

THE PROBLEM OF BLAME

This book makes a case for the permissibility of reactive blame – the angry, harmful variety. Blame is a thorny philosophical problem, as it is notoriously difficult to specify the conditions under which an agent *is* deserving of blame, is deserving of blame in the basic sense, and furthermore why this is so. Kelly McCormick argues that sharpening the focus to reactive, angry blame can both show us how best to characterize the problem itself, and suggest a possible solution to it, because even reactive blame is both valuable and deserved in the basic sense. Finally, she shows how, despite the many facets of the dark side of blame, adopting an explicitly victim-centered approach highlights a powerful argument from empathy for retaining reactive blame and its attendant attitudes and practices.

KELLY MCCORMICK is Associate Professor of Philosophy at Texas Christian University. She has published a number of articles on blame, desert, and moral responsibility in journals including *Philosophical Studies*, *The Journal of Value Inquiry*, and *The Journal of Ethics & Social Philosophy*.

THE PROBLEM OF BLAME

Making Sense of Moral Anger

KELLY MCCORMICK

Texas Christian University



CAMBRIDGE
UNIVERSITY PRESS

Cambridge University Press & Assessment
978-1-108-84225-9 — The Problem of Blame
Kelly McCormick
Frontmatter
[More Information](#)

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom
One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA
477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia
314–321, 3rd Floor, Plot 3, Splendor Forum, Jasola District Centre, New Delhi – 110025, India
103 Penang Road, #05–06/07, Visioncrest Commercial, Singapore 238467

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning, and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781108842259

DOI: 10.1017/9781108907071

© Kelly McCormick 2022

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2022

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

NAMES: McCormick, Kelly (Kelly Anne), author.

TITLE: The problem of blame : making sense of moral anger / Kelly McCormick, Texas Christian University.

DESCRIPTION: Cambridge, United Kingdom ; New York, NY, USA : Cambridge University Press, 2022. | Includes bibliographical references and index.

IDENTIFIERS: LCCN 2021060109 (print) | LCCN 2021060110 (ebook) | ISBN 9781108842259 (hardback) | ISBN 9781108827416 (paperback) | ISBN 9781108907071 (epub)

SUBJECTS: LCSH: Faultfinding. | Blame. | Justice. | BISAC: PHILOSOPHY / Ethics & Moral Philosophy

CLASSIFICATION: LCC BJ1535.F3 M33 2022 (print) | LCC BJ1535.F3 (ebook) | DDC 158.2—dc23/eng/20220301

LC record available at <https://lcn.loc.gov/2021060109>

LC ebook record available at <https://lcn.loc.gov/2021060110>

ISBN 978-1-108-84225-9 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

For Susan & Anne

Contents

<i>Acknowledgments</i>	<i>page</i> ix
Introduction	I
PART I THE PERMISSIBILITY OF BLAME	
1 The Problem of Blame	II
1.1 Reactive Blame	13
1.2 The Varieties of Nonreactive Blame	21
1.3 Quality of Will and Functional Accounts	28
1.4 The Problem of Blame	34
1.5 Conclusion	38
2 The Structure of Basic Desert	40
2.1 Basic versus Nonbasic Desert	44
2.2 Substantive Desert Theses and the Wrong Kind of Reasons	47
2.3 Virtues of the Fittingness Account	51
2.4 Circularity	55
2.5 Conclusion	57
3 Blame and the Reactive Attitudes	58
3.1 Strawsonian Blame	60
3.2 Narrowing the Class of Reactive Attitudes	64
3.3 The Case for Cognitivism	70
3.4 The Right Kind of Reasons to Blame	84
3.5 Conclusion	91
4 Solving the Problem of Blame	92
4.1 The Aims and Value of Blame	93
4.2 The Parity of Reasons Argument	101
4.3 Perceptual Models and the Evidentiary Status of the Negative Reactive Attitudes	114
4.4 Conclusion	122

PART II PRESCRIPTIVE PRESERVATIONISM AND
 ELIMINATIVISM

5	The Methodological Burdens for Eliminativism	127
5.1	Terminological Stage Setting	130
5.2	Eliminativism and the Skeptical Spotlight	132
5.3	The Motivational Challenge	140
5.4	Necessity Arguments	149
5.5	Conclusion	151
6	Free Will, Responsibility, and Reference	152
6.1	Early Reference-Based Arguments against Elimination	154
6.2	An Elimination-Friendly Approach to Reference	162
6.3	Against a Discretionary Case for Eliminativism	171
6.4	A Discretionary Case for Preservationism	180
6.5	The Prospects for Eliminativism	188
6.6	Conclusion	191
7	Facing the Dark Side	192
7.1	Belief in Free Will, Just-World Beliefs, and Right-Wing Authoritarianism	194
7.2	Harming the Innocent?	200
7.3	The Dark Side of Eliminativism and an Argument from Empathy	205
	<i>References</i>	211
	<i>Index</i>	221

Acknowledgments

Many people have provided helpful discussion, comments, and other invaluable support on this project. To begin at the beginning, I want to thank Mark Heller for first introducing (subjecting?) me to the free will debate as a student. Mark will be amused to know that I finally understand his advice, “Never ask someone writing a book how their book is going!” I get it now. It was good advice. So, thanks Mark.

I am grateful to many philosophers and colleagues who have provided extensive conversations and feedback on many of the ideas developed in this book. Foremost among them are Manuel Vargas, Derk Pereboom, and Michael McKenna. I am deeply indebted to all of them for their support and encouragement at various stages of this project, and for their incredibly helpful feedback on earlier drafts of many portions of this book. Their own work has been a great inspiration to me, and I am grateful to have had the chance to discuss it with them on so many occasions. I am especially grateful to Manuel for responding to that very first email years ago from a confused graduate student wanting to write about revisionism. Many would not have taken the time, I am sure. Your incredibly helpful feedback, respect, and kindness have always been invaluable at every stage of my philosophical development.

Earlier versions of many of the arguments in this book were presented at various conferences and workshops and benefited immensely from comments from the audience and other participants. Earlier versions of the arguments concerning the methodological burdens for eliminativism in Chapter 5 were first presented at the Moral Responsibility: The Next Generation conference organized by Michael McKenna and hosted by the Arizona Center for Freedom in December 2017. I am extremely grateful for many helpful comments, criticisms, and suggestions that I received at this workshop, and for wonderful and insightful discussions with all of the participants: Santiago Amaya, Macalester Bell, Justin Capes, Christopher Evan Franklin, Meghan Griffiths, Robert Kane, Elinor

Mason, Kristin Mickelson, Dana Nelkin, Mirja Pérez Calleja, Michael Robinson, David Shoemaker, and Chandra Sripada. I also received helpful comments on these arguments from participants at the Early Career Metaphysics Workshop at the College of William & Mary in April 2018. Thanks here are owed to Ricki Bliss, Sam Cowling, Robin Dembroff, Aaron Griffith, Kevin Richardson, Noël Saenz, and Alex Skiles. Thanks also to the participants at the San Francisco Free Will Conference on Reference, Conceptual Change, and Free Will organized by Manuel Vargas in 2013, especially Gunnar Björnsson, Joe Campbell, and Chris Weigel. Finally, thanks to Justin Coates and Neal Tognazzini for feedback on an earlier version of this manuscript, and especially to Neal for his incredibly helpful and detailed comments on the completed manuscript. This book is much better thanks to them, and Neal I owe you a beer for those twenty-seven misuses of a certain word.

Work in several chapters was inspired by or improved upon at various sessions of the Pacific Division Meeting of the American Philosophical Association (APA). Commenting on Tammler Sommers' "Philosophical Busybodies" at the meeting of the Society for Philosophy of Agency Group Session in April 2016 helped to inspire a shift to a more victim-centered approach to my thinking about free will, responsibility, and blame. My views about Shaun Nichols' discretionary approach were significantly influenced by participating in the Author Meets Critics session on *Bound* at the Pacific APA in 2015, along with Manuel Vargas and Bob Kane. I am also grateful for feedback from the audience at the 2014 meeting of the Pacific APA, where I presented earlier versions of the parity of reasons arguments offered in Chapter 4.

I have made use of my own previously published work in various parts of this book. Chapter 2 is based on my chapter "Basic Desert and the Appropriateness of Blame," which first appeared in Joseph Keim Campbell, ed., *A Companion to Free Will* (Hoboken, NJ: Wiley-Blackwell, 2022). Chapter 3 includes most of my article "Companions in Innocence: Defending a New Methodological Assumption for Theorizing about Moral Responsibility," published in *Philosophical Studies*, 172 (2015), pp. 515–533. Chapter 5 is a revised version of my article "Meeting the Eliminativist Burden," in *Social Philosophy and Policy*, 36 (2019), pp. 132–153. And Chapter 6 includes most of my article "Why We Should(n't) Be Discretionists about Free Will," in *Philosophical Studies*, 174 (2017), pp. 2489–2498.

I am lucky to be a member of a supportive philosophy department with consistently wonderful students at Texas Christian University (TCU).

Acknowledgments

xi

Many of the ideas in this book have benefited from thinking them through with those students in several iterations of my seminar on Free Will & Moral Responsibility, and my seminar on Blame. While considerations of space do not allow me to thank them all here, I am especially indebted to Jaclyn Barber, Jordan Hittesdorf, and Hannah Vu. The many hours of working with each of you on your own projects on free will, blame, and responsibility helped me to improve many of my own arguments here. I count those meetings among the most enjoyable and rewarding parts of my teaching career thus far.

I am also grateful to my colleagues at TCU, Ley Cray, Richard Galvin, Blake Hestir, John Harris, and Bill Roche, for countless hours of discussion about many of the ideas found here. Special thanks are due to Ley for always offering to let me bounce ideas off of them in conversation, including some that became the earliest seeds of this book. And to John, to whom I am forever indebted for taking the time to provide detailed feedback on a full draft of this manuscript. And a special thanks also to John and Tracie both, for all of your encouragement and for being such fantastic friends.

Finally, while the ideas in this book have been jostling around in my head for many years, the process of actually writing it happened to coincide with a particularly difficult set of circumstances. The universe, as always, has a sense of humor. Even the best-laid plans could not have anticipated a worldwide pandemic and the roadblocks it created for completing this project. I cannot thank my family and friends enough for their patience, support, and encouragement, without which completing this project would not have been possible.

To Kirsten Egerstrom, Rachel McKinney, and Meghan Page, I am beyond lucky to have found such a badass group of women, colleagues, and friends to navigate all the stages of this profession with. To Katelyn McKenna, Shannon Ostwald, Stephanie Dowling, Tim Livedalen, and Rachel Livedalen, thank you for always cheering me on. To my family, Kevin McCormick, Carly McCormick, Shawn McCormick, and Hannah McCormick, thank you for keeping me grounded, and for your willingness to always pack up and hide out in the middle of the woods when I needed a break. To my parents, Sue and Shawn, and my grandparents, Anne and Art, thank you for everything. You have always made me feel like I can accomplish whatever I set out to do, and supported me every step of the way. And to Byron, thank you for your love and support, and for getting me across the finish line. You are the best.

Finally, many thanks to Hilary Gaskin at Cambridge University Press for believing in this project. Thanks also to Renée Cleghorn for her indexing magic. And to those who have offered feedback and support on this project who I have not been able to name here, thank you. I hope that you will not blame me, but I fully understand and I am quite sure that I deserve it if you do.