

CONTENTS

<i>List of Figures</i>	<i>page</i> x
<i>List of Tables</i>	xi
<i>Acknowledgements</i>	xii
<i>List of Abbreviations</i>	xiii
1 Setting the Scene: Sharing International Obligations	1
1.1 The International Law of Obligations	3
1.2 Shared Responsibility in International Law	5
1.3 The Idea of Shared Obligations in International Legal Thought	11
1.4 The International Law Commission and the Paradox of Avoiding the Idea of Obligations in the International Law of Obligations	17
1.5 Structure and Approach	22
2 The Concept of Shared Obligations in International Law	24
2.1 First Element: Multiple Duty-Bearers	25
2.1.1 International Organizations and Their Members as Duty-Bearers	26
2.1.2 Duty-Bearers When States Act through a Common Organ	29
2.2 Second Element: Bound to a Similar International Obligation	31
2.3 Third Element: Pertaining to the Same Constellation of Facts	39
2.3.1 Multiple States or International Organizations Agree to an Obligation to Work towards or Achieve a Common Goal	40

2.3.2	Multiple States or International Organizations Are Legally or Factually Linked to a Common Situation	42
2.4	Conclusions	52
3	The Distinction between Bilateral and Multilateral Legal Relations in the International Law of Obligations	54
3.1	The Move beyond a Bilateral View of Legal Relations in International Law	55
3.1.1	The Protection of Common Interests	56
3.1.2	The Rise of Multilateral Treaties	57
3.1.3	The Emergence of Multilateral Legal Relations	59
3.2	Legal Relations and the Correlation between Obligations and Rights	61
3.2.1	Introducing Hohfeld's Legal Correlatives	61
3.2.2	The Correlation between Obligations and Rights in International Law	64
3.3	Distinguishing between Bilateral and Multilateral Legal Relations in International Law	66
3.4	Multilateral Legal Relations and the Law of Treaties	71
3.4.1	Reciprocal, Interdependent and Integral Obligations	72
3.4.1.1	Reciprocal Obligations	73
3.4.1.2	Interdependent Obligations	75
3.4.1.3	Integral Obligations	76
3.4.2	Peremptory Norms	77
3.4.3	Concluding Observations	79
3.5	Multilateral Legal Relations and the Law of International Responsibility	81
3.5.1	Crimes and Delicts: The First Step Away from an Exclusively Bilateral View of Legal Relations	82
3.5.2	Bilateral, Multilateral and <i>Erga Omnes (Partes)</i> Obligations	86
3.5.2.1	Bilateral Obligations	86
3.5.2.2	Multilateral and <i>Erga Omnes (Partes)</i> Obligations	88
3.5.3	Serious Breaches of Obligations under Peremptory Norms	92
3.5.4	Concluding Observations	93
3.6	Conclusions	96

CONTENTS		vii
4	Indivisible and Divisible Shared Obligations in International Law	97
4.1	Introducing Two Types of Shared Obligations	98
4.1.1	Indivisible Shared Obligations	98
4.1.2	Divisible Shared Obligations	102
4.2	Ascertaining the Nature of Shared Obligations	105
4.2.1	Positive Obligations and Negative Obligations	106
4.2.2	Obligations of Result and Obligations of Conduct	107
4.2.2.1	The Distinction between Obligations of Result and Conduct in the 1996 Draft ASR	108
4.2.2.2	The Civil Law Understanding of Obligations of Conduct and Result	110
4.2.2.3	Difficulties Encountered When Applying the Distinction in Practice	116
4.2.3	Categories of Obligations as Indicators for Qualifying a Shared Obligation as Divisible or Indivisible	122
4.3	Implications for the Content and Performance of Shared Obligations	132
4.4	Conclusions	141
5	Sharing International Obligations and the Determination of Shared Responsibility	144
5.1	Two Manifestations of Shared Responsibility	145
5.1.1	Shared Responsibility Arising from a Single Internationally Wrongful Act	146
5.1.2	Shared Responsibility Arising from Multiple Internationally Wrongful Acts	150
5.2	Breaches of Indivisible Shared Obligations and the Determination of Shared Responsibility	152
5.2.1	Breach of an Indivisible Shared Obligation	153
5.2.2	Attribution of Conduct in Breach of an Indivisible Shared Obligation	154
5.3	Breaches of Divisible Shared Obligations and the Determination of Shared Responsibility	159
5.3.1	Breach of a Divisible Shared Obligation	159
5.3.2	Attribution of Conduct in Breach of a Divisible Shared Obligation	160

5.3.2.1	Divisible Shared Obligations of a Positive Character and Attribution of Conduct	161
5.3.2.2	Divisible Shared Obligations of a Negative Character and Attribution of Conduct	164
5.3.3	Concluding Observations	164
5.4	Applying the Distinction between Divisible and Indivisible Shared Obligations	165
5.4.1	The Shared Obligation to Rehabilitate Nauru's Worked-Out Phosphate Lands	166
5.4.2	The Shared Obligation to Take Appropriate Steps to Maintain Security and Public Order In and Around the Coquelles Terminal	167
5.4.3	The Shared Obligation to Take Appropriate Measures to Prevent the Looting, Plundering and Exploitation of Natural Resources in Iraq	170
5.4.4	The Shared Obligation to Provide 12,000 Million ECU in Financial Assistance to the ACP States	171
5.4.5	The Shared Obligation to Strictly Limit Pollution from Land-Based Sources in Lake Étang de Berre	172
5.4.6	The Shared Obligation to Achieve a 20 Per Cent Reduction of Aggregate Greenhouse Gas Emissions	174
5.4.7	The Shared Obligation to Take All Measures Within One's Power to Prevent the Srebrenica Genocide	176
5.4.8	The Shared Obligation to Ensure That Activities in the Area Are Carried Out in Accordance with Part XI UNCLOS	177
5.5	Conclusions	178
6	Claiming Cessation and Reparation for Breaches of Shared Obligations	179
6.1	Sharing the Obligation of Cessation	180
6.1.1	Shared Responsibility for a Single Wrongful Act and the Nature of the Obligation of Cessation	182
6.1.2	Shared Responsibility for Multiple Wrongful Acts and the Nature of the Obligation of Cessation	186
6.2	Sharing the Obligation of Reparation	189
6.2.1	The Notion of Joint and Several Liability: A Shared Obligation to Make Reparation	192

CONTENTS		ix
6.2.2	Shared Responsibility for a Single Wrongful Act and the Nature of the Obligation of Reparation	197
6.2.3	Shared Responsibility for Multiple Wrongful Acts and the Nature of the Obligation of Reparation	200
6.2.4	Challenges for the Responsible State or Organization Targeted	205
6.3	Claiming Cessation and Reparation in the Context of International Adjudication: Bearers of Shared Obligations as Indispensable Parties?	211
6.3.1	Simultaneous Determination of Another Actor's Responsibility	214
6.3.2	Determining Another Actor's Responsibility as a Logical Prerequisite	215
6.4	Conclusions	217
7	Conclusion: Towards a More Systematic Approach to International Obligations	219
7.1	Old and New Typologies of International Obligations	220
7.2	Sharing International Obligations and (Non-)performance	221
7.3	Sharing International Obligations and Responsibility Relations	222
	<i>Index</i>	225