

Frontmatter
More Information

### THE HERA OF ZEUS

The goddess Hera is represented in mythology as an irascible wife and imperfect mother in the face of a frivolous Zeus. Beginning with the *Iliad*, many narrative traditions depict her wrath, the infidelities of her royal husband and the persecutions to which she subjects his illegitimate offspring. But how to relate this image to the cults of the sovereign goddess in her sanctuaries across Greece? This book uses the Hera of Zeus to open up new perspectives for understanding the society of the gods, the fate of heroes and the lives of men. As the intimate enemy of Zeus but also the fierce guardian of the legitimacy and integrity of the Olympian family, she takes shape in more subtle and complex ways that make it possible to rethink the configuration of power in ancient Greece, with the tensions that inhabited it, and thus how polytheism works.

VINCIANE PIRENNE-DELFORGE is Professor at the Collège de France, Paris, after having been researcher at the FNRS (Belgium) and teaching at the Université de Liège. She is also the author of *L'Aphrodite grecque* (1994), *Retour à la source. Pausanias et la religion grecque* (2008), and *Le Polythéisme grec à l'épreuve d'Hérodote* (2020).

GABRIELLA PIRONTI is Director of Studies at the École Pratique des Hautes Études of Paris (PSL) and member of the Centre AnHiMA. She is also the author of *Entre ciel et guerre. Figures d'Aphrodite en Grèce ancienne* (2007) and co-editor of two volumes on *The Gods of Homer* (2017 and 2021).



Frontmatter
More Information

#### CLASSICAL SCHOLARSHIP IN TRANSLATION

Series editors RENAUD GAGNÉ, University of Cambridge JONAS GRETHLEIN, Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg

Classical Scholarship in Translation provides English translations of some particularly notable and significant scholarship on the ancient Greek and Roman worlds and their reception written in other languages in order to make it better known and appreciated. All areas of classical scholarship are considered.

Recent titles in the series:
The Hera of Zeus: Intimate Enemy, Ultimate Spouse
VINCIANE PIRENNE-DELFORGE AND GABRIELLA PIRONTI
The Greeks and Their Histories: Myth, History and Society
HANS-JOACHIM GEHRKE



Frontmatter
More Information

### THE HERA OF ZEUS

Intimate Enemy, Ultimate Spouse

### VINCIANE PIRENNE-DELFORGE

Collège de France, Paris

### GABRIELLA PIRONTI

École Pratique des Hautes Études, Paris

Translated by Raymond Geuss, University of Cambridge





Frontmatter
More Information

# **CAMBRIDGE**UNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom
One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA
477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia
314–321, 3rd Floor, Plot 3, Splendor Forum, Jasola District Centre,
New Delhi – 110025, India

103 Penang Road, #05-06/07, Visioncrest Commercial, Singapore 238467

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning, and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781108841030 DOI: 10.1017/9781108888479

Preface and English translation © Cambridge University Press, 2022. First published in 2016 as *L'Héra de Zeus*. Copyright © Société d'édition Les Belles Lettres 2016.

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2022

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library.

ISBN 978-I-108-84103-0 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



Frontmatter More Information

In loving memory of our fathers





Frontmatter
More Information

### Contents

Lis	st of	Figures	page x
Pr	eface	by Fritz Graf	xiii
Ac	knou	vledgements	xix
		Abbreviations	XX
	Int	roduction	I
I	Or	n Olympus: Conjugal Bed and Royal Throne	13
		A Kind of Overture: Hera's Characteristic Epithets	14
	1.2 Ultimate Spouse		17
		1.2.1 'Sister and Wife': Family Affairs	19
		1.2.2 The Supreme Beauty of the Divine Spouse	24
		1.2.3 Dios Apatē and the Erotic Power of Parthenia	30
		1.2.4 Marriage and Sovereignty	34
	1.3	Intimate Enemy	40
		1.3.1 The Sovereign Queen, Eris, and Cholos	42
		1.3.2 In the Midst of the Battle: The Mother of Ares	45
		1.3.3 The Play of <i>Eris</i> and the Place of the Queen	47
	1.4	Hera, between Childbirth and Filiation	51
		1.4.1 The Problematic Status of Hephaistos	52
		1.4.2 The Mother of Eileithyia in Action	54
		1.4.3 From the Labour of Eileithyia to Filiation	58
		1.4.4 The Jealousy of Hera and the Children of Zeus	62
	1.5	The Lineage and the Nurse	66
	1.6	The Queen's Ultimate Challenge: On the Trail of a Lost Hymn?	72
		I.6.I The Text	73
		1.6.2 From the Wrath of Hera to a Cosmic Crisis	75
		1.6.3 The Return of Order and Constructive <i>Eris</i>	79
		1.6.4 From the Intimate Enemy to the Ultimate Spouse	83
	1.7	The Hera of Zeus in Archaic Poetry	87
2		the Cities: <i>Teleia</i> and <i>Basileia</i>	92
		As a Prelude: Exclusive Cult Titles	92
	2.2	Stymphalus and the Hera Cycle	94



Vinciane Pirenne-Delforge , Gabriella Pironti , Translated by Raymond Geuss , Preface by Fritz Graf

V111	Contents
VIII	Convenis

	2.3	The <i>Daidala</i> of Plataia	96
		2.3.1 The Goddess of Kithairon	96
		2.3.2 The Narratives and the Cycle	98
		2.3.3 The Procession and the Sacrifice	IOI
		2.3.4 Marriage, Sovereignty, Reconciliation	105
	2.4	The Goddess of Argos in Her Dwelling	107
		2.4.1 The Goddess of Argos, the Argive Plain, and the City of Argos	107
		2.4.2 The Traces of the Cycle about the Goddess between Myths	
		and Rites	III
		2.4.3 Veils of Marriage and a Veiled Marriage	115
		2.4.4 The Sceptre, the Cuckoo, the Throne	117
		2.4.5 Hera and the Sovereignty of Zeus	124
	2.5	The Sovereign Bride of Samos	129
		2.5.1 A Grandiose Temple in the Middle of the Aegean	129
		2.5.2 In the Shadow of the Chastetree: Birth, <i>Parthenia</i> , Separation,	
		and Return	130
		2.5.3 'The Glorious Young Bride of Zeus, Queen of the Island'	136
		2.5.4 Mistress of the Island, Offerings, and Donors	141
	2.6	From Olympus to Olympia	148
		2.6.1 Heaven on Earth	148
		2.6.2 The Archaic Temple at the Heart of the Altis	150
		2.6.3 Hera in the Olympian Pantheon: The Monuments	153
		2.6.4 Hera in the Olympian Pantheon: Capturing the Theogony	155
		2.6.5 The Power of Sovereignty: Olympios/Olympia	157
		2.6.6 The Conjugal Bed and the Throne: Pelops and Hippodameia	161
		2.6.7 Competition, 'Synecism', and Marriage: The <i>Hēraia</i>	165
		2.6.8 Return to the Heraion	168
	2.7	The Hera of Zeus and the Zeus of Hera	173
		2.7.1 Powers of Accomplishment: Teleios/Teleia	173
		2.7.2 At Athens: a <i>Hieros Gamos</i> and Some Sacrificial Precautions	177
	2.8	The Sovereign Queen: Cult Title, Ritual, and Topography	184
		2.8.1 When She Is the 'Queen'	184
		2.8.2 Ascending towards the Kynthos at Delos	188
		2.8.3 The Basileia of Lesbos: New Information from Sappho	194
		2.8.4 Hera Akraia at Perachora and Bounaia at Corinth	201
		2.8.5 The Sovereign Queen of the Achaeans in the West	211
	2.9	From the City Cults to Olympus: Return to the Dios Apatē	226
3	Fre	om Anger to Glory: Testing and Legitimising	232
,		Cholos: Profiling an Angry Goddess	232
		Gaining Access to Olympus	240
		Herakles, Hera, and <i>Kleos</i>	250
	<i>J</i> • <i>J</i>	3.3.1 The Glory of Hera, Glory by Way of Hera	250
		3.3.2 'Now She Loves Him'	251
		3.3.3 Monster and Trials	254
			71



Vinciane Pirenne-Delforge , Gabriella Pironti , Translated by Raymond Geuss , Preface by Fritz Graf

### Frontmatter

More Information

	Contents	ix	
	3.3.4 Legitimation, Breastfeeding, and Marriage	257	
	3.3.5 Hebe, Daughter of Hera, and the <i>Hēbē</i>	262	
3.4	Dionysos, 'The God Who Arrives' on Olympus	264	
	3.4.1 From the Anger of the Wife to the Immortality of the Son	264	
	3.4.2 The Throne of the Queen: Integration and Reintegration	270	
	3.4.3 Constructive Antagonisms	275	
3.5	The Fabrication of Olympus in Images	282	
	3.5.1 'As If She Were Her Daughter, Too'	283	
	3.5.2 The Wife of Zeus vis-à-vis Dionysos	291	
3.6	Heroic Stakes and the Crises of Sovereignty	300	
	3.6.1 From Laius to Oedipus	301	
	3.6.2 From Pelias to Jason	307	
	3.6.2.1 The Kleos of the Argonauts	307	
	3.6.2.2 The <i>Hybris</i> of Pelias	311	
En	voi	318	
Bibliography			
Index le	Index locorum		
General Index			



Vinciane Pirenne-Delforge, Gabriella Pironti, Translated by Raymond Geuss, Preface by Fritz Graf

Frontmatter
More Information

## **Figures**

O.I		page 2
	by Vinciane Pirenne-Delforge, based on Grandjean	
	and Salviat 2000, figs. 89–90.	
2.1	Terracotta ring from the sixth century. Museum of Vathy	142
	(Samos). Photograph from Vierneisel 1961, pl. 24	
2.2	Terracotta relief, prior to the early seventh century.	144
	Museum of Vathy (Samos) T 392. Photograph from	
	Ohly 1953b, pl. 41.	
2.3a–c	(Lost) wooden relief dated to the archaic period.	145
	Photograph from Ohly 1953b, pl. 13, 15, 18.	
2.4	Plan of Olympia around 450. Reproduced with the kind	151
	permission of H. R. Goette.	
2.5	Apulian red-figure lekythos, dated 360–350. London,	212
	British Museum F109 © Trustees of the British Museum.	
3.I	Apulian lekythos from Anzi, dated mid-fourth century.	259
	London, British Museum F107. Drawing from Renard	
	1964, fig. 6.	
3.2	Etruscan bronze mirror, dated mid-fourth century.	260
	Bologna, Museo Civico 1075. Drawing from Renard	
	1964, fig. 1.	
3.3	Etruscan bronze mirror, dated around 300, from	261
	Volterra. Firenze, Museo Archeologico 72740. Drawing	
	from Renard 1964, fig. 3.	
3.4	Attic black-figure amphora, dated 560–550. Paris, Musée	284
,	du Louvre E861. © RMN-Grand Palais/Hervé	
	Lewandowski.	
3.5	Attic black-figure amphora, dated 575–525. London,	285
2.7	British Museum 1839.1109.1 (B147). © Trustees of the	,
	British Museum.	



Vinciane Pirenne-Delforge , Gabriella Pironti , Translated by Raymond Geuss , Preface by Fritz Graf

<u> </u>	List of Figures	xi
3.6	Attic black-figure amphora, dated 575–525. Richmond, Virginia Museum of Fine Arts, 60.23. Photograph from	286
3.7	the museum. Attic black-figure amphora, dated 575–525. Palermo, Museo Archeologico Nazionale 1455. © Archivio Fotografico del Museo Archeologico Regionale Antonino Salinas di Palermo.	288
3.8a–b	Attic black-figure amphora dated mid-sixth century. Basel, Antikenmuseum und Sammlung Ludwig BS 1921.328. © Antikenmuseum Basel und Sammlung Ludwig/A. Voegelin.	290
3.9a-b	Attic black-figure amphora, dated 550–500. Boston, Museum of Fine Arts, 01.8053. From H. Hoffmann, Corpus Vasorum Antiquorum. Museum of Fine Arts, Boston. Attic Black-Figured Amphorae. Fasc. 1, Boston 1973, pl. IX, 1–2 (631).	292
3.10	Attic black-figure amphora, dated between late sixth and early fifth century. Paris, Cabinet des Médailles 219 © Photograph by the museum.	294
3.11	Red-figure Apulian krater, dated late 5th century. Museo Archeologico Nazionale di Taranto. Photograph by the museum.	296
3.12	Attic red-figure pyxis, dated 450. Ancona, Museo Archeologico Nazionale 3130. Drawing from <i>LIMC</i> Aphrodite, 1257 (p. 121).	299
4.1	Attic relief above a treaty between Athens and Argos, dated 417/16. Previously Athens, National Museum 1481 © Acropolis Museum 2011. Photograph by V. Tsiamis.	322
4.2	Attic relief above a treaty between Athens, Arcadia, Achaia, Elis, and Phlious, dated 362/1. Athens, Acropolis Museum, 2980+2431+2981 © Acropolis Museum 2011. Photograph by Conservation Lab.	323





Frontmatter More Information

## Preface Fritz Graf

A famous relief from the Athenian Acropolis shows Athena and Hera shaking hands. It stands at the top of a stele with three decrees dated between 405/4 and 400/399; they all expressed Athenian gratitude to the Samians who remained loyal during Athens's confrontation with Sparta. Nothing in the three texts points to divine action: it is always humans interacting with and thanking other humans, Athenians and Samians. Why bring these two goddesses into play? And why goddesses, given that the human actors were all men, from the voters in the Assembly to the Assembly's secretary, Kephision of Paiania, whose name appears in much larger letters under the image as if he were the person who dedicated this gift to Athena Polias?

An easy answer would be to regard both goddesses as simple stand-ins for the two political groups concerned, the Samians and the Athenians, and the relief as a visual summary of the texts. But this would treat the goddesses not very differently from the hundreds of allegorical females (and some males) that Cesare Ripa collected in his wildly successful Iconologia of 1603: they all stand for some abstract concept, from Abbondanza, abundance, to Zelo, zeal. It is obvious that this will not do justice to the complexities of autonomous Greek goddesses. Understanding this, Alastair Blanshard described the relief as depicting 'two deities for whom each state had particular affection' (Blanshard 2007, p. 19). But this is an assumption rather than a historically cogent explanation, and one that might well be conditioned by the fact that we are dealing with goddesses: men project their affections onto women. A few years after the decrees for the Samians, the same image was used to head an inventory compiled by the treasurers of Athena and the Other Gods; most of the inscription is lost, and we have no idea why the goddesses came into play or why that specific financial committee would have felt affection for Athena, not to mention Hera (provided they read her image as Hera and not, say, as Demeter, as has been suggested).

xiii



Frontmatter <u>More Information</u>

xiv Preface

The relief draws us into the still less-than-well-charted area of Greek gods and goddesses, as does the present book. During most of the past century, mainstream researchers in Greek religion were not interested in them, female or male – unlike scholars of Roman religion, who published a remarkable series of monographs on individual gods. In the final years of the nineteenth century, the emphasis was set on worship and ritual action, thanks to the pervasive influence of the Cambridge ritualists on the one side, Émile Durkheim and his students on the other. But as often, it was not the path-breaking theorists that best expressed the general trend of thought but the busy collectors of evidence and material. In his preface to the first volume of his monumental The Cults of the Greek States (1896–1909), Richard Farnell articulated the urgent need for 'a compendious account of Greek cults' in the face of the English interest in 'Greek mythology, that apparently bizarre and hopeless thing'; mythology, fictitious accounts, could not interest serious scholars. The tension between religion as cult and myth as fiction that at best would offer aetiologies for cults would dominate most of the century; the second of Farnell's terms, 'state', would have to wait for almost the century's end to become interesting, with scholars such as Christiane Sourvinou-Inwood and François de Polignac shaping important concepts. But this is a very different discussion, although not irrelevant for this book.

In the reality of writing books, however, scholars could never really rid themselves of the gods. Even when the main scholarly focus was either on the cults or the geography, they always came as a handy roster to organise the data. Farnell's five volumes walked the reader through a pantheon that was not much more than a pegboard for presenting the cults, with divinities grouped together in the individual volumes according to an often loosely associated mythology: Zeus, Hera, and Athena filled the first volume, an array of divine mothers the third - Gaia, Demeter, Rhea/ Cybele, with Hades/Pluto thrown into the mix; the last volume collected what had not fitted in before, from Hermes and Dionysos to 'minor cults'. Martin P. Nilsson's Griechische Feste (1906) did the same, but with a few attempts, tantalisingly rare, at a categorisation of the festivals by ritual types - saturnalienartige Feste, Jahresfeuer - which would have been the more logical way to do it. Over time, scholars isolated more such ritual types, mostly with the methodology and terminology of social anthropology or folklore studies, already the inspiration for Nilsson's Jahresfeuer, and, later, of structuralism. But one would have to wait for Walter Burkert's Griechische Religion (1977) for an attempt to categorise and synthesise different rituals, from sacrifice to rites of passage.



Frontmatter
More Information

Preface xx

During these decades, the gods in their paradoxical splendour survived in a few niches, mostly in German scholarship and away from the academic mainstream. There was, foremost, Walter F. Otto's Die Götter Griechenlands: Das Bild des Göttlichen im Spiegel des griechischen Geistes, with its aim to view the 'image of the divine in the mirror of Greek thought', as the programmatic subtitle has it, with the German Geist being 'spirituality' as much as 'thought' – a highly successful undertaking that led to up to now ten editions of the German original, several translations, and the suspicion among his colleagues that Otto believed in the objective reality of the Greek gods: if they couldn't be allegories, they must have been living entities. There were the books of Otto's admirer Karl (Karoly) Kerényi on single gods as 'mythologemes', mythical paradigmatic images or, with the later Kerényi, Jungian archetypes of human life forms, from the 'masculine source of life' (Hermes) to the 'archetypal image of indestructible life' (Dionysos) and the 'archetypal image of father, husband, and wife' (Zeus and Hera - a unique consideration of the couple, which is appreciated by Pironti and Pirenne-Delforge). All this had its impact much more outside than inside classical studies and resonated especially in the United States with an educated general readership through the translations in Princeton's Bollingen Series (which owes its name to Jung's vacation home on Lake Zurich). Writers such as Philip Slater (The *Glory of Hera* (1968)) or Paul Friedrich (*The Meaning of Aphrodite* (1978)) would jump on the bandwagon.

This reduction of divinities to archetypes or 'mythologemes' is only marginally more sophisticated than Ripa's allegorisation; and more than Ripa, it resonates with lifestyle counselling. It testifies to the modern difficulty in taking Greek gods seriously, as living realities that cannot be streamlined into concepts, even concepts as multidimensional as Jung's archetypes or Otto's idealistic metaphysics. The difficulty, to be honest, is not solely modern. Stoic allegorisation that saw Hera as air expressed it as well, although for different reasons and with a lesser degree of intellectual sophistication. In its basic intellectual operation, Ripa's undertaking was not much more than an inversion of that allegorisation.

The few classicists who entered the field, mostly scholars of literature, either applied Frazerian paradigms, as did John A. Dutra in his 1965 Tufts dissertation, or stuck to a comparison of Greece and Rome, as did Reinhard Häussler in his 1995 book on *Hera and Juno* (which scarcely exhausted the heuristical possibilities of the comparison). Only in recent years have more mainstream scholars turned to the gods. The result is still in flux. Essay collections such as the ones by Alan Lloyd (1997), Bremmer



Frontmatter
More Information

xvi Preface

and Erskine (2010), or Pironti and Bonnet (2017) express by design scholarly polyphony and demonstrate how scholars are still looking for the right way - although it would be worth exploring whether such a polyphony might not be in the nature of its object, as Versnel's thorough and provocative *Coping with the Gods* (2011) suggested. Polyphony at least implies that the contemporary study of Greek religion has not come to an agreement on what a Greek god is, and even less how to understand the plurality of gods (Robert Parker famously thought it 'indescribable'), the hierarchies among them, and the role of cultural tools (epithets, prayers, or rituals) in constructing divinities. There is, however, a strong convergence towards Vernant's rejection of the concept of divine personality in favour of divine power (puissance) - an idea that should invite more discussion insofar as *puissance*, like δύναμις, is both 'might' and 'potentiality': gods are not just the accepted powers that tradition has given, but also new entities of whose existence for whatever reasons humans have just come to learn. And, as this book shows, there seems also to be wide agreement with Vernant's structuralist approach that transferred Saussurian linguistics onto the study of gods. As in language, meaning in a pantheon is generated by context; in the closed system of a local pantheon, a divinity is not an isolated object but a *puissance* defined by its position compared to all other powers; it gains specific meaning from the relationship with the context of all other gods. The corollary to this is that there is no universal Greek pantheon but a large number of local systems that form specific individual contexts, with 'local' being meant not just geographically. The Homeric pantheon has to be regarded as first and foremost another local system, as does Hesiod's - two systems that over time influenced how Greeks envisioned their local gods. Implicitly, this can also be seen as Vernant's answer to Otto's view of the Homeric gods as transcendent absolutes.

The two authors of this book follow this approach and extend it in two ways, one explicit, the other implicit. Implicitly, they regard the gods of Homer's two poems as yet another system; this justifies the introductory analysis of Hera's role and relationship to Zeus in *Iliad* and *Odyssey*. Explicitly, Vernant's structuralist (and as such synchronic) analysis is expanded into diachrony with the theory of a network in which single positions are not just connected horizontally as the relationship between different divine powers on the same temporal level, as in Vernant, but also vertically on different time horizons as the changing relationship between different powers or different forms of the same power over time: Hera in fifth-century Athens is not just defined by fifth-century Zeus (or Athena, for that matter, or Ares), but also by her Athenian position in the Imperial



Frontmatter
More Information

Preface xvii

epoch. Implicit in the plan of the book, there is a third level of relationship, the synchronic relationship between different local systems as a consequence of the somewhat brittle (but already Herodotean) notion of the religion of the Greeks.

This network model resolves the tension that Farnell had articulated, between local cults and mythology. They both express some aspect and form of a divinity, and the poetic elaboration of mythology is as important as the local cults and cannot simply be harnessed to research on cults by analysing it as an aetiology of rituals (Johnston 2017, pp. 34–64). Vinciane Pirenne-Delforge had been on the way to this model already in her 1994 book on *Greek Aphrodite*, which in hindsight marks a vital step in the new interest in Greek gods; its title implies a panhellenic view that is then realised through the discussion of local religious life (Pirenne-Delforge 2010a, p. 5). Similarly, Gabriella Pironti's book on Aphrodite (Pironti 2007), combines the analysis of literature with the study of local cults, often through epigraphy, in her attempt to define the goddess not by isolating her but by using Ares as the major systematic foil, not unlike how this book uses Zeus as the foil for Hera.

A different set of questions concerns the goddesses. Hellenists usually took the linguistic fact that a goddess is grammatically the female version of the male god – either as  $\dot{\eta}$   $\theta \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\alpha}$  or  $\dot{\eta}$   $\theta \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\alpha}$  – without further reflection as a statement that goddesses are simply female gods. Accordingly, in a problematic shortcut, scholars saw men being drawn to gods, women to goddesses: in this reading, 'the Hera of Zeus' is mostly the problematical wife. If scholars wanted to mark a specific status of the female god, they used the construction of the Great Mother, an all-powerful primary form of divine power, which Bachofen's concept of "mother right" had elevated into a social theory and which, via Engels, Marxist theory embraced and propagated into twentieth-century culture, even after Mutterrecht had long lost the status of a serious social theory. In a seminal, albeit rambling, paper of 1991, Nicole Loraux pointed out that the role and function of Greek goddesses was something that needed more thought; two years before that, Walter Burkert had seen the (obvious) gender symmetries, only then to problematise the asymmetries between human males and divine females. The reception of Loraux's paper was slow; Gabriella Pironti moved the topic forward in a 2013 paper, and it obviously will return in this book. In a gendered discourse, the 'Hera of Zeus' is more insidious than tradition would assume or contemporary gender discourse would allow.



Frontmatter More Information

xviii Preface

Overall then, this book is an important step in the study of Greek gods, well beyond the lessons learned from Vernant. It takes his Saussurian look seriously by not elaborating again an isolated view of an ancient divinity, but by using a major god as the hermeneutic foil to understand crucial aspects of her, and by reading Homer's and Hesiod's gods as local systems in their own right. And it overcomes the severe limits set by the synchronicity of a structuralist approach by using the local cults as dots in a panhellenic network that allows us to see changes and developments over time by connecting those dots not just with the horizontal lines of synchronicity. Which incidentally, and much to my own pleasure, gives the study of local cults an importance and an impulse that goes far beyond the antiquarianism that often has driven them.



Frontmatter
More Information

## Acknowledgements

Our book on the goddess Hera is now available to an English-speaking public and we are delighted about it. Thanks to our colleague and friend Renaud Gagné, we have the privilege of publishing our work in the new series that he edits with Jonas Grethlein for Cambridge University Press. We would like to thank him warmly for this.

When it came to choosing a translator, Renaud gave us the opportunity to be in touch with Raymond Geuss, a person of great culture and delicacy. May he be assured of our gratitude. The team at Cambridge University Press was of great help, and we would like to thank particularly Kathleen Fearn for the careful reading and copy-editing of our manuscript.

We would also like to thank Zoé Pitz and Manfred Lesgourgues for their help in preparing the manuscript and drawing up its indexes, and the Collège de France (Paris), as well as the Research Centre AnHiMA (UMR 8210, Paris), for funding the translation.



Vinciane Pirenne-Delforge, Gabriella Pironti, Translated by Raymond Geuss, Preface by Fritz Graf

Frontmatter More Information

### Abbreviations

ABVJ. D. Beazley, Attic Black-Figure Vase-Painters. Oxford, 1956. BAPDBeazley Archive:Pottery Database **CGRN** J.-M. Carbon, S. Peels, and V. Pirenne-Delforge, A Collection of Greek Ritual Norms. Liège, 2017-(http://cgrn.ulg.ac.be). DELG P. Chantraine, Dictionnaire étymologique de la langue grecque. Paris, 1968. Guide Délos P. Bruneau, J. Ducat, M. Brunet, A. Farnoux, and J.-C. Moretti, Guide de Délos, 4th edition. Paris, 2005 [1965]. IGInscriptiones Graecae. Berlin, 1903-I.Knidos W. Blümel, Die Inschriften von Knidos I. Bonn, 1992. I. Oropos V. Petrakos, Οἱ ἐπιγραφές τοῦ ஹωποῦ. Athens, 1997. I.Stratonikeia M. Ç. Şahin, Die Inschriften von Stratonikeia, 4 vols. Bonn, 1982-2010. LIMC Lexicon Iconographicum Mythologiae Zurich, 1984-. LIMC Aphrodite A. Delivorrias, 'Aphrodite', LIMC II (1984), pp. 2–151. V. Lambrinudakis et al., 'Apollon', LIMC II (1984), LIMC Apollon pp. 182-327. LIMC Artemis L. Kahil, 'Artemis', LIMC II (1984), pp. 618–753. LIMC Athena P. Demargne, 'Athena', *LIMC* II (1984), pp. 955–1044. LIMC Dionysos C. Gasparri, 'Dionysos', *LIMC* III (1986), pp. 414–514. R. Olmos, 'Eileithyia', LIMC III (1986), pp. 685–99. LIMC Eileithyia LIMC Hebe I A.-F. Laurens, 'Hebe I', LIMC IV (1988), pp. 458-64. LIMC Hera A. Kossatz-Deissmann, 'Hera', LIMC IV (1988), pp. 659-719. LIMC Herakles J. Boardman et al., 'Herakles', LIMC IV (1988), pp. 728-838; LIMC V (1990), pp. 1-192.



Vinciane Pirenne-Delforge , Gabriella Pironti , Translated by Raymond Geuss , Preface by Fritz Graf

### Frontmatter More Information

TrGF

	List of Abbreviations	xxi
LIMC Herakles	S. J. Schwarz, 'Herakles/Hercle', <i>LIMC</i> V (199 pp. 196–253.	0),
LIMC Hermes	G. Siebert, 'Hermes', <i>LIMC</i> V (1990), pp. 285–3	87.
LIMC Zeus	M. Tiverios et al., 'Zeus', LIMC VIII (199	
LSAM	pp. 310–74. F. Sokolowski, <i>Lois sacrées de l'Asie Mineure</i> . Paris, 19	
LSCG	F. Sokolowski, <i>Lois sacrées des cités grecques</i> . Paris, 19	-
LSS	F. Sokolowski, <i>Lois sacrées des cités grecqu</i> Supplément. Paris, 1969.	ues.
NGSL	E. Lupu, <i>Greek Sacred Law. A Collection of N Documents</i> . Leiden, 2005.	Iew
OGIS	W. Dittenberger, <i>Orientis Graeci Inscriptio</i> Selectae. Leipzig, 1903–5.	nes
PMG	D. L. Page, <i>Poetae Melici Graeci</i> . Oxford, 1962.	
RE	A. Pauly, G. Wissowa, and W. Kroll, <i>Realencyclopia</i> der classischen Altertumswissenschaft. Stuttgart, 189	
	1978.	
SEG	Supplementum Epigraphicum Graecum. Leiden, 192	
SVF	H. von Arnim, <i>Stoicorum Veterum Fragmenta</i> vols. Leipzig, 1903–24.	. 4
TLG	Thesaurus Linguae Graecae. A Digital Library	of

Greek Literature. University of California, Irvine.

Tragicorum Graecorum Fragmenta. Göttingen, 1986-.

