT 4.0 proposals and changes in, 224–231
 economic equity and, 292–294
 international investment agreements and, 60–64
 investment arbitration bias in favour of, 139–142
 investment neutrality and, 64–67
 state capitalism and, 405–407

- “cap” on investments, in India’s Foreign Direct Investment Policy, 203–210
- “capricious discretion,” of fair and equitable treatment clauses, 39
- carve-outs
 - in *Methanex* case, 265–268, 269–270
 - state’s right to regulate in ISDS and, 121–122
- Cato Institute, criticism of investor-state dispute settlements by, 133–135
- Central American Free Trade Agreement (CAFTA), 285–287, 331–336
- CETA. *See* Canada–European Transatlantic Trade Comprehensive Economic and Trade Agreement (CETA)
- Ceylon. *See* Sri Lanka
- character test of expropriation, *Methanex* case vs. safeguards, 284–285
- Charter on the Economic Rights and Duties of States, 431–438
- Chemtura v. Canada*, 273–276
- Chile–New Zealand Bilateral Investment Treaty, 174 n. 75
- Chimni, Bhupinder, 41 n. 157
- China. *See* People’s Republic of China
- China–Barbados Bilateral Investment Treaty, 225–228
- China–Canada Bilateral Investment Treaty, 232–235
 - expropriation and compensation standards in, 238–240
 - fair and equitable treatment in, 236–238
 - ISDS provisions in, 245–247
 - social responsibility provisions in, 244–245
- China–Germany Bilateral Investment Treaty, 225–228
- China–India Bilateral Investment Treaty, 279–283
- China–Japan–Korea investment agreement
 - expropriation and compensation standards in, 238–240
 - social responsibility provisions in, 244–245
- China–Korea Bilateral Investment Treaty, 232–235
- China–Laos Bilateral Investment Treaty, 385–386 n. 35
- China–Nigeria Bilateral Investment Treaty, 240–243
- China–Sweden Bilateral Investment Treaty, 225–228
- choice of law clauses
 - internationalisation of investment contracts and, 16–17, 434–436
 - Sornarajah’s opposition to, 18–19
- Clinton, Hillary, criticism of investor-state dispute settlements by, 133–135
- closed capital accounts, protection of foreign investment and, 12–15
- CME v. Czech Republic*, 161, 385–386 n. 35
- CMS v. Argentina*, 178–184, 353–355, 367–369
- Cold War ideology, bilateral investment treaties and, 289–292
- colonial history
 - bilateral investment treaties and, 289–292
 - intra- and inter-generational economic equity and, 305–306
 - investor-state arbitration in Africa and, 164–166
 - TWAIL movement and, 40–42
- COMESA Common Investment Area (CCIA) Agreement, 156–159
 - amicus curiae* submissions and, 171–174
 - governing law perspective in, 176–178
 - substantive rights of action under, 178–184
- COMESA Court of Justice, 168–170
- commercial arbitration
 - foreign investment contracts and, 5 n. 8, 31 n. 123, 365–367, 436–437

- commercial arbitration (cont.)
 - state investment contracts and, 12–15
- “commercial law of nations” concept, 354 n. 24, 373–374
- Common Heritage of Mankind, 431–438
- Common Investment Area Agreement (CCIA), 36
 - African investor-state arbitration and, 164–166
 - investor-state dispute settlement procedures under, 166–184
- common law, Indian municipal law and, 213–219
- Common Market for Eastern and Southern Africa (COMESA). *See also* Common Investment Area Agreement (CCIA)
- Common Investment Area Agreement, 36
 - establishment of, 156–159
 - intra-regional investment liberalisation and, 313–314
 - investor-state dispute settlement standards and procedures, 156–185
- Member State eligibility requirements in, 167
- most-favoured nation provisions and, 178–184
- negative-list market access provisions, 38
- roster of arbitrators, 175–176
- common self-interest, international investment regulation and importance of, 189–190
- compensable event principle, legitimate expectation doctrine and, 138–139
- compensation, standard of
 - IISD Model BIT and, 282–283
 - legitimate expectation doctrine and, 138–139
 - nationalisation of companies in decolonised developing nations and, 12–15
- competitive neutrality principle, Chinese BIT reforms and, 249–250
- confidentiality
 - COMESA provisions concerning, 171–174
 - of investor-state dispute settlements, 106–108
- conflict of interest challenges, for ISDS arbitrators, 111–115
- constitutionalism, liberalism and, 47
- Constitution of India, foreign investment protection and, 213–219
- contract design, international investment treaties and, 12–15
- contract law
 - breach of investment contracts and, 39–40, 434–436
 - commercial vs. investment commitments and, 365–367
 - economic liberalism and, 47–48
 - foreign investment contract disputes, 28–29, 34–35
 - Indian public law remedies and, 213–219
 - international law vs., 3–6, 349–351, 353–355, 373–374, 399–401, 439–442
 - investment treaties as, 363–365, 378–396
 - pacta sunt servanda* principle and, 378–381, 434 n. 15–435 n. 15
 - umbrella clause and sanctity of private contracts, 349–351, 353–355, 373–374
- contractual forum selection clause, 349–351, 362–363, 369–372
- Convention on the Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards (1958)
 - African arbitration laws and, 164–166
 - enforceability of COMESA arbitral awards and, 174–175
 - investor-state arbitration and, 96–98

- notification of intent to arbitrate and, 18 n. 61
- Convention on the Settlement of Investment Disputes Between States and Nationals of Other States (ICSID)
 - arbitration of investment treaties and, 18 n. 61
 - backlash against, 33–34
 - coherence in jurisprudence of, 83–86
 - COMESA dispute settlement provisions and, 168–170
 - data on investor arbitrations from, 139–142
 - denunciations of, 133–135
 - internationalisation of umbrella clauses and, 384–387
 - investor-state arbitration and, 59 n. 64, 70–78
 - investor-state dispute settlement cases before, 159–166
 - limitations of, 79
 - national vs. international law in, 176–178
 - notification of intent to arbitrate and, 18 n. 61
 - public international law and commercial arbitration and, 24–28
 - state consent to arbitration by, 175 n. 83
 - states' repudiation of, 96–98
 - survey of member states, 86–95
 - transparency reforms proposed by, 163–164
- “core community values” principle, fair and equitable treatment and, 338–340
- corporate conduct, claims against foreign investors for violations of, 122–129
- corporate nationality, in COMESA dispute settlement provisions, 167
- cosmopolitan global justice, Sornarajah's concept of, 445–449
- Council of Canadians and Ors. v. Canada*, 10–12
- covered investments
 - CETA fair and equitable provisions for, 340–343
 - WTO law and, 408–410
- Credit Suisse, 296–297
- Critical Legal Studies Movement, 441–442 n. 44
- Critical Race Theory, 441–442 n. 44
- Cuba, rejection of investor-state arbitration in, 73–74, 76–78
- customary international law
 - bilateral investment treaties and, 24–28
 - in CETA fair and equitable provisions, 340–343
 - differing interpretations of arbitration tribunals of, 331–336
 - economic development and international investors' rights in, 301–302
 - expropriation and compensation controversies in, 238–240
 - fair and equitable treatment and, 236–238, 326–329, 330, 340–344
 - Indian fair and equitable treatment requirements and, 343–344
 - postwar multilateral international economic system and, 12–15, 431–438
 - secondary status of, 434–436
 - substantive rights of action under CCIA agreement based on, 178–184
 - in US Model BIT, 240–243
- Dabhol Power Company, 197–199
- damage awards, favouring of foreign investors, in ISA disputes, 78–86
- decolonisation
 - foreign investment and effects of, 15–19
 - international law and, 431–438
- degree of deprivation
 - Methanex* arbitration and, 273–276
 - three-part test of expropriation and, 279–283
- de lege ferenda* principle, arbitral jurisprudence and, 23–24

- denial of justice
 - Chinese BIT 4.0 reforms and, 248–249
 - Indian foreign investment laws and, 213–219, 220–221
 - state responsibility in ISDS agreements for, 136–137
- Denial of Justice in International Law* (Paulsson), 133–135
- Desert Line v. Yemen*, 393–395
- developed states
 - impact of *Methanex* ruling for, 278
 - investor-state arbitrations against, 76–78
 - investor-state dispute settlement cases filed by, 159–166
 - privileging of, in international investment regulation, 434
 - state investment contracts in, 378–381
- developing nations
 - backlash against foreign investment law in, 33–34, 442–445
 - disadvantages in relation to developed countries, 79
 - General Agreement on Trade in Services provisions and, 302–303
 - impact of *Methanex* ruling in, 278
 - inclusive soft law approach and, 414–427
 - international investment regimes in, 192–193, 442–445
 - intra-regional agreements of, 311–314
 - investor-state arbitration in, 69–70, 76–78, 96–98
 - investor-state dispute settlement cases filed against, 159–166
 - nationalisation of companies and expropriation in, 12–15
 - New International Economic Order and, 431–438
 - normless state of international law and, 19–24
 - rights in CCIA agreement for, 178–184
 - sovereign wealth funds in, 405–407, 419–424
 - stabilisation clauses in investment contracts and, 396–399
 - state investment contracts in, 378–381
 - sustainable economic development in, 292–294
- development, international law of, Sornarajah's interest in, 14–15
- diplomatic protection, Indian BITs and provision for, 210–213
- direct expropriation
 - in Chinese BITs, 238–240
 - in Indian BITs, 210–213, 220–221
- dispute settlement. *See also* investor-state dispute settlements (ISDS); state-to-state dispute settlement
 - in Chinese BITs, 245–247
 - in Germany–Pakistan bilateral investment treaty, 54–56
 - Indian–ASEAN trade agreement provisions for, 92–95
 - investment process and, 28–29
 - liberal concepts of, 64–67
 - reform of, in international investment systems, 103–130
 - synthesis and, 29–32
 - in US FCN treaties, 51–54
 - WTO rules on government subsidies and, 411–414
- Doha Round, 438–439
- domestic courts. *See also* municipal law; national law and legislation
 - COMESA dispute settlement provisions and role of, 168–170
 - contract forum selection and, 372 n. 95
 - contract protections in, 353–355
 - developing countries' preference for, in ISA disputes, 78–86
 - Indian municipal law and, 213–219
 - international judicial process vs., 108–111
 - protections for foreign investors in, 122–129
 - state power vs. investment arbitration in, 147–149, 447–449
 - subsidiarity of, in ISDS reforms, 115–129

- double agent role of state actors, investment arbitration and, 147–149
- downstream investments
 - Indian Foreign Direct Investment policy and, 208–209
 - in South African Development Council Agreement on Cooperation on Investment, 311–314
- due process
 - Indian foreign investment laws and violations of, 220–221
 - international investment agreements and, 60–64
 - US FCN treaties and, 51–54
- Duke Energy v. Ecuador*, 382–384, 392–393
- Easter Sunday Raid on Ceylon, 6
- economic conditions
 - CCIA Agreement safeguards against injury to, 184
 - Chinese BIT 4.0 reforms and, 249–250
 - Chinese international investments and influence of, 225–228
 - Chinese “Reform Version 2.0” and, 224–231
 - foreign direct investment and economic development, 297–298, 301–321
 - government development policy vs. investors’ rights and, 289–323
 - in India, 199–202
 - international law on economic development and, 301–320
 - investor-state arbitration and impact of, 96–98
 - policy tools for economic development and, 299–301
 - sovereign wealth funds and, 414–417
 - sustainable development and income equity and, 292–294
 - three-part test of expropriation and, 279–283
- economic development agreements, as treaties, 378–381
- economic development rights, human rights and, 294–296
- economic liberalism, origins of, 47–48
- The Economist*, 405–407
- criticism of ISDS in, 133–135
- on sovereign wealth funds, 414–417
- Ecuador
 - indigenous response to neoliberalism in, 145–147
 - rejection of investor-state arbitration in, 69–70, 73–74, 96, 142–145
- EDF v. Romania*, 273–276
- “effective means” standard, Indian Model BIT and, 219–221
- effectiveness, principle of, umbrella clauses and, 360–363
- The Elements of Roman Law*, 7 n. 15
- “elevation” theory, umbrella clauses and, 357–359
- El Paso v. Argentina*, 10–12, 363–367, 373–374, 389–390, 391 n. 50
- Emilio Augustin Maffezini v. Kingdom of Spain* case, 89 n. 71
- employment. *See also* labour policies
 - economic liberalism and, 50–51
 - foreign investment expansion and, 44–47
- Energy Charter Treaty
 - contractual protections for investment under, 16
 - in investor-state dispute settlement format and, 106–108
 - Third World Approaches to International Law and, 22–23
- enforceability of arbitral awards
 - COMESA provisions on, 174–175
 - in Indian foreign investment laws, 213–219
 - stabilisation clauses and, 396–399
- English and Commonwealth common law, conventionalism and pragmatism in, 22 n. 79
- entry conditions for foreign investment
 - CETA provisions on, 315–317
 - in India and China, 197–199
 - in NAFTA, 306–311
 - state capitalism and, 405–407
- environmental policies

- environmental policies (cont.)
 - in Chinese BITs, 243–245
 - fair and equitable treatment in arbitration and, 336–340
 - investor-state arbitration as inhibitor of, 78–86
 - in *Methanex v. United States of America*, 259–260
- ethnicity, adversity and, 7 n. 15
- Ethyl Corporation v. Canada*, 65 n. 80
- Eureko v. Poland*, 361–363
- European Commission
 - absence of judicial independence and openness in ISDS reforms, 111–115
 - consolidation and expansion of ISDS by, 129–130
 - EU–Canada Trans-Atlantic Trade Comprehensive Economic and Trade Agreement, 35–36
 - investor rights and responsibilities in BITs and, 122–129, 305–306
 - investor-state arbitration, 35–36
 - investor-state dispute settlement reforms, 103–104, 106–111
 - lack of balance and subsidiarity in BITs reforms of, 115–129
 - state rights and responsibilities in ISDS reforms of, 116–122, 305–306
- European Community Treaty, 414–417
- European Convention on Human Rights
 - economic development rights and, 294–296
 - state rights and responsibilities in, 116–117
- European Federation for Investment Law and Arbitration, 149–152
- European liberalism, bilateral investment treaties and, 54–56
- European Union (EU)
 - Chinese mega BIT negotiations with, 231, 245–247
 - expropriation safeguards in treaties of, 279–283
 - fair and equitable treatment proposals from, 340–343
 - foreign investment in, 414–417
 - politics of TPP and TTIP in, 251–252
- European–United States Trans-Atlantic Trade and Investment Partnership (TTIP), investor-state arbitration and, 32–33
- Europe–United States Transatlantic Trade and Investment Partnership (TTIP)
 - Chinese foreign investment and, 251–252
 - ISDS inclusion in, debate concerning, 223 n. 4
 - privileging of investors rights in, 122–129
 - proposals for, 35–36, 103–104, 110–111
 - review of ISDS in negotiations for, 142–145
- evidence of internationalisation, internationalised contracts and, 16–17
- exception clauses
 - balance of payments exceptions, in bilateral investment treaties, 59–60
 - in CCIA Agreement, 183–184
 - CCIA negative list of exceptions, 178–184
 - in Indian BITs, 210–213
 - right to regulate in European Commission’s ISDS reforms and, 121–122
 - in South African Development Council Agreement on Cooperation on Investment, 311–314
- exhaustive list approach, fair and equitable treatment standard and, 236–238
- export flows
 - Chinese foreign investment regime and, 197–199, 225–228
 - foreign investment expansion and, 44–47
 - from India, 199–202

- expropriation standards. *See also* direct expropriation; indirect expropriation
 absence in customary international law of, 301–302
 in CCIA provisions, 178–184
 concerns about, 65 n. 83
 creeping, indirect, or regulatory expropriations, 38, 118–120
 evolution in meaning of, 255–258
 fair and equitable treatment claims *vs.*, 276–277
 in Germany–Pakistan bilateral investment treaty, 54–56
 in Indian BITs, 210–213
 Indian foreign investment laws and, 213–219, 220–221
 internationalised contractual commitments and, 16–17, 399–401
Methanex v. United States of America claims and, 260–261, 264–265, 268–272
 nationalisation of companies in decolonised developing nations and, 12–15
 post-*Methanex* claims based on, 272–278
 safeguards in wake of *Methanex* arbitration, 278–287
 state responsibilities in ISDS agreements, 118, 136–137
 tax policies and, 181 n. 107
 in US FCN treaties, 51–54
- fair and equitable treatment (FET) clauses
 absence in Germany–Pakistan BIT of, 54–56
acta jure imperii principle and breach of, 392–393
 arbitrariness and legitimate expectations and, 336–340
 arbitrators' power and, 324–345
 bilateral investment treaties and, 36–38
 breach of contract and, 391–396
 categories of, 326–329
 CCIA agreement and, 178–184
 in Chinese investment agreements, 236–238
 current issues in, 38, 344–345
 differing interpretations of, 331–336
 domestic courts *vs.* ISAs in application of, 78–86
 EU proposals for, 340–343
 in European Commission ISDS reforms, 118–120
 expanded interpretations of, 138–139
 expropriation claims *vs.*, 276–277
 forced renegotiation and, 393–395
 in Indian–ASEAN trade agreement, 92–95
 in Indian BITs, 210–213, 343–344
 new approaches to, 340–344
 post-*Methanex* claims based on, 272–278
 Sornarajah's concerns about, 255–258
 South African Development Council (SADC) BIT Model and, 311–314
 state investment contracts and, 401–402
 treaty design and, 39
 umbrella clauses and, 349–351, 369
 unjustified cancellation and, 395–396
 in US FCN treaties, 51–54
 Far Eastern Cadetships, 6–7
 FDI. *See* foreign direct investment
Fedax v. Venezuela, 18
Feldman v. Mexico, 268
 Fietta, Stephen, 138–139
 Fifth United States–China Strategic and Economic Dialogue, 223–224
 Fjellner, Christopher, 133–135
 forced renegotiation of state investment contracts, 393–395
 foreign direct investment (FDI)
 in Africa, 164–166
 Chinese economic conditions and changes in, 225–228, 232–235
 COMESA provisions concerning, 156–159

- foreign direct investment (FDI) (cont.)
 contract disputes and, 28–29
 developing countries interest in, 60–64
 economic development and, 297–298, 320–321
 expansion of BITs and demand for, 59–60
 income equity and sustainable development and, 292–294
 in India, 199–202
 Indian outward investment, 199–202
 investor rights and responsibilities in, 122–129
 investor-state arbitration privileging of, 78–86
 investor-state dispute settlement privileging of, 104–106
 legal framework in India for, 203–210
 prospects for regulation of, 255–288
 public policy litigation impact on, 78–86
 resiliency of investor-state arbitration and, 96–98
 state regulatory rights vs. investor rights and, 115–129
 United States postwar expansion of, 44–47
 Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) Policy (India), 203–210
 foreign exchange controls
 in Indian foreign investment regulations, 206
 in US FCN treaties, 51–54
 Foreign Exchange Management Act (FEMA) (India), 206
 Foreign Exchange Regulation Act (FERA) (India), 206
 Foreign Investment and National Security Act of 2007 (FINSIA) (United States), 414–417
 Foreign Investment Promotion Board (FIPB) (India), 203–210
 foreign investments. *See also* international foreign investment law
 dispute settlement and process of, 28–29
 foreign-owned property, rules on protection of, 12–15
 foreign policy
 New Haven theory of international law and, 24 n. 87, 25–26 n. 96
 US FCN treaties and, 44–47
 foreign state immunity, 14–15
Foresti v. South Africa, 305–306
 fork-in-the-road requirement
 in COMESA dispute settlements, 168–170
 in Indian–ASEAN trade agreement, 92–95
 in ISDS reform proposals, 126–127
 in US Model BIT, 240–243
 formal legal rationality, norm entrepreneurs and, 149–152
 forum-shopping by foreign investors
 Indian foreign investment policies and, 208–209
 investor-state arbitration and, 110–111 n. 20
 France, criticism of investor-state dispute settlements in, 133–135
 France–Argentina Bilateral Investment Treaty, 353–355
 freedom, commerce and navigation (FCN) treaties, 43
 bilateral investment treaties as replacement for, 56–60
 postwar US expansion of, 44–47, 51–54, 442–445
 freedom of press, US FCN treaties and, 51–54
 free trade agreements (FTAs)
 in Australia, 86–92
 Indian–ASEAN trade agreement, 92–95
 investor-state arbitration and, 76–78
 selective negotiation of investor-state arbitration in, 70–78
 full protection and security standard
 in Australian free trade agreements, 89
 in CETA provisions, 236–238
 in FCN treaties, 51–54
 in Germany–Pakistan BIT, 54–56
 in Indian BITs, 210–213

- in international investor agreements, 160–161
- liberal vision for, 60–64
- Gemplus v. Mexico*, 395–396
- General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT)
 - Australian free trade agreements and Article XX measures, 90
 - government subsidies and, 411–414
 - regulation of state capitalism and, 410–414
 - state trading enterprises and, 410–411
 - Trade-Related Investment Measures Agreement and, 303–305
- General Agreement on Trade in Services (GATS), 38
- CETA provisions and, 315–317
- international investment constraints under, 302–303
- Germany, criticism of investor-state dispute settlements in, 133–135, 142–145, 154–155
- Germany–China bilateral investment treaty, 77 n. 30
- Germany–Pakistan bilateral investment treaty, 54–56
- Glamis Gold v. United States*, 331–336
- Global Financial Crisis of 2008
 - backlash against globalisation and, 3–6, 449–452
 - review of investor-state arbitration following, 76
 - sovereign wealth funds and, 414–417
- globalisation
 - backlash against, 3–6, 36–38, 442–449
 - growth of investor-state arbitration and, 32–33
 - sovereign wealth funds and, 414–417
- Global Wealth Report*, 296–297
- Goonsekere, Rajah, 7 n. 15
- governmental authority
 - acta jure imperii* and breach of fair and equitable treatment, 392–393
 - in CETA fair and equitable provisions, 315–317, 340–343
 - Chinese BIT reforms and, 230–231, 248–249
 - COMESA provisions on host state national law and, 176–178
 - fair and equitable treatment and, 338–340
 - in General Agreement on Trade in Services provisions, 302–303
 - India's foreign investment laws and treaties and, 203–210, 343–344
 - investment treaty arbitration, 35–36
 - investors' rights vs. government economic policy, 289–323
 - ISDS reforms and absence of, 108–111
 - state capitalism and, 405–407
 - three-part test of expropriation and, 279–283
 - in TRIMS provisions, 303–305
 - umbrella clauses and, 349–351
 - US Model BIT view on, 240–243
 - government policy space. *See* right to regulate
 - Government Route for investment, in India's Foreign Direct Investment Policy, 203–210
 - government subsidies, WTO rules on, 411–414
 - Great Depression, liberalism and, 50–51
 - Greenwood, Christopher, 447–449
 - harmonisation measures, enforceability of COMESA arbitral awards and, 174–175
 - Hart, 441–442 n. 44
 - Havana Charter, 12–15
 - state rights and responsibilities in, 116–117
 - health policies, investor-state arbitration as inhibitor of, 78–86
 - holus holus* principle, international investment disputes and, 5–6
 - host countries
 - arbitration without privity and, 168–170
 - burden of arbitral claims for, 159–166

- host countries (cont.)
 - Chinese BITs on rights and responsibilities of, 225–228, 240–243, 245–247
 - in COMESA dispute settlement provisions, 156–159
 - economic development rights and, 294–296
 - fair and equitable treatment requirements for, 326–329, 338–340
 - forced renogiation of state investment contracts and, 393–395
 - General Agreement on Trade in Services provisions and rights of, 302–303
 - governing law selection and, 176–178
 - investors' grievances against, 377–378
 - pre-establishment national treatment in Chinese BITs and, 232–235
 - rights of, in European Commission ISDS reforms, 122–129
 - sovereign wealth funds in, 414–417, 419–424
 - stabilisation clauses and internationalisation of contracts with, 396–399
 - transfers in BIT models for, 60–64
 - treatment of transnational corporations in, 36
 - umbrella clauses and, 375 n. 106, 381–391
 - unjustified cancellation of contracts and, 395–396
- “Hull formulation” for expropriation and compensation, 238–240
- human rights
 - Chinese expanded commitment to, 245 n. 33
 - economic development rights and, 294–296
 - in Indian national law, 213–219
 - privileging of foreign investors' rights in ISDS reforms over, 127–128
- ICSID. *See* Convention on the Settlement of Investment Disputes Between States and Nationals of Other States (ICSID Convention)
- IIAs. *See* international investment agreements (IIAs)
- Immigration Act of 1948 (Sri Lanka), 6–7
- immutable contracts, internationalisation of investment contracts and, 399–401
- implementation mechanisms, international investment regulation and importance of, 190–191
- importing of capital
 - Chinese BITs and, 225–228
 - Indian policy of, 197–199
- income equity
 - international investment law and, 296–297
 - South African Black Economic Empowerment Act and, 305–306
 - sustainable development and foreign direct investment and, 292–294
- India
 - Bilateral Investment Treaty programme, 75, 142–145, 197–199, 210–213
 - Draft Model BIT of, 36–38
 - entry conditions for foreign investment, 197–199
 - expropriation safeguards in investment treaties with, 279–283
 - fair and equitable treatment standards in investment treaties of, 343–344
 - investor-state arbitration in, 92–95, 96–98, 219–221
 - legal framework for foreign investment in, 203–210, 442–445
 - municipal law protections for foreign investment in, 213–219
 - outward investment by companies in, 199–202

- statistics on foreign investment
 - trends in, 199–202
- India–Mauritius Bilateral Investment Treaty, 197–199
- indirect expropriation
 - in CCIA agreement, 181–182
 - CETA agreement, 118
 - in Chinese BITs, 238–240
 - in European Commission ISA reforms, 119–121
 - fair and equitable treatment standard and, 138–139
 - in Indian BITs, 210–213
 - Methanex* case and interpretation of, 255–258, 265–268, 270–272, 273–276
 - Sornarajah's critique of, 38
- individual liberties, liberalism and protection of, 47
- Indonesia
 - BIT with Netherlands and, 75
 - investor-state arbitration in, 92–95, 442–445
- industrialisation, political liberalism and, 49
- institutional independence, judicial process for ISDS and, 111–115
- instrumental legal theory, social engineering legal approach vs., 21 n. 75
- Integrating Sustainable Development into International Investment Agreements: A Guide for Developing Countries (the Guide)*, 156–159
- intellectual property rights, CCIA provisions concerning, 181–182
- intent, requirement of, expropriation safeguards in BIT and, 279–283
- International and Comparative Law Quarterly (ICLQ)*, 8–10
- International Bar Association
 - defence of international foreign investment law by, 133–135
 - Model Mine Development Agreement, 309
- international cases, table of, xxviii
- International Commercial Arbitration* (Sornarajah), 12–15
 - dispute settlement in, 29–32
- International Court of Justice (ICJ)
 - FCN treaty disputes and, 51–54, 64–67
- Indian foreign investment treaties and, 210–213
- international law and, 408–410
- stabilisation clauses in investment contracts and, 396–399
- international economic law. *See* international investment law; international law
- International Institute for Sustainable Development (IISD)
- Model International Investment Agreement, 255–258, 282–283
- safeguards for expropriations standards and, 282–283
- international investment agreements (IIAs)
 - COMESA provisions concerning, 156–159
 - defined, 352 n. 16
 - European approach to, 305–306
 - fair and equitable treatment in, 324–345
 - IID Model International Investment Agreement, 255–258
 - intra-regional agreements of developing countries, 311–314
 - investor-state dispute settlements and, 159–166
 - liberal tradition and, 60–64
 - North American approach to, 306–311
 - Santiago Principles and, 417–419
 - sovereign wealth funds and, 414–417
 - substantive rights of action under CCIA agreement, 178–184
 - US Model BIT definition of, 240–243
- international investment law
 - backlash against investor-state arbitration and, 3–6, 33–34, 442–445

- international investment law (cont.)
 - Chinese and Indian economic growth and, 197–199
 - crisis characterisation of, 142–145
 - defensive response to criticism of, 133–135
 - economic elites and, 296–297
 - fair and equitable treatment in, 326–329
 - hard and soft law in, 408–410, 419–424
 - impact of *Methanex* decision on, 268–272
 - liberal vision of, 43–68
 - neo-liberal investment regime and, 60–64
 - norm entrepreneurs and, 131–132, 149–152
 - pendulum of competing views on, 19–24
 - responses to denunciation of, 133–135
 - summary of current scholarship on, 33–42
- internationalisation of investment
 - contracts
 - arbitration clauses, 16–17
 - choice of law clauses, 16–17
 - commercial vs. investment commitments and, 365–367
 - development of, 16–17
 - devices for, 16–17, 18–19
 - evidence of, 16–17
 - fair and equitable treatment and, 391–396
 - investment protection and immutable contracts, 399–401
 - Sornarajah's opposition to, 18–19, 353–355
 - stabilisation clauses and, 16–17, 396–399
 - state contracts, 39–40, 377–402
 - umbrella clauses and, 349–351, 363–365, 374–376, 382–384, 390–391, 442–445
- international joint ventures, state investment contracts and, 12–15
- international judicial process
 - for investor-state dispute settlements, 108–111
 - soft law and, 408–410
- International Labor Organization (ILO), 186, 189–190
- international law. *See also* customary international law
 - COMESA provisions and principles of, 176–178
 - contract law vs., 349–351, 353–355, 373–374, 399–401
 - economic development vs. foreign investment rights in, 301–320
 - Indian bilateral investment treaty protection under, 210–213
 - international investment law and, 3–6
 - justice and, 445–449
 - reliance on domestic courts in, 122–129
 - soft law in, 408–410
 - state capitalism and, 405–407, 410–414
 - state contracts as treaties and, 378–381
 - umbrella clauses and, 353–355
- International Law Commission (ILC), Draft Articles on State Responsibility, 213–219
- international minimum standard of treatment
 - fair and equitable treatment and, 236–238, 336–340
 - in Indian BITs, 210–213
 - Sornarajah's discussion of, 24–28, 29–32
 - substantive rights of action under CCIA agreement based on, 178–184
- International Monetary Fund (IMF)
 - investor-state arbitration and, 76–78
 - Santiago Principles and, 417–419
 - sovereign wealth funds and, 414–417, 419–424
 - voting mechanism of, 421 n. 55
- The International Law on Foreign Investment* (Sornarajah), 10
 - criticism of, 24–28

- dispute settlement in, 29–32
- doctrine of uncertainty and, 15–19
- legal methodology in, 19–24, 439–442
- state investment contracts and, 12–15
- International Working Group of Sovereign Wealth Funds (IWG), 417–419
- intra- and inter-generational equity, sustainable development and, 292–294
- investment flows. *See also* inward investment; outward investment
 - in China, 221–222
 - in India, 199–202, 221–222
 - investor-state arbitration and size of, 110–111 n. 20
- investment neutrality principle
 - criticism of, 64–67
 - economic liberalism and, 47–48
 - international foreign investment law and, 34–35
 - in US bilateral investment treaties, 58–59
 - US FCN treaties and, 51–54
- Investment Policy Framework for Sustainable Development* (IPFSD) (UNCTAD), 156–159
- investment security principle
 - economic liberalism and, 47–48
 - in Germany–Pakistan bilateral investment treaty, 54–56
 - international foreign investment law and, 34–35
 - international investment agreements and, 60–64
 - resistance to, 64–67
 - in US bilateral investment treaties, 58–59
 - in US FCN treaties, 51–54
- investment treaty arbitration
 - commercial vs. investment commitments and, 365–367
 - emergence of law on, 5 n. 8, 24–28
 - expansionary trends in, 138–139
 - investor-state arbitration, 3–6, 27–28
 - investor-state dispute settlement and, 104–106
 - Sornarajah's commentary on, 29–32
 - state investment contracts and, 378–381
 - umbrella clauses in investment contracts, 39–40
- investors' rights
 - bilateral investment treaties and, 305–311
 - CETA provisions concerning, 315–317, 340–343
 - COMESA protections for, 176–178, 182–183
 - expropriation vs. fair and equitable treatment claims in protection of, 276–277
 - fair and equitable treatment in arbitration and, 336–340
 - General Agreement on Trade in Services provisions and, 302–303
 - government economic policy development vs., 289–323
 - international investor agreement protections for, 160–161
 - international law on, 301–320
 - intra-regional agreements of developing countries and, 311–314
 - in investor-state arbitration, 78–86
 - ISDS reforms and privileging of, 104–106, 122–129
 - in NAFTA, 306–311
 - in Singapore–EU Free Trade Agreement, 317–320
 - state regulatory rights vs., 115–129
 - in TRIMS provisions, 303–305
- investor-state arbitration (ISA), 3–6, 27–28
 - in Africa, 164–166
 - alternative investment agreements vs., 86–96
 - in Australia, 86–92
 - backlash against, 34–35, 38, 70–78, 431–438, 442–445
 - coherence in jurisprudence of, 83–86
 - consent in US bilateral investment treaties for, 58–59

- investor-state arbitration (ISA) (cont.)
 - current trends in, 69–100
 - future challenges in, 67–68
 - governing law selection in, 176–178
 - growth of, 32–33
 - history in BITs of, 59 n. 64
 - in India, 92–95
 - in Indonesia, 92–95
 - international criticism of, 156–159
 - NAFTA disputes and, 64–67
 - pros and cons of, 78–86
 - public access to proceedings of, 83–86
 - resiliency of, 76–78, 96–98
 - restrictions on, 76
 - size of investment flows and expansion of, 110–111 n. 20
 - in South Africa, 95
 - state-centric proposals for reform of, 69–70
- investor-state dispute settlements (ISDS)
 - backlash against, 133–135, 142–145, 431–438, 449–452
 - in Chinese BITs, 225–228, 245–247
 - Chinese pre-establishment national treatment policies and, 234–235
 - COMESA standards and procedures for, 156–185
 - under Common Investment Area Agreement, 166–184
 - defensive response to criticism of, 133–135
 - flaws in reform of, 103–130
 - globalisation of BITS and, 223–224
 - international investment agreements and, 159–166
 - investor rights and responsibilities in reform of, 122–129, 321
 - lack of balance and subsidiarity in reforms for, 115–129
 - Methanex* arbitration and, 265–268, 287–288
 - Methanex* case impact on, 255–258
 - in NAFTA provisions, 310–311
 - norm entrepreneurs and promotion of, 131–132
 - Sornaraja's discussion of, 104–106
 - state rights and responsibilities in, 116–122
- inward investment
 - bilateral investment treaties and, 152–153
 - in China, 225–228, 240–243
- Ioan Micula v. Romania*, 276–277
- Iran–US Claims Tribunal, 24–28
- ISAs. *See* investor-state arbitration (ISA)
- ISDS. *See* investor-state dispute settlements (ISDS)
- Italy–Jordan Bilateral Investment Treaty, 356–357
- Japan
 - Australian free trade agreements with, 86–92
 - expropriation protections in investment treaties of, 279–283
 - neutrality toward ISAs in, 86–92
 - occupation of Ceylon by, 6
 - selective negotiation of investor-state arbitration in, 70–78
- Jathika Vimukthi Peramuna (Race's Salvation Front), 8–10
- joint venture requirements
 - in Singapore–EU Free Trade Agreement, 317–320
 - treaty clauses concerning, 38
- Joy Mining v. Egypt*, 365–369, 390–391
- judicial arbitration process
 - Indian municipal law concerning, 213–219
 - international arbitral tribunal review of Indian courts and, 219–221
 - in investor-state dispute settlements, 104–106
 - omission of, in European Commission's ISDS reforms, 111–115
- judicial independence, absence of safeguards in European Commission ISDS reforms, 111–115
- juridical person definition, in CCIA agreement, 167
- jus ad bellum*, 438–439

- jus in bello*, 438–439
 justice, power and, 445–449
- Kahler v. Midland Bank*, 353–355
 economic liberalism of, 50–51
 Kissinger, Henry, on Global South
 destabilization, 16
- labour policies. *See also* employment
 in Chinese BIT 4.0, 243–245
 Indian BITs and requirements for
 local labour, 203–210
 labour movement in CCIA
 agreement and, 178–184
 liberal vision and, 49
 US postwar conditions and, 44–47
laissez-fair policies, economic
 liberalism and, 49–50
- Latin America
 backlash against investor-state
 arbitration in, 73–74, 449–452
 regulatory culture in, 76–78
- Law of International Joint Ventures*
 (Sornarajah), 12–15
- Law of the Sea Convention, 431–438
- legal creativity, international law and
 role of, 432 n. 3, 439–442
- legal doctrine, sociology and, 442–445
- legality principle
 international investment agreements
 and, 60–64
 liberalism and, 47
 resistance to, 64–67
 Supreme Court consideration of,
 50–51
- legal norms, international investment
 law and, 5–6, 439–442
- legitimate expectations of investors
 backlash against, 452–455
 emerging doctrine of, 38
 expanded interpretation of,
 138–139
 fair and equitable treatment and,
 336–340, 343–345
 three-part test of expropriation and,
 279–283
- Legum, Barton, 267, 273–276
- Lemire v. Ukraine*, 305–306
- lex mercatoria*, 434–436
- lex specialis*, contract forum selection
 and, 371–372
- liberalism
 criticism of, 64–67
 current trends in, 60–64
 definition and terminology of, 47–51
 economic liberalism, 47–48
 future challenges for, 67–68
 India's legal framework for foreign
 investment and, 203–210
 international foreign investment law
 and, 43–68
 investment regime under, 51–56
 legacy of, 67–68
 political liberalism, 47
 US foreign investment expansion
 and, 44–47, 442–445
- liberty principle
 liberalism and, 47, 50–51
 postwar FCN treaties as expansion
 of, 51–54
- “like circumstances” test, CCIA
 agreement national treatment
 rights and, 178–184
- limitation period for arbitration claims,
 in COMESA dispute settlement
 provisions, 168–170
- local economic activity requirements
 Chinese BIT reforms and, 230–231,
 250–251
 customary international law on
 rights of, 301–302
- Methanex v. United States of America*
 claims and role of, 260–261
 in TRIMS provisions, 303–305
- Lochner v. New York*, 50 n. 22
- lock-in period, in India's Foreign
 Direct Investment Policy,
 203–210
- Lowen Group dispute, 142–145
- lump-sum settlements, nationalisation
 of companies in decolonised
 developing nations and, 12–15
- Mahavamsa* (Buddhist text), 8–10
- mailbox companies, Indian foreign
 investment regulations and, 208

- major market economies, rejection of investor-state arbitration in, 69–70
- “Make in India” campaign, 199–202
- Malayan Civil Service, Tamil minority careers in, 6–7
- Malaysia, Australian free trade agreement with, 86–92
- market access and facilitation
 - CETA provisions on, 315–317
 - Chinese BIT 4.0 reforms and, 248–249
 - Chinese political reforms and, 228–231
 - economic equity and, 292–294
 - economic liberalism and, 47–48, 50–51
 - General Agreement on Trade in Services provisions concerning, 302–303
 - international foreign investment law and, 34–35
 - international investment agreements and, 60–64
 - neo-liberal ideology and, 145–147
 - in Singapore–EU Free Trade Agreement, 317–320
 - state capitalism and, 405–407
- mechanical jurisprudence, Pound’s critique of, 20–22
- Merbok Hilir Ltd v. Board of Investment (Sri Lanka) (ICC Arbitration)*, 10–12
- Merrill and Ring tribunal*, 136–137, 336
- Metalclad v. Mexico*, 65 n. 80, 264–265, 326–329
- Methanex v. United States of America*, 38, 65 n. 80
 - academic reaction to, 268–272
 - analysis of outcome in, 265–268, 287–288
 - awards in, 261–264
 - claims made in, 260–261
 - expropriation vs. fair and equitable treatment in, 276–277
 - facts of, 259–260
 - impact on expropriation provisions of, 264–265
 - legacy of, 272–278
 - overview of, 259–268
 - safeguards on expropriation provisions following, 284–285
 - Sornarajah’s analysis of, 255–258, 449–452
- methyl tertiary-butyl ether (MTBE), focus in *Methanex v. United States of America* on, 259–260
- Mexico v. United States*, 234–235
- minimum capitalisation requirement, in India’s Foreign Direct Investment Policy, 203–210
- minimum standard of treatment (MST) principle
 - in Australian free trade agreements, 89
 - in CETA fair and equitable provisions, 340–343
 - in COMESA provisions, 176–178
 - compensation in Chinese BITs and, 238–240
 - fair and equitable treatment and, 326–329
 - Indian foreign investment agreements and, 220–221, 343–344
 - Methanex v. United States of America* claims and, 260–264
 - state responsibility and, 136–137
 - treaty design, 39, 433–434
- minimum wage laws, economic liberalism and, 50 n. 25
- mirror effect, umbrella clauses and, 357–359, 374–376, 384–387
- Model Mine Development Agreement (International Bar Association), 309
- most favoured nation (MFN) principle, 32 n. 124
 - absence in Indian–ASEAN trade agreement of, 92–95
 - in Australian free trade agreements, 88–89
 - bilateral investment treaties and, 36–38
 - in Chinese BIT reforms, 232–235

- in European Commission ISDS reforms, 119–121
- in Germany–Pakistan bilateral investment treaty, 54–56
- Indian MODEL BIT and, 219–221
- investor-state arbitration as protection for, 81–83
- rights in CCIA agreement based on, 178–184
- US FCN treaties and, 51–54
- Multilateral Agreement on Investment, 33 n. 131
- collapse of, 449–452
- multilateral international economic system, postwar establishment of, 12–15
- multilateral investment treaties, investment regimes in China and India and, 197–199
- multinational corporations
 - multiple claims from, 162
 - soft law regulation of, 419–424
- multiple arbitration claims, risk of, 162
- municipal law. *See also* domestic courts; national law and legislation
 - contract protections in, 353–355
 - Indian foreign investment protections under, 213–219
 - internationalised contracts' exclusion from, 16–17
- mutual respect, principle of, Chinese BIT 4.0 reforms and, 248–249
- mutual self-interest, international investment regulation and importance of, 189–190
- national cases, list by country of, xxxiv–xxxv
- national equity requirements, in bilateral international treaties, 305–306
- nationalisations
 - in decolonised developing nations, 12–15
 - negotiation of investor-state arbitration and, 73–75
- nationality criteria in Indian foreign investment regulations, 206–208
- forum shopping and, 208–209
- national law and legislation. *See also* domestic courts; municipal law
 - arbitrators' criticisms of, 149–152
 - in COMESA dispute settlements, 170–171, 173–174
 - contract protections in, 353–355
 - enforceability of COMESA arbitral awards and, 174–175
 - in General Agreement on Trade in Services provisions, 302–303
 - in Germany–Pakistan bilateral investment treaty, 54–56
 - in India, 197–199
 - Indian legal framework foreign investment, 203–210
 - international judicial process vs., 108–111
 - international law in COMESA and exclusion of, 176–178
 - list by country of, xxvii–xxviii
 - myths of instability of, 136–137
 - protections for foreign investors in, 122–129
 - stabilisation clauses in investment contracts as insulation from, 396–399
 - state's right to regulate and, 121–122
 - subsidiarity of, in ISDS reforms, 115–129
- national security, sovereign wealth funds and, 422–424
- national treatment rights, 32 n. 124 *See also* pre-establishment national treatment
 - in Chinese BITs, 225–228, 232–235, 247–251
 - current issues in, 38
 - foreign investment and, 433–434
 - in General Agreement on Trade in Services provisions, 302–303
 - in Germany–Pakistan bilateral investment treaty, 54–56
 - Indian foreign investment laws and violations of, 220–221
 - investor-state arbitration as protection for, 81–83

- national treatment rights (cont.)
 - Methanex v. United States of America*
 - claims and, 260–261, 265–268, 273–276
 - NAFTA language on, 306–311
 - pre-establishment national treatment in Chinese reforms, 228–231
 - rights in CCIA agreement based on, 178–184
 - in Singapore–EU Free Trade Agreement, 317–320
 - South African Development Council (SADC) BIT Model and, 311–314
 - US FCN treaties and, 51–54
- National University of Singapore, Sornarajah's career with, 3–6, 10
- natural person definition, in CCIA agreement, 167
- natural resources development, in TRIMS provisions, 303–305
- necessity defence, in *El Paso v. Argentina*, 11 n. 28
- Neer* standard
 - customary international law in, 331–336
 - fair and equitable treatment and, 336–340
- negative list of exceptions
 - CCIA provisions and, 178–184
 - in CETA, 315–317
 - in Chinese BITs, 225–228, 230–231
 - Chinese mega BIT negotiations with US and EU and, 231
 - positive list requirement in GATS and TRIMS and, 303–305
- neoclassical economics, 49–50
- neoconservatism, international investment law and, 438–439
- neo-liberal economic ideology
 - backlash against, 449–452
 - emergence of, 56–60, 442–445
 - legacy of, 67–68
 - NAFTA creation and, 445–449
 - resiliency of investment arbitration and, 145–147
 - Sornarajah's critique of, 3–6, 12–15, 36–38, 452–455
- Netherlands, Indonesian BIT with, 75, 92–95
- Netherlands–Czech Republic Bilateral Investment Treaty, 385–386 n. 35
- Netherlands–India Bilateral Investment Treaty, 219–221
- New Haven theory of international law dispute settlement in, 28–29
 - philosophies behind, 441–442 n. 44
 - power and justice in, 445–449
 - recent decisional trends and, 24–28, 439–442
- Sornarajah's rejection of, 3 n. 2, 19–24, 437 n. 27
- US policy choices and, 24 n. 87, 25–26 n. 96
- New International Economic Order (NIEO)
 - emerging doctrine of, 431–438
 - investor-state arbitration in Africa and, 164–166
 - soft law regulation and, 419–424
 - Sornarajah's research and, 3–6
- New York Convention. *See* Convention on the Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards (1958)
- Nicaragua
 - rejection of investor-state arbitration in, 73–74, 76–78
 - US FCN treaty with, 46
- Nikiema, Suzy (Dr.), 310–311
- Noble Ventures v. Romania*, 349–351, 353–355, 360–363, 382–384, 388–389, 390–391
- non-compensable remedies, *Methanex* arbitration and, 273–276
- nondiscriminatory treatment,
 - right to
 - exemptions in expropriation safeguards for, 284–287
- General Agreement on Trade in Services provisions concerning, 302–303

- in Germany–Pakistan bilateral investment treaty, 54–56
- international investment agreements and, 60–64
- Methanex* expropriation claims and, 255–258, 265–268
- state trading enterprises and, 410–411
- substantive rights of action
 - under CCIA agreement and, 178–184
- in US bilateral investment treaties, 58–59
- in US FCN treaties, 51–54
- nongovernmental organisations (NGOs)
 - backlash against foreign investment arbitration and, 33 n. 131, 64–67, 438–439
 - criticism of arbitral tribunals by, 162–163
 - implementation of international investment regulation and, 190–191
- nonresident entities, in Indian foreign investment regulations, 206–208
- non-state actors
 - lawmaking and role of, 436 n. 25
 - state responsibility in ISDS agreements for, 136–137
- non-tariff barriers, in Singapore–EU Free Trade Agreement, 317–320
- normative values
 - hierarchy of, in COMESA treaty, 176–178
- investor-state arbitration priority of, 83–86
- New Haven method and, 437 n. 27
- normlessness in international law and, 19–24
- soft law and, 408–410
- state power and, 147–149
- norm entrepreneurs
 - conservative criticism of ISDS and, 133–135
 - lawmaking and role of, 436 n. 25
 - myths of state instability from, 136–137
 - political legacy of, 154–155
 - promotion of investment law by, 149–152, 159–166
 - rebuttal of criticism concerning international foreign investment law using, 133–135
 - response to criticism of foreign investment arbitration and, 131–132
- normlessness in international law, foreign investment law and, 15–19, 436–437, 439–442
- North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA)
 - challenges to investment rules of, 10–12
 - contractual protections for investment under, 16
 - expropriation and compensation in, 240
 - fair and equitable treatment in, 136–137, 178–184, 255–258, 326–329, 336–340, 344–345
 - Free Trade Commission Notes of Interpretation, 261–264, 326–329
 - governance perspective on, 35–36
 - investment treaty arbitration and, 5 n. 8, 64–67
 - investor-state dispute settlement provisions in, 106–108, 321
 - Methanex v. United States of America* claims and, 260–261, 270–272
 - negative-list market access provisions, 38
 - neoliberal economic ideology and, 445–449
 - performance requirements in, 306–311
 - post-2001 reforms of, 117–118
 - pre-establishment rights under, 306–311
 - Third World Approaches to International Law and, 22–23
- Norway
 - BIT reforms in, 279–283
 - sovereign wealth fund in, 405–407, 422–424

- “objective force” of treaties, *pacta sunt servanda* doctrine and, 378–381
- observance of obligations provision
 - in Germany–Pakistan bilateral investment treaty, 54–56
 - in US bilateral investment treaties, 58–59
- Occidental Exploration and Production Co. v. Republic Ecuador*, 447–449
- Oil-Gas-Energy-Mining Investment Disputes (OGEMID) forum, 270–272
- openness criteria
 - judicial process for ISDS and importance of, 111–115
 - liberalisation of Chinese policies concerning, 232–235
- opinio juris*, differing interpretations of fair and equitable treatment and, 331–336
- “ordinary meaning” of treaty clauses, umbrella clauses and, 360–363
- Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD)
 - expropriation safeguards in treaties of countries in, 279–283
 - Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, 186, 189–190
 - implementation of investment guidelines, 190–191
 - Multilateral Agreement on Investment and, 188 n. 4
 - protection of foreign investment and, 12–15
 - sovereign wealth funds and, 422–424
 - survey of BITs by, 76–78
- outward investment
 - in China, 225–228, 240–243
 - Indian expansion of, 199–202
 - US postwar promotion of, 44–47
- pacta sunt servanda* doctrine
 - internationalised contracts and, 16–17, 434–435 n. 15
 - state investment contracts as treaties and, 378–381
 - umbrella clause and, 349–351
- Pakistan, US FCN treaty with, 51–54
- Palmer, Norman, 9–10
- PanAm v. Argentina*, 389–390
- parallel proceedings
 - in Chinese BITs, 240–243
 - in domestic courts and international forums, 127
- Indian foreign investment treaties and, 213–219
- in investment contracts, 39–40
- umbrella clauses in bilateral investment treaties and, 77 n. 30
- parliamentary diplomacy, customary international law and, 434
- party autonomy, law of
 - umbrella clause debate and, 353–355
 - in US Model BIT, 240–243
- People’s Republic of China
 - Australian free trade agreements with, 86–92
 - BIT 4.0 proposals in, 224–231, 247–251
 - dispute settlement in BITs of, 245–247
 - economic factors in international investment reforms in, 225–228, 249–250
 - entry conditions for foreign investment in, 197–199
 - fair and equitable treatment protection of BITs in, 236–238
 - foreign investment liberalisation in, 232–235, 442–445
 - future challenges for BIT 4.0 in, 251–252
 - Guiding Opinion of 2015 issued by, 230–231
 - international investment regime in, 197–199
 - local priorities vs. BIT reforms in, 250–251
 - market access for state-owned enterprises in, 405–407
 - mega BIT negotiations with US and EU, 231, 247–251

- Model BIT in, 36–38, 70–78
- political factors in Chinese BIT reforms, 228–231
- “Reform 2.0” in, 228–231, 249–250
- selective negotiation of investor-state arbitration in, 70–78, 96–98
- socialist political system and BIT reforms in, 248–249
- social responsibility in BITs of, 243–245
- statistics on BITs in, 77 n. 32
- substantive protection in BIT provisions of, 236–243
- third-generation BITs in, 225–228
- US BIT negotiations with, 223–252
- voting power in IMF of, 421 n. 55
- WTO rules on government subsidies for SOEs and, 411–414
- performance requirements
 - commercial vs. investment commitments and, 365–367
 - criticism of limits on, 64 n. 79
 - in India’s Foreign Direct Investment Policy, 203–210
 - prohibitions in CETA on, 315–317
 - in Singapore–EU Free Trade Agreement, 317–320
 - TRIMS+ performance requirements, 306–311
 - in TRIMS provisions, 303–305
 - US bilateral investment treaties exceptions for, 58–59
- Permanent Court of International Justice, 353–355
- Perusahaan Umum Listrik Negara (Electricity Board of Indonesia) and the Republic of Indonesia v. PT Paiton Energy Company (1999) (the “PT Paiton” arbitration)*, Sornaraja as co-arbitrator, 10–12
- “pesification” policy, in Argentinian economic crisis, 11 n. 28
- Petroleum and Submarine Pipe Lines Act (United Kingdom), 396–399
- “plain meaning” approach, to umbrella clauses, 361–363
- Point Four policy, US FCN treaties and, 46–47
- police powers doctrine, *Methanex v. United States of America* claims and, 273–276
- policy science method of international law, 7–8
 - development of, 19–24
 - power and justice and, 445–449
 - privatisation of state responsibility and, 431–438
 - recent decisional trends and, 24–28
- political liberalism, economic and social effects of, 49
- politics
 - Chinese BIT 4.0 reforms and, 247–251
 - Chinese BIT reforms and, 228–231
 - investment law norm entrepreneurs and, 131–132
 - investor-state arbitration and influence of, 32–33, 96–98
 - liberal doctrine and, 47–51
 - Methanex* case and role of, 265–268, 273–276
 - neoliberal economic ideology and, 145–147
 - social responsibility in Chinese BITs and, 243–245
- Pope and Talbot v. Canada*, 178–184, 272
- Populist movement, political liberalism and, 49
- portfolio investments, in India’s Foreign Direct Investment Policy, 203–210
- positive list requirement in GATS and TRIMS, 303–305
- post-award elucidations, state investment treaties, 384–387
- Pound, Roscoe,
 - “slot machine jurisprudence” concept of, 41 n. 158
- Poundian social engineering theory, 20–22
- power imbalances
 - of international judicial process, 108–111
 - investment arbitration concepts of power and, 139–142

- power imbalances (cont.)
 - investor-state arbitration and, 96–98
 - in investor-state dispute settlements, 106–108
 - justice and, 445–449
- practising community in international law, academic experts in, 11 n. 31
- pragmatism, in international law, 431–438
- pre-establishment national treatment
 - adoption in China of, 228–231
 - in CETA, 315–317
 - Chinese mega BIT negotiations and, 231
 - in European BITs, 305–306
 - North American bilateral investments treaties and, 306–311
 - in Singapore–EU Free Trade Agreement, 317–320
- preferred policy choice, legal developments and, 24–28
- Principles of Public International Law* (Brownlie), 272
- private law
 - decolonisation and expanded power of, 434–436
 - international investment law and, 3–6
 - sanctity of private contracts and, 353–355
 - state investment contracts as treaties and, 378–381
 - umbrella clauses and, 352–353
- privity of contract doctrine
 - treaty arbitration clauses and, 18
 - umbrella clauses and, 349–351, 372–373
- procedural fairness, judicial process for ISDS and, 111–115
- Progressive movement, political liberalism and, 49
- property rights
 - Chinese BIT reforms and role of, 249–250
 - in customary international law, 301–302
 - economic liberalism and, 47–48
 - international foreign investment law and, 34–35, 289–292, 431–438, 449–452
 - privileging of foreign investors in ISDS reforms compared with, 127–128
 - in US FCN treaties, 51–54
- proportionality doctrine
 - of CCIA Agreement, 183–184
 - international investment law and, 452–455
 - investment arbitration and, 152–153
 - Methanex case vs. safeguards against expropriation and*, 284–285
 - three-part test of expropriation and, 279–283
- public access to arbitral proceedings
 - absence of, in European Commission ISDS reforms, 111–115
 - for arbitral proceedings, 163–164
 - COMESA innovations concerning, 171–174
 - confidentiality of ISDS and, 106–108
 - in Indian–ASEAN trade agreement, 92–95
 - international investment agreements and, 60–64
 - investor-state arbitration and lack of, 83–86
 - in Korea–Australia free trade agreement, 91–92
 - regulatory chill debate and, 81–83
- public choice theory, 441–442 n. 44
- public international law
 - arbitral proceedings and, 5 n. 10
 - contract and commercial arbitration as subversion of, 436–437
 - international commercial arbitration and, 24–28
 - international investment law and, 3–6
 - investment arbitration and, 434–436
 - investor-state dispute settlement and, 104–106
 - sanctity of contracts and, 355 n. 31

- public policy
 - COMESA investor-state dispute provisions and, 171–174, 182 n. 111
 - domestic judicial proceedings for, 79–80
 - economic development and foreign direct investment in, 297–298
 - expansion of foreign investment and, 192 n. 8
 - investors' rights vs. government economic policy, 289–323
 - investor-state arbitration impact on, 78–86, 96–98
 - Methanex v. United States of America* claims and, 273–276
 - neoliberal ideology and, 145–147
 - as tool for economic development, 299–301
- The Pursuit of Nationalised Property* (Sornarajah), 8–10
 - dispute settlement in, 29–32
 - New Haven approach to international law in, 22–23
 - state investment contracts and, 12–15
- Pyramid Oasis Case*, 22–23
- RDC v. Guatemala*, 285–287, 331–336
- Reagan, Ronald, neoliberal economic ideology and, 56–57
- reasonableness, norm of, international investment agreements and, 60–64
- rebus sic stantibus* principle, state treaties and, 378–381
- recognition (*exequatur*) of arbitral awards, 175 n. 83
- regulatory chill debate
 - CCIA Agreement expropriation provisions and, 182–183
 - impact of *Methanex* decision on, 268–272
 - international investor agreements and, 161–162
 - investor-state arbitration as promotion of, 78–86
- regulatory principle
 - expropriation and, 255–258, 264–265
 - international investment agreements and, 60–64
 - liberalism and, 47, 50–51
 - Methanex* case and interpretation of, 265–268
 - soft law and, 408–410
 - state responsibility in investor-state dispute settlements and, 116–122
 - three-part test of expropriation and, 279–283
- religious freedom, US FCN treaties and, 51–54
- renegotiation of investment contracts
 - changed circumstances and, 399–401
 - forced renegotiation, 393–395
- rent-seeking politicians, norm entrepreneurs in investment arbitration and, 131–132
- renvoi* principle, rules of treaty interpretation and, 372 n. 94
- reservation devices, state's right to regulate in ISDS and, 121–122
- Reserve Bank of India (RBI), 199–202, 206
- Resistance and Change* (Sornarajah), 449–452
- resistance and change, Sornarajah's theory of, 42
- resource asymmetry, sustainable development and, 292–294
- Restrictive Business Practices Set (UNCTAD), negotiations for, 186, 189–190
- restructuring of companies, Indian foreign investment policies and, 208–209
- right of establishment
 - in Germany–Pakistan bilateral investment treaty, 54–56
 - investment neutrality and, 64–67
- right to regulate
 - bilateral investment treaties and, 305–311
 - CCIA Agreement expropriation provisions and, 182–183
 - in CETA, 315–317
 - in customary international law, 301–302

- right to regulate (cont.)
 - European Commission's ISDS reforms and compromise of, 115–129
 - Indian foreign investment laws and, 221–222
 - international investor agreements and, 161–162, 289–292
 - intra-regional agreements of developing countries and, 311–314
 - Methanex* arbitration impact on, 273–276
 - in Singapore–EU Free Trade Agreement, 317–320
 - soft law and, 408–410
 - three-part test of expropriation and, 279–283
 - umbrella clauses and, 375 n. 106
- Roosevelt, Franklin D.
 - economic liberalism under, 50–51
 - foreign investment expansion by, 44–47
 - New Deal policies of, 43
- round-tripping, Indian Foreign Direct Investment policy and, 208, 209–210
- Royal College of Colombo, 6
- rule making, UN Code negotiations and role of, 191–192
- rule of law
 - in Indian foreign direct investment policies, 213–219
 - international foreign investment law and, 34–35
 - international investment agreements and, 60–64
 - liberalism and, 47
- rules of treaty interpretation
 - bilateral investment treaties and, 369–371
 - umbrella clauses and, 381–382
- Rules on Transparency in Treaty-based Investor-State Arbitration* (UNCITRAL), 111–115
- Sabbatino Amendment, 447–449
- Salini v. Jordan*, 210–213, 356–357, 361–363
- Saluka v. Czech Republic*, 273–277
- Santa Elena v. Costa Rica*, 264–265, 270–272
- Santiago Principles
 - development of, 417–419
 - soft law approach of, 419–424
 - sovereign wealth funds and, 414–424
- Sanum v. Laos*, 250–251, 385–386 n. 35
- Saudi Arabia–India Bilateral Investment Treaty, 279–283
- Schill, S. W., commentary on Sornarajah by, 27 n. 105, 28 n. 109, 31 n. 123
- S. D. Myers v. Canada*, 65 n. 80, 326–329
- sectoral restrictions
 - in General Agreement on Trade in Services, 302–303
 - in India's Foreign Direct Investment Policy, 203–210
 - in Singapore–EU Free Trade Agreement, 317–320
- Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI), 206
- Sempra Energy International v. Argentina*, 138–139
- Serbian Loans* case, 353–355
- The Settlement of Foreign Investment Disputes* (Sornarajah), 28–29
- SGS v. Pakistan*, 349–351, 352–353, 357–359, 369–371, 374–376, 384–387
- SGS v. Paraguay*, 367–369, 382–384
- SGS v. Philippines*, 240–243, 349–351, 353–355, 357–359, 371–372, 388–390 n. 42
- SGS v. Uruguay*, 240–243
- Shanghai Free Trade Zone, 234–235
- shell companies, Indian foreign investment regulations and, 208
- siège social*, in Indian foreign investment regulations, 206–208
- Singapore–EU Free Trade Agreement, 317–320
- Sinhalese majority in Sri Lanka, 6–12

- “slot machine jurisprudence,” Pound’s concept, 41 n. 158
- SOABI v. Senegal*, 175 n. 83
- socialist system, Chinese BIT 4.0 reforms and role of, 248–249
- social responsibility
 - in Chinese BITs, 243–245
 - income equity and sustainable development in FDI and, 292–294
- sociological jurisprudence
 - legal doctrine and, 442–445
 - Sornarajah’s contributions to, 40–42, 437–438
- soft law
 - inclusive approach for, 414–427
 - international investment law and, 408–410
 - Santiago Principles and, 419–424
 - sovereign wealth funds and, 414–424
- “sole-effect” principle
 - in expropriation litigation, 270–272
 - expropriation safeguards in BITs and, 279–283, 284–285
- Sornarajah, Muthucumaraswamy
 - BITs crisis characterisation, 142–145
 - career and scholarship of, 3–6, 439–442
 - on Chinese foreign investment, 219, 442–445
 - on decolonisation and international investment, 434 n. 12
 - early life and career of, 6–12
 - on fair and equitable treatment, 39, 255–258, 324–325, 328–329
 - on forum shopping, 208–209
 - on immutable contracts, 399–401
 - on India and investment protection, 197–199, 203–210, 442–445
 - on international investment law, 28–29, 135–145, 255–258, 439–442
 - on investor-state arbitration, 96–98
 - on investor-state dispute settlement, 104–106
 - latest work of, 40–42
 - legal practice of, 10–12
 - marginalisation of, 24–28
 - Methanex v. United States of America* analysis, 255–258, 264–265, 268–272, 278, 287–288
 - on myths of state instability, 136–137
 - norm entrepreneurship and criticism of, 131–132
 - objection to treaty-based arbitration clauses, 18
 - opposition to internationalisation of, 16–17, 349–351, 353–355, 377–378
 - outline of recent scholarship on, 33–42
 - overview of works by, 12–33
 - on power and justice, 445–449
 - on privatisation of state responsibility, 431–438
 - on regulation of foreign investment, 255–258
 - on Restrictive Business Practices Set, 186–187
 - on restructuring and round-tripping, 208
 - on sociology and legal doctrine, 442–445
 - on sovereign wealth funds, 405–407
 - on stabilisation clauses, 396–399
 - on state investment contracts, 12–15, 378–381
 - state power vs. investment agreements and, 139–142
 - on umbrella clause, 349–351, 353–355, 373–374
- South Africa
 - bilateral investment treaty framework in, 142–145
 - Black Economic Empowerment Act in, 305–306
 - investor-state arbitration in, 95, 96–98
 - negotiation of investor-state arbitration and, 73–75
- South African Development Council (SADC), 142–145
- Agreement on Cooperation on Investment, 311–314
- Bilateral Investment Treaty template, 311–314

- South African Development (cont.)
 Model BIT drafted by, 142–145, 243
 n. 30
- Southern Pacific Properties (Middle East) Limited v. Arab Republic of Egypt*, 176–178
- South Korea
 Australian free trade agreements
 with, 86–92
 US FCN treaty with, 45–46 n. 10
- sovereignty
acta jure imperii and breach of
 fair and equitable treatment,
 392–393
 decolonisation and protection of,
 431–438
 denial of state ability to make
 binding commitments and,
 19–20 n. 68
 enforceability of COMESA arbitral
 awards, state role in, 174–175
 erosion of, in investor-state dispute
 settlements, 106–108
 investor-state arbitration in Africa
 and, 164–166
 judicial process for ISDS and,
 111–115
 stabilisation clauses in investment
 contracts and, 396–399
 umbrella clauses and, 376 n. 107
- sovereign wealth funds (SWFs),
 40–42
 in India, 199–202
 Santiago Principles and, 414–424
 soft law approach with, 422–424
 Sornarajah's discussion of,
 405–407
 state capitalism and, 405–427,
 442–445
- special economic zones, China's
 establishment of, 228–231,
 250–251
- Spence v. Costa Rica*, 285–287
- Sri Lanka
 independence from United
 Kingdom, 6
 racial violence in, 8–10
 stabilisation clauses
 internationalisation of investment
 contracts and, 16–17, 401–402,
 434–436
 Sornarajah's opposition to, 18–19
 state investment contracts, 396–399
- stakeholder participation
 COMESA provisions concerning,
 171–174
 in United Nations Code of Conduct
 on Transnational Corporations
 negotiations, 187–193
- state capitalism
 forms of, 405–407
 government subsidies and, 411–414
 growth of, 405–407
 hard law and, 410–414, 419–424, 442
 inclusive soft law approach for,
 414–427
 international regulation of, 40–42
 outward investment by Indian
 government as, 199–202
 soft law and, 408–410
 sovereign wealth funds and, 414–417
 state trading enterprises and,
 410–411
- state immunity, enforceability of
 COMESA arbitral awards and,
 174–175
- state investment contracts
 arbitration clauses in, 5–6, 18 n. 60
 Chinese foreign investment and
 protection of, 225–228
 current developments in, 377–378
 fair and equitable treatment in,
 391–396
 forced renegotiation and, 393–395
 internationalisation of, 39–40,
 377–402
 investment protection and
 immutable contracts, 399–401
 Sornarajah's work on, 12–15
 stabilisation clauses in, 396–399
 as treaties, 378–396
 unjustified cancellation of, 395–396
- state-owned commercial banks
 (SOCBs), WTO rules on
 government subsidies and,
 411–414

- state-owned enterprises (SOEs)
 - Chinese BIT reforms and role of, 249–250
 - government subsidies and, 411–414
 - state capitalism and, 405–407
 - WTO regulation of, 410–411
- state power
 - African investor-state arbitration and role of, 164–166
 - bilateral investment treaties and, 152–153, 305–311
 - Chinese BIT reforms and role of, 230–231
 - claims against foreign investors and, 122–129
 - in customary international law, 301–302
 - economic liberalism and, 47–48
 - fair and equitable treatment in investment treaties and, 324–345
 - international judicial process and role of, 108–111
 - investment neutrality principle and, 51–54
 - investors' rights vs. government economic policy, 289–323
 - investor-state arbitration and abrogation of, 69–70, 96–98
 - liberalism and role of, 47–51
 - Methanex* arbitration impact on, 273–276
 - myths of instability of, 136–137
 - neo-liberal ideology and, 145–147
 - norm entrepreneurs and abrogation of, 131–132
 - resilience of investment arbitration and, 147–149
 - right to regulate in ISDS reforms and, 115–129
 - in Singapore–EU Free Trade Agreement, 317–320
 - in South African Development Council Agreement on Cooperation on Investment, 311–314
 - umbrella clauses and, 349–351
- state responsibility
 - for breach of contract, 377–378
 - economic development rights and, 294–296
 - in Indian foreign investment laws, 213–219, 343–344
 - for injuries to aliens, 7–8
 - internationalisation of umbrella clauses and, 382–384
 - in investor-state dispute settlements, 116–122
 - privatisation of, 431–438
 - public international law and, 3–6
 - umbrella clauses breach and, 381–391
- state-to-state dispute settlement
 - in Chinese BITs, 245–247
 - under Common Investment Area Agreement, 166–167
 - WTO provisions concerning, 303–305
- state trading enterprises (STEs), 410–411
- Stevens, M., 24–28
- subsidiarity to other venues, ISDS reforms and role of, 115–129
- Suez v. Argentina*, 393–395
- Summers, Lawrence, 414–417
- Supreme Court, (US)
 - economic liberalism and rulings of, 49–50
 - New Deal economics and, 50–51
 - state power vs. investment arbitration in, 147–149
- sustainable development
 - in Chinese BITs, 243–245
 - economic development and income equity and, 292–294
 - foreign investment and, 255–258
 - international investor agreements and, 156–159, 188–189 n. 5
- Sweden–Egypt Bilateral Investment Treaty, 225–228
- “sweeping and unqualified meaning” approach, umbrella clauses and, 362–363
- Switzerland–Pakistan Bilateral Investment Treaty, 357–359, 384–387
- sympathist, Sornaraja as, 3 n. 2

- Taiwan–New Zealand Bilateral Investment Treaty, 279–283
- Tamil minority in Sri Lanka
 - denial of citizenship for, 6–7
 - post-independence tensions in, 6–12
- tariff regimes, umbrella clauses and, 367–369
- tax policies
 - expropriation standards and, 181 n. 107
 - Indian foreign investment laws and, 219–221
- technology-intensive industries, liberalisation of Chinese policies concerning, 232–235
- technology transfers, NAFTA provisions on, 309
- TECMED v. Mexico*, 160–161
- Teco v. Guatemala*, 273–276
- Texaco v. Libya*, 16–17, 353–355, 396–399, 434–436
- thin positivist methodology, 24 n. 86
- third-party participation
 - in arbitral proceedings, 163–164
 - COMESA innovations concerning, 171–174
 - international investment treaties and role of, 12–15, 64–67
 - treaty law and, 24–28
- Third World Approaches to International Law (TWAIL) movement, 445 n. 55
- bilateral investment treaties and, 22–23
- demand for capital and investments and disunity in, 15–19
- expropriations law and, 14–15
- Sornaraja's work in, 3–6, 40–42, 445–449
- three-part test of expropriation
 - arbitral tribunals' treatment of, 285–287
 - Methanex case vs. safeguards* and, 284–285
 - in US Model BIT, 279–283
 - Tokio Tokelies case*, 209–210
- trade law and policy
 - foreign direct investment policy and, 297–298
 - international investment constraints under, 302–305
 - investor-state arbitration and, 70–78
 - neoconservatism and, 438–439
 - sovereign wealth funds and, 414–417
 - umbrella clauses and, 352–353
- Trade Promotion Authority Act (US) (2002), 142–145, 223 n. 4, 251–252
 - impact of *Methanex* case on, 267
- Trade-Related Investment Measures Agreement (TRIMS)
 - European BITs and, 305–306
 - government rights vs. investors' rights in, 303–305
 - investor-state dispute settlement and, 321
- North American bilateral investments treaties and, 306–311
- trade unions, international investment regulation and, 190–191
- Trakman, Leon, 34–35, 65 n. 83, 69–100
- transfer provisions
 - international investment agreements and, 60–64
 - investment neutrality and, 64–67
 - in NAFTA, 309
 - in US bilateral investment treaties, 58–59
- transnational corporations (TNCs), 36
 - economic development rights and, 294–296
- General Agreement on Trade in Services constraints on, 302–303
- growth of, 186–187
- international law on rights of, 301–320
- United Nations Code of Conduct on Transnational Corporations negotiations and, 186–193
- Trans-Pacific Partnership (TPP)
 - Chinese foreign investment and, 251–252
 - investor-state arbitration and, 32–33, 142–145

- Methanex* case impact on, 257–258
- transparency rules
 - absence of, in European Commission ISDS reforms, 111–115
 - for arbitral proceedings, 163–164
 - COMESA innovations concerning, 171–174
 - in Indian–ASEAN trade agreement, 92–95
 - international investment agreements and, 60–64
 - investor–state dispute settlements and omission of, 106–108
 - in Korea–Australia free trade agreement, 91–92
 - lack of, in ISA proceedings, 83–86
- travaux* on umbrella clauses, 384–387
- treaties and other instruments, table of, xix–xxvii
- treaty-based arbitration clauses, 18
- treaty design, 38
 - in Chinese BIT, 247–251
 - criticism of investor–state arbitration and, 156–159
 - failure of, in UN Code negotiations, 190–191
 - privatisation of state responsibility and, 431–438
 - state contracts as treaties, 378–396, 442–445
- Treaty for the Establishment of the African Economic Community, 177–178 n. 94
- treaty law
 - international investment law and, 3–6, 24–28
 - state contracts as treaties, 378–396
 - umbrella clauses and, 349–351, 353–355
- treaty shopping, in United Nations Code of Conduct on Transnational Corporations negotiations, 187–193
- TRIMS. *See* Trade Related Investment Measures Agreement (TRIMS)
- TRIMS+ model
 - CETA and, 315–317
 - international investment agreements and, 306–311
- Tripartite Declaration of Principles Concerning Multinational Enterprises and Social Policy, 186, 189–190
- annual reviews in, 190–191
- Truman, Harry
 - Fair Deal policies of, 43, 46–47
 - Point Four proposal of, 46–47
- TTIP. *See* Europe–United States Transatlantic Trade and Investment Partnership (TTIP)
- Turkey, expropriation safeguards in investment treaties with, 279–283
- Tza Yap Shum v. Peru*, 250–251
- umbrella clauses
 - Abs/Shawcross formula for, 356–357
 - acta juri imperii* and, 367–369
 - in bilateral investment treaties, 77 n. 30
 - breach of contract and, 381–391
 - in Chinese BITs, 240–243
 - commercial vs. investment commitments and, 365–367
 - definition, 352–353
 - differences in categorisation of awards and, 390–391
 - El Paso v. Argentina* case and, 363–365
 - fair and equitable treatment and, 349–351, 369, 391–396
 - Indian foreign investment treaties and, 213–219
 - “internationalisation” of investment contracts and, 349–351, 363–365, 373–374, 382–384, 401–402
 - in investment contracts, 39–40
 - legal debate concerning, 349–351
 - in *Noble Ventures v. Romania* case, 360–363
 - origins and rationale for, 351–352
 - “plain meaning” approach and, 361–363

- umbrella clauses (cont.)
 - privity of contract doctrine, 349–351, 372–373
 - rules of treaty interpretation and, 381–382
 - “SGS” cases involving, 357–359, 384–387, 388 n. 42–390
 - Sornarajah’s scepticism concerning, 349–351, 353–355
 - “sweeping and unqualified meaning” approach to, 362–363
 - travaux* on, 384–387
- uncertainty, doctrine of
 - international law on foreign investments and, 15–19
 - soft law and, 408–410
- UNCTAD. *See* United Nations Conference on Trade and Development (UNCTAD)
- United Kingdom–Argentina Bilateral Investment Treaty, 356–357
- United Kingdom–India Bilateral Investment Treaty, 221–222
- United Kingdom–Ukraine Bilateral Investment Treaty, 305–306
- United Nations Code of Conduct on Transnational Corporations, 36
 - failure of negotiations involving, 187–193
- United Nations Commission on International Trade Law (UNCITAL)
 - coherence in jurisprudence and, 83–86
 - COMESA dispute settlement provisions and, 168–170
 - investor-state dispute settlement cases before, 159–166
 - Model Arbitration Law of 1985, 164–166
- United Nations Conference on Trade and Development (UNCTAD)
 - criticism of investor-state arbitration by, 156–159
 - expropriation safeguards in treaties of, 278–279
 - foreign direct investment policy
 - analysis by, 297–298
 - review conferences held by, 190–191
 - Set of Multilaterally Agreed Equitable Principles and Rules for the Control of Restrictive Business Practices, 186, 189–190
 - World Investment Report of, 225–228
- United Nations General Assembly
 - protection of foreign investments and, 12–15
 - Resolution 1803 of, 434
 - resolutions of, xxvii
- United Nations International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, 294–296
- United States
 - Argentinian relations with, 76–78
 - Chinese–US BIT negotiations and, 231, 236–238, 240–243, 244–245, 247
 - expropriation and compensation in BITs with, 238–240
 - expropriation safeguards in post-*Methanex* BITs, 278–279
 - foreign investment in, 414–417
 - Model BIT in, 236–238, 240–243, 244–245, 279–283, 330–331
 - neoliberalism and bilateral investment treaties in, 56–60
 - politics of TPP and TTIP in, 251–252
 - postwar FCN treaties in, 44–47, 51–54
 - review of BITs in, 142–145
 - treaty practices in, 44
 - umbrella clause origins and, 351–352
- United States–Argentina Bilateral Investment Treaty, 367–369
- United States – Definitive Anti-Dumping and Countervailing Duties on Certain Products from China*, 411–414
- United States–Rwanda Bilateral Investment Treaty of 2008, 171–174

- University of Ceylon
 - Sornaraja as teacher at, 8–10
 - Sornaraja's education at, 7 n. 15
- University of London, Sornarajah's attendance at, 7–8
- University of Tasmania, Sornaraja as teacher at, 8–10
- unjustified cancellation of state investment contracts, 395–396
- upstream investments, in South African Development Council Agreement on Cooperation on Investment, 311–314
- Uruguay Round provisions, international investment constraints under, 302–305
- US law, international investment agreement equivalencies with, 63 n. 77
- US-Shrimp/Turtle* WTO case, 81–83
- Van Harten, Gus, 35–36, 65–66 n. 85, 103–130, 442–445
 - investment arbitration data from, 139–142
 - on *Methanex* case, 267
- vehicles for receiving investments, in India's Foreign Direct Investment Policy, 203–210
- Venezuela
 - investor-state arbitration in, 76–78
 - rejection of investor-state arbitration in, 69–70, 73–74, 96
- Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties
 - customary international law in, 240–243
 - investment contracts and, 369–371
 - national vs. international law in, 177 n. 92
 - umbrella clauses and, 360–363, 381–382
- Vivendi v. Argentina*, 273–276, 353–355, 367–371, 388 n. 42–390
- war and civil disturbance, losses from, in US bilateral investment treaties, 58–59
- Washington Consensus, Third World Approaches to International Law and, 15 n. 49, 445–449
- Washington Convention on of 1965, 12–15, 16. *See* Convention on the Settlement of Investment Disputes Between States and Nationals of Other States (ICSID Convention)
- Waste Management II* case, fair and equitable treatment and, 331–336, 338–343
- Waste Management Tribunal, fair and equitable treatment in, 136–137
- wealth distribution
 - international investment law and, 296–297
 - sustainable development and, 292–294
- Wealth of Nations* (Smith), 47–48
- West Coast Hotel v. Parrish*, 50 n. 25
- Western industrialised nations, investment protection initiatives of, 15–19
- White, Harry Dexter, 12–15
- White Industries v. Republic of India*, 36–38, 142–145, 197–199, 210–219
 - Indian Model BIT as response to, 219–221
- World Economic Forum, 296–297
- World Trade Organization (WTO)
 - Chinese and Indian international investment regimes and, 197–199
 - government subsidies and, 411–414
 - international investment constraints and, 188 n. 4, 302–305
 - international law and, 408–410
 - investor-state dispute settlement and, 321
 - regulation of state capitalism and, 410–414
 - state-to-state disputes and, 303–305
 - state trading enterprises and, 410–411
- “worm's view” of globalisation, 36–38

Cambridge University Press

978-1-316-50430-7 — Alternative Visions of the International Law on Foreign Investment

Edited by C. L. Lim

Index

[More Information](#)

492

INDEX

writ jurisdiction, Indian foreign
investment law and, 213–219

Yale Law School
doctrines for international
investment law from, 19–24

Sornarajah's attendance at,
7–8

treaty law and, 27–28

*Yaung Chi Oo Ltd v. The Government
of the Union of Myanmar (2002)*,
10–12, 206–208