

CONTENTS

<i>List of Contributors</i>	page ix
<i>Acknowledgements</i>	xvi
<i>List of Abbreviations</i>	xvii

Introduction	1
BENOIT MAYER AND ALEXANDER ZAHAR	

DEBATE 1 **Customary Law** 15

A	The No-Harm Principle as the Foundation of International Climate Law	15
SANDRINE MALJEAN-DUBOIS		

B	The Significant Transboundary Harm Prevention Rule and Climate Change: One-Size-Fits-All or One-Size-Fits-None?	29
CHRISTOPHER CAMPBELL-DURUFLÉ		

DEBATE 2 **The ILC's Role** 40

A	The International Law Commission's Role in Developing International Law to Protect the Earth's Atmosphere As It Relates to Climate Change	40
PETER H SAND		

B	Why the ILC Should Not Seek to Codify Climate Law	50
GÉRAUD DE LASSUS ST-GENIÈS		

DEBATE 3 **CBDR Principle** 63

A	In Defence of the Principle of Common but Differentiated Responsibilities and Respective Capabilities	63
DARIA SHAPOVALOVA		

- B The Notion of Common but Differentiated Responsibilities and Respective Capabilities: A Commendable but Failed Effort to Enhance Equity in Climate Law 76
 THOMAS LECLERC
DEBATE 4 Compliance 86
- A In Defence of the Paris Agreement’s Compliance System: The Case for Facilitative Compliance 86
 MEINHARD DOELLE
- B The Paris Agreement’s Article 15 Mechanism: An Incomplete Compliance Strategy 99
 ANNA HUGGINS
DEBATE 5 Climate Litigation 111
- A The Essential Role of Climate Litigation and the Courts in Averting Climate Crisis 111
 CINNAMON PIÑON CARLARNE
- B Climate Litigation: A Red Herring among Climate Mitigation Tools 128
 GUY DWYER
DEBATE 6 Human Rights 145
- A Human Rights Law Can Drive Climate Change Mitigation 145
 NICOLA PAIN
- B The Absurdity of Relying on Human Rights Law to Go After Emitters 159
 FANNY THORNTON
DEBATE 7 Historical Responsibility 170
- A Redressing Historical Responsibility for the Unjust Precarities of Climate Change in the Present 170
 SARAH MASON-CASE AND JULIA DEHM

CONTENTS

vii

- B Historical Responsibility for Climate Change Is Political Propaganda 190
 ALEXANDER ZAHAR
- DEBATE 8 Climate Migration 206**
- A ‘Climate Mobility’ Is a Proper Subject of Research and Governance 206
 INGRID BOAS
- B ‘Climate Mobility’ Is Not a Proper Subject of Research and Governance 215
 CALUM TM NICHOLSON
- DEBATE 9 Negative-Emission Technologies 227**
- A An Emission-Reduction Commitment Is a Plan for the Future: Developing and Using New NETs Should Be at the Heart of That Plan 227
 GARETH DAVIES
- B It Would Be Irresponsible, Unethical, and Unlawful to Rely on NETs at Large Scale Instead of Mitigation 241
 DUNCAN MCLAREN AND WIL BURNS
- DEBATE 10 Solar Radiation Management 257**
- A Solar Geoengineering Could Be Consistent with International Law 257
 JESSE L REYNOLDS
- B Solar Geoengineering Is Prohibited under International Law 274
 KERRY ANNE BRENT
- DEBATE 11 Climate Assessment 285**
- A The Emergence of Climate Assessment as a Customary Law Obligation 285
 BENOIT MAYER
- B Environmental Impact Assessment for Greenhouse Gas Emissions Is Pie in the Sky 297
 ALEXANDER ZAHAR

REFLECTION 1	Adaptation	310
	Climate Change Adaptation Law: Is There Such a Thing?	310
	BENOIT MAYER	
REFLECTION 2	Loss and Damage	329
	Legal Claims for Reparation of Loss and Damage	329
	ALICE VENN	
REFLECTION 3	Disappearing States	349
	State Extinction Through Climate Change	349
	ORI SHARON	
REFLECTION 4	Climate Finance	365
	A Legal Perspective on Climate Finance Debates: How Constructive Is the Current Norm Ambiguity?	365
	YULIA YAMINEVA	
REFLECTION 5	Non-State Actors	379
	The Role of Non-State Actors in Climate Law	379
	MIKKO RAJAVUORI	
REFLECTION 6	Regime Inconsistency	398
	Climate Law and Environmental Law: Is Conflict between Them Inevitable?	398
	OLIVIA WOOLLEY	
REFLECTION 7	Aesthetics	412
	Climate Change Law and Aesthetics: A Primer	412
	BENJAMIN J RICHARDSON	
	Conclusion	431
	BENOIT MAYER AND ALEXANDER ZAHAR	
	<i>Index</i>	435