

Mathematical Logic through Python

Using a unique pedagogical approach, this text introduces mathematical logic by guiding students in implementing the underlying logical concepts and mathematical proofs via Python programming. This approach, tailored to the unique intuitions and strengths of the ever-growing population of programming-savvy students, brings mathematical logic into the comfort zone of these students and provides clarity that can only be achieved by a deep hands-on understanding and the satisfaction of having created working code. While the approach is unique, the text follows the same set of topics typically covered in a one-semester undergraduate course, including propositional logic and first-order predicate logic, culminating in a proof of Gödel's completeness theorem. A sneak peek to Gödel's incompleteness theorem is also provided. The textbook is accompanied by an extensive collection of programming tasks, code skeletons, and unit tests. Familiarity with proofs and basic proficiency in Python is assumed.

Yannai A. Gonczarowski is Assistant Professor of both Economics and Computer Science at Harvard University, and is the first faculty at Harvard to be appointed to both of these departments. He received his PhD in Mathematics and Computer Science from The Hebrew University of Jerusalem. Among his research awards are the ACM SIGecom Dissertation Award and INFORMS AMD Junior Researcher Paper Prize. He is also a professionally trained opera singer.

Noam Nisan is Professor of Computer Science and Engineering at The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, serving as Dean of the School of Computer Science and Engineering during 2018–2021. He received his PhD in Computer Science from the University of California, Berkeley. Among the awards for his research on computational complexity and algorithmic game theory are the Gödel Prize and Knuth Award. This is his fifth book.

Mathematical Logic through Python

YANNAI A. GONCZAROWSKI

Harvard University

NOAM NISAN

Hebrew University of Jerusalem



CAMBRIDGE
UNIVERSITY PRESS



Shaftesbury Road, Cambridge CB2 8EA, United Kingdom
One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA
477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia
314–321, 3rd Floor, Plot 3, Splendor Forum, Jasola District Centre, New Delhi – 110025, India
103 Penang Road, #05–06/07, Visioncrest Commercial, Singapore 238467

Cambridge University Press is part of Cambridge University Press & Assessment, a department of the University of Cambridge.

We share the University's mission to contribute to society through the pursuit of education, learning and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781108845076

DOI: 10.1017/9781108954464

© Yannai A. Gonczarowski and Noam Nisan 2022

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press & Assessment.

First published 2022

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication data

Names: Gonczarowski, Yannai A., 1981- author. | Nisan, Noam, author.

Title: Mathematical logic through Python / Yannai A. Gonczarowski, Harvard University, Massachusetts, Noam Nisan, Hebrew University of Jerusalem.

Description: Cambridge, United Kingdom ; New York, NY : Cambridge University Press, [2022] | Includes index.

Identifiers: LCCN 2021057959 (print) | LCCN 2021057960 (ebook) | ISBN 9781108845076 (hardback) | ISBN 9781108949477 (paperback) | ISBN 9781108954464 (epub)

Subjects: LCSH: Logic, Symbolic and mathematical. | Python (Computer program language) | BISAC: COMPUTERS / Languages / General

Classification: LCC QA9 .G64 2022 (print) | LCC QA9 (ebook) | DDC 005.13/1—dc23/eng/20220125

LC record available at <https://lcn.loc.gov/2021057959>

LC ebook record available at <https://lcn.loc.gov/2021057960>

ISBN 978-1-108-84507-6 Hardback

ISBN 978-1-108-94947-7 Paperback

Cambridge University Press & Assessment has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

To Eshed, whose syntax and semantics logically evolved while this book did

Y.A.G.

To Michal, logically and illogically

N.N.

Contents

	<i>Preface</i>	<i>page xi</i>
0	Introduction and Overview	1
	0.1 Our Final Destination: Gödel’s Completeness Theorem	2
	0.2 Our Pedagogical Approach	4
	0.3 How We Travel: Programs That Handle Logic	5
	0.4 Our Roadmap	8
Part I Propositional Logic		
1	Propositional Logic Syntax	13
	1.1 Propositional Formulas	13
	1.2 Parsing	18
	1.3 Infinite Sets of Formulas	21
	1.A Optional Reading: Polish Notations	22
2	Propositional Logic Semantics	24
	2.1 Detour: Semantics of Programming Languages	24
	2.2 Models and Truth Values	25
	2.3 Truth Tables	28
	2.4 Tautologies, Contradictions, and Satisfiability	30
	2.5 Synthesis of Formulas	31
	2.A Optional Reading: Conjunctive Normal Form	33
	2.B Optional Reading: Satisfiability and Search Problems	35
3	Logical Operators	41
	3.1 More Operators	41
	3.2 Substitutions	43
	3.3 Complete Sets of Operators	46
	3.4 Proving Incompleteness	49
4	Proof by Deduction	53
	4.1 Inference Rules	53
	4.2 Specializations of an Inference Rule	56
	4.3 Deductive Proofs	59
		vii

viii	Contents	
	4.4 Practice Proving	64
	4.5 The Soundness Theorem	66
5	Working with Proofs	69
	5.1 Using Lemmas	69
	5.2 Modus Ponens	73
	5.3 The Deduction Theorem	76
	5.4 Proofs by Way of Contradiction	79
6	The Tautology Theorem and the Completeness of Propositional Logic	84
	6.1 Our Axiomatic System	84
	6.2 The Tautology Theorem	86
	6.3 The Completeness Theorem for Finite Sets	92
	6.4 The Compactness Theorem and the Completeness Theorem for Infinite Sets	94
	6.A Optional Reading: Adding Additional Operators	97
	6.B Optional Reading: Other Axiomatic Systems	101
Part II Predicate Logic		
7	Predicate Logic Syntax and Semantics	109
	7.1 Syntax	110
	7.2 Semantics	121
8	Getting Rid of Functions and Equality	129
	8.1 Getting Rid of Functions	129
	8.2 Getting Rid of Equality	138
9	Deductive Proofs of Predicate Logic Formulas	143
	9.1 Example of a Proof	144
	9.2 Schemas	145
	9.3 Proofs	160
	9.4 Getting Rid of Tautology Lines	171
10	Working with Predicate Logic Proofs	178
	10.1 Our Axiomatic System	178
	10.2 Syllogisms	184
	10.3 Some Mathematics	195
11	The Deduction Theorem and Prenex Normal Form	211
	11.1 The Deduction Theorem	211
	11.2 Prenex Normal Form	215
12	The Completeness Theorem	231
	12.1 Deriving a Model or a Contradiction for a Closed Set	236
	12.2 Closing a Set	240

	Contents	ix
12.3	The Completeness Theorem	252
12.4	The Compactness Theorem and the “Provability” Version of the Completeness Theorem	253
13	Sneak Peek at Mathematical Logic II: Gödel’s Incompleteness Theorem	256
13.1	Complete and Incomplete Theories	256
13.2	Gödel Numbering	258
13.3	Undecidability of the Halting Problem	260
13.4	The Incompleteness Theorem	262
	Cheatsheet: Axioms and Axiomatic Inference Rules Used in This Book	266
	<i>Index</i>	268

Preface

Mathematical Logic 101 is a beautiful course. Gödel’s Theorems are arguably the most profound and deep truths taught throughout the entire undergrad theoretical curriculum. Nonetheless, it seems that among many computer science and engineering students this course suffers from the reputation of being an unintelligible course full of technical, un-insightful proofs. Students lose themselves in endless inductions and do not fully understand what it means, e.g., to “prove that anything that is true can be proven.” Indeed, how can this not be confusing when the two occurrences of “prove” in that sentence have two distinct meanings – the latter referring to a precise very strict mathematical “proof” object that is defined during this course, while the former refers to the free-text proofs that we have been taught since our first year of undergrad? This book drastically reenvisioned the Mathematical Logic 101 course, conveying the same material but tapping into the strengths of the ever-growing cohort of programming-oriented students to do so.

How does one help programming-oriented students to not lose themselves among endless little details in proofs, failing to see the overarching message of the course? We set out to make this course less abstract, more intuitive, and maybe even exciting, by tapping into the context where such students are used to comfortably dealing with endless little details on the way to a larger goal without ever missing the forest for the trees: computer programming. We redesigned the entirety of this very theoretical course from scratch to be based upon a series of programming exercises, each corresponding to either a theorem/lemma/corollary or a step toward such.

For example, the main result of the first half of a standard Mathematical Logic 101 course is the “Tautology Theorem” (a variant of the Completeness Theorem for propositional logic), which asserts that every tautology – every statement that holds in every possible model or setting – can be proven to hold using a small set of axioms. The corresponding programming exercise in this book is to write a function (based on functions from previous exercises, of course) whose input is a formula (an object of class `Formula`, which the students implement in a previous exercise) and whose output is either a model in which this formula does not hold (i.e., a counterexample to the formula being a tautology) or a proof (an object of class `Proof`, which the students implement in a previous exercise) of this formula. Obviously, whoever can write such a function, including all its recursively implemented helper functions, completely understands the reasoning in the proof of the Tautology Theorem, including all its inductively proven lemmas. (And this holds even more so for students who naturally grasp recursive code far better than they do inductive proofs.) In our experience, students with a background in programming for the most part even understand this proof better having actively coded its functionality themselves than

had they only passively seen the proof being written on the blackboard by a teacher. In the process of moving from proving to programming, we have in fact also disambiguated the two meanings of “prove” in the earlier statement of “prove that whatever is true can be proven”: we transformed the former “prove” into “program in code” and the latter “can be proven” into “is the conclusion of a valid `Proof` object.” This disambiguation by way of defamiliarization of each of these occurrences of “prove” achieves great clarity and furthermore allows the students to more easily reexamine their intuitions and unconscious assumptions about proofs.

This book evolved from a course that we have been teaching at the Hebrew University of Jerusalem since 2017, first as an elective (we limited our class to 50 and then to 100 students as we fine-tuned the course, and there had been a waiting list) and later as an alternative for computer science and engineering students to the mandatory Mathematical Logic 101, to the clear satisfaction of the students, who continuously rank this course highly. In our experience, having the tasks of a single chapter due each week (if the schedule permits, then we try to allow an additional week for Chapter 10), with the tasks of Part I (Chapters 1 through 6) being solved by each student individually and the tasks of Part II (Chapters 7 through 12) being solved in pairs, has consistently proven to work well.

We are grateful to the Hebrew University students who took our course for their valuable questions and comments, and to our earlier students also for the faith they have put in us. We are indebted to our first TA and beta-solver, Alon Ziv, as well as to our subsequent TAs Noam Wies, Asaf Yehudai, Ofer Ravid, and Elazar Cohen, and beta-solvers Omri Cohen and Matan Harsat. A special thanks goes to Chagit Schiff-Blass, at the time a Law and Cognitive Science student, who showed us that our way of teaching Mathematical Logic really does appeal to an even more diverse student population than we had imagined, by first being an excellent beta-solver and then joining our teaching team. We thank Henry Cohn for valuable advice, and thank Aviv Keren and Shimon Schocken for their valuable detailed feedback on portions of earlier drafts of this book. We especially thank David Kashtan for careful and valuable scientific editing of this book on the logic side; any deviations from standard definitions or nomenclature are, of course, our own responsibility. Finally, we thank Kaitlin Leach, Rebecca Grainger, and the entire team at Cambridge University Press for their support and for their flexibility throughout the COVID pandemic. The cover picture by Vasily Kandinsky is titled “Serious-Fun,” and we hope that this will describe your experience as you work through this book. We always appreciate feedback from readers.