

UNITED STATES - MEASURES AFFECTING TRADE IN LARGE CIVIL AIRCRAFT (SECOND COMPLAINT)

Recourse to Article 21.5 of the DSU by the European Union

Report of the Panel
 WT/DS353/RW and Add.1

BCI deleted, as indicated [*]**
HSBI omitted, as indicated [[HSBI]]

*Adopted by the Dispute Settlement Body
 on 11 April 2019
 as Modified by the Appellate Body Report*

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
1. INTRODUCTION	2192
1.1 Complaint by the European Union	2192
1.2 Panel Establishment and Composition	2195
1.3 Panel Proceedings.....	2196
1.3.1 General.....	2196
1.3.2 The Panel's ruling and decisions regarding Annex V of the SCM Agreement and requests of the parties that the Panel seek information under Article 13 of the DSU.....	2197
1.3.3 Requests for preliminary rulings on the Panel's terms of reference and other procedural rulings	2200
1.3.3.1 The United States' request for preliminary rulings	2200
1.3.3.2 The European Union's request for leave to file an additional submission pertaining to Washington State Legislature Substitute Bill 5952.....	2200
1.3.3.3 Other procedural rulings	2201

Report of the Panel

2.	PARTIES' REQUESTS FOR FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	2201
3.	ARGUMENTS OF THE PARTIES	2202
4.	ARGUMENTS OF THE THIRD PARTIES	2202
5.	INTERIM REVIEW	2203
5.1	Introduction	2203
5.2	Specific Requests for Review Submitted by the Parties	2203
5.2.1	Explicit attributions of arguments to the parties	2203
5.2.2	Introduction to Panel findings.....	2204
5.2.3	Whether certain measures and claims are outside the Panel's terms of reference or are otherwise outside the scope of this proceeding.....	2206
5.2.4	Whether the United States has failed to withdraw the subsidy within the meaning of Article 7.8 of the SCM Agreement	2211
5.2.5	Whether the United States has failed to take appropriate steps to remove the adverse effects within the meaning of Article 7.8 of the SCM Agreement.....	2219
5.2.6	Appendix 1.....	2226
5.3	The BCI or HSBI Designation of Certain Information	2228
6.	INTRODUCTION TO PANEL FINDINGS	2228
6.1	Alleged Non-Compliance of the United States with Article 7.8 of the SCM Agreement as the Main Subject of this Compliance Proceeding	2228
6.2	Article 7.8 of the SCM Agreement and Article 19 of the DSU.....	2229
6.3	Structure of the European Union's Case that the United States Has Failed to Withdraw the Subsidy and Take Appropriate Steps to Remove the Adverse Effects, within the Meaning of Article 7.8 of the SCM Agreement	2234
6.4	Organization of Panel's Analysis	2243
7.	WHETHER CERTAIN MEASURES AND CLAIMS ARE OUTSIDE THE PANEL'S TERMS OF REFERENCE OR ARE OTHERWISE OUTSIDE THE SCOPE OF THIS PROCEEDING ...	2245
7.1	Introduction.....	2245
7.2	Washington State and Local Measures	2246
7.2.1	Whether the four original Washington tax measures are outside the scope of this proceeding	2248

US - Large Civil Aircraft (2 nd Complaint) (Article 21.5 - EU)	
7.2.1.1	Main arguments of the parties and third parties 2249
7.2.1.2	Evaluation by the Panel..... 2254
7.2.2	Whether the Washington State Joint Center for Aerospace Technology Innovation measure is outside the scope of this proceeding 2263
7.2.2.1	Main arguments of the parties and third parties 2264
7.2.2.2	Evaluation by the Panel..... 2266
7.3	DOD Aeronautics R&D Measures 2273
7.3.1	Background 2273
7.3.2	Whether the pre-2007 DOD procurement contracts funded under the original 23 RDT&E program elements are outside the scope of this compliance proceeding 2280
7.3.2.1	Main arguments of the parties and third parties 2280
7.3.2.2	Evaluation by the Panel..... 2284
7.3.3	Whether the seven "additional" RDT&E program elements are outside the scope of this proceeding 2292
7.3.3.1	Background 2292
7.3.3.2	Main arguments of the parties and third parties 2294
7.3.3.3	Evaluation by the Panel..... 2296
7.3.4	Whether DOD "equipment and employees" provided through procurement contracts and assistance instruments funded under the challenged RDT&E program elements are outside the scope of this compliance proceeding..... 2319
7.3.4.1	Main arguments of the parties 2320
7.3.4.2	Evaluation by the Panel..... 2322
7.3.5	Summary of the Panel's rulings as to whether various certain DOD aeronautics R&D measures are outside the scope of this proceeding 2325
7.3.6	Whether the alleged "dual-use" nature of research conducted under the procurement contracts challenged by the European Union is relevant to the Panel's terms of reference..... 2326
7.4	FAA Aeronautics R&D Measure 2329
7.4.1	Main arguments of the parties and third parties 2331

Report of the Panel

	7.4.2	Evaluation by the Panel	2338
7.5		South Carolina Measures	2346
	7.5.1	Whether the Project Gemini and Project Emerald measures are outside the scope of this proceeding	2349
		7.5.1.1 Main arguments of the parties and third parties.....	2349
		7.5.1.2 Evaluation by the Panel	2356
	7.5.2	Whether the Phase II measures are outside the Panel's terms of reference	2368
		7.5.2.1 Main arguments of the parties	2368
		7.5.2.2 Evaluation by the Panel	2369
7.6		Claims under Articles 3.1(a) and (b) of the SCM Agreement and Article III of the GATT 1994	2373
	7.6.1	Conformity of the panel request with Article 6.2 of the DSU	2373
		7.6.1.1 Main arguments of the parties	2373
		7.6.1.2 Evaluation by the Panel	2375
	7.6.2	Whether claims under Articles 3.1(a), 3.1(b), and 3.2 of the SCM Agreement and under Article III of the GATT 1994, in respect of certain measures, are outside the scope of this proceeding	2379
		7.6.2.1 Claims under Articles 3.1(a) and 3.2 of the SCM Agreement with respect to original Washington State tax measures enacted under HB 2294.....	2380
		7.6.2.2 Claims under Articles 3.1(a) and 3.2 of the SCM Agreement against certain original measures; and claims under Articles 3.1(b) and 3.2 of the SCM Agreement and Article III:4 of the GATT 1994 against all original measures	2391
7.7		Reasons for Declining the European Union's Request for Leave to File an Additional Submission Regarding SSB 5952.....	2401
	7.7.1	Introduction.....	2401
	7.7.2	Background to the European Union's request.....	2402
	7.7.3	The Panel's consideration of the argument and factual material provided in the European Union's request of 4 March 2014	2404
	7.7.4	The Washington State tax measures challenged by the European Union in this proceeding.....	2407

US - Large Civil Aircraft (2nd Complaint) (Article 21.5 - EU)

7.7.5	SSB 5952 and its implications for the European Union's claims regarding the Washington State tax measures.....	2409
7.7.5.1	SSB 5952	2409
7.7.5.2	The European Union's claims under Articles 3.1(b) and 3.2 of the SCM Agreement with respect to the Washington State tax measures in its written submissions and as foreshadowed in its 4 March 2014 letter, as a result of SSB 5952.....	2411
7.7.5.3	The European Union's claims under Article III:4 of the GATT 1994 with respect to the Washington State tax measures in its written submissions and as foreshadowed in its 4 March 2014 letter, as a result of SSB 5952.....	2413
7.7.5.4	The European Union's adverse effects claims with respect to the Washington State tax measures in its written submissions and as foreshadowed in its 4 March 2014 letter, as a result of SSB 5952	2414
7.7.5.5	Conclusion	2415
7.7.6	Whether the Washington State tax measures as amended by SSB 5952 are within the Panel's terms of reference	2417
7.7.6.1	Main arguments of the parties.....	2417
7.7.6.2	Evaluation	2422
7.7.6.3	Conclusion	2441
7.8	Summary of the Panel's Conclusions as to Whether Certain Measures and Claims Are Outside the Panel's Terms of Reference or Are Otherwise Outside the Scope of this Proceeding.....	2441
8.	WHETHER THE UNITED STATES HAS FAILED TO WITHDRAW THE SUBSIDY, WITHIN THE MEANING OF ARTICLE 7.8 OF THE SCM AGREEMENT.....	2444
8.1	Whether the United States Has Failed to Withdraw the Subsidy by Modifying the Terms of the NASA and DOD Aeronautics R&D Subsidies the Subject of the DSB Recommendations and Rulings	2445
8.1.1	Introduction.....	2445
8.1.2	Main arguments of the parties and third parties.....	2447
8.1.3	Evaluation by the Panel.....	2455

 Report of the Panel

8.1.3.1	The allocation of intellectual property rights and data rights under NASA procurement contracts and under DOD assistance instruments and procurement contracts	2457
8.1.3.2	The commercial use licence granted under the Boeing Patent Licence Agreements	2461
8.1.3.3	NASA Space Act Agreements at issue in the original proceeding	2465
8.2	Whether the United States Has Failed to Withdraw the Subsidy, Within the Meaning of Article 7.8 of the SCM Agreement, by Granting or Maintaining Certain Post-2006 Subsidies	2467
8.2.1	Introduction	2467
8.2.2	Post-2006 NASA aeronautics R&D measures	2470
8.2.2.1	Introduction	2470
8.2.2.2	The measures at issue	2471
8.2.2.3	Whether there is a financial contribution	2480
8.2.2.4	Whether there is a benefit	2515
8.2.2.5	Whether the subsidy is specific	2528
8.2.2.6	Amount of the subsidy and amount of the financial contribution	2541
8.2.2.7	Conclusion	2565
8.2.3	Post-2006 DOD aeronautics R&D measures	2565
8.2.3.1	Introduction	2565
8.2.3.2	The measures at issue	2567
8.2.3.3	Whether there is a financial contribution	2578
8.2.3.4	Whether there is a benefit	2602
8.2.3.5	Whether the subsidy is specific	2616
8.2.3.6	Amount of the subsidy and amount of the financial contribution	2626
8.2.3.7	Conclusion	2635
8.2.4	FAA aeronautics R&D measure	2636
8.2.4.1	Introduction	2636
8.2.4.2	The measure at issue	2637
8.2.4.3	Whether there is a financial contribution	2639
8.2.4.4	Whether there is a benefit	2645
8.2.4.5	Whether the subsidy is specific	2650

US - Large Civil Aircraft (2nd Complaint) (Article 21.5 - EU)

8.2.4.6	Amount of the subsidy and amount of the financial contribution	2654
8.2.4.7	Conclusion	2657
8.2.5	Tax exemptions and exclusions under FSC/ETI legislation and successor legislation	2658
8.2.5.1	Introduction	2658
8.2.5.2	Main arguments of the parties	2661
8.2.5.3	Evaluation by the Panel	2665
8.2.5.4	Conclusion	2671
8.2.6	Property and sales tax abatements provided through IRBs issued by the City of Wichita	2671
8.2.6.1	Introduction	2671
8.2.6.2	Main arguments of the parties	2673
8.2.6.3	Evaluation by the Panel	2674
8.2.6.4	Conclusion	2678
8.2.7	Washington state and local measures	2679
8.2.7.1	Whether the Washington state and local measures constitute specific subsidies within the meaning of Articles 1 and 2 of the SCM Agreement	2679
8.2.7.2	Amount of the subsidy	2682
8.2.7.3	Conclusion	2696
8.2.8	The South Carolina measures	2696
8.2.8.1	Introduction	2696
8.2.8.2	The measures at issue	2697
8.2.8.3	Relevant factual background regarding the Project Gemini and Project Emerald incentive packages	2699
8.2.8.4	The Panel's order of analysis	2706
8.2.8.5	Whether the sublease of the Project Site is a specific subsidy to Boeing	2707
8.2.8.6	Whether specific subsidies exist by virtue of South Carolina's provision of Gemini and Emerald facilities and infrastructure to Boeing	2730
8.2.8.7	Whether property tax reductions through the Boeing and Project Emerald FILOT Agreements are specific subsidies to Boeing	2764

Report of the Panel

8.2.8.8	Whether the corporate income tax credits in connection with the designation of the Project Gemini and Project Emerald portions of the Project Site as part of the Charleston-Colleton multi-county industrial park involve specific subsidies to Boeing.....	2789
8.2.8.9	Whether reductions of state corporate income taxes through an income allocation and apportionment agreement are a specific subsidy to Boeing	2799
8.2.8.10	Whether the property tax exemption in respect of Boeing's large cargo freighters is a specific subsidy to Boeing	2815
8.2.8.11	Whether the sales and use tax exemptions for aircraft fuel, computer equipment, and construction materials are specific subsidies to Boeing.....	2830
8.2.8.12	Whether the workforce recruitment, training and development programme provided to Boeing is a specific subsidy to Boeing.....	2850
8.3	Summary of the Panel's Conclusions as to Whether the United States Has Failed to Withdraw the Subsidy Within the Meaning of Article 7.8 of the SCM Agreement	2856
9.	WHETHER THE UNITED STATES HAS FAILED TO TAKE APPROPRIATE STEPS TO REMOVE THE ADVERSE EFFECTS, WITHIN THE MEANING OF ARTICLE 7.8 OF THE SCM AGREEMENT	2859
9.1	Introduction.....	2859
9.2	Preliminary Considerations Relevant to the Panel's Evaluation of the European Union's Serious Prejudice Case... ..	2864
9.2.1	Developments in the LCA industry since the original proceeding and their implications for the identification of the relevant LCA product markets	2864
9.2.2	The different aspects of LCA prices and how LCA manufacturers such as Boeing set LCA prices.....	2880
9.2.3	Standard of causation and aggregation of subsidies	2884
9.2.3.1	Aggregation of the tied tax subsidies.....	2889
9.2.3.2	Aggregation of the state and local cash flow subsidies.....	2892
9.2.3.3	Aggregation of the post-2006 aeronautics R&D subsidies	2895

US - Large Civil Aircraft (2nd Complaint) (Article 21.5 - EU)

9.2.3.4	Whether any of the aggregated groupings of subsidies should be further aggregated with each other	2897
9.2.3.5	Summary of conclusions regarding the aggregation of particular subsidies.....	2899
9.2.4	The relevant time-period over which to assess whether the European Union has demonstrated that the United States has failed to take appropriate steps to remove the adverse effects	2900
9.3	Whether Subsidies Benefiting the 787 and 777X Cause Serious Prejudice to the Interests of the European Union, Within the Meaning of Articles 5(c) and 6.3, in Respect of the A350XWB and A330 in the Post-Implementation Period	2904
9.3.1	Introduction	2904
9.3.2	The European Union's main case: Whether the pre-2007 aeronautics R&D subsidies and certain post-2006 aeronautics R&D subsidies combined with the other post-2006 subsidies cause serious prejudice to the interests of the European Union, within the meaning of Articles 5(c) and 6.3, in respect of the A350XWB in the post-implementation period	2906
9.3.2.1	Whether the pre-2007 aeronautics R&D subsidies and certain post-2006 aeronautics R&D subsidies cause serious prejudice to the interests of the European Union, within the meaning of Articles 5(c) and 6.3, in respect of the A350XWB in the post-implementation period, through a technology causal mechanism	2909
9.3.2.2	Whether the post-2006 subsidies benefiting the 787 and 777X cause serious prejudice to the interests of the European Union, within the meaning of Articles 5(c) and 6.3, in respect of the A350XWB in the post-implementation period, through a price causal mechanism.....	2958
9.3.3	The parallel argument: Whether the original serious prejudice caused by the pre-2007 aeronautics R&D subsidies in respect of the A330 and Original A350 continues in the post-implementation period as serious prejudice to the interests of the European Union in respect of the A330 and A350XWB	2988

Report of the Panel

9.3.4	Summary of findings on whether subsidies benefiting the 787 and 777X cause serious prejudice to the interests of the European Union in respect of the A350XWB and A330 in the post-implementation period	3001
9.4	Whether Subsidies Benefiting the 737 MAX and 737NG Cause Serious Prejudice to the Interests of the European Union, Within the Meaning of Articles 5(c) and 6.3, in Respect of the A320neo and A320ceo in the Post-Implementation Period	3002
9.4.1	Introduction.....	3002
9.4.2	Whether certain aeronautics R&D subsidies benefiting the 737 MAX cause serious prejudice to the interests of the European Union, within the meaning of Articles 5(c) and 6.3, in respect of the A320neo in the post-implementation period, through a technology causal mechanism.....	3006
9.4.2.1	Spill-over technology effects of the pre-2007 aeronautics R&D subsidies.....	3007
9.4.2.2	New technology effects of certain post-2006 aeronautics R&D subsidies.....	3011
9.4.2.3	Summary of conclusions on whether certain aeronautics R&D subsidies benefiting the 737 MAX cause serious prejudice to the interests of the European Union, within the meaning of Articles 5(c) and 6.3, in respect of the A320neo in the post-implementation period, through a technology causal mechanism	3016
9.4.3	Whether the post-2006 subsidies benefiting the 737 MAX and 737NG cause serious prejudice to the interests of the European Union, within the meaning of Articles 5(c) and 6.3, in respect of the A320neo and A320ceo in the post-implementation period, through a price causal mechanism	3018
9.4.3.1	The Washington State B&O tax rate reduction	3019
9.4.3.2	The state and local cash flow subsidies	3059
9.4.3.3	The post-2006 aeronautics R&D subsidies.....	3061
9.4.3.4	Summary of conclusions on whether the post-2006 subsidies benefiting the 737 MAX and 737NG cause serious prejudice to the interests of the European Union,	