

The Disinformation Age

The intentional spread of falsehoods – and attendant attacks on minorities, press freedoms, and the rule of law – challenge the basic norms and values upon which institutional legitimacy and political stability depend. How did we get here? *The Disinformation Age* assembles a remarkable group of historians, political scientists, and communication scholars to examine the historical and political origins of the post-fact information era, focusing on the United States but with lessons for other democracies. Bennett and Livingston frame the book by examining decades-long efforts by political and business interests to undermine authoritative institutions, including parties, elections, public agencies, science, independent journalism, and civil society groups. The other distinguished scholars explore the historical origins and workings of disinformation, along with policy challenges and the role of the legacy press in improving public communication. This title is also available as Open Access on Cambridge Core.

W. Lance Bennett is Professor of Political Science and Ruddick C. Lawrence Professor of Communication at the University of Washington. The focus of his work is how communication affects democratic life. He has held visiting professorships at Harvard, Uppsala, Stockholm, and Free University Berlin. In addition to honorary doctorates from Uppsala and Bern, he has received career achievement awards from the American Political Science Association, the International Communication Association, and the US National Communication Association. His publications include *The Logic of Connective Action: Digital Media and the Personalization of Contentious Politics* (with Alexandra Segerberg, Cambridge University Press, 2013).

Steven Livingston is Professor of Media and Public Affairs and International Affairs with appointments in the School of Media and Public Affairs (SMPA) and the Elliott School of International Affairs (ESIA) at George Washington University. He is also the founding director of the Institute for Data, Democracy, and Politics (IDDP). He has held visiting professorships and fellowships at Harvard University, the University of Cambridge, the Free University in Berlin, Canterbury University in New Zealand, St. Gallen University in Switzerland, and the Brookings Institution.

Sponsored by the Social Science Research Council



The Social Science Research Council (SSRC) is an independent, international, nonprofit organization driven by its mission to mobilize social science for the public good. Founded in 1923, the SSRC fosters innovative research, nurtures new generations of social scientists, deepens how inquiry is practiced within and across disciplines, and amplifies necessary knowledge on important public issues.

The SSRC is guided by the belief that justice, prosperity, and democracy all require better understanding of complex social, cultural, economic, and political processes. We work with practitioners, policymakers, and academic researchers in the social sciences, related professions, and the humanities and natural sciences. We build interdisciplinary and international networks, working with partners around the world to link research to practice and policy, strengthen individual and institutional capacities for learning, and enhance public access to information.

SSRC Anxieties of Democracy

Editors

John A. Ferejohn, *New York University*
Ira Katznelson, *Columbia University*
Deborah J. Yashar, *Princeton University*

With liberal democracies afflicted by doubt and disquiet, this series probes sources of current apprehensions and explores how such regimes might thrive. What array of pressures most stresses democratic ideas and institutions? Which responses might strengthen these regimes and help them flourish? Embedded in the Social Science Research Council's program on "Anxieties of Democracy," the series focuses on how representative institutions – including elections, legislatures, political parties, the press and mass media, interest groups, social movements, and policy organizations – orient participation, learning, and accountability. The volumes in the series further ask how particular policy challenges shape the character of democratic institutions and collective actors, and affect their capacity to address large problems in the public interest. These challenges include, but are not limited to: (1) designing democratic institutions to perform successfully under conditions of social and political polarization; (2) managing and orienting contemporary capitalism and alleviating hierarchies of inequality; (3) addressing questions of membership, including population movements and differentiated citizenship; (4) choosing policies to balance national security and civil liberty; (5) exploring the effects of global climate on citizens and the human impact on the environment; (6) managing the development of media and information technologies to ensure they enhance, rather than degrade, robust pluralism and civil political engagement.

Other Books in the Series

Can America Govern Itself? Frances E. Lee and Nolan McCarty

Social Media and Democracy: The State of the Field, Prospects for Reform Nathaniel Persily and Joshua A. Tucker

Who Gets What? The New Politics of Insecurity Frances Rosenbluth and Margaret Weir

Cambridge University Press
978-1-108-84305-8 — The Disinformation Age
Edited by W. Lance Bennett, Steven Livingston
Frontmatter
[More Information](#)

The Disinformation Age

*Politics, Technology, and Disruptive
Communication in the United States*

Edited by
W. LANCE BENNETT
University of Washington

STEVEN LIVINGSTON
George Washington University



Cambridge University Press
978-1-108-84305-8 — The Disinformation Age
Edited by W. Lance Bennett, Steven Livingston
Frontmatter
[More Information](#)

CAMBRIDGE
UNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom
One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA
477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia
314-321, 3rd Floor, Plot 3, Splendor Forum, Jasola District Centre,
New Delhi – 110025, India
79 Anson Road, #06-04/06, Singapore 079906

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.
It furthers the University’s mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of
education, learning, and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org
Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781108843058
DOI: 10.1017/9781108914628

© Cambridge University Press 2021

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception
and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements,
no reproduction of any part may take place without the written
permission of Cambridge University Press.

An online version of this work is published at doi.org/10.1017/9781108914628 under a
Creative Commons Open Access license CC-BY which permits re-use, distribution and
reproduction in any medium for any purpose providing appropriate credit to the original work
is given, any changes made are indicated. To view a copy of this license, visit
<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>

All versions of this work may contain content reproduced under license from third parties.
Permission to reproduce this third-party content must be obtained from these third-parties
directly.

When citing this work, please include a reference to the DOI 10.1017/9781108914628

First published 2021

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

NAMES: Bennett, W. Lance, editor. | Livingston, Steven (Writer on public affairs), editor.
TITLE: The disinformation age : politics, technology, and disruptive communication in
the United States / edited by W. Lance Bennett, University of Washington, Steven
Livingston, George Washington University.
DESCRIPTION: New York, NY : Cambridge University Press, 2021. | Series: SSRC
anxieties of democracy
IDENTIFIERS: LCCN 2020024234 | ISBN 9781108843058 (hardback) | ISBN
9781108914628 (ebook)
SUBJECTS: LCSH: Communication in politics – United States. | Disinformation – United States.
CLASSIFICATION: LCC JA85.2.U6 D57 2020 | DDC 320.973014–dc23
LC record available at <https://lcn.loc.gov/2020024234>

ISBN 978-1-108-84305-8 Hardback
ISBN 978-1-108-82378-4 Paperback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of
URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication
and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain,
accurate or appropriate.

Contents

<i>List of Contributors</i>	<i>page ix</i>
<i>Preface: The Origins and Importance of Political Disinformation</i>	xv
<i>Acknowledgments</i>	xxvi

PART I: DISINFORMATION IN POLITICAL
AND HISTORICAL CONTEXT

I A Brief History of the Disinformation Age: Information Wars and the Decline of Institutional Authority <i>W. Lance Bennett and Steven Livingston</i>	3
---	---

PART II: THE CURRENT SITUATION

2 A Political Economy of the Origins of Asymmetric Propaganda in American Media <i>Yochai Benkler</i>	43
3 The Flooded Zone: How We Became More Vulnerable to Disinformation in the Digital Era <i>Paul Starr</i>	67

PART III: HISTORICAL ROOTS OF DISINFORMATION

4 How American Businessmen Made Us Believe that Free Enterprise was Indivisible from American Democracy: The National Association of Manufacturers’ Propaganda Campaign 1935–1940 <i>Naomi Oreskes, Erik M. Conway, and Charlie Tyson</i>	95
--	----

viii	<i>Contents</i>	
5	“Since We Are Greatly Outnumbered”: Why and How the Koch Network Uses Disinformation to Thwart Democracy <i>Nancy MacLean</i>	120
PART IV: THE POLICY PROBLEM		
6	How Digital Disinformation Turned Dangerous <i>Dave Karpf</i>	153
7	Policy Lessons from Five Historical Patterns in Information Manipulation <i>Heidi Tworek</i>	169
8	Why It Is So Difficult to Regulate Disinformation Online <i>Ben Epstein</i>	190
PART V: THE ROLE OF PUBLIC BROADCASTING		
9	US Public Broadcasting: A Bulwark against Disinformation? <i>Patricia Aufderheide</i>	213
10	The Public Media Option: Confronting Policy Failure in an Age of Misinformation <i>Victor Pickard</i>	238
CONCLUSION: DEFENDING DEMOCRACY IN THE DISINFORMATION AGE		
11	The Coordinated Attack on Authoritative Institutions: Defending Democracy in the Disinformation Age <i>W. Lance Bennett and Steven Livingston</i>	261

Contributors

Patricia Aufderheide is University Professor of Communication Studies in the School of Communication at American University in Washington, DC. She founded the School's Center for Media and Social Impact, where she continues as Senior Research Fellow. Her books include *Reclaiming Fair Use: How to Put Balance Back in Copyright*, 2d. ed. (University of Chicago Press, 2018), with Peter Jaszi; *Documentary Film: A Very Short Introduction* (Oxford University Press, 2008), *The Daily Planet* (University of Minnesota Press, 2000), and *Communications Policy in the Public Interest* (Guilford Press, 1999). She has been a Fulbright Research Fellow twice: in Brazil (1994–1995) and Australia (2017). She has also been a John Simon Guggenheim fellow and has served as a juror at the Sundance Film Festival among others. Aufderheide has received numerous journalism and scholarly awards.

Yochai Benkler is the Berkman Professor of Entrepreneurial Legal Studies at Harvard Law School and co-Director of the Berkman Klein Center for Internet and Society at Harvard University. He has been a leading scholar on the impact of the Internet on the networked economy and society since the 1990s, with a particular focus on political economy, commons, cooperation, and decentralization. His books include *Network Propaganda: Manipulation, Disinformation, and Radicalization in American Politics* (Oxford University Press, 2018) and *The Wealth of Networks: How Social Production Transforms Markets and Freedom* (Yale University Press, 2006). His work can be freely accessed at benkler.org.

W. Lance Bennett is Professor of Political Science and Ruddick C. Lawrence Professor of Communication at the University of Washington, where he directs the Center for Communication and Civic Engagement (www.engagedcitizen.org). The focus of his work is on how communication processes affect citizen engagement with politics. His publications include *The Logic of Connective Action: Digital Media and the Personalization of Contentious Politics* (Cambridge University Press, 2013), with Alexandra Segerberg. He has held visiting professorships at Harvard University, Uppsala and Stockholm in Sweden, and Free University Berlin, and has an honorary doctorate from Uppsala. He has received career achievement awards from the American Political Science Association, the International Communication Association, and the US National Communication Association. He has also received a Humboldt Research Award. His current interests focus on how to better align thinking about the economy, democracy, and the environment in order to build more equitable and sustainable human systems.

Erik M. Conway is a historian of science and technology living in Altadena, California. He completed a PhD from the University of Minnesota in 1998, with a dissertation on the development of aircraft landing aids. He is currently the historian of the Jet Propulsion Laboratory (www.jpl.nasa.gov), a unit of Caltech. Conway's most recent book is *Exploration and Engineering: The Jet Propulsion Laboratory and the Quest for Mars*, published in 2015 (John Hopkins University Press). He shared a Guggenheim Fellowship with Naomi Oreskes in 2018, and received a 2019–2020 Huntington Fellowship. He has two books in progress, a history of Near-Earth Objects research and policy development, and, with Oreskes, a history of market fundamentalism. Prior to graduate school, Conway served as an officer in the US Navy for four years, serving as a damage control assistant and acting chief engineer, and then as an operations officer for COMPHIBRON ONE in San Diego, CA.

Ben Epstein is Associate Professor in Political Science at DePaul University. His research focuses on political communication, American political culture, and American political development, with particular emphasis on the intersection of the Internet and politics. His first book, *The Only Constant Is Change: Technology, Political Communication, and Innovation Over Time*, was published by Oxford University Press in 2018. This book explores how major changes in political communication occur over time. It identifies and tests the political communication cycle,

List of Contributors

xi

a recurring pattern which incorporates the technological, behavioral, and political factors involved in political communication innovations.

Dave Karpf is Associate Professor in the School of Media and Public Affairs at George Washington University. He teaches and conducts research on strategic political communication in the digital age, with a particular focus on the use of technology within political organizations. He is the award-winning author of *The MoveOn Effect: The Unexpected Transformation of American Political Advocacy* (Oxford University Press, 2012) and *Analytic Activism: Digital Listening and the New Political Strategy* (Oxford University Press, 2016). His work has been published in a wide range of academic journals, and has also appeared in *The Nation*, *Nonprofit Quarterly*, the *American Prospect*, *Esquire*, and *WIRED*.

Steven Livingston is Professor of Media and Public Affairs and International Affairs and the Founding Director of the Institute for Data, Democracy, and Politics (IDDP) at the George Washington University. Between 2016 and 2019 he was a senior fellow at the Carr Center for Human Rights Policy at Harvard University. Livingston has held a number of other visiting appointments at the Brookings Institution, St Gallen University in Switzerland, the Free University in Berlin, and Cambridge University in the UK. He studies the role of technology in politics and policy processes, including human rights monitoring, disinformation campaigns, governance, and the provisioning of public goods. Among other publications, Livingston has written *When the Press Fails: Political Power and the News Media from Iraq to Katrina* (University of Chicago Press, 2007), with W. Lance Bennett and Regina Lawrence; *Bits and Atoms: Information and Communication Technology in Areas of Limited Statehood* (Oxford University Press, 2014), with Gregor Walter-Drop.

Nancy MacLean is the William H. Chafe Professor of History and Public Policy at Duke University, and the award-winning author of several books, including *Behind the Mask of Chivalry: The Making of the Second Ku Klux Klan* (Oxford University Press, 1994); *Freedom is Not Enough: The Opening of the American Workplace* (Harvard University Press, 2006); *The American Women's Movement, 1945–2000: A Brief History with Documents* (Bedford/St Martin's, 2009); and *Debating the American Conservative Movement: 1945 to the Present* (Rowman & Littlefield, 2009), with Donald Critchlow. She also served as coeditor

(with Edward H. Peeples) of *Scalawag: A White Southerner's Journey through Segregation to Human Rights Activism*. Her most recent book, *Democracy in Chains: The Deep History of the Radical Right's Stealth Plan for America* (Viking, 2017), was a finalist for the National Book Award, and the winner of the Los Angeles Times Book Award in Current Affairs, the Lannan Foundation Cultural Freedom Award, and the Lillian Smith Book Award. *The Nation* named it the “Most Valuable Book” of the year.

Naomi Oreskes is Professor of the History of Science and Affiliated Professor of Earth and Planetary Sciences at Harvard University. A world-renowned geologist, historian of science and public speaker, she is a leading public intellectual on the role of science in society, the reality of anthropogenic climate change, and anti-scientific disinformation campaigns. Her books include *Merchants of Doubt* (Bloomsbury, 2010); *The Collapse of Western Civilization* (Columbia University Press, 2014); *Discerning Experts* (University of Chicago Press, 2019); *Why Trust Science?* (Princeton University Press, 2019); and *Science on a Mission: American Oceanography from the Cold War to Climate Change* (University of Chicago Press, forthcoming). Her opinion pieces have been published in newspapers across the globe, including the *New York Times*, the *Washington Post*, *The Times*, *Le Monde*, and *Frankfurter Allgemeine*. In 2018 she was named a Guggenheim Fellow for a new book project with Erik Conway, “The Magic of the Marketplace: The True History of a False Idea.”

Victor Pickard is Professor of Media Policy at the University of Pennsylvania's Annenberg School for Communication where he codirects the Media, Inequality and Change (MIC) Center. His research focuses on the history and political economy of media institutions, media activism, and the politics and normative foundations of media policy. He has published dozens of scholarly articles, book chapters, and policy reports, as well as essays for *The Guardian*, *The Nation*, *Jacobin*, and *The Atlantic*. He has authored or edited six books, including *America's Battle for Media Democracy* (Cambridge University Press, 2014); *Will the Last Reporter Please Turn Out the Lights* (The New Press, 2011), with Robert W. McChesney; *After Net Neutrality* (Yale University Press, 2019), with David Elliot Berman; and *Democracy without Journalism?* (Oxford University Press, 2020).

List of Contributors

xiii

Paul Starr is Professor of Sociology and Public Affairs at Princeton University and Stuart Professor of Communications and Public Affairs at Princeton's School of Public and International Affairs. He is also cofounder and founding coeditor of the *American Prospect* magazine. Among his books are *The Social Transformation of American Medicine* (Basic Books, second edition 2017), which received both the Pulitzer Prize for General Nonfiction and the Bancroft Prize in American History; *The Creation of the Media: Political Origins of Modern Communications* (Basic Books, 2004), which received the Goldsmith Prize; *Freedom's Power: The History and Promise of Liberalism* (Basic Books, 2008); and most recently, *Entrenchment: Wealth, Power, and the Constitution of Democratic Societies* (Yale University Press, 2019). A regular columnist for the *American Prospect*, he also writes for the *New York Review of Books*, *The Atlantic*, and other publications.

Heidi Tworek is Associate Professor at the University of British Columbia. She is a nonresident fellow at the German Marshall Fund of the United States and the Canadian Global Affairs Institute. Tworek's latest book is *News from Germany: The Competition to Control World Communications, 1900–1945* (Harvard University Press, 2019). She has coedited two volumes: *Exorbitant Expectations: International Organizations and the Media in the Nineteenth and Twentieth Centuries* (Routledge, 2018); and *The Routledge Companion to the Makers of Global Business* (Routledge, 2019). She has published or has forthcoming around thirty chapters and articles in periodicals including *American Historical Review*, *Journal of Policy History*, *Business History Review*, and *German History*. She is coeditor of *Journal of Global History* and a term member of the Council on Foreign Relations. She writes regularly in outlets including the *Washington Post*, *The Atlantic*, *Columbia Journalism Review*, *WIRED*, *Süddeutsche Zeitung*, *Der Tagesspiegel*, *ZEIT*, and *Internationale Politik*.

Charlie Tyson is a PhD candidate in English at Harvard University. His academic work has appeared or is forthcoming in *Victorian Literature and Culture*, the *George Eliot Review*, the *British Journal for the History of Science*, and *Poetics Today*. His public writing has appeared in the *Chronicle of Higher Education*, the *Hedgehog Review*, *The Point*, the *Los Angeles Review of Books*, *Slate*, *Inside Higher Ed*, and *The Nation*. He holds a master's degree in history of science from Oxford, where he studied as a Rhodes scholar.

Cambridge University Press
978-1-108-84305-8 — The Disinformation Age
Edited by W. Lance Bennett, Steven Livingston
Frontmatter
[More Information](#)

Preface

The Origins and Importance of Political Disinformation

Democracies around the world face rising levels of disinformation. The intentional spread of falsehoods and related attacks on the rights of minorities, press freedoms, and the rule of law all challenge the basic norms and values on which institutional legitimacy and political stability depend. The many varieties of disinformation include: politicians lying about their policies and political activities; attacks on the scientific evidence surrounding important issues such as climate change; the spread of “deep state,” “globalist” and various bizarre conspiracy theories; and the invention of stories to inflame existing social and political conflicts.

The sources of these claims include elected politicians, movement leaders, social media influencers, foreign governments, and political information sites that often use familiar journalistic formats to package propaganda. Many of these efforts come from the radical-right movements, parties and wealthy libertarian interests that oppose broad and inclusive democratic representation, and the public interest protections of government. *The Disinformation Age* traces the origins, mechanisms, effects, and possible remedies for the spread of these forms of disruptive communication. While this volume focuses on the United States, similar patterns can be found in many other democratic nations.

Consider just one example of how disinformation can disrupt democratic political institutions. Following an historic reign of error and the promotion of thousands of “alternative facts,” Donald Trump ventured into new and uncharted territory by inviting various leaders of foreign

nations to investigate the activities of his domestic political rival, Joe Biden. Most of his concerns were specifically framed in terms of the disinformation circulating in right-wing circles, which challenged official government investigations concluding that Russian operatives had hacked Democratic National Committee email servers; leaked information damaging to presidential candidate Hillary Clinton; and spread “fake news” stories via Facebook and other social media during the 2016 election. Trump’s alternative account of these events was typical of the fluid nature of information unhinged from evidence, reason, and credible sources.

Although years of lies and false claims had become routine in the course of Trump’s “Twitter presidency,” he seemed to cross a constitutional line by pressuring a foreign leader to intervene in US domestic politics. A whistleblower reported a phone call between Trump and Ukrainian President Zelensky during which Trump urged the Ukrainian leader to dig up dirt on Joe Biden and his son Hunter, in exchange for the US military aid needed to fight a Russian-backed insurgency in the country. The whistleblower complaint to the Inspector General of the Intelligence Community described how Trump used the power of his office to “solicit interference from a foreign country in the 2020 election.”¹ Among the favors Trump asked of the Ukrainian president was a demand for him to look into the whereabouts of a “missing” computer server used in the Democratic National Committee (DNC) hack. Trump’s request followed the logic of a conspiracy theory originating, in part, with Russian sources, which claimed that actors in Ukraine had organized the hack.

This wasn’t the first time Trump raised the matter of a “missing” DNC server or implied a Ukrainian link, specifically that the cyber-security firm, CrowdStrike, that investigated the hack was connected to Ukraine. On another occasion, Trump said, “That’s what I heard. I heard it’s owned by a very rich Ukrainian, that’s what I heard.”² In a 2017 interview with the Associated Press, Trump referred to CrowdStrike as a “Ukraine-based” company. None of these claims were true. CrowdStrike is in fact headquartered in Sunnyvale, California, with an office in Arlington, Virginia. It was founded in 2011 by an accountant from New Jersey named George Kurtz and a Russian-born American citizen named Dmitri Alperovitch. What about the missing server that, according to the right-wing conspiracy theorists, was spirited away to Ukraine by CrowdStrike? In actuality, no servers located locally to the DNC were involved in the breach. Even though the facts of the case led to Trump’s impeachment by Democrats in the US House of Representatives and an eventual trial in the Senate, Trump and his supporters continued to rely on the conspiracy theory.

Reporting by Ashley Parker and Philip Rucker in the *Washington Post* covered a presidential Twitterstorm that went on for weeks after the start of the impeachment inquiry (one burst included thirty-three tweets in twenty minutes). Trump told his sixty-five million followers that the proceedings amounted to a coup. He charged the head of the congressional impeachment inquiry with treason. And he retweeted a warning from a prominent religious leader that his impeachment would “cause a civil war-like fracture in this nation.” Stephen Miller, a Trump senior policy adviser, told Fox News’s Chris Wallace that the whistleblower was “a deep state operative, pure and simple.” Rather than a half-baked conspiracy, Trump’s supporters saw a lying press colluding with the “deep state” to produce fake news in support of endless witch-hunts against a beleaguered president fighting to save America.

The story that developed interactively between Trump and his supporters did not spring from thin air. It was spread in timely fashion by a distributed propaganda network backed by wealthy political interests and amplified by various political organizations and related media platforms. According to Jane Mayer writing in the *New Yorker*, the Ukraine conspiracy got its start with a Florida-based organization called the Government Accountability Institute (GAI), which bills itself as “America’s Premier Investigative Unit Exposing Cronyism and Corruption.” GAI was founded in 2012 by Stephen Bannon, the same erstwhile Trump ally who once headed Breitbart News and cofounded the ill-fated Cambridge Analytica, which compromised the accounts of more than fifty million Facebook users in spreading stealthy propaganda for Trump in the 2016 elections, and in support of the “Leave” campaign in the UK Brexit referendum earlier that year. GAI had been given millions of tax-exempt dollars by Robert Mercer’s family foundation. The Mercers also supported Breitbart, and Robert Mercer cofounded Cambridge Analytica with Steve Bannon. Rebekah Mercer, Robert’s daughter, is the GAI’s board chair. The Mercers also donated generously to the Trump campaign. GAI president Peter Schweizer, also an editor-at-large at Breitbart News, was well-known for his conspiracy writing about Hillary Clinton. His later book about Biden and his son laid out the basic outlines of Trump’s Ukraine conspiracy theory, and earned Schweizer an appearance on *Hannity* and other Fox News programs to publicize the conspiracy.

Mission accomplished: the damaging evidence-based account that Trump was trading foreign aid for political favors was thus neatly

repaired by the alternative story that he was, in fact, making sure that countries with which the USA does business were not corrupt. According to the disinformation account, Trump and his team were investigating the real corruption of the past government and Joe Biden. The core audience for this alternative version were Trump supporters who follow him on Twitter, Fox News, Rush Limbaugh's radio talk show, and many other media outlets involved in amplifying the story.

How is such strategic disinformation produced and spread, and with what effects? These are core questions around which a new field of communication research is emerging: the study of disinformation and networked propaganda. This field has room for both qualitative research (e.g., who funds the disinformation sites, political organizations and think tanks?) and quantitative work (e.g., how do large volumes of dubious content flow through various information and communication networks?). This emerging area of study, as illustrated by the range of work in this book, also looks at challenges to the traditional press and the practice of journalism, as well as the erosion of democratic legitimacy and liberal values. These threats raise important questions about how to protect democratic institutions and values, and how to regulate disruptive information and the political organizations and media companies implicated in its spread.

HOW DID WE GET HERE?

There are many explanations for how we arrived at our current "post-truth" era. Some point to social media's propensity to algorithmically push extremist content and to draw likeminded persons together with accounts unburdened by facts. Others emphasize the role of the Russians, Iranians, North Koreans, or Chinese in efforts to disrupt elections and exaggerate domestic divisions. Other standard accounts point to voter ignorance, racial resentments or religious intolerance. Adherents to these explanations advocate better media literacy and citizenship education, and more fact-checking in journalistic accounts. While there is merit to these and other accounts, they fail to address the full scope of the problem.

In varying ways, several of the contributors to this volume focus on the erosion of liberal democratic institutions, particularly parties, elections, the press, and science. These institutions produce information anchored in norm-based processes for introducing facts into public discourse, including peer-review in science, rules of evidence in courts, professional practices and norms of fairness and facticity in journalism. At the end of

the day, Trump's unhinged conspiracies reflected not just his personal psychological condition, but also a broader institutional crisis that brings with it an epistemological crisis. In the absence of authoritative institutions, Trump and his enablers were unanchored by facts. Instead, they had "alternative facts."

WHY THE INSTITUTIONAL CRISIS?

Some erosion of trust in institutions stems from historic incidents of government deceit, such as years of lying about the Vietnam War, followed four decades later by the lies supporting the disastrous invasion of Iraq in 2003. As the messenger for government communications, journalism also suffered because of its uncritical coverage of the pretext of the war. The business press also could have added more critical reporting to its boosterish coverage of Wall Street prior to the financial collapse of 2008. Meanwhile, business has also contributed to the spread of disinformation by promoting harmful products that have put public safety and health at risk, with particularly egregious examples including the denial of scientific evidence about the risks of cigarette smoking, pesticides, and other chemicals, as well as climate change.

While this legacy of deceptive communication may have weakened public trust in traditional, authoritative information sources (e.g., government and science), the recent era has witnessed more systematic efforts by political organizations and media companies to ramp up public anger and mistrust. Further complicating these problems is the proliferation of communication technologies that enable citizens to produce and spread content, as well as to consume it, from a greater range of questionable sources than ever before. This book explores the rise of the current disinformation order and the role of democratic institutions, political organizations, and information and communication technologies in that story. While this is largely a story about the United States, the political and communication processes involved also apply in different ways to other democracies. We hope that our frameworks will be of use to scholars in other countries.

ABOUT THIS BOOK

The authors gathered here are distinguished representatives of the interdisciplinary perspectives of history, political science, sociology, law, and communication – fields that are all helpful to understanding the origins and importance of the problem. While some observers approach

disinformation as something that has emerged seemingly from nowhere, the chapters in this book trace various origins, such as: the history of business deception in promoting corporate interests over the public interest, government lying to promote dubious policies, and the rise of political influence networks that limit the capacities of government to represent the public interest. These historical factors have contributed both to the erosion of trust in public institutions, and to a related decline in confidence in the news media that have traditionally connected public authorities and citizens. As authoritative information is increasingly challenged, new digital platforms and social media networks supply the demand for alternative political truths that are actively consumed by disaffected citizens. The growing volume of disinformation fuels political movements and parties largely on the radical right, resulting in attacks on the press, the fostering of hate, efforts to exclude various minority groups, and the rise of ethnic nationalism in many nations. The book traces the origins of this decline of institutional authority, the state of current disinformation systems, the historical origins of systemic disinformation, the importance of independent public media, and possible regulatory and political remedies for these problems.

In Chapter 1, Lance Bennett and Steven Livingston define the nature of disinformation, and outline the challenges to healthy democratic discourse. Disinformation is often explained in terms of individual-level psychological processes, including the tendency to seek information that is supportive of existing beliefs or to be more skeptical of information that runs contrary to existing beliefs. These might be thought of as demand-side explanations. With its endless supply of unfiltered and often unhinged claims, social media is said to exacerbate these mental proclivities. With the problem understood in this way, obvious solutions involve media-literacy programs, fact-checking, and some form of content regulation.

While not dismissing the significance of cognitive processes, Bennett and Livingston step back to consider the broader political and economic attacks on public institutions that have traditionally produced authoritative information in democracies. This account focuses on the rise of political influence networks anchored in think tanks, lobbying campaigns, tax-supported “charitable” political organizations, and electoral campaign finance laws. These efforts to undermine the representative capacity of parties, governments and state institutions have also undermined the credibility of many elected officials, along with the legacy press which carries their messages. The result has been a political backlash against previously authoritative institutions by those on both left and right. The

right especially has organized around ethnic nationalism, anti-immigration, and other divisive political issues. These political ruptures are magnified and supported by the large disinformation networks that have grown with the help of wealthy business interests and the elected officials that they support. Understood through a political and economic lens, solutions are found in reforms designed to strengthen authoritative institutions.

The following section of the book covers the current political communication situation, beginning with Chapter 2 by Yochai Benkler that describes the results of a large-scale study of the political media ecosystem during the 2016 US presidential campaign and the first year of the Trump presidency. The major finding is that the American political media ecosystem is asymmetrically polarized, with an insular, well-defined right wing, and the rest of the media, from the center-right to the far left, forming a single media ecosystem anchored by traditional media organizations like the *New York Times* or the *Washington Post*. The analysis shows that the American radical right is more active in producing and sharing disinformation than the left. The chapter then offers an analysis of why political economy, rather than technology, was the source of this asymmetry. Benkler outlines the interactions between political culture, law and regulation, and communications technology, which underwrote the emergence of the propaganda feedback loop in the right wing of the American media ecosystem.

Chapter 3 by Paul Starr describes how we became so vulnerable to disinformation in this digital era. He argues that, like recent analyses of democratization, which have turned to the reverse processes of democratic backsliding and breakdown, analyses of contemporary communication need to attend to the related processes of backsliding and breakdown in the media – or what he refers to as “media degradation.” After defining that term in relation to democratic theory, Starr focuses on three developments that have contributed to increased vulnerability to disinformation: 1) the attrition of journalistic capacities; 2) the degradation of standards in both the viral and broadcast streams of the new media ecology; and 3) the rising power of digital platforms with incentives to prioritize growth and profits and no legal accountability for user-generated content. Policies of limited government and reduced regulation of business, along with partisan politics, have contributed to these developments.

The next section of the book examines key historical roots of the problem. Chapter 4 by Naomi Oreskes, Erik Conway, and Charlie

Tyson asks a deceptively simple question: how did so many Americans come to believe that economic and political freedoms are indivisible from one another? One part of the answer involves organized campaigns by trade associations to sell these principles to the American people. This chapter examines one such campaign: the National Association of Manufacturers' propaganda effort of 1935–1940. A central part of this campaign was the radio show *The American Family Robinson*. This folksy drama of small-town American life didactically warned of “foreign” socialist theories and reassured listeners of the beneficence of business leaders. The program offers a case study in corporate propaganda. In its bid to convince listeners that the American way of life depends on the free market – and that any move toward social democracy presents a threat – the show dramatizes argumentative and rhetorical procedures that continue to shape American political culture.

Chapter 5 by Nancy MacLean examines an important source of the strategic disinformation now rife in American public life: the Koch network of extreme right donors, allied organizations, and academic grantees. She argues that these architects of the radical transformation of our institutions and legal system have adopted the tactic of disinformation in the knowledge that the hard-core libertarian agenda was extremely unpopular, and therefore required stealth to succeed. The chapter tells the story of how Charles Koch and his inner circle, having determined in the 1970s that changes significant enough to enable a “constitutional revolution” (in the words of the political economist James McGill Buchanan) would be needed to protect capitalism from democracy, then went about experimenting to make this a reality. In the 1980s, they first incubated ideas for misleading the public to move their agenda forward, as shown by the strategy for Social Security privatization that Buchanan recommended to Koch's Cato Institute, and by the operations of Citizens for a Sound Economy, the network's first astroturf – or fake grassroots – organizing effort. Subsequent practices of active disinformation by this network, for a project that could not succeed by persuasion and organizing alone, become more comprehensible when understood as driven by a mix of messianic dogma and self-interest. Later cases include tobacco “scholarship” for hire by Buchanan's colleagues at George Mason University to deter the public health campaign against smoking; climate science denial to stop action on global warming; promotion of the myth of mass voter fraud to leverage racism to restrict the electorate; assurances of the benefits of an Article V Constitutional Convention, restricted to a few pre-announced changes; and the use of concocted memes of violent mobs

requiring restraint, in order to win passage of new legislation to criminalize protest, particularly against the fossil fuel industry.

The next section bridges the historical roots of the problem with the challenges of making contemporary policy to regulate these abuses of transparent communication. Chapter 6 by Dave Karpf explores how online conspiracy theories, disinformation, and propaganda have changed over the twenty-five-year history of the World Wide Web. Drawing a historical comparison between digital disinformation in the 1996 presidential election and the 2016 presidential election, the chapter explores how the mechanisms of online diffusion, the political economy of journalism and propaganda, and the slow, steady erosion of load-bearing norms among political elites have combined to create a much more dangerous context today than in decades past. The chapter concludes with a discussion of how technology platforms, political elites, and journalistic organizations might respond to the current state of online disinformation.

Chapter 7 by Heidi Tworek explores five historical patterns in information manipulation and suggests how these patterns can guide contemporary policy-making about the Internet. The historical resonances remind us to pay attention to physical infrastructure, understand disinformation as an international relations problem, examine business structures more than individual content, consider long-term consequences of regulation, and tackle broader economic and social issues beyond media. The framework of five patterns emerged from Tworek's testimony before the International Grand Committee on Big Data, Privacy, and Democracy in 2019.

Ben Epstein (Chapter 8) concludes the policy section by explaining that although the dangers of disinformation campaigns are real and growing quickly, effective interventions have remained elusive. Why is it so difficult to regulate online disinformation? This exploration builds on the chapter by Heidi Tworek and analyzes three major challenges to effective regulation: 1) defining the problem clearly so that regulators can address it, 2) deciding who should be in charge of creating and enforcing regulations, and 3) understanding what effective regulation might actually look like. After analyzing these challenges, Epstein suggests four standards for effective regulation of disinformation. First, regulation should target the negative effects, while consciously minimizing any additional harm caused by the regulation itself. Second, regulation should be proportional to the harm caused. Third, effective regulation must be able to adapt to changes in technology and disinformation strategies. And fourth, regulators should be as independent as possible from political and corporate influence.

The following section examines the possible role of, and challenges to, public broadcasting in restoring trusted public information. In Chapter 9, Patricia Aufderheide asks: Can US public broadcasting provide a unique bulwark against disinformation? At a time when commercial journalism's business model has eroded and disinformation abounds, there are ample reasons to turn to the public broadcasting service model. The service was founded with Progressive-era rhetoric about an informed public, and has withstood relentless attacks from neoconservatives, although not without casualties. Public broadcasting has two of the most trusted media brands in the USA, National Public Radio (NPR) and Public Broadcasting System (PBS). Aufderheide shows how the structure of public broadcasting both limits its ability to serve as a counter to disinformation, and also in some ways protects it against attacks.

In Chapter 10, Victor Pickard makes the case for why a new public media system is necessary to confront the "systemic market failure" plaguing American journalism. While underscoring normative foundations, this chapter tries to address the "how did we get here?" and "what is to be done?" questions. After contextualizing problems with disinformation and the contemporary journalism crisis, the chapter explores various criteria for what this new public media should entail, and concludes with a discussion about the necessary policies for actualizing structural alternatives to the overly commercialized American media system. This analysis addresses similar recent developments with other public systems around the world, including the BBC.

The concluding chapter by Steven Livingston and Lance Bennett (Chapter 11) reviews the historical attacks on authoritative public institutions, and raises the question of why many of the political organizations responsible for eroding trusted information sources should continue to be granted tax-protected status as charitable organizations. This seemingly bizarre reality shows how far public institutions in the United States have become bent to the service of private interests that aid the spread of disinformation. This conclusion invites readers to think about why there is so little attention devoted to the protection of democracy and the quality of citizen information upon which it depends.

NOTES

1. Michael D. Shear and Nicholas Fandos, "Impeachment Report Says Trump Solicited Foreign Election Interference," *New York Times*, December 13,

Cambridge University Press
978-1-108-84305-8 — The Disinformation Age
Edited by W. Lance Bennett, Steven Livingston
Frontmatter
[More Information](#)

Preface

xxv

- 2019, www.nytimes.com/2019/12/03/us/politics/impeachment-trump-intelligence-committee.html.
2. Dan Primack, “Trump gets facts wrong on DNC cybersecurity company,” *Axios*, April 24, 2017, www.axios.com/trump-gets-facts-wrong-on-dnc-cybersecurity-company-1513301806-82976411-9d64-4898-ae9b-0a68b9a350bb.html.

Acknowledgments

This volume originated in two workshops sponsored by the Media and Democracy Program of the Social Science Research Council. The working title for those gatherings was “Media, Technology, and Democracy in Historical Context.” The first meeting of contributors was held in December 2018 at George Washington University in Washington, DC. A second meeting was held in October 2019 at the SSRC in New York. In the planning for the workshops we were advised and supported by the SSRC’s Kris-Stella Trump (now a professor at the University of Memphis) and Michael Miller, program director for the Just Tech and the Media & Democracy programs at the SSRC. Michael provided insightful advice throughout the long process of turning the rich exchange of ideas shared during the workshops into this volume. We also wish to thank Jason Rhody, director of the Digital Culture program, Social Data Initiative, MediaWell, and co-director of the Media & Democracy program at the SSRC, for his support of the project.

It has been a joy to work with our creative and patient contributors. Though our workshop debates were sometimes lively – as good intellectual exchanges ought to be – we all shared common cause in seeking to understand the current challenges to democracies. We also appreciate the dedication and patience each contributor brought to multiple rounds of edits and refinements of arguments. Jane Mayer of the *New Yorker* joined our meeting in Washington, DC and offered her valuable perspectives in a separate panel discussion that also included Naomi Oreskes, Yochai Benkler, and Paul Starr. The discussion was masterfully led by SMPA’s Frank Sesno.

Acknowledgments

xxvii

At Cambridge University Press we wish to thank Sara Doskow for her guidance and enthusiasm about the project. Two anonymous reviewers offered useful criticisms that helped us sharpen and refine our arguments. Erin Sedita at the Institute for Data, Democracy and Politics at GWU offered invaluable assistance in getting the manuscript in shape for publication.

Finally, we acknowledge the many colleagues around the world who have inspired our work. It is gratifying to see the emergence of a community of scholars dedicated to understanding the relationships among legacy media, social media platforms, publics and political institutions in strengthening democracies.

Cambridge University Press
978-1-108-84305-8 — The Disinformation Age
Edited by W. Lance Bennett, Steven Livingston
Frontmatter
[More Information](#)
