

## Pursuing Institutional Purpose

We are living in an era where global university schemes only offer narrow conceptions of quality, relying too heavily on international ranking systems. This timely book presents an alternative perspective on evaluating “world-class universities,” showcasing how eight very different higher education institutions have defined and are pursuing excellence in their own way. Each case study highlights how institutions can align their work with shared values and goals and strive to uphold these principles in all they do and say. The portraits offer insights into the ways institutions can create cultures of excellence tied to a vision of how to make a difference for their students and society. Their success suggests that policymakers should reward institutions that adopt and strive to fulfil particular educational purposes rather than continuing to perpetuate the status quo. This book is essential reading for researchers and students of education research, education policy, and international education reforms. This title is also available as Open Access on Cambridge Core.

**Matthew Hartley** is Board of Advisors Professor of Education at the Graduate School of Education, University of Pennsylvania. His research focuses on academic governance and he has consulted with universities in emerging and established democracies in South Asia, Europe, the Middle East, North America, and North Africa.

**Alan Ruby** is Senior Fellow at the Graduate School of Education, University of Pennsylvania. He has had a long career ranging from classroom teacher to Australian deputy secretary of education, to chair of the OECD education committee. He also served as director of the Human Development Sector for the East Asia Region of the World Bank.

# Pursuing Institutional Purpose

## *Profiles of Excellence*

**Matthew Hartley**

University of Pennsylvania

**Alan Ruby**

University of Pennsylvania



CAMBRIDGE  
UNIVERSITY PRESS



Shaftesbury Road, Cambridge CB2 8EA, United Kingdom

One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA

477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia

314–321, 3rd Floor, Plot 3, Splendor Forum, Jasola District Centre, New Delhi – 110025, India

103 Penang Road, #05–06/07, Visioncrest Commercial, Singapore 238467

Cambridge University Press is part of Cambridge University Press & Assessment, a department of the University of Cambridge.

We share the University's mission to contribute to society through the pursuit of education, learning and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

[www.cambridge.org](http://www.cambridge.org)

Information on this title: [www.cambridge.org/9781108840804](http://www.cambridge.org/9781108840804)

DOI: 10.1017/9781108892667

© Matthew Hartley and Alan Ruby 2025

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, with the exception of the Creative Commons version the link for which is provided below, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press & Assessment.

An online version of this work is published at [doi.org/10.1017/9781108892667](https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108892667) under a Creative Commons Open Access license CC-BY-NC 4.0 which permits re-use, distribution and reproduction in any medium providing appropriate credit to the original work is given. You may not distribute derivative works without permission. To view a copy of this license, visit <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nd/4.0>

When citing this work, please include a reference to the DOI 10.1017/9781108892667

First published 2025

Cover image: *Spiral abstract background* by filo via Getty.

*A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library.*

*Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data*

NAMES: Hartley, Matthew, 1964– author. | Ruby, Alan, author.

TITLE: Pursuing institutional purpose : profiles of excellence / Matthew Hartley, Alan Ruby.

DESCRIPTION: Cambridge, United Kingdom ; New York, NY : Cambridge University Press, 2024. |

Series: Cambridge education research | Includes bibliographical references and index.

IDENTIFIERS: LCCN 2024015900 (print) | LCCN 2024015901 (ebook) | ISBN 9781108840804 (hardback) |

ISBN 9781108743983 (paperback) | ISBN 9781108892667 (epub)

SUBJECTS: LCSH: Education, Higher–Aims and objectives–Case studies. | Universities and colleges–Research–Case studies. | Educational change–Case studies. | Education and globalization–Case studies.

CLASSIFICATION: LCC LB2322.2 .H38 2024 (print) | LCC LB2322.2 (ebook) | DDC 378–dc23/eng/20240508

LC record available at <https://lccn.loc.gov/2024015900>

LC ebook record available at <https://lccn.loc.gov/2024015901>

ISBN 978-1-108-84080-4 Hardback

Cambridge University Press & Assessment has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

CONTENTS

	<i>Preface</i>	<i>page</i> vii
	<i>Acknowledgments</i>	xi
	<i>List of Abbreviations</i>	xiii
1	Introduction: Why This Book?	1
2	Asian University for Women: Liberal Arts and Leadership for Women (Shoes or No Shoes)	16
3	Dublin City University: Terrier or Wolfhound?	36
4	Nazarbayev University: An Elite Institution or a National Model?	57
5	Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile: Connecting Faith and Service	75
6	Qatar University: Local Identity and Global Opportunities	90
7	School of Advanced Studies, University of Tyumen: Transformation from Within?	105
8	Tata Institute of Social Sciences: Immersed in the Field	122
9	University of Maryland, Baltimore County: Charisma and the Power of We	138
10	Conclusion: Reflections on Excellence	160
	<i>References</i>	173
	<i>Index</i>	182

## PREFACE

Over the past decade, our work has brought us to universities in Europe, Central Asia, North America, South America, and Southeast Asia. Our engagement with institutional leaders and academic communities in so many disparate contexts has enabled us to better understand the challenges colleges and universities face in these times. An idea we have encountered in a number of countries we have visited – one that has significantly shaped national policy discussions – is the desire to claim at least one “world-class university” (and sometimes many). What this meant was not always entirely clear, although it usually involved placing institutions in the “top 100” of an international ranking, preferably in the next decade. Such dreams come with hefty price tags. In some cases, pursuing the goal of a “world-class university” has resulted in a significant percentage of public higher education funds being allocated to a very few institutions. This bothered us. Was this the best way to advance higher education?

The Institutions of Excellence project grew out of a series of conversations we had with one another in which we began reflecting on the incredible work being done by institutions we had visited or whose leaders we had come to know. These were people who knew the students they served and who had thought carefully about their institution’s particular purpose in the world – how they wished to serve their communities, their region, and their society. One particularly powerful articulation came from an Indonesian rector who said, “The forest is behind our university, the sea is in front of us. This defines who we are as a university.”

None of these institutions were listed anywhere near the top of any major international ranking. However, we felt they offered powerful alternative conceptions of excellence that deserved attention. The purpose of this book is to lift up a small set of these institutions to demonstrate the ways in which they have conceptualized and organized their work in order to make a difference. We have tried to describe their efforts and give voice to the people who work there. We believe the ways they have pursued excellence deserve to be understood, and that policymakers would do well to consider how these

different approaches might inform how higher education is supported and advanced to better serve society.

The allure of creating a “world-class university” all too often leads to the clumsy and expensive pursuit of prestige. The most pervasive mechanisms for gauging prestige are rankings. Rankings do have their uses. They are tools prospective students and their families use to make sense of a bewildering array of choices in the higher education marketplace. However, as the primary metric used by policymakers and institutional leaders to measure success, we find them problematic.

Reputation, resources, and talent are all critical factors in the operational life of a university. A good reputation attracts students and faculty; wealth sustains them and offers opportunities for research, which leads to the creation and dissemination of knowledge, which in turn burnishes reputation. However, this apparently virtuous circle that rankings seek to capture overlooks efforts to improve teaching and learning, ignores efforts aimed at serving the community and broader society, and sheds no light on how institutions have defined their unique role in society, a matter of paramount importance to institutions and their leaders. It is blind to an elemental truth expressed by the cases presented in this book – that a primary means of drawing talent to an institution, and pursuing excellence, is having a clear and compelling sense of purpose regarding the work they do in the world.

Rankings favor older, richer research universities in developed countries. In the end, they are a rigged game in which universities from less-well-resourced countries find it hard to compete. Ivey, Oliver, and Henry (2014), when writing about the experiences of the University of Technology (Utech) in Jamaica, powerfully argue that rather than judging a university solely on the peer-based metrics of publications and citations, its research impact should also factor in “fidelity to mission” – the degree to which its research is serving the people of the nation and wider society. We agree.

Governments pursue world-class university strategies for a number of reasons. First, higher education, in general, is understood to be a critical factor in advancing the economic competitiveness of a country in the global knowledge economy. There is a concomitant belief that higher education systems benefit from the presence of excellent universities that conduct high-quality original research. These institutions can serve as demonstration sites for good practice, creating a spillover effect that allows other institutions to learn from them and emulate the initiatives and practices that the better-resourced institutions have developed. (This was the expressed intent in the founding of one of the institutions this study discusses.) The presence of such

institutions enables countries to attract, develop, and keep a pool of academic talent that trains future academics that serve the entire system. Well-resourced research universities draw some of a nation's best and brightest young minds and ensure their intellectual capital stays in the country.

However, the concept of a world-class university has garnered its share of detractors. World-class university schemes inevitably involve the concentration of resources. The State gives a far greater proportion of public monies to certain institutions in the pursuit of "world-class" status, which drains resources from other institutions – ones that serve the majority of students. Also, the world-class university model is the result of a highly instrumental view of the university – university-as-producer. It tends to favor sciences and technology – the patentable and the profitable – over the humanities, the philosophical, and the cultural. Their highly selective admissions have caused some to argue they are regressive institutions, disproportionately benefiting students who come from more affluent households.

World-class university schemes and rankings share one other critical flaw: They are blind to the most important factors that influence institutional success – organizational culture and institutional purpose. The shared beliefs and values significantly influence an organization's effectiveness, including how students are taught and nurtured and what research is done, behaviors that shape excellence in all areas of university life. How an institution describes its unique work in the world – its mission – can be a key factor in fostering a shared sense of purpose among those who work there, a quality that can raise a deep sense of belonging. A central feature of all the institutions this study discusses is a clear understanding of how they serve society, even if that vision is a contested one. They understand how they are trying to make a difference in the world; and in our view, institutional efforts that lead to positive change in the lives of others are a truer marker of impact and relevance than peer-reviewed journal articles, numbers of grant dollars, or patents.

The purpose of this book is to document how different higher education institutions in eight national and economic environments define and pursue excellence, where excellence is significantly defined by their contexts and the people they serve. The importance we place on context, organizational culture, and mission means that we are not proposing a single universal conception or assessment rubric to measure excellence or quality in higher education. Rather, we are looking for the disparate ways institutions define and enact their purposes, how they align institutional behavior with their stated values and institutional goals, the practices they use to uphold their key

principles, and the ways in which they seek to foster these values within these academic communities.

These cases also shed light on the tensions that emerge as these institutions seek to realize their missions. For some the challenge involves negotiating their place within the larger environment. For others it involves reconciling disparate internal views about their purposes and struggling to determine what markers of success to follow – those that emulate international practices or those that serve local needs. Many of these institutions are feeling the tug of market pressures and fear these may become a rip tide, dragging them from their moorings of mission and values.

Despite these challenges, ultimately, we believe the stories of these institutions should be told because of the compelling work they do in the world. We believe they offer important alternative conceptions of excellence – ones that run at cross grain with ranking schemes and world-class university models. The variety of approaches and the ways these eight institutions have adapted to serve their unique purposes offer promising pathways that other institutions may wish to emulate. We also believe their stories ought to cause policymakers to reconsider what kinds of “excellence” they choose to support and fund. They may also encourage students and parents to choose places of learning whose central aim is the good they try to do in the world rather than the pursuit of prestige.



## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Our exploration of institutional excellence has been supported by many colleagues and friends. We are deeply indebted to the people who work at the eight institutions we profiled. We are grateful for their warm welcome and for the great gifts of time. We have been deeply inspired by the dedication they bring to their work. Without them there would be no cases for us to draw on and to examine. In a real sense, their collective insights about excellence constitute the central contribution of this book.

We also thank our colleagues at the University of Pennsylvania's Graduate School of Education (Penn GSE) who have encouraged us and offered invaluable advice. We have benefited from the insights of students who took our graduate seminar on conceptions of excellence and who joined us in examining institutional documents, interview transcripts, and draft cases in order to understand these institutions better. We have been encouraged by the thoughtful comments of faculty colleagues who offered advice along the way.

We deeply appreciate the resources afforded us by virtue of our teaching and researching at Penn GSE, which offered us the time and the seed funding for this project. We are also indebted to the Qatar Foundation for a substantial grant that enabled us to visit several institutions and provided material research support. We benefited greatly from presenting early findings on this work at the 2019 WISE Summit with Ahmed Baghdady, (then) Manager for Research and Content Development at the Qatar Foundation, who is a coauthor of the chapter on Qatar University. We appreciate his guidance and contributions. We also wish to acknowledge the support and assistance of Stavros Yiannouka, the chief executive of the Foundation.

One of the greatest pleasures of this project has been working closely with doctoral students who were key members of our research team. Most notably we thank Elizabeth Dunens whose logistical support kept us on task despite other challenges that distracted our efforts (including a global pandemic). We also appreciate her intellectual contributions and prodigious skills as a qualitative researcher, which is evident in the University of Maryland, Baltimore County case, of which she is a coauthor. We benefited from the

contributions of Irina Eremenko, who helped in the development of the protocol used for the School of Advanced Studies, University of Tyumen case, and conducted the interview, in Russian, with the president of Tyumen University. We also appreciate the contributions of Joyce Kim to our regular research meetings. Astrid Pickenpack conducted a series of interviews, in Spanish, with the faculty members at Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile and helped clarify historical and contextual issues we did not understand, and for this we are most grateful. We also thank Emily Eckhardt, a master's student at Penn GSE, who conducted early interviews for us with colleagues at the Asian University for Women, where she had worked previously.

We have benefited from conversations with many academic colleagues from around the world who have offered guidance and encouragement as we framed our study. These include Geoff Hayward, former head of the University of Cambridge's Faculty of Education, and Colleen McLaughlin, former deputy head of that same institution. We acknowledge the support of Christopher Morpew, dean of Johns Hopkins School of Education; Jonathan Jansen of Stellenbosch University; and Nirmala Rao, former vice chancellor of Asian University for Women. Jane Knight wrote to us with advice from San Miguel de Allende in the mountains of Mexico. Philip Altbach from Boston College and S. Gopinathan, former dean of Nanyang Technological University, Singapore, helped us better understand the current state of higher education across Asia and provided helpful inputs about particular universities.

We are also indebted to our Penn GSE colleague Jennifer Moore, whose exemplary editorial skills helped smooth the narrative arc of nearly every chapter in this book.

While we were assisted and supported by all these people, and many others, any errors and omissions are entirely ours. These cases represent our interpretations based on our distinct personal histories and our experiences of working and traveling together over the years. The central nature of qualitative work is that the researcher *is* the instrument, and we are most certainly mindful of our limitations. Nevertheless, one of the most gratifying moments in this journey was reading the comments of those at the institutions who reviewed drafts of their case, many of whom expressed their appreciation of our efforts to give voice to their work and to tell their story. We are grateful, too, for their thoughtful editorial comments and have endeavored to address them.

Finally, we acknowledge and thank our respective partners, Jennie and Eileen, who endured our long days of travel, welcomed us home, and listened to our many musings about purpose and what makes a place matter. Their encouragement and support made this work possible.

ABBREVIATIONS

AUW	Asian University for Women
DCU	Dublin City University
NU	Nazarbayev University
QU	Qatar University
SAS	School of Advanced Studies, University of Tyumen
TISS	Tata Institute of Social Sciences
UC	Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile
UMBC	University of Maryland, Baltimore County
UTMN	University of Tyumen
WCU	world-class university