

Cambridge Elements =

Elements in Philosophy and Logic
edited by
Bradley Armour-Garb
SUNY Albany
Frederick Kroon
The University of Auckland

LOGICAL CONSEQUENCE

Gila Sher
University of California, San Diego





CAMBRIDGEUNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA 477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia 314–321, 3rd Floor, Plot 3, Splendor Forum, Jasola District Centre, New Delhi – 110025, India

103 Penang Road, #05–06/07, Visioncrest Commercial, Singapore 238467

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning, and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781108986847 DOI: 10.1017/9781108981668

© Gila Sher 2022

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2022

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library.

ISBN 978-1-108-98684-7 Paperback ISSN 2516-418X (online) ISSN 2516-4171 (print)

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



Logical Consequence

Elements in Philosophy and Logic

DOI: 10.1017/9781108981668 First published online: August 2022

Gila Sher University of California, San Diego

Author for correspondence: Gila Sher, gsher@ucsd.edu

Abstract: To understand logic is, first and foremost, to understand logical consequence. This Element provides an in-depth, accessible, up-to-date account of and philosophical insight into the semantic, model-theoretic conception of logical consequence, its Tarskian roots, and its ideas, grounding, and challenges. The topics discussed include: (i) the passage from Tarski's definition of truth (simpliciter) to his definition of logical consequence; (ii) the need for a non-prooftheoretic definition; (iii) the idea of a semantic definition; (iv) the adequacy conditions of preservation of truth, formality, and necessity; (v) the nature, structure, and totality of models; (vi) the logicality problem that threatens the definition of logical consequence (the problem of logical constants); (vii) a general solution to the logicality, formality, and necessity problems/challenges based on the isomorphism-invariance criterion of logicality; (viii) philosophical background and justification of the isomorphism-invariance criterion; and (ix) major criticisms of the semantic definition and the isomorphism-invariance criterion.

Keywords: logical consequence, logical semantics, model theory, criterion of logicality, invariance under isomorphisms

© Gila Sher 2022

ISBNs: 9781108986847 (PB), 9781108981668 (OC) ISSNs: 2516-418X (online), 2516-4171 (print)



Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	The Semantic Definition of Logical Consequence and Its Roots in Tarski	4
3	The Challenges of Necessity, Formality, and Logicality	26
4	Philosophical Perspective on Logicality and Other Significant Matters	46
5	Criticisms of the Semantic Definition of Logical Consequence	65
6	Criticisms of the Isomorphism-Invariance Criterion of Logicality	72
7	Conclusion	85
	References	87