

POLICING FOR PEACE: INSTITUTIONS, EXPECTATIONS, AND SECURITY IN DIVIDED SOCIETIES

In communities plagued by conflict along ethnic, racial, and religious lines, how does the representation of previously-marginalized groups in the police affect crime and security? Drawing on new evidence from policing in Iraq and Israel, *Policing for Peace* shows that an inclusive police force provides better services and reduces conflict, but not in the ways we might assume. Including members of marginalized groups in the police improves civilians' expectations of how the police and government will treat them, both now and in the future. These expectations are enhanced when officers are organized into mixed rather than homogeneous patrols. Iraqis indicate feeling most secure when policed by mixed officers, even more secure than they feel when policed by members of their own group. In Israel, increases in police officer diversity are associated with lower crime victimization for both Arab and Jewish citizens. In many cases, inclusive policing benefits all citizens, not just those from marginalized groups.

MATTHEW NANES is Assistant Professor of Political Science at Saint Louis University. He researches policing, security, and counterterrorism. His work is published in journals including Comparative Political Studies and Journal of Peace Research, and he has worked with various NGOs, international organizations, and police departments to design evidence-based policies for peace.



CAMBRIDGE STUDIES IN LAW AND SOCIETY

Founded in 1997, Cambridge Studies in Law and Society is a hub for leading scholarship in socio-legal studies. Located at the intersection of law, the humanities, and the social sciences, it publishes empirically innovative and theoretically sophisticated work on law's manifestations in everyday life: from discourses to practices, and from institutions to cultures. The series editors have longstanding expertise in the interdisciplinary study of law, and welcome contributions that place legal phenomena in national, comparative, or international perspective. Series authors come from a range of disciplines, including anthropology, history, law, literature, political science, and sociology.

Series Editors

Mark Fathi Massoud, University of California, Santa Cruz

Jens Meierhenrich, London School of Economics and Political Science

Rachel E. Stern, University of California, Berkeley

A list of books in the series can be found at the back of this book.



POLICING FOR PEACE

Institutions, Expectations, and Security in Divided Societies

Matthew Nanes

Saint Louis University, Missouri





CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom

One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA

477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia

314–321, 3rd Floor, Plot 3, Splendor Forum, Jasola District Centre, New Delhi – 110025, India

79 Anson Road, #06-04/06, Singapore 079906

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning, and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781108839051

DOI: 10.1017/9781108979580

© Matthew Nanes 2021

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2021

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Names: Nanes, Matthew J., author.

Title: Policing for peace: institutions, expectations, and security in divided societies / Matthew Nanes.

Description: Cambridge, United Kingdom; New York, NY: Cambridge University Press, [2021] | Series: Cambridge studies in law and society | Includes bibliographical references and index.

Identifiers: LCCN 2020052346 (print) | LCCN 2020052347 (ebook) | ISBN 9781108839051 (hardback) | ISBN 9781108969680 (paperback) | ISBN 9781108979580 (ebook)

Subjects: LCSH: Police – Middle East. | Police-community relations – Middle East. | Multiculturalism – Middle East. | Conflict management – Middle East. | Reconciliation – Middle East.

Classification: LCC HV8241.9.A2 N36 2021 (print) | LCC HV8241.9.A2 (ebook) | DDC 363.2/30956-dc23

LC record available at https://lccn.loc.gov/2020052346

LC ebook record available at https://lccn.loc.gov/2020052347

ISBN 978-1-108-83905-1 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



CONTENTS

List of Figures		page vi
Lis	et of Tables	vii
Pre	Preface	
Acknowledgments		xiv
1	Introduction: The Challenge of Institution-Building in Divided	
	Societies	1
2	Power Sharing, Policing, and Peace	22
3	Sectarianism and Conflict in the Iraqi Police	42
4	Identity and Inclusion in the Israeli Police	67
5	Perceptions	92
6	Police Integration and Anti-Government Violence	117
7	Citizen Cooperation and Crime	140
8	Barriers to Integration	159
9	Conclusion: Peace-Building through Institutional Inclusion	180
Appendix A: Data and Methods		201
Bibliography		218
Index		230

V



FIGURES

2.1	Police power sharing in peace agreements	page 25
3.1	Responses to the question, "Do you ever feel afraid of the	
	Iraqi Police?"	47
3.2	Do you think that greater sectarian integration of the Iraqi	
	Police Services would make citizens like you better off, worse	
	off, or about the same?	62
4.1	Police stations and officer demographics – 2014	80
4.2	Station-level officer fractionalization	81
5.1	Israel: Perceived integration at the national and local levels,	
	and whether local officers are perceived to come from the	
	same group as the respondent, a different group, or a mix of	
	each	96
5.2	Police integration in Israel	97
5.3	Throughout Israel in general, would you say that the police	
	are very integrated, somewhat integrated, or not at all	
	integrated?	99
5.4	Now please think specifically about your neighborhood. How	
	often do you see or hear about Jewish and non-Jewish officers	
	working together?	100
5.5	Who perceives the Israeli police as integrated?	102
5.6	Iraq: Perceived police integration	105
5.7	Perceived type of inclusion, by sect	106
5.8	Local police integration and population demographics	108
5.9	Who perceives the Iraqi police as integrated?	109
6.1	Conflict fatalities in Baghdad, June 2014–February 2017	126
6.2	Sectarian violence in Baghdad, c. December 2014	127
6.3	Effect of information about police integration on support for	
	anti-government violence	137
7.1	If you witnessed someone stealing money from a shop, would	
	you contact the police?	142
7.2	Effect by community and individual characteristics	154
8.1	Iraqi Shias' concerns about integration	166
8.2	Israeli Jews' concerns about integration	166

vi



TABLES

4.1	Officer demographics by subdistrict (2014)	page 82
5.1	Israel: Police inclusion by group	101
5.2	Arab evaluations of the federal government	112
5.3	Iraqi evaluations of the federal government	114
6.1	Perceived integration and perceptions of service provision	129
6.2	Perceived integration and employment	131
6.3	Perceived integration and expected repression	133
6.4	Support for anti-government violence	135
7.1	If you witnessed someone stealing money from a shop, would	
	you contact the police?	151
7.2	Predictors of police inclusiveness	155
A.1	Survey sample statistics (Iraq)	209
A.2	Distribution of list experiment responses	210
A.3	Cumulative responses	211
A.4	Iraq – list experiment	212
A.5	Iraq – vignette experiment	214
A.6	Distribution of respondents	216
A.7	Survey sample statistics (Israel)	2.17

vii





PREFACE

As I finalize this manuscript in summer 2020, the role of identity in policing is at the forefront of the news. From my apartment in St. Louis, I can hear protesters marching and demanding justice for victims of police violence. The incidents in Minneapolis, Louisville, and elsewhere that sparked these protests reignited a collective consciousness that arguably began six years earlier, just eight miles from where I now sit, when a White police officer in Ferguson, Missouri, shot and killed an African American civilian. It was certainly not the first African American death under questionable circumstances at the hands of the police, but it sparked riots, protests, and a national conversation about the relationship between racial minorities and the police.

This is not a book about law enforcement in the United States. Yet, it is impossible to ignore the similarities between the experiences of citizens in Oakland, Baltimore, and New York and those of citizens in Jerusalem, Baghdad, and Mosul. The levels of violence vary, but the underlying mistrust between minority citizens and the police is familiar. It is therefore not unreasonable to ask whether the demographic makeup of the police in the United States impacts our society in the same ways, as I show in this book, that it affects the citizens of Israel and Iraq. Are there common mechanisms that might allow us to draw conclusions about the United States from evidence in the Middle East, or are these different worlds where identity affects policing in different ways?

Throughout this book, I show that perceptions link demographics with security. Integration is more than just a tally of officers by religion or skin color; it is the perceived empowerment of a group within an institution. In societies where group identity and political fortunes are deeply intertwined, integration of the police creates the perception that the government is committed to certain treatment of vulnerable groups and the expectation that this treatment will continue into the future.

Negative perceptions certainly characterize police—citizen relations in the United States. Large swaths of society perceive the police as an

ix



PREFACE

occupying force designed to subjugate them. The US Department of Justice's report on the shooting in Ferguson pointed to institutionalized structures "shaped by the City's focus on revenue rather than by public safety needs," leading to "clear racial disparities that adversely impact African Americans." This summer, militarized police responses to protests in dozens of cities prompted perceptions among citizens that the police themselves had antagonized the situation. "The tone that we felt from the police is: This is their rally ... They are going to control it from the beginning. They are going to dictate what happens. It's a very offensive type of approach." While there is no evidence that militarized policing protects officers or citizens, it appears to diminish the public's perceptions of the police (Mummolo 2018). These negative perceptions become magnified when a community is simultaneously under- and over-policed, causing dual problems of insecurity at the hands of both criminals and officers.

Negative perceptions and mistrust between citizens and law enforcement run in both directions. Speaking at the University of Chicago Law School in 2015, FBI director James Comey said, "I imagine two lines: one line is law enforcement and the other line is the folks we serve and protect, especially in communities of color. I think those two lines are arcing away from each other, at an increasing rate." Later in the speech, Comey attributes this divergence between police and society to officers' perceptions that the public has turned against them.

In today's YouTube world, are officers reluctant to get out of their cars and do the work that controls violent crime? Are officers answering 911 calls but avoiding the informal contact that keeps bad guys from standing around, especially with guns? I spoke to officers privately in one big city precinct who described being surrounded by young people with mobile phone cameras held high, taunting them the moment they get out of their cars. They told me, "We feel like we're under siege and we don't feel much like getting out of our cars."³

X

¹ United States Department of Justice Civil Rights Division. Investigation of the Ferguson Police Department, March 4, 2015. p. 2.

Dewan, Shaila and Baker, Mike. "Facing protests over use of force, police respond with more force." The New York Times, June 2, 2020, https://www.nytimes.com/2020/05/31/us/ police-tactics-floyd-protests.html.

³ Comey, James B. "Law enforcement and the communities we serve: Bending the lines toward safety and justice." *Remarks delivered at the University of Chicago Law*



PREFACE

Former NYPD Commissioner Howard Safir called it a "war on police," and the president of the Federal Law Enforcement Officers Association described a "contagion of anti-cop rhetoric."

This perception of society as the enemy is hardly a new phenomenon. William Westley writes that when it comes to people in lower-class neighborhoods, "the policeman sees them as lacking in morality, ready to commit a crime, ignorant of the law ... if rough treatment is not used these people will get out of hand and take advantage of the police" (99). Of the 85 officers Westley surveyed, 62 (73 percent) perceived that the public is "against the police, hates the police" (93). One officer told him, "The use of force is necessary to protect yourself. You should always show that you are boss. Make them respect the uniform and not the man" (126). Westley began the research that sparked these words in 1949. While societal norms no longer permit the police to admit such attitudes publicly, their behavior suggests that a nontrivial subset of officers still view the public as a threat, and violence as the primary response to that threat. Indeed, an op-ed written in 2014 by a former St. Louis police officer claims that his colleagues "routinely called anyone of color a 'thug,' whether they were the victim or just a bystander."5

If police officers view the entire civilian population as the enemy, it should not come as a surprise when they seize on any hint of disobedience as an excuse to exert their dominance. In perhaps the most blatant instance of turning "to serve and protect" on its head, dozens of St. Louis police officers took to the streets in September 2017 chanting, "Whose streets? Our streets!" at citizens protesting the acquittal of an officer accused of murdering a civilian. Shortly afterward, Interim Police Chief Lawrence O'Toole told reporters, "We're in control. This is our city and we're going to protect it." The police view the city as

- School. Chicago, Illinois. October 23, 2015, www.fbi.gov/news/speeches/law-enforcement-and-the-communities-we-serve-bending-the-lines-toward-safety-and-justice
- ⁴ Chiaramonte, Perry. "War on police': Line-of-duty deaths rise amid racially-charged rhetoric, anti-cop climate." FoxNews.com, January 12, 2017, www.foxnews.com/us/war-on-police-line-of-duty-deaths-rise-amid-racially-charged-rhetoric-anti-cop-climate
- 5 Hudson, Redditt. "Being a cop showed me just how racist and violent the police are. There's only one fix." Washington Post, December 6, 2014, www.washingtonpost.com/posteverything/wp/2014/12/06/i-was-a-st-louis-cop-my-peers-were-racist-and-violent-and-theres-only-one-fix/
- ⁶ Hogan, Susan. "St. Louis officers chant 'whose streets, our streets' while arresting protesters." Washington Post, September 18, 2017, www.washingtonpost.com/news/morning-mix/

хi



PREFACE

"theirs," to be protected *from* society, rather than a city society entrusts to them for protection.

So, can altering the demographic makeup of the police reduce this "us versus them" mentality by both citizens and police officers? The overwhelming majority of police officers are well-intentioned men and women who genuinely want to serve their communities. There is no reason to think that most officers, regardless of their race, are incapable of or unwilling to police African Americans fairly. Yet, good people operating in a flawed institutional context sometimes behave differently than they might otherwise behave. I explain in the pages that follow that integrating the police changes citizens' perceptions of government. Though my evidence on officer behavior is limited, I suggest that integration changes the context in ways that should reshape officers' perceptions of citizens as well. Under normal circumstances, a disproportionate share of officers' interactions are with the individuals from whom society needs protecting. Add this skewed experience to centuries of institutionalized racism, and one begins to understand how the police acquire the impression that society is out to get them, that African Americans are "thugs," and that the police are the last bastion of order in an otherwise chaotic society. Changing these perceptions and helping officers see that the vast majority of people are law-abiding citizens worthy of their respect and protection must be the goal of any

The police often talk about fellow officers as though they are family. These uniformed men and women work together toward a common cause in the face of danger, day in and day out, creating a sense of attachment. When the men and women in uniform overwhelmingly look and talk a certain way, a way that differs systematically from the rest of society, it is easy to view citizens as "others" worthy of mistrust. Creating a diverse police force in which officers from different groups work side by side might change officers' perceptions of citizens who look different than they do, helping them see citizens worthy of protection rather than out-groups to be feared. As James Comey said in the very same speech quoted earlier, "It's hard to hate up close. It's hard to hate someone once you sit and stare into their eyes and start to understand where they're coming from, and why they feel the way they do." Generating positive interactions between police officers and citizens is

wp/2017/09/18/st-louis-officers-chant-whose-streets-our-streets-while-arresting-protesters-against-police-killing/

xii



PREFACE

obviously an important part of making hate hard. Given the potential for structured, positive interactions between members of different groups to improve attitudes toward out-groups (Allport 1954), perhaps officers with police "family" of other races will find it easier to view civilians of other races as allies, not adversaries.

This book presents evidence on citizens' behaviors and perceptions, but one can imagine a similarly powerful narrative about police officers' perceptions of citizens like the one I described earlier. More than anything, I hope the research in this book helps to recognize and address some of the institutionalized causes of insecurity in divided societies and contributes to the peaceful coexistance of all people, regardless of race, religion, or uniform.



ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This is, at its heart, a book about people. The thousands of individuals who make up my "data points" are real people who have something important to say. I am grateful to them for lending their experiences and voices to my research. My ultimate hope for this work is that it contributes to the welfare of these individuals and others like them.

Many people contributed to this book and the research behind it. First and foremost, the members of my doctoral committee at the University of California San Diego (UCSD), Karen Ferree, Claire Adida, Eli Berman, David Lake, and Phil Roeder, provided inspiration and guidance as the project developed from a seminar paper to a dissertation, and from a dissertation to published research. I sincerely thank each of them for entrusting me with the tools and resources to pursue the research I envisioned. During my time at UCSD, I also benefited from the guidance of Clark Gibson, Zoli Hajnal, Simeon Nichter, Nico Ravanilla, and other faculty. My cohort-mates in the "Best Cohort Ever" and members of the neighboring cohorts also provided frequent input and, more importantly, lasting friendship. I thank Konstantin Ash, Matt Bergman, Shannon Carcelli, Dotan Haim, Andy Janusz, John Kuk, Nazita Lajevardi, David Lindsey, Brandon Merrell, Kelly Matush, Inbok Rhee, Debbi Seligsohn, Zachary Steinert-Threlkeld, Abigail Vaughn, and Jack Zhang for contributing formally and informally to this work.

I spent the summer of 2014 in Jerusalem where I appeared unannounced and uninvited on the doorstep of Hebrew University's Institute of Criminology. Badi Hasisi, Simon Perry, and David Weisburd generously shared their time and contacts, providing me with an indispensable introduction to policing in Israel and to the academic study of policing more generally. I am deeply indebted to them and hope they do not mind a political scientist reinventing a wheel that their field developed decades ago.

From September 2017 to June 2018, Stanford University's Center on Democracy, Development, and the Rule of Law (CDDRL) provided me

xiv



ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

with the greatest gift an academic can receive: time. During my postdoc at CDDRL, my work benefited from helpful feedback from Lisa Blaydes, Seung Cho, Natt Hongdilokkul, David Laitin, Jane Yeonjae Lee, Rebecca Perlman, Kerry Persen, Ryan Tans, and Samantha Vortherms.

In fall 2018, I found a new home in the Political Science Department at Saint Louis University (SLU). My colleagues here have overwhelmed me with kindness and support. I especially thank J.D. Bowen, Ellen Carnaghan, Ali Fisunoglu, Morgan Hazelton, and Steven Rogers for their comments on various stages of my work. In spring 2019, I had the privilege of hosting a workshop for my manuscript at SLU. Rob Blair, Jim Gilsinan, Guy Grossman, Caroline Hartzell, and Jake Shapiro generously spent the better part of a day picking apart my work and then helping me put it back together again in ways that undoubtedly made it stronger.

I was fortunate to receive funding from several sources. From 2015 to 2017 I received a predoctoral fellowship from the Israel Institute, which allowed me to study the Israel Police. The University of California Institute on Global Conflict and Cooperation, and UCSD Policy Design and Evaluation Lab also provided critical funding. SLU funded additional interviews and fieldwork as I developed the manuscript. I collected data with the help of two research firms: IPSOS in Israel and IIACSS in Iraq. Both played an instrumental role in accessing respondents and interviewees in hard-to-reach places, adding richness to this project. Special thanks go to Munqith Daghir for his guidance and commitment to this project. At Cambridge University Press, Jackie Grant devoted many hours to ensuring this project reached fruition. I also appreciate the editors of the Law and Society series taking a chance on my work. Two anonymous reviewers provided extremely helpful feedback.

Finally, neither this book nor my career could have come to fruition without my family's love and encouragement. No doubt my parents never expected their son to go wandering around the Middle East asking questions about sectarian violence and policing. The knowledge that I have their unwavering support every step of the way gives me the courage to take the professional risks necessary to achieve my goals. My brother Ben sets a positive example, both in scientific research and in life, that I strive to follow every day. I could not ask for a better role model.

