

DUE DILIGENCE OBLIGATIONS
IN INTERNATIONAL HUMAN
RIGHTS LAW

With the importance of non-State actors ever increasing, the traditional State-centric approach of international law is being put to the test. In particular, significant accountability lacunae have emerged in the field of human rights protection. To address these challenges, this book makes a case for extraterritorial due diligence obligations of States in international human rights law. It traces back how due diligence obligations evolved on the international plane and develops a general analytical framework making the broad and vague notion of due diligence more approachable. The framework is applied to different fields of international law which provides guidance on how due diligence obligations can be better conceptualized. Drawing inspiration from these developments, the book analyses how extraterritorial human rights due diligence obligations could operate in practice and foster global human rights protection.

MARIA MONNHEIMER is a research assistant at LMU Munich. Prior to this she served as legal clerk to the Federal Ministry of Justice in Berlin and the European Court of Human Rights in Strasbourg. Maria studied law at the University of Heidelberg and University of Cambridge and holds a Ph.D. in Public International Law from LMU Munich.

Cambridge University Press

978-1-108-84173-3 — Due Diligence Obligations in International Human Rights Law

Maria Monnheimer

Frontmatter

[More Information](#)

DUE DILIGENCE
OBLIGATIONS
IN INTERNATIONAL
HUMAN RIGHTS LAW

Maria Monnheimer

Ludwig Maximilian University of Munich



Cambridge University Press
 978-1-108-84173-3 — Due Diligence Obligations in International Human Rights Law
 Maria Monnheimer
 Frontmatter
[More Information](#)

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom

One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA

477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia

314–321, 3rd Floor, Plot 3, Splendor Forum, Jasola District Centre,
New Delhi – 110025, India

79 Anson Road, #06–04/06, Singapore 079906

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of
education, learning, and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781108841733

DOI: 10.1017/9781108894784

© Maria Monnheimer 2021

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception
and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements,
no reproduction of any part may take place without the written
permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2021

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Names: Monnheimer, Maria, 1988– author.

Title: Due diligence obligations in international human rights law / Maria Monnheimer,
Ludwig Maximilian University of Munich.

Description: Cambridge, United Kingdom ; New York, NY : Cambridge University Press,
2020. | Includes bibliographical references and index.

Identifiers: LCCN 2020019030 (print) | LCCN 2020019031 (ebook) | ISBN 9781108841733
(hardback) | ISBN 9781108795265 (paperback) | ISBN 9781108894784 (ebook)

Subjects: LCSH: International law and human rights. | Liability for human rights violations.

Classification: LCC KZ1266 .M66 2020 (print) | LCC KZ1266 (ebook) | DDC 341.4/8–dc23

LC record available at <https://lcn.loc.gov/2020019030>

LC ebook record available at <https://lcn.loc.gov/2020019031>

ISBN 978-1-108-84173-3 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of
URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication
and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain,
accurate or appropriate.

CONTENTS

Acknowledgments page xv
List of Abbreviations xvi

Introduction 1

1 Why to Analyze State Responsibility for Human Rights Violations: The Flawed Debate on Direct Human Rights Obligations for Non-State Actors 9

 I. Introductory Remarks 9

 II. Why the Existing Human Rights Regime Cannot Be Construed to Contain Direct Obligations for Non-State Actors 13

 1. Customary International Law 14

 2. General Principles 16

 3. Human Rights Treaties 17

 a. Human Rights Treaties as Living Instruments 18

 b. *Drittwirkung* 23

 c. “Abuse of Rights” Clauses 26

 4. Summary 28

 III. Reasons Speaking for Direct Human Rights Obligations on Behalf of Private Actors 30

 IV. Reasons against Imposing Direct Human Rights Obligations on Non-State Actors 32

 1. Procedural and Definitional Questions 32

 a. Non-State Actors and International Norm Setting 32

 b. Making Non-State Actors Duty Bearers: “Capacity” as an Adequate Yardstick? 34

 c. Shared Accountability between States and Non-State Actors? 37

2. Legitimacy Questions: Non-State Actors and the Balancing of Public Interests	38
3. Abuse Concerns	41
a. A Chance for States to Neglect Their Own Duties	42
b. Awarding Corresponding Rights to Non-State Actors	42
V. Conclusion: The Necessity of Exploiting the Full Potential of State Responsibility	43
2 Establishing State Responsibility for Human Rights Violations: Proposal for a Conduct-Based Typology of Human Rights Obligations	47
I. Introductory Remarks	47
II. The Inadequacy of Traditional Human Rights Typologies	48
1. Three Generations of Human Rights	49
2. Obligations to Respect, Protect, and Fulfill	51
3. Positive versus Negative Obligations	52
III. Proposal for a Conduct-Based Typology of Human Rights	54
1. Positive Human Rights Obligations of Result	55
a. Preventive Obligations of Result	56
aa. The Duty to Enact Legislation	56
(1) Failure to Enact Legislation	58
(2) Enacting Legislation That Violates Human Rights	60
bb. The Duty to Establish an Administrative Apparatus	61
b. Punitive Obligations of Result	63
aa. The Duty to Establish an Investigative and Judicial Apparatus	63
bb. The Duty to Create Legal Remedies	64
cc. The Duty to Investigate Allegations of Human Rights Violations	65
dd. The Duty to Provide for Specific Criminal Legislation	67
ee. The Duty to Award Reparations	68
2. Positive Human Rights Obligations of Diligent Conduct	69
a. Preventive Obligations of Diligent Conduct	71

CONTENTS

vii

b. Repressive Obligations of Diligent Conduct	71
3. Positive Obligations of Progressive Realization	74
4. Margin of Appreciation	75
IV. Summary	76
3 The Origins of Due Diligence in International Law	78
I. Introductory Remarks	78
II. Due Diligence in Early Scholarly Writings	78
1. Grotius's Concept of <i>Patientia</i> and <i>Receptus</i> Responsibility	79
2. Pufendorf and the Presumption of Capacities to Prevent	80
3. Wolff and Vattel: From Responsibility of the Monarch to Responsibility of States	80
4. Hall and Oppenheim: Reasonable Measures of Prevention and Vicarious Responsibility	81
5. Summary	82
III. Due Diligence in Early Jurisprudence	83
1. The Duty to Protect Foreigners and the Establishment of Claims Commissions	83
2. The Jurisprudence of the Claims Commissions	84
a. Preventive Obligations of Due Diligence	85
b. Repressive Obligations of Due Diligence	86
c. Due Diligence versus Complicity	87
d. Responsibility in Case of Civil Insurgency	88
3. Due Diligence Obligations in Transboundary Cases	89
IV. Due Diligence within the System of State Responsibility	90
1. Failed Attempts at Codification	90
2. The ILC's Work on State Responsibility and the Due Diligence Concept	92
V. Confusion of Concepts: Distinguishing Due Diligence from Other Responsibility Concepts	92
1. Actions and Omissions	93
2. Fault	94
a. The Role of Fault within the Law on State Responsibility: General Remarks	95
b. Due Diligence Obligations and Negligence	96

c.	Due Diligence as a Standard of Conduct Contained in Primary Norms	97
3.	Liability for Acts Not Prohibited by International Law	99
a.	Responsibility versus Liability	99
b.	Draft Articles on Prevention of Transboundary Harm	101
4.	Obligations of Conduct and Obligations of Result	102
a.	Ago's Proposal	102
b.	Why the Distinction Matters: The Temporal Dimension of a Breach	103
c.	The ILC's Proposal on Preventive Obligations	105
5.	Complicity	106
a.	Complicity in Wrongful Conduct of Other States	107
b.	Complicity in Non-State Conduct	109
aa.	Complicity in Case of Individual Criminal Responsibility	109
bb.	Complicity as Ground for Attribution	110
cc.	Complicity versus Due Diligence: Where the Confusion Comes From	111
(1)	Why the Distinction Is Relevant	112
(2)	Distinction Based on the "Knowledge" Criterion	112
VI.	Summary	114
4	The Components of the Due Diligence Standard	116
I.	Introductory Remarks	116
II.	Knowledge	117
1.	Positive Knowledge	118
2.	Constructive Knowledge	118
a.	An Obligation to Acquire Knowledge	119
b.	Determination by Objective Factors	119
III.	Capacities	121
1.	Institutional Capacities	122
2.	Territorial and Financial Capacities	123
a.	Territorial Capacities	124
b.	Technical and Financial Capacities	126
c.	<i>Force Majeure</i> / Necessity	127

CONTENTS

ix

IV. Reasonableness	128
1. Elements of Reasonableness under International Law	129
a. Reasonableness and Proportionality	130
b. Reasonableness and Rationality	132
c. Reasonableness and Compliance	133
d. Reasonableness and Balancing	134
2. Assessing Reasonableness: Objective versus Subjective Approaches	136
3. Burden of Proof	137
V. Summary	140
5 Lessons to Be Learned from the Application of Due Diligence Obligations in Other Fields of International Law	142
5.1. Due Diligence Obligations in International Environmental Law	144
I. Introductory Remarks	144
II. Preventive Obligations and the No-Harm Rule	145
III. Knowledge	147
1. Precautionary Obligations	147
2. An Obligation to Acquire Knowledge: Environmental Impact Assessments	150
3. An Obligation to Share Knowledge? Duties to Cooperate	153
IV. Capacities	154
1. Best Available Technologies	155
2. Common but Differentiated Responsibilities	156
V. Reasonableness	159
1. Technological Development	159
2. Sustainability	159
3. Objective versus Subjective Standards of Care	160
4. Burden of Proof	161
VI. Summary	163

x	CONTENTS
5.2.	Due Diligence Obligations to Curb Terrorist Activities 167
I.	Introductory Remarks 167
II.	Knowledge 171
III.	Capacity 172
1.	Institutional Capacities 172
2.	Financial and Territorial Capacities 173
a.	Self-Defense against Non-State Actors? 173
b.	The Unwilling or Unable-Standard 177
aa.	Is There an Obligation to Enhance Capacities? 178
bb.	Does Inability Justify a Forceful Response by Other States? 179
cc.	Broadening the Scope of Reasonable Efforts 181
IV.	Summary 183
5.3.	Due Diligence Obligations in the Cybersphere 185
I.	Introductory Remarks 185
II.	The Problem of Attribution in the Cybersphere 186
III.	Due Diligence in the Cybersphere 188
1.	Due Diligence Obligations in the Aftermath of a Cyber Incident 189
2.	Preventive Due Diligence Obligations in the Cybersphere 190
a.	Knowledge: The Foreseeability of Harmful Cyber Incidents 190
b.	Reasonable Measures of Prevention 193
c.	Shifting the Burden of Proof? 195
d.	Capacities in the Cybersphere 197
IV.	Summary: Is There Room for Preventive Cyber Obligations? 199
5.4.	Summary 202

6 Applying the Due Diligence Framework to the Field of Human Rights Protection	204
I. Introductory Remarks	204
II. Knowledge	204
1. Obtaining Knowledge: The Risk of Excessive Surveillance and Control	206
2. The Knowledge Standard in Human Rights Jurisprudence	208
a. Information of Human Rights Risks	209
b. Constellations in Which States Create or Contribute to the Creation of Human Rights Risks	212
aa. Licensing Procedures	212
bb. Creation of Risks	213
c. Consistent Patterns of Human Rights Violations	214
aa. Frequency of Human Rights Contraventions in the Past	215
bb. Frequent Human Rights Violations in a Particular Region	215
cc. Particular Risks for Certain Groups of Individuals	216
d. Summary	217
3. Human Rights Impact Assessments	218
III. Capacities	220
1. Human Rights Due Diligence Obligations of Developing Countries	222
2. Human Rights Due Diligence Obligations in Times of Economic Crisis	225
3. Human Rights Due Diligence Obligations in Conflict Situations	227
4. Human Rights Obligations Not Dependent on Capacities	231
a. Nondiscrimination	232
b. Duties to Monitor and to Inform	233
c. Duties of Cooperation	236
aa. Obligations to Seek Assistance	237
bb. Obligations to Render Assistance: Drawing Inspiration from the “Common but Differentiated Responsibilities” Approach	239
d. Core Obligations	241
aa. Nonderogable Rights	242
bb. Minimum Core Obligations	242

5. Summary	244
IV. Reasonableness	244
1. Scope of Measures	248
a. Status of the Right That Is to Be Protected	248
b. Preventive Obligations: Seriousness of Risk	250
c. Punitive Obligations: Seriousness of Violation	251
d. Balancing with Other Human Rights and Public Interests	252
2. Nature of Measures	254
3. Conclusion	255
V. Concluding Remarks	256
7 A Case for Extraterritorial Due Diligence Obligations in the Human Rights Context	258
I. Introductory Remarks	258
II. Between Universal Human Rights Protection and the Principle of Non-Intervention: The Tension Underlying Extraterritorial Human Rights Protection	260
III. Jurisdictional Clauses in International Human Rights Regimes	263
IV. Jurisdictional Clauses and State Responsibility	265
V. Negative versus Positive Obligations in Extraterritorial Cases	267
1. The ECtHR's Perspective	268
2. The ICJ's Perspective	272
VI. The Underdeveloped Potential of Positive Obligations of Diligent Conduct in Extraterritorial Constellations	274
1. When Knowledge Can Be Expected in Extraterritorial Constellations	275
a. Due Diligence Obligations When the Victim is within a State's Jurisdictional Reach	276
b. Due Diligence Obligations When the Perpetrator is within a State's Jurisdictional Reach	277

- 2. Reasonable Efforts in Extraterritorial Constellations:
 Reconciling Positive Obligations with the Principle of
 Non-Intervention 278
- 3. Capacities 284
- VII. Can There Be an Obligation to Act Extraterritorially?
 Drawing Inspiration from the “Unwilling or Unable”
 Standard 284
 - 1. Human Rights as a Common Concern and the Issue of
 Diverging Capacities 286
 - 2. How Extraterritorial Regulations Based on the Active
 Personality Principle Could Improve Human Rights
 Protection 289
- VIII. First Steps in the Right Direction: Social and
 Economic Rights 290
- IX. Areas in Which Extraterritorial Due Diligence
 Obligations Could Be Applied 292
 - 1. Licensing Procedures 292
 - a. Foreign Trade Promotion: Drawing Inspiration from
 Procedural Obligations in Environmental
 Law 293
 - b. Applying the Due Diligence Standard to Arms Transfer
 Control 296
 - aa. International Norms Applicable to Arms
 Transfer 296
 - bb. Human Rights Law and Arms
 Transfer 297
 - cc. Attribution, Complicity, and Aiding and Abetting in
 the Context of Arms Transfers 299
 - dd. Due Diligence Obligations in the Context of Arms
 Transfers? 300
 - (1) The *Tugar* Decision 300
 - (2) Knowledge 301
 - (3) Capacities 301
 - (4) Reasonableness 302
 - (5) Impact Assessments 303
 - ee. Summary 306
 - c. Conclusion 306
 - 2. Regulating Extraterritorial Corporate Conduct 307
 - a. Introductory Remarks 307
 - b. The French Law on Duty of Care 2017 309

c.	Proposals on Corporate Regulations within the European Union	312
aa.	EU Directive 2014/95/EU	313
bb.	EU Flagship Initiative on the Garment Sector	313
d.	The Californian Transparency in Supply Chains Act of 2010	314
e.	The UK Modern Slavery Act of 2015	315
f.	Domestic Proposals on the Regulation of Extraterritorial Corporate Conduct	316
aa.	Proposal by the German Green Party of 2016 and Coalition Agreement of 2018	316
bb.	Switzerland: <i>Konzerninitiative Verantwortung</i>	318
g.	Conclusion	319
	Summary and Outlook	322
	<i>Index</i>	327

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This book is a revised and abridged version of my doctoral thesis, which I defended at the LMU Faculty of Law in December 2018.

Among the many people who accompanied me on the long way to completing a PhD, the person I am most indebted to is my supervisor *Professor Dr. Christian Walter*, whom I genuinely thank for never pushing me – but for always having sound advice, constructive criticism, and a word of encouragement when it was needed. Without his guidance and support, I could not have completed this work the way I did.

Further thanks are due to my second supervisor *Professor Dr. Rudolf Streinz* for his thorough and favorable report, as well as to *Professor Dr. Jens Kersten* for an interesting and inspiring viva.

To my friends and colleagues at the LMU Chair of Public International Law, *Stefan Herrmann*, *Stefan Schäferling*, *Chun-Kyung Paulus Suh*, and *Markus Vordermayer-Riemer*, I am grateful not only for creating an enriching academic environment but even more for making my time in Munich so memorable.

Finally, I offer my heartfelt thanks to *Tom Randall* of Cambridge University Press, who patiently guided me through my publishing journey from the first step to the last and to two anonymous reviewers for their helpful comments and suggestions.

ABBREVIATIONS

ACHR	American Convention on Human Rights
AfComHR	African Commission of Human and Peoples' Rights
AJIL	American Journal of International Law
a.o.	and others
ASIL	American Society of International Law
AVR	Archiv des Völkerrechts
BIT	bilateral investment treaty
CESCR	Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights
CETS	Council of Europe Treaty Series
CLP	Current Legal Problems
CRC	Committee on the Rights of the Child
DARS	Draft Articles on Responsibility of States for Internationally Wrongful Acts
DRC	Democratic Republic of the Congo
ECHR	European Convention on Human Rights
ECJ	European Court of Justice
EComHR	European Commission of Human Rights
ECOWAS	Economic Community of West African States
ECtHR	European Court of Human Right
EHRLR	European Human Rights Law Review
EJIL	European Journal of International Law
ESIL	European Society of International Law
ETS	European Treaty Series
EU	European Union
GA	General Assembly
GYIL	German Yearbook of International Law
HRC	Human Rights Committee
HRLR	Human Rights Law Review
IAComHR	Inter-American Commission of Human Rights
IACtHR	Inter-American Court of Human Rights
IAEA	International Atomic Energy Agency
ICC	International Criminal Court
ICCPR	International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

xvii

ICESCR	International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights
ICJ	International Court of Justice
ICLQ	International and Comparative Law Quarterly
ICSID	International Centre for Settlement of Investment Disputes
ICTY	International Criminal Tribunal for the former Yugoslavia
ILA	International Law Association
ILC	International Law Commission
ILM	International Legal Materials
IMO	International Maritime Organization
ITLOS	International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea
JILP NYU	Journal of International Law and Politics
LJIL	Leiden Journal of International Law
MJIL	Michigan Journal of International Law
NATO	North Atlantic Treaty Organization
NGO	Nongovernmental Organization
NILR	Netherlands International Law Review
NYIL	Netherlands Yearbook of International Law
OAU	Organisation of African Unity
OECD	Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development
PCIJ	Permanent Court of International Justice
RdC	Recueil des Cours
RGDIP	Revue Générale de Droit International Public
RIAA	Reports of International Arbitral Awards
TRNC	Turkish Republic of Northern Cyprus
UN	United Nations
UNCITRAL	United Nations Commission on International Trade Law
UNCLOS	United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea
UNESCO	United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organisation
UNFCCC	United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change
UNTS	United Nations Treaty Series
WHO	World Health Organization
WTO	World Trade Organization
ZaöRV	Zeitschrift für ausländisches öffentliches Recht und Völkerrecht

Cambridge University Press

978-1-108-84173-3 — Due Diligence Obligations in International Human Rights Law

Maria Monnheimer

Frontmatter

[More Information](#)
