

CREATING CONSPIRACY BELIEFS

Conspiracy theories are spread more widely and faster than ever before. Fear and uncertainty prompt people to believe false narratives of danger and hidden plots, but are not sufficient without considering the role and ideological bias of the media. This timely book focuses on making sense of how and why some people respond to their fear of a threat by creating or believing conspiracy stories. It integrates insights from psychology, political science, communication, and information sciences to provide a complete overview and theory of how conspiracy beliefs manifest. Through this multidisciplinary perspective, rigorous research develops and tests a practical, simple way to frame and understand conspiracy theories. The book supplies unprecedented amounts of new data from six empirical studies and unpicks the complexity of the process that leads to the empowerment of conspiracy beliefs.

DOLORES ALBARRACÍN is Professor of Psychology at the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign.

JULIA ALBARRACÍN is Professor of Political Science at Western Illinois University.

MAN-PUI SALLY CHAN is Research Assistant Professor of Psychology at the University of Illinois at Urbana—Champaign.

KATHLEEN HALL JAMIESON is Professor of Communication at the University of Pennsylvania and Director of its Policy Center.



CREATING CONSPIRACY BELIEFS

How Our Thoughts Are Shaped

DOLORES ALBARRACÍN

University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign

JULIA ALBARRACÍN

Western Illinois University

MAN-PUI SALLY CHAN

University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign

KATHLEEN HALL JAMIESON

University of Pennsylvania





CAMBRIDGEHINIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom
One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA
477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia
314–321, 3rd Floor, Plot 3, Splendor Forum, Jasola District Centre, New Delhi – 110025, India
103 Penang Road, #05–06/07, Visioncrest Commercial, Singapore 238467

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning, and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org
Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781108845786
DDI: 10.1017/9781108990936

© Dolores Albarracín, Julia Albarracín, Man-pui Sally Chan, and Kathleen Hall Jamieson 2022

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2022

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library.

ISBN 978-1-108-84578-6 Hardback ISBN 978-1-108-96502-6 Paperback

Additional materials are available to view at cambridge.org/creatingconspiracybeliefs

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



To our families for their inspiration and unconditional support.



Contents

	t of Figures	<i>page</i> viii
	t of Tables	x xiii
	Preface	
Acı	knowledgments	xvii
I	Introduction	I
2	A Framework for Understanding How Conspiracy Beliefs Are Created	II
3	The Consequences of Conspiracy Beliefs	33
4	Anxiety, Psychological Motivations, and Conspiracy Beliefs	55
5	Sociopolitical Factors and Conspiracy Beliefs	92
6	The Relation between Media and Anxiety	117
7	The Influence of Norms and Social Networks on Conspiracy Beliefs	147
8	Influences of Media and Anxiety in a Psychological and Sociopolitical Context	160
9	Conclusions	202
	pendix: Methodology of Our Studies and Samples ferences dex	225 257 304



Figures

2.1	Theoretical model	page 19
4.I	Theoretical model focusing on motivations, anxiety,	
	and conspiracy beliefs	57
4.2	Selection and identification of affect in persuasion	
	(reproduced from Albarracin, 2020)	66
4.3	Mediational analyses for the stigmatizing effects of advocating	g
	conspiracy theories online (results from Lantian et al., 2018)	84
4.4	Anxiety as a mediator of the motivational influences on	
	conspiracy beliefs	87
4.5	Impact of ostracism on vulnerability and conspiracy beliefs	
	(adapted from Pool et al., 2020)	89
5.1	Theoretical model	93
6.1	Valence and arousal dimensions (adapted from	
	Albarracín, 2020)	118
6.2	Theoretical model focusing on relations between media	
	and anxiety	119
6.3	Model tested in Studies 1–3	143
7 . I	Theoretical model emphasizing focus of the chapter	148
8.1	Theoretical model	163
8.2	General causal model tested with path analyses	167
8.3	Model with conspiracy beliefs as the antecedent of media	
	use and anxiety	167
8.4	Path analysis of accurate, control political beliefs: Study 1	169
8.5	Path analysis of accurate, control political beliefs: Study 1	170
8.6	Interactions between conservative media and anxiety for	
	conspiracy beliefs: Studies 1-3	171
8.7	Path analysis of political conspiracy beliefs: Study 2	172
8.8	Path analysis of accurate, control political beliefs: Study 2	173
8.9	Path analysis of political conspiracy beliefs: Study 3	174

viii



	List of Figures	ix
8.10	Path analysis of accurate, control political beliefs: Study 3	175
8.11	Path analysis of health conspiracy beliefs: Study 1	176
8.12	Path analysis of accurate, control health beliefs: Study 1	177
8.13	Path analysis of health conspiracy beliefs: Study 2	177
8.14	Path analysis of accurate, control health beliefs: Study 2	178
8.15	Path analysis of health conspiracy beliefs: Study 3	179
8.16	Path analysis of accurate, control health beliefs: Study 3	180
8.17	An interaction effect between conservative-media	
	account handle and fear on retweets of conspiratorial tweets	184
8.18	Deep state conspiracy belief as a function of anxiety	
	and conservative media over time	188



Tables

3.I	Distribution of conspiracy beliefs among residents		
	of the United States: Studies 1-4	page	37
3.2	Odds ratios for intentions to adopt PrEP		40
3.3	Belief in deep state among residents of the		
	United States: Study 4		50
3.4	Correlations of conspiratorial and control beliefs and voting	5	5 I
4. I	Spontaneously deduced conspiracies for unethical		
	and ethical actors under uncertainty and control conditions		59
4.2	Correlations between anxiety and conspiracy beliefs		
	in our studies		62
4.3	Correlations between general anxiety and		
	domain-specific anxiety (Study 1)		63
4.4	Associations of general measure of anxiety		
	and health anxiety with conspiracy beliefs (Study 1)		65
4.5	Results from our experiment manipulating anxiety		68
4.6	Paranoia and conspiracy beliefs		71
4.7	Correlations between conspiracy beliefs, religious beliefs,		
	various paranormal beliefs and abnormal perceptions		72
4.8	Beliefs that events are not random and conspiracy beliefs		74
4.9	Correlations with intolerance for uncertainty		
	and need for closure		75
4.10	Experimental materials		76
4.II	Correlations with need for closure (Studies 1–3)		77
4.12	Correlations of beliefs and general and specific need		
	for closure (Study 1)		78
4.13	Analytical thinking and epistemically suspect beliefs		80
4.14	Correlations with need for cognition (Studies 1–3)		82
	Correlations with general and specific need for		
	cognition (Study I)		83



List of Tables

	٠
v	1
Λ	1

4.16	Correlations of social integration motivation	
	(need to belong) with conspiracy beliefs (Studies 1−3)	85
4.17	Correlations with general and specific need to belong (Study 1)	86
	Correlations of anxiety with need for closure and need	
	for social integration (Studies 1–3)	87
4.19	Indirect effects of motivations on conspiracy beliefs	
	as mediated by anxiety (Studies 1–3)	88
5.I	Correlations between beliefs and political variables	
	(Studies 1–3)	94
5.2	Levels of knowledge across partisan groups	98
5.3	Beliefs in conspiracy theories about African Americans	
	and HIV	106
5.4	Table difference in means conspiracy beliefs non-Whites	
	versus Whites (Studies 1–3)	107
5.5	Indirect effects of sociopolitical factors on conspiracy	
	beliefs as mediated by anxiety (Studies 1-3)	III
6.1	American media outlets, shows, and sites used for our surveys	131
6.2	Correlations between anxiety and media use (Studies 1–3)	138
6.3	Regression coefficients for the prediction of anxiety	
	from use of different media (Studies 1–3)	139
6.4	Mood management through music selection	141
6.5	Model fit with anxiety or media use as the cause	
	(Studies 1–3)	144
7 . I	Associations between norms, discussing beliefs,	
	and conspiracy beliefs (Studies 3 and 4)	150
7.2	Associations between conspiracy beliefs and discussions	
	with other people (Study 3)	152
8.1	Model fit with conspiracy beliefs as an outcome	
	or a mediator (Studies 1-3)	168
8.2	Meta-analysis of influence of conservative media use	
	and anxiety (Studies 1–4)	181
8.3	Predicting retweets from media account handle types	
	and fear index	183
8.4	Predicting retweets from conservative media account	
	handles and refined fear index	184
8.5	Analysis of deep state beliefs as a function of anxiety	
	and media use over time (Study 4)	187
8.6	Indirect effects for the influence of psychological motivations	
	and sociopolitical factors on conspiracy beliefs as mediated	
	by media use and anxiety (Studies 1-3)	190



> xii List of Tables 8.7 Predicting beliefs from different sources of perceived plausibility (Studies 1–3) 192 8.8 Multiple regression with media and other social influences as predictors of conspiracy beliefs (Studies 3 and 4) 198 Methodological characteristics of cross-sectional studies А. і (Studies 1-3) 226 Longitudinal panel (online/phone hybrid; Study 4) A.2 227 Conspiracy and control beliefs measured in our survey A.3 research (Studies 1–4) 229 Propositions in the measures of belief, perceived plausibility, A.4 and perceived unfalsifiability (Studies 1-4) 230 A.5 Measures of norms and discussions with others: Studies 3-4 232 A.6 Anxiety measures (Studies 1–4) 233 A.7 Political knowledge and trust in government measures (Studies 1–4) 235 A.8 Media measures (Studies 1–3) 237 Media measures (Study 4) 239 A.10 Descriptive statistics of variables (Studies 1–3) 240 A.11 Demographics (Studies 1–4) 243 A.12 Summary of Twitter data collected for conspiracy and control posts for each conspiracy 248 A.13 Descriptive statistics of Twitter data 25I



Preface

What is a conspiracy belief, and how is one created and sustained? How does a narrative that both harnesses and justifies recipients' general anxiety increase susceptibility to the belief in a hidden plot that powerful agents have orchestrated to harm the believer? Or do anxious feelings from other sources (e.g., one's mood) create a predisposition to think about conspiracies? What is the role of social networks and media in trafficking such accounts to susceptible individuals? How do psychological and sociopolitical influences play out?

In *Creating Conspiracy Beliefs*, we use survey, experimental, and social media data to test the utility of a theory of psychological and sociopolitical influences on conspiracy beliefs. Our objects of interest range from hoary beliefs that Barack Obama was not born in the United States and assertions that the dangers of vaccination have been covered up by self-interested agents to beliefs about the existence of a deep state within the American governmental bureaucracy bent on subverting the presidency of Donald J. Trump, first by engineering his impeachment, later by undermining his efforts to bring the COVID-19 pandemic under control, and finally by preventing his reelection.

Our theoretical framework acknowledges psychological and sociopolitical influences that have been looked at in past research on conspiracy beliefs, including anxiety (e.g., an anxious mood), the need for closure, and the motives that arise from feeling isolated and disenfranchised. More important, however, is our goal of describing the proverbial "elephant in the room" for conspiracy theories, how these non-falsifiable beliefs spread through social interaction and are validated by others in likeminded communities. When thoughts of conspiracy are socially shared, what are their sources? How do discussions with other people and exposure to media contribute to creating these beliefs? What is the influence of media, and is their influence enhanced by feelings of anxiety in their audiences? Do the media contribute to this anxiety as well?



xiv Preface

The book draws on data from three cross-sectional surveys and one longitudinal panel. Collectively, these surveys investigated distal psychological and sociopolitical predispositions, anxiety, and the important social and media influences at play. The first three surveys tested beliefs in four conspiracy theories, all involving secret activities by a powerful individual or group:

- Barack Obama was not born in the United States; he faked his birth certificate to become president (for an analysis of the theory, see Politico, 2011).
- 2. Undocumented immigrants voting illegally prevented Republicans from winning the popular vote in 2016 (for more information, see Business Insider, 2018).
- 3. The US government created the HIV epidemic by experimentally injecting the virus in people of African descent (see Heller, 2015).
- 4. The MMR vaccine causes autism, but this link has been covered up by the US government (see Eggertson, 2010).

Each of the surveys examined psychological and sociopolitical precursors of beliefs in the theories as well as the role of anxiety and media. The longitudinal panel concerned the belief that unelected state officials have conspired against Donald J. Trump by illegally undermining his candidacy and presidency. This survey, which examined the role of feelings of anxiety and media exposure, was conducted while the United States Congress determined whether he should be impeached, and, after impeachment, convicted and removed from office. An experiment gauged the causal impact of anxiety focused on the conspiracy theory that 5G technology caused the COVID-19 pandemic (Satariano & Alba, 2020).

We also obtained 407,697 relevant, publicly available tweets from the United States (i.e., 391,935 tweets with hashtags and keywords) alluding to conspiracy theories and 15,762 tweets, including their hashtags and keywords, that attempted to debunk some of them. This study involved the theories we just listed, the deep state theory, and an additional set of the following science relevant conspiracy theories:

- Lizard aliens hybridized with humans who now secretly occupy positions of power ("The reptilian elite," 2020)
- 2. The earth is flat, but an elaborate deception explains the popular belief that the earth is not flat ("The Flat Earth Society," n.d.).
- 3. "Chem" trails, as the condensation ("con") trails from airplanes are labeled, are evidence of large-scale, secret spraying with pesticides to



Preface xv

control the population and modify the environment (Fraser, 2009; Van Assche et al., 2018).

4. Agenda 21, a secret United Nations plan to control population growth, is in effect, in violation of American sovereignty (Dickson, 2017).

Our social media analyses were used to determine the degree to which conservative, liberal, and mainstream media account handles (i.e., @[username]) disseminated these theories online, and whether the anxiety-inducing content of the material contributed to this dissemination.

The book is organized around a set of theoretical principles that guided our review and empirical research. The appendix describes all methods and our samples. An online supplement contains the research instruments, as well as the data and code used in our analyses.

Our work is indebted to a number of books that deal with specific conspiracy theories or areas of inquiry. These include *UFOs, Conspiracy Theories, and the New Age* by David G. Robertson (Bloomsbury, 2017), *I Heard It through the Grapevine* by Patricia Turner (University of California Press, 1993), *Conspiracy Theory and American Foreign Policy* by Tim Aistrope (Manchester University Press, 2016), and *Textual Conspiracies* by James Martel (University of Michigan Press, 2011).

In the substantial body of existing scholarship on conspiracy beliefs, five books have special relevance to our arguments. *Conspiracy Theory in America* by DeHaven-Smith (University of Texas Press, 2013) provides a thorough theoretical analysis of the intellectual development of conspiracy theorizing. Particularly impressive is his attempt to conceptualize the "conspiracy theories" as a mechanism to bring state crimes against democracy (SCAD) to light.

American Conspiracy Theories by Joseph E. Uscinski and Joseph M. Parent (Oxford University Press, 2014) develops an impressive general theory of why people believe in conspiracy theories. According to them, these include conspiratorial predispositions, ideologies, group identity, and loss of political power. While their model concerns factors that we carefully incorporate when possible, these factors are not the sole focus of our book. Instead, we argue that conspiracy beliefs emerge from the intersection of anxiety and specific social influences, which, in the case of many of the beliefs we explore, include those circulating in the conservative media (e.g., Fox News, Breitbart, and InfoWars).

Like our work, *Empire of Conspiracy* by Timothy Melley (Cornell University Press, 2000) argues that fears and anxieties are drivers of



xvi Preface

conspiratorial beliefs that are expressed in many venues including film, fiction, sociology, political writing, and self-help literature. To this understanding, we add the general argument that anxiety and conspiracy theorizing cut across areas and, in particular explore the creation of the content of *specific* beliefs and why some ideas take hold and others do not.

A Culture of Conspiracy by Michael Barkun (University of California Press, 2013, 2nd ed.) discusses how conspiracy theories seek to reveal the real loci of power. To that insight, we add the argument that anxiety and other psychological and sociopolitical factors increase susceptibility to conspiracy beliefs.

In *Conspiracy Theories*, Mark Fenster (University of Minnesota Press, 1999) makes the important argument that by raising the alarm about threats to democracy, conspiracy theories serve a social and political purpose. We agree both that conspiracy theories are not always marginal and pathological and that some suspicion about the government and the powerful may be beneficial for democracy.¹

¹ There is also an interesting, general audience, brief book by Jan-Willem Van Prooijen (2015).



Acknowledgments

We owe a debt of gratitude to the Center for Advanced Study at the University of Illinois at Urbana—Champaign for appointing the first author as a faculty associate during which the research for this book was developed, as well as to Dr. Kenneth Winneg, who supervised the fielding of most of the survey research reported in this book, and to the research assistants who helped with other aspects of the book. Minh Pham checked some of our data, and Lucy Park and Kevin Qin provided invaluable help with the literature review. Ellen Peters, Chadly Stern, Annie Jung, Bita Fayaz-Farkhad, and Sandra Gonzalez-Bailón provided excellent comments on chapters of this book. Also, we thank members of the Social Action Lab at the University of Illinois at Urbana—Champaign, and Martin P. Repetto at Purdue University for informal feedback on important aspects of this work. Finally, we are grateful to David Repetto, our editor and one of the publishers at Cambridge University Press, for his invaluable feedback and encouragement throughout the process.