

CONTENTS

<i>List of Figures</i>	page xi
<i>List of Tables</i>	xii
<i>Foreword</i>	xiii
<i>Preface</i>	xxiii
<i>Table of Cases</i>	xxv
<i>Table of Treaties</i>	xxxviii
<i>List of Abbreviations</i>	xxxix
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Argument and Outline	1
1.2 Why Study the Application of Teachings by the ICJ	3
1.3 Methodology	6
1.3.1 Collecting Citations	6
1.3.2 Analysing Citations	11
1.3.3 Conducting Interviews	14
1.3.4 The Concept of ‘Weight’	16
2 The ICJ Statute Article 38(1)	18
2.1 Introduction	18
2.2 Guidance on the Application Teachings	20
2.2.1 The Inclusion of Teachings	20
2.2.2 ‘The Court, Whose Function Is to Decide in Accordance with International Law’	23
2.2.3 ‘[S]hall Apply’	24
2.2.4 ‘[J]udicial Decisions’	25
2.2.5 ‘[T]eachings . . . of Publicists’	25
2.2.6 ‘[T]he Most Highly Qualified’	25
2.2.7 ‘[O]f the Various Nations’	26

2.2.8	'[S]ubsidary Means'	26
2.2.9	'[F]or the Determination of Rules of Law'	28
2.3	Defining 'Teachings'	29
2.3.1	Introduction	29
2.3.2	The Definition Does Not Determine Weight	30
2.3.3	The Term 'Teachings' (and Its Alternatives)	31
2.3.4	Teachings Are about Law	33
2.3.5	Teachings Are Not Produced by States	35
2.3.6	Teachings Are Not Produced by Official Institutions	36
3	The General Role of Teachings in the ICJ	43
3.1	Introduction	43
3.2	Majority Opinions Almost Never Cite Teachings	43
3.3	Individual Opinions Sometimes Cite Teachings	45
3.4	Teachings Are Used More Often Than They Are Cited	46
3.4.1	Introduction	46
3.4.2	Teachings Are Useful to Judges	46
3.4.3	Statements by Judges and Staff Members	48
3.4.4	Statements in Judicial Decisions	50
3.4.5	Judges Are Exposed to Teachings Through Pleadings	51
3.5	Reasons for the Absence of Citations	52
3.5.1	Introduction	52
3.5.2	The Quality of Teachings	53
3.5.3	Jealousy between Writers	53
3.5.4	The Court's Drafting Procedures and Style	54
3.5.5	Writers' Objectivity	55
3.5.6	The Lack of Diversity among Writers	57
3.5.7	The Court's Expertise	58
3.5.8	The Availability of Better Arguments	58
3.5.9	Teachings Lack Official Authority	59
3.5.10	Length and Complexity	60
3.5.11	Institutional Culture and Role	60

CONTENTS

vii

3.6 Other Patterns That Indicate Limited Weight	62
3.6.1 Few Judges Cite Teachings in Every Opinion	62
3.6.2 Judges Specify That They Agree with Teachings	62
3.6.3 Teachings Are Used to ‘Confirm’ Conclusions	64
3.6.4 A Lack of Engagement	65
3.6.5 Justifications of Authority	66
3.7 Patterns That Indicate Some Weight	67
3.7.1 Using Teachings as a Main Argument	67
3.7.2 Citing Teachings That Criticise Judicial Decisions	69
3.7.3 Criticising Insufficient Consideration of Teachings	69
3.7.4 Efforts to Clarify Teachings	70
3.7.5 References to Teachings That the Judge Disagrees With	74
3.7.6 References to Disagreements in Teachings	76
3.7.7 The Rate of Citation of Teachings Over Time	77
3.8 Teachings Have Lower Weight Than Other ‘Subsidiary Means’	80
3.8.1 Introduction	80
3.8.2 Judicial Decisions	80
3.8.3 ILC Works	82
3.9 Explaining the Relatively Low Weight of Teachings	83
3.9.1 Introduction	83
3.9.2 Teachings Lack Official Authority	84
3.9.3 Like Cases Should Be Treated Alike	85
3.9.4 Expertise	86
3.9.5 Quality	87
3.9.6 Collectiveness and Diversity	88
3.10 Conclusion: The General Role of Teachings in the ICJ	90
4 Variations between Works	93
4.1 Introduction	93

4.2	Variations by Frequency	94
4.2.1	The Most-Cited Writers in ICJ Opinions	94
4.2.2	The Demographics of the Most-Cited Writers	98
4.2.3	Explaining the Skewed Demographics of the Most-Cited Judges	99
4.3	Variations by Substance: Factors That Influence the Weight of Teachings	106
4.3.1	Introduction	106
4.3.2	Expertise	107
4.3.3	Quality	110
4.3.4	Official Positions	114
4.3.5	Agreement between Multiple Writers	120
4.4	Reasons for Distinguishing between Teachings	123
4.4.1	Introduction	123
4.4.2	Increased Authority	123
4.4.3	Saving Time	125
4.4.4	Compliance with the ICJ Statute Article 38	126
4.5	The Collective Nature of Authority in International Law	127
4.6	Conclusion	128
5	Variations between Judges	131
5.1	Introduction	131
5.2	Variations by Frequency and Substance	131
5.3	Categorising Judges	134
5.3.1	Introduction	134
5.3.2	Category 1: Judges Who Never Cite Teachings	134
5.3.3	Category 2: The Median	135
5.3.4	Category 3: Judges Who Often Cite and Engage with Teachings	137
5.4	Factors That May Explain Variations Between Judges	138
5.4.1	Introduction	138
5.4.2	Strategic Citations	138

CONTENTS

ix

5.4.3 Philosophical Perspectives	139
5.4.4 Expertise	141
5.4.5 The Availability of Better Arguments	142
5.4.6 Practical Factors	142
5.5 Data That Correlate with Variations between Judges	146
5.5.1 Introduction	146
5.5.2 Permanent Judges and Judges Ad Hoc	146
5.5.3 Separate and Dissenting Opinions	147
5.5.4 Judges' Nationalities	148
5.5.5 Judges' Educations	150
5.5.6 Judges' Professional Backgrounds	152
5.6 Conclusion	155
6 Concluding Reflections	157
6.1 Teachings and the Development of International Law	157
6.2 Potentially Beneficial Adjustments of the Court's Practice	160
6.2.1 Introduction	160
6.2.2 Increased Diversity	162
6.2.3 Increased Transparency	164
6.2.4 Increased Regulation	166
6.3 The ICJ Compared to Other International Courts and Tribunals	168
6.3.1 Introduction	168
6.3.2 The General Role of Teachings	170
6.3.3 Variations between Teachings	175
6.3.4 Variations between Judges	177
6.3.5 Explaining Variations between Institutions	180
6.4 Avenues for Future Research	183
<i>Appendices</i>	185
Appendix 1 The Forty Most-Cited Writers	185
Appendix 2 Citations Per Judge	189
Appendix 3 Judges Engaging with Teachings	205

Appendix 4 Citations Per Judge (PCIJ)	207
Appendix 5 Judges' Citations Compared to Pleadings	208
Appendix 6 Most-Cited Writers in Pleadings	209
<i>Bibliography</i>	210
<i>Index</i>	227