

Index

- anger, 12, 14, 18–24, 49–50, 65, 69, 73–74, 76–77, 80–81, 94–97, 106, 108, 121, 141, 178–179, 207
- argument from empathy, 6, 129, 193, 205, 210
- blame, 1–7, 11–57, 62–76, 84, 108–114, 122, 127–129, 132–137, 139–148, 150, 152, 158, 164, 178–180, 183, 186, 188, 191–194, 200–206, 209–210
- aims of, 14, 16–19, 28, 31–35, 57, 93, 97
- cognitive accounts, 13, 20–24, 28–29, 31, 52
- conative accounts, 13, 21, 23–26, 31–35
- curmudgeons, 2, 11, 13, 32, 34, 39, 58, 84–88, 92, 101, 109
- functional accounts, 13, 18–22, 28, 31, 36, 49
- quality of will accounts, 13, 16, 22, 28, 34, 45–46, 53, 58, 61–63, 70, 72, 85–86, 110, 145, 176
- Brady, Michael, 115–120
- Caruso, Gregg, 2, 5, 11, 127, 153, 162, 166–181, 188–189, 192, 194, 196–200, 203, 206
- causal-historical accounts of reference, 5, 153, 156–157, 159, 164–177, 179, 181, 184–186, 189–190, 206 see also “context-driven accounts”
- circularity, 23, 43, 55–57, 64, 90, 94
- Coates, D. J., 21, 23, 28, 32
- cognitivism, 59–60, 70, 74–75, 77–85
- Cokely, Edward, 131, 181, (see also Feltz)
- D’Arms, Justin, 49, 74, 77, (see also Jacobsen)
- Deery, Oisín, 155, 170, 172
- depth (of blame), 59, 68
- descriptive accounts of reference, 2, 5, 11, 15, 30, 34, 130–134, 137, 142–143, 147, 151, 153, 155–161, 164–166, 169–172, 175–176, 179–182, 184–185, 188–190 see also “theory-driven accounts”
- desert, 2–3, 37–38, 42–55, 85, 91, 98, 101, 122, 144–148, 168, 202–203
- basic desert, 3, 36–37, 40–53, 64, 84–90, 100–103, 109–115, 122–123, 127–128, 132, 140–149, 152, 178, 188–189, 192, 197, 200–205, 210
- discretionary view, 5, 153, 161–167, 170, 176, 179–192, (see also Nichols)
- Doris, John, 111, 181
- eliminativism, 2–7, 11, 41–42, 54, 123, 132–133, 136–137, 155–176, 179–191, 192–195, 197, 200–206, 209–210
- emotions, 12, 14–15, 20, 28, 31, 49, 58, 62, 64–77, 83, 92–97, 113–116, 119–123, 205
- basic emotions, 97
- costly signals, 97, 101
- perceptual models, 113–116, 122
- expectations, 15, 17, 25, 27–28, 34, 47, 59–70, 77, 79–91, 96–97, 99–100, 145, 150
- failure to blame, 98, 100, 209
- Feinberg, Joel, 44–45
- Feltz, Adam, 131, 181, (see also Cokely)
- fittingness, 3, 43, 50–51, 53, 64, 73, 85, 90–91, 101–102, 110, 113–114, 123, 143–148
- Franklin, Christopher, 2–3, 28, 32–33, 36, 59, 93–98, 206, 209
- free will, 1–7, 11, 37, 42, 46, 60, 127–131, 146–148, 150–151, 157, 161–190, 192–202, 204–205, 209–210
- guilt, 14, 64–67, 69, 76, 94, 97, 111, 118, 121–122, 146, 177, 202, 207
- Haidt, Jonathan, 108
- Haji, Ishtiyaque, 2, 11, 22, 130, 133–139
- hard incompatibilism, 129, 140, 142, 144, 148, 201–202

- harming the innocent, 6, 193, 200–205
 Hieronymi, Pamela, 28–35, 49, 52, 54, 59–60, 62, 206, 209
- impossibilism, 129, 149, 155, 162, 165, 180
 impossible worlds, 159–162
 initial baptism, 5, 153, 155, 164, 166–180
 inverted commas defense, 76, 78
 van Inwagen, Peter, 128
- Jacobsen, Daniel, 49, 74, 77
 just-world beliefs, 193–200
- Kane, Robert, 159, 166
 kind terms, 131, 153–155, 163–164, 182, 186
 Knobe, Joshua, 110–111, 181, 198
 Kohlberg, Laurence, 108
 Kripke, Saul, 154, 161
- Lemos, John, 200–204
 Levy, Neil, 2, 11, 130
 Lewis, David, 103, 161
- McGeer, Victoria, 17–20, 32, 34–35, 59, 93, 95–99, 101, 179
 McKenna, Michael, 37, 42–44, 46–50, 71, 131, 134, 168, 177
 Miller, Chanel, 208–210
 Moore, G. E., 120, 138–139
 moral communities, 92–95, 98, 100, 123
 moral norms, 93, 96–100, 123
 moral responsibility, 1–7, 11, 41–42, 46–49, 53–54, 59–60, 65, 67, 70, 85, 101, 110–111, 123, 127–141, 142–144, 155–159, 162–163, 167–168, 178–179, 181, 187–192, 205, 210
 motivational challenge (for eliminativism), 5, 129, 140–142, 144–147, 156, 159, 162, 170, 175, 180, 188, 190
- Nadelhoffer, Thomas, 129, 193–199
 Nahmias, Eddy, 110
 necessity claims (about free will and moral responsibility), 143–150, 152, 162, 180, 187, 209
 Nelkin, Dana, 54
 Nichols, Shaun, 5, 108, 110, 131, 153, 161–167, 169–174, 179–185, 188–190, 192–193, 198, 206
 noncognitivism, 60, 72–76, 79–80, 82–85
- Parfit, Derek, 52
 parity of reasons, 3, 92, 101–102, 107–114, 123
 companions in guilt, 102, 109–114
 companions in innocence, 102, 109–114
- Paulhus, Delroy, 194, 196
 Pereboom, Derk, 2, 11, 41–47, 50, 127, 129–130, 140–149, 154, 181, 190, 200–205, 209
 practical interests, 164–165
 all-things-considered practical interests, 5, 181–187
 preservationism, 5–6, 129, 140, 151, 153, 165, 170, 173–176, 180, 183, 186, 188, 190, 192–193, 197, 200, 206
 the problem of blame, 2–3, 11, 13, 21, 28–36, 38, 76, 85, 91, 101, 114, 122, 145, 148, 191, 193, 200, 203
 projective imagination, 83–84
 propositional content, 3, 14, 53, 59, 63–65, 74–85, 102, 110, 115
 punishment, 2, 12–13, 35–36, 46, 49, 52, 140–143, 194, 196–197, 200–205, 209
 deterrence, 35–36, 202
 public health model, 196, 203
 quarantine model, 196, 200–204
 retributivism, 35–36, 141, 196–197, 201, 203
 Putnam, Hilary, 154, 172
- Rabinowicz, Wlodek, 49, 52, (see also Rønnow-Rasmussen)
- reactivity, 21, 28–29, 31–33, 39, 97
 canonical reactivity, 15, 17–18, 20–21, 28, 57, 84
 functional reactivity, 14–18, 20–21, 28, 33, 84
 reactive essentialism, 28, 32, 84
 reasons, 4, 12, 25, 30–31, 35–38, 47–51, 64, 68, 70–71, 78, 90, 93–94, 102, 109, 112–113, 115–122, 137, 139, 165, 168–171, 193
 attitude-focused reasons, 30, 52
 object-focused reasons, 30, 50–55
 right kind of reasons (to blame), 3, 50–57, 63, 69, 84, 110, 119, 127, 145
 wrong kind of reasons problem, 47, 49–50
 recalcitrance, 74, 76–83
 relationships (interpersonal), 23, 25, 28, 59, 61–62, 64, 70, 84–91, 94, 98, 110, 145, 150, 176, 179, 205–206, 209 see also “non-extraneous expectations”
 revisionism, 42, 132–133, 140, 143, 146, 165, 174
 right-wing authoritarianism, 193–200
 Rønnow-Rasmussen, Toni, 49, 52, (see also Rabinowicz)
- Scanlon, T. M., 12, 15, 23–29, 33–34, 68, 89–90, 148
 Sher, George, 15, 23–24, 28, 90
 Shoemaker, David, 3, 29, 70–84, 86

Index

223

- skeptical spotlight, 4, 129, 132, 134, 137–147, 149, 189
- skepticism, 4–5, 12, 34, 38, 41, 88, 112–113, 127, 129–138, 140, 144, 151, 153, 160–161, 192, 195, 200, 203–204
- Smart, J. J. C., 22
- Smilansky, Saul, 200–205
- Smith, Angela, 16–20, 24, 32, 34, 206
- Strawson, Galen, 2, 11, 46, 123, 127, 129–130, 148, 156, 159, 162, 165, 180
- Strawson, P. F., 3, 7, 14, 17, 21, 28, 42, 58–66, 69–74, 85–89, 151, 205
- substantive desert thesis (McKenna), 37, 43, 46–50, 54
- success theories (of moral responsibility), 35, 123, 127, 142, 180
- Tappolet, Christine, 114
- Tognazzini, Neal, 21, 23, 28, 32
- tripartite account of moral responsibility, 70, 72–73, (see also Shoemaker)
- Turiel, Elliot, 99
- unthinking action, 74, 81–82
- Vargas, Manuel, 35, 42, 131–135, 139–140, 165, 174, 181, 193
- Walker, Margaret Urban, 59, 93, 98, 206
- Wallace, R. J., 3, 15–16, 28, 59–60, 64–71, 76, 87–88
- Waller, Bruce, 2, 11, 127, 194, 196–200, 206
- Watson, Gary, 22, 71–72, 78
- Yap, Audrey, 200