

Cambridge University Press & Assessment

978-1-108-83821-4 — The Human Right to Resist in International and Constitutional Law

Shannonbrooke Murphy

Frontmatter

[More Information](#)

---

## **THE HUMAN RIGHT TO RESIST IN INTERNATIONAL AND CONSTITUTIONAL LAW**

The human right to resist is a contemporary legal concept with an ancient pedigree. Although it has received recognition in constitutions, customary international law and human rights treaties, and acknowledgment by leading publicists of international law, it remains obscure compared to other human rights. In this innovative and comprehensive book, Shannonbrooke Murphy addresses the perennial question of who has a ‘right’ to resist – and what, when, why and how, from a legal perspective. Using a systematic and comparative approach to analyzing both the theoretical concept and the provisions in positive law, this study aims to establish that a ‘right to resist’ can be recognized and codified as an enforceable ‘human right’, proposing a common conceptual language and an analytical framework for evaluating the legal basis of claims. Murphy makes a strong and detailed case for a firmer place for the ‘right to resist’ in the human rights lexicon.

Shannonbrooke Murphy is Endowed Chair in Human Rights and Associate Professor in the Human Rights Department of St Thomas University in Canada. She was appointed as a Human Rights Commissioner with the provincial New Brunswick Human Rights Commission in 2023, and as Director of the Atlantic Human Rights Centre in 2024.

# The Human Right to Resist in International and Constitutional Law

SHANNONBROOKE MURPHY

St Thomas University



CAMBRIDGE  
UNIVERSITY PRESS

Cambridge University Press & Assessment

978-1-108-83821-4 — The Human Right to Resist in International and Constitutional Law

Shannonbrooke Murphy

Frontmatter

[More Information](#)



CAMBRIDGE  
UNIVERSITY PRESS

Shaftesbury Road, Cambridge CB2 8EA, United Kingdom

One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA

477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia

314–321, 3rd Floor, Plot 3, Splendor Forum, Jasola District Centre, New Delhi – 110025, India

103 Penang Road, #05–06/07, Visioncrest Commercial, Singapore 238467

Cambridge University Press is part of Cambridge University Press & Assessment,  
a department of the University of Cambridge.

We share the University's mission to contribute to society through the pursuit of  
education, learning and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

[www.cambridge.org](http://www.cambridge.org)

Information on this title: [www.cambridge.org/9781108838214](http://www.cambridge.org/9781108838214)

DOI: 10.1017/9781108974134

© Shannonbrooke Murphy 2025

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions  
of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take  
place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press & Assessment.

When citing this work, please include a reference to the DOI 10.1017/9781108974134

First published 2025

*A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library*

*A Cataloging-in-Publication data record for this book is available from the Library of Congress*

ISBN 978-1-108-83821-4 Hardback

Cambridge University Press & Assessment has no responsibility for the persistence  
or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this  
publication and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will  
remain, accurate or appropriate.

Cambridge University Press & Assessment

978-1-108-83821-4 — The Human Right to Resist in International and Constitutional Law

Shannonbrooke Murphy

Frontmatter

[More Information](#)

---

This work is dedicated to all those throughout history, both renowned and unknown, who, despite the risks and against the odds, have asserted the right to resist and through their actions changed our world for the better.

And in loving memory of my Ukrainian maternal grandparents, Mykhaylo (Mike) Chojcan and Maryna (Mary) Chojcan née Kowtun. Now more than ever, this is for you.

Contents

<i>Foreword by Richard Falk</i>	xi
<i>Acknowledgments</i>	xvii
<i>Table of Cases</i>	xix
<i>Table of Treaties and Legislation</i>	xxv
<i>Table of Other Authorities</i>	xli
<b>1 Introduction: In from the Margins</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 The Problem, the Stakes, and the Purpose of This Book	1
1.2 The Approach and Method	7
1.3 The Structure of the Book	8
<b>PART I THE CONCEPT</b>	
<b>2 Advancing a Legal Definition of the Right</b>	<b>15</b>
2.1 Introduction	15
2.2 Contemporary Term Usage	18
2.3 Clarifying the Conceptual Relationships	20
2.4 Cognate Terms: Relation and Differentiation for Legal Definition	27
2.5 Distinct from Antecedent Terms	34
2.6 Distinct from Corroborative Concepts	35
2.7 Identifying the ‘Common Core’	38
2.8 Conclusion: A Consolidated Contemporary Working Definition	39
<b>3 Clarifying the Nature and Legal Function of the Right</b>	<b>41</b>
3.1 Introduction	41
3.2 Nature of the Right	43

3.3	Type of Right	51
3.4	Function of the Right	65
3.5	Conclusion: A Potentially Enforceable Human Right and <i>Lex Specialis</i> Rule of Exception	74
<b>4</b>	<b>Identifying the Elements and Legal Content of the Right</b>	<b>76</b>
4.1	Introduction	76
4.2	Primary Triggers or Conditions for Activation: The ‘Right to Resist What?’	77
4.3	Secondary Triggers or Conditions for Activation: The ‘Right to Resist When?’	86
4.4	Personal Scope, the Rights-Holders: ‘Who May Resist?’	88
4.5	Personal Scope, the Duty-Bearers: ‘Who Has a Corresponding Duty?’	90
4.6	Object and Purpose: The ‘Right to Resist Why?’	93
4.7	Material Scope of Application: The ‘Right to Resist How?’	105
4.8	Conclusion: An Analytical Framework to Identify and Compare Elements and Content	110
<b>PART II THE LAW</b>		
<b>5</b>	<b>Domestic Provision in Constitutional Law</b>	<b>115</b>
5.1	Introduction	115
5.2	Provision in ‘Ancient Constitutions’ or Equivalent Law	117
5.3	Middle Ages ‘Constitutions’ or Public Law Equivalents, Including Customary Law	122
5.4	Modern Revolutionary Republican and Anti-colonial Foundational Declarations and Constitutions	129
5.5	Contemporary Constitutional Provisions	141
5.6	Conclusion	164
<b>6</b>	<b>Recognition in General or Customary International Law</b>	<b>167</b>
6.1	Introduction	167
6.2	Clarifying Recognition in the Absence of Express Provision	169
6.3	Limited Implied Recognition: UN General Assembly Resolution 2625	181
6.4	Broader Implied Recognition: The Universal Declaration of Human Rights	199
6.5	Implied Recognition of a Right-Duty: The Nuremberg Principles	210

<i>Contents</i>		ix
6.6	Corroborative Sources Indicative of Customary Recognition	215
6.7	Conclusion	227
7	<b>Provision in Treaty Law and Other International Codification</b>	230
7.1	Introduction	230
7.2	Submersion in the Universal Human Rights System	232
7.3	Fragmentation in the Regional Human Rights Systems	249
7.4	‘Soft Law’ Codification: Draft Article 7 of the Draft UN Declaration on the Right to Peace	271
7.5	Conclusion	281
8	<b>Conclusions and an Agenda for Reconsideration</b>	283
8.1	The Case for Reinstatement and Reconsideration	283
8.2	The Human Right to Resist Reconsidered as a Contemporary Legal Concept	283
8.3	Reconsidering the Human Right to Resist in Contemporary Positive Law	287
8.4	An Agenda for Further Reconsideration by Scholars, Practitioners, and Human Rights Advocates Generally	292
	<i>Bibliography</i>	295
	<i>Index</i>	317

## Foreword

As I read this jurisprudentially fascinating and exceptionally learned book, the media was consumed by its daily accounts of the massive military operation conducted by Israel against the Palestinian civilian population of Gaza. It was a gruesome story rationalized on one side as self-defence against Hamas, cast as a terrorist organization that carried out a barbaric attack on 7 October 2023 and, as such, could be legitimately situated outside the protection that international law provides by setting limits on the violence of warfare, although compliance is often problematic. On the other side, criticism mounted that what was being called self-defence would be better understood if interpreted as a deliberate and unabashed recourse to genocide by Israel, whose slaughter of Gazans amounted to a humanitarian catastrophe affecting the whole of the Palestinian people. By and large, political leaders in the West endorsed Israel's shocking response to the horrific Hamas attack on 22 villages in southern Israel, which resulted in the death of an estimated 1,400 Jewish civilians and soldiers, as well as the seizure of an estimated 240 hostages, severe war crimes committed by Hamas that seemed to qualify as crimes against humanity.

In contrast were exceptionally large and aggressive public demonstrations in cities around the world, including in the United States, United Kingdom, and other states whose governments were giving unconditional support to Israel. These street protests were denouncing the scope, targeting, intensity, and severity of Israel's response as amounting to the crime of crimes, genocide, although the milder events in the West tended to confine their demands to calls for an immediate ceasefire, which Israel and the United States opposed at the UN and in their diplomatic stance. Both views, however contradictory their political outlooks seem, were connected by invoking law to justify and explain their impassioned partisanship.

A reading of Shannonbrooke Murphy's timely and conceptually brilliant book, while itself demanding a reader's sophisticated and dedicated attention,



is the most illuminating treatment of these and kindred issues, of how law can be used in good faith to uphold a politics of armed resistance while at the same time putting strict limits on the legally grounded human right of people to resist various forms of oppressive conditions. It is an unusual situation, but far from unprecedented, for law to exist in certain respects but still lack sufficient clarity to offer definitive guidance to parties in conflict as to what is behaviourally permitted and what is not, enabling the more powerful actors to engage in lawfare as part of their strategic approach.

Murphy does not examine specific cases of conflicts between the forces of order and the rights of resistance in trying to depict and improve upon the *conceptual* landscape that throughout history has surrounded this inherently controversial set of issues. Instead, she considers resistance from the perspective of human rights law as it currently functions in international law and constitutional law, while presenting a learned and relevant account of historical antecedents in the work of past celebrated jurists and other normative sources of reflection on the dual role of law in prohibiting and permitting resistance. A prominent feature of the human right to resist is that it functions as a *right of exception* to the normal *duty to obey*. It is a matter of varying circumstances that give rise to resistance in a variety of contexts because existing arrangements of governance are harming individuals, groups, and peoples in socially unacceptable ways, often reflecting changing or evolving societal values. Such a potential role for positive law affirms that the contested behaviour, in addition to being morally and politically deplorable, can be further stigmatized as sufficiently *legally* deplorable as to vindicate the existence and exercise of the human right of resistance. Domestic law typically wrestles with such issues at the level of the individual or group. These issues may be features of governance (for instance, colonialism, apartheid) of characteristics of civil society (for instance, homophobia, racial and religious prejudice, patriarchy).

Such a human right to resist became prominent in the United States in the 1960s due to the refusal of individuals to comply with the legal obligation to serve for a limited period of time in the US armed forces during the Vietnam War. Western democracies had previously wrestled with this issue during World War II, generally granting individuals and groups such a right if it derived from religious convictions and was directed against all wars, or warfare as such. During the Vietnam War an increasing number of secularly motivated young Americans developed a legal argument that became known as ‘selective conscientious objection’ in which justification for the refusal to join the armed forces was based on moral/legal/political objections to this *particular* war in Vietnam. Revealingly, as the Vietnam War became more unpopular

with the citizenry over time, courts in the United States looked with greater favour on this once novel secular rationale for conscientious objection. To be more attuned to Murphy's conceptual clarity, this set of issues of political propriety is addressed as a 'cognate' notion that influences but is distinct from the penumbra of the 'human right of resistance'. In such a spirit, Murphy subtly balances positivist concerns with achieving as much conceptual precision as possible against the importance of retaining enough flexibility to enable law to evolve as societal values and circumstances change. Her jurisprudential stance favours codification efforts that take sensitive account of changing conditions and societal values while recognizing the benefits of achieving maximum conceptual precision and stability with regard to prevailing expectations about the content of the human right to resist.

The originality of this learned discussion of the human right to resist, which should be of particular interest to common law countries such as the United States, is the decision of the author not to address specific cases of collective resistance such as the Irish or Palestinian struggle for human rights including some form of self-rule, or even the radical forms of opposition to Nazi genocide. In this sense, Murphy's jurisprudentially impressive study can be fruitfully read as a complement to Noura Erakat's fine *Justice for Some: Law and the Question of Palestine* (Stanford University Press 2019). What Erakat gains by way of readability and context is somewhat offset by her fully acknowledged substantive sympathies that become part of the policy analysis that underpins her critique of the ways international law has failed the Palestinian people. In this same sense, what Murphy, despite the lucidity of her prose, loses by way of readability is fully redeemed by a fundamental rethinking, with partisan undertones, of what is at stake when a right of exception is given to individuals, groups, and peoples to violate the law *legally*, but within a secondary framework of more or less authoritative *legal* limits.

I am a great admirer of both works, but for different, yet interlinked reasons. In Erakat's case because I share her compelling concern for delegitimizing Palestinian victimization via lawfare, while in Murphy's case because I am made far more aware of the complexity of the issues involved in legalizing resistance, taking account of its continuing evolution and persisting conceptual gaps, and explicating and exploring linkages to other kindred issues that bear centrally on limiting the power of the state. These linkages pertain both to incorporating the right to resist authority into domestic constitutional texts and by way of applicable international human rights standards that have evolved from being moral aspirations to become legal obligations. In this latter instance, for example, such crimes as apartheid and genocide are not conceptually insulated from legal accountability by invoking claims of unlimited

sovereignty over territorial governance or by constitutional provisions that accord superiority to domestic sources of law whenever clashes with international law are present.

In one important respect, Murphy's positivist presentation of issues associated with resistance legality takes our attention away from the political contexts of enforcement. We could end up with an admirably coherent conceptual framework but with a useless or even regressively opportunistically legalistic approach to various categories of grievances emanating from those who are deemed as class adversaries or international rivals. The authority of law has radically uneven limits in its functioning within and among sovereign states. For instance, such 'legal' developments as the Nuremberg and Tokyo war crimes trials accepted the taint of 'victors' justice' because of foreclosing inquiry, much less accountability, into the crimes of the victors. Even more consequential for evolving a humane global rule of law was the right of veto inserted into the UN Charter, thereby both hampering and tainting the operations of the United Nations. International law is weak when it comes to vital issues because its implementation tends to be disrupted by and subordinated to the primacy of geopolitics, which rests protection of rights on such unreliable restraints as imposed by deterrence threats and prudence, if at all. This results in major resistance claims being manipulated to reflect the interests and policy priorities of powerful states and domestic elites. What is evident is that the selective implementation of human rights law in general creates images of moral hypocrisy and double standards as diluting the authority of and respect for international legal discourse. True, some creative tension has emerged internationally due to the collapse of European colonialism, although Israel is a reminder of what colonialism has meant for oppressed native peoples. This is expressed by the establishment of counter-hegemonic legal arenas, including among jurists as exemplified by TWAIL (Third World Approach to International Law) scholarship, reflecting the Global South's experience of the hegemonic uses of international law relied on by colonial Europe in exploiting native resources while dehumanizing non-Western peoples. Resistance to colonialism has, in the post-colonial era of international relations, inspired the determined effort to generate support for a counter-hegemonic approach to international and constitutional law, which is expressed by the transnational inclusion of Global South jurists in the TWAIL enterprise.

Shannonbrooke Murphy is fully aware of the incompleteness of a purely positivist focus on the human right to resist, while here setting for herself this already Herculean challenge of conceptual clarification. Her contributions to contemporary jurisprudence are profound, and rendered in ways that permit

and encourage diverse inquiries into other bodies of human rights pertaining to a range of topics, including rights of self-defence, freedom of expression, and freedom of religion, in relation to such sensitive policy questions as recourse to war, rights of secession by ethnic or religious minorities, as well as the more sensitive personal issues of gender parity and identity.

Fifty or so years ago a British graduate student at the Yale Law School, Rosalyn Higgins, who like me fell under the charismatic influence of Myres McDougal, was troubled by the subjectivity and seeming ideological bias of a jurisprudential approach that gave legal hegemony to the Cold War values prevalent in the West. I was also troubled, but on different grounds. I questioned the jurisprudential necessity for such an ideological bias and instead sought a contextually sensitive approach to law that was guided by the type of secular humanism that infuses the Universal Declaration of Human Rights.

Professor Higgins, who later became a distinguished judge at the International Court of Justice, organized a conference in London to explore these rifts between the British and American approaches to law. Without the benefit of Murphy's conceptual mapping, I found the conference most intriguing because of the gathering of fine legal scholars for such an unusual conversation, yet intellectually unrewarding as it merely reproduced the tensions between a British insistence that the subjectivity of the New Haven School of International Law undermined the authority of law and the American claim that law without a political and ethical context is artificially cut off from reality. If we were to arrange such a meeting in 2024, I would insist that Shannonbrooke Murphy's book be required background reading, believing that both sides could valuably learn from it. Also, I cannot imagine a better beginning for an advanced course in human rights, resistance claims, or the interplay of international and constitutional law than by assigning this demanding yet remarkably imaginative and profound contribution to an improved understanding of the interactions between law, resistance, and human rights in a variety of substantive contexts.

**Richard Falk**

## Acknowledgments

This book started out as an LLM thesis, then a doctoral thesis. First and foremost, therefore, I am fundamentally grateful for the guidance and general inspiration provided by Professor William A Schabas, my LLM and PhD supervisor at the Irish Centre for Human Rights at the National University of Ireland Galway School of Law and later Middlesex University School of Law. His emphasis on legal history has been particularly influential on my work. I am also fortunate to have benefited from the input and encouragement given at various points by the other members of my supervision team at Middlesex – Professor Joshua Castellino at the earliest stages of the doctoral work, and especially Associate Professor David Keane, whose careful reading and enthusiasm for the project pulled me through this second stage.

For this work to reach its third and final stage of evolution as a book would not have been possible without the support and encouragement of my editors, Finola O'Sullivan, Marianne Nield and Biju Singh, and my anonymous peer reviewers at Cambridge University Press. Likewise, I am deeply grateful for the financial support of St Thomas University, and especially for the unstinting moral and practical support of Professor Amanda DiPaolo, Chair of our Human Rights Department. I proudly acknowledge the sterling research assistance provided by my students Jenicca Jean, Pamela (Graci) Young, Emilia Gutierrez and Elisha Gunaratnam. I thank Professor Richard Falk, not only for his ongoing encouragement and generous Foreword, but also for helping to keep the legal concept of the right to resist alive over the past six decades.

Others made extremely helpful contributions. Professors Ray Murphy and Shane Darcy of the Irish Centre for Human Rights went out of their way to accommodate my research in my two years as a guest of the Centre. My doctoral colleagues there, too numerous to list individually, provided a supportive and lively intellectual and social environment. Special mention

goes to Dr Tara Smith, whose positivity never failed to raise my morale, and Dr Nathan Derejko, who believed strongly in the project and provided critical feedback on some of the earliest draft materials. I would be remiss if I did not also acknowledge the consistently thoughtful support of Professor Vinodh Jaichand from my earliest days as an LLM student at the Centre, when I first formed the idea for this project.

Alex Neve, former Secretary-General of Amnesty Canada, and the other dedicated Canadian refugee lawyers Michael Bossin, Kristin Marshall, and Constance Nakatsu, originally encouraged me into the study of human rights law.

Gerry Adams and Mary Lou McDonald TD authorized flexible employment conditions and a sabbatical in order for me to complete both the LLM and PhD stages of the project.

My dear friends Monica Brennan and Tullia Marcolongo generously provided shelter during my final phase of doctoral research at the Robarts Library in Toronto, and my dear cousins Joan Ezesky and Keith Vanderkley selflessly ensured that I had a place to write up my findings in comfortable seclusion.

Finally, my greatest gratitude to the ever-faithful, without whom this work would have been impossible: Brian Murphy (RIP) and Alys Murphy (Lasha Chojean), my devoted parents; my lifelong friends Kevin Thomas and Dr Rick Lines, who, among other supports provided, have had the greatest overall influence on my thinking and writing; my partner and Socratic gadfly, Ian Ó Maolchraoibhe, for his patience, impatience, and exacting proofing skills – any remaining errors are my own.

And, of course, to Pangur Ruadh.

Table of Cases

[Listed by category, alphabetically]

INTERNATIONAL COURTS, TRIBUNALS AND SIMILAR BODIES

*African Commission on Human and Peoples’ Rights*

Comm 75/92 *Katangese Peoples’ Congress v Zaire* (22 March 1995) (2000)  
AHRLR 72. .... 256–7

Comm 102/93 *Constitutional Rights Project and Another v Nigeria*  
(31 October 1998) (2000) AHRLR 191. .... 257

Comms 105/93-128/94, 130/94-152/96 *Nigeria Media Rights Agenda  
and Others v Nigeria* (31 October 1998) (2000) AHRLR 200. .... 254

Comm 144/95 *William A Courson v Equatorial Guinea* (22 November  
1997) (2000) AHRLR 93. .... 259

Comm 147/95-149/96 *Sir Dawda K Jawara v the Gambia* (11 May 2000)  
(2000) AHRLR 107. .... 257–8

Comm 227/99 *Democratic Republic of the Congo v Burundi, Rwanda  
and Uganda* (29 May 2003) (2004) AHRLR 19. .... 255

Comm 266/03 *Kevin Mgwanga Gunme et al v Cameroon* (27 May 2009)  
[2009] ACHPR 99. .... 254, 256–7

Comm 276/03 *Centre for Minority Rights Development and Another v Kenya*  
(25 November 2009) [2009] ACHPR 102. .... 255–6

Comm 328/06 *Front for the Liberation of the State of Cabinda v Angola*  
(5 November 2013) (2013) ACHPR (54th Ordinary Session). .... 251,  
255–7

*African Court of Human and Peoples' Rights*

<i>African Commission on Human and Peoples' Rights v Kenya</i> (2017)	
28 AFCHPR 60. ....	255–6
<i>Belguith v Republic of Tunisia</i> [2022] AFCHPR 35. ....	257
<i>Mornah v Republic of Benin</i> [2022] AFCHPR 22. ....	255, 258

*International Court of Justice*

<i>Accordance with International Law of the Unilateral Declaration of Independence in Respect of Kosovo</i> (Advisory Opinion) [2010] ICJ Rep 403. ....	187–8
<i>Application of the Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide (Bosnia and Herzegovina v Serbia and Montenegro)</i> (Judgment) [2007] ICJ Rep 43. ....	191
<i>Armed Activities on the Territory of the Congo (DRC v Uganda)</i> (Judgment) [2005] ICJ Rep 168. ....	178, 185, 194, 197
<i>Corfu Channel (UK v Albania)</i> (Merits) [1949] ICJ Rep 4. ....	177
<i>Delimitation of the Maritime Boundary in the Gulf of Maine Area (Canada v United States of America)</i> (Judgment) [1984] ICJ Rep 246. ....	56
<i>East Timor (Portugal v Australia)</i> (Judgment) [1995] ICJ Rep 90. ....	188
<i>Gabčíkovo-Nagymaros Project (Hungary v Slovakia)</i> (Judgment) [1997] ICJ Rep 7. ....	195
<i>Legal Consequences for States of the Continued Presence of South Africa in Namibia notwithstanding Security Council Resolution 276</i> (1970) (Advisory Opinion) [1971] ICJ Rep 16. ....	187, 199
<i>Legal Consequences of the Construction of a Wall in the Occupied Palestinian Territory</i> (Advisory Opinion) [2004] ICJ Rep 136. ....	185, 188, 195, 211
<i>Legal Consequences of the Separation of the Chagos Archipelago from Mauritius in 1965</i> (Advisory Opinion) [2019] ICJ Rep 95. ....	188–9
<i>Legality of the Threat or Use of Nuclear Weapons</i> (Advisory Opinion) [1996] ICJ Rep 226. ....	71
<i>Military and Paramilitary Activities In and Against Nicaragua (Nicaragua v USA)</i> (Merits) [1986] ICJ Rep 14. ....	176, 177, 178, 179, 182, 183, 185, 197, 198
<i>Reservations to the Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of Genocide</i> (Advisory Opinion) [1951] ICJ Rep 15. ....	93, 191



Table of Cases xxi

*South-West Africa (Ethiopia v South Africa; Liberia v South Africa)*  
    (Second phase Judgment) [1966] ICJ Rep 6. .... 56, 181  
*United States Diplomatic and Consular Staff in Tehran (USA v Iran)*  
    (Judgment) [1980] ICJ Rep 3 [91]. .... 200, 210  
*Western Sahara (Advisory Opinion)* [1975] ICJ Rep 12. .... 188, 216

*International Military Tribunal*

*France et al v Göring et al* (1946) 22 IMT 203, 13 ILR 203. .... 213

*Special Tribunal for Lebanon*

*Decision on the Applicable Law: Terrorism, Conspiracy, Homicide,  
    Perpetration, Cumulative Charging* (Interlocutory Decision) STL-11-01/  
    I/AC/R17bis Appeals Chamber (16 February 2011). .... 225

*UN Human Rights Committee*

Comm 167/1984 *Ominayak v Canada* (26 March 1990) ICCPR  
    A/45/40. .... 239  
Comm 347/1988 *SG v France* (1 November 1991) UN Doc C/43/D/  
    347/1988. .... 237  
Comm 384/1989 *GB v France* (1 November 1991) UN Doc C/43/D/  
    348/1989. .... 237  
Comm 386/1989 *Koné v Senegal* (27 October 1994) UN Doc C/52/D/386/  
    1989. .... 241  
Comm 412/1990 *Kivenmaa v Finland* (10 June 1994) UN Doc  
    C/50/D/412. .... 237  
Comm 518/1992 *Sohn v Republic of Korea* (3 August 1995) UN Doc  
    C/54/D/518/1992. .... 241  
Comm 628/1995 *Tae Hoon Park v Republic of Korea* (3 November 1998)  
    UN Doc C/64/D/628/1995. .... 238  
Comm 760/97 *Diergaardt et al v Namibia* (25 July 2000) ICCPR  
    A/55/40. .... 239  
Comm 932/2000 *Gillot v France* (15 July 2002) ICCPR A/57/40  
    (CCPR/c/75/D/932/2000). .... 239  
Comm 1014/2001 *Baban v Australia* (18 September 2003) UN Doc  
    C/78/D/1014/2001. .... 241

Comm 1790/2008 *Govsha, Syritsa and Mezyak v Belarus* (27 July 2012)  
UN Doc CCPR/C/105/D/1790/2008..... 237

‘General Comment 3 – Implementation at the National Level: Article 2’  
(1981) UN Doc 29/07..... 234, 237

‘General Comment 5 – Article 4 (Derogations)’ (1981) UN Doc CCPR/  
GEC/4717/E..... 236

‘General Comment 10 – Freedom of Expression (art 19)’ (1983) UN  
Doc A/29/06/83. .... 241

‘General Comment 11 – Article 20: Prohibition of Propaganda for War’  
(1983) UN Doc A/38/40. .... 241

‘General Comment 12 – Article 1: The Right to Self-Determination of  
Peoples’ (1984) UN Doc A/39/40. .... 233, 234, 237, 239

‘General Comment 25 – Article 25: The Right to Participate in Public Affairs,  
Voting Rights and the Right of Equal Access to Public Service’ (1996)  
UN Doc A/51/40..... 233, 237

‘General Comment 29 – States of Emergency (Article 4)’ (2001) UN Doc  
CCPR/C/21/Rev.1/Add.11..... 236, 240, 241

‘General Comment 31 [80]: The Nature of the General Legal Obligation  
Imposed on States Parties to the Covenant’ (2004) UN Doc CCPR/C/21/  
Rev.1/Add.13..... 234, 235, 237, 241

‘General Comment 34 – Article 19: Freedoms of opinion and expression’  
(2011) UN Doc CCPR/C/GC/34..... 237, 241

‘General Comment 37 – On the Right of Peaceful Assembly (article 21)’  
(2020) UN Doc CCPR/C/G/37. .... 238, 240–1

Other UN Treaty Bodies

UN Committee on the Elimination of Racial Discrimination, ‘General  
Comment 19 – Article 3: The prevention, prohibition and eradication of  
racial segregation and apartheid’ (1995) UN Doc A/50/18..... 190

DOMESTIC COURTS

*Australia*

*R v Hansford* [1974] VR 251..... 25

*Canada*

*Gil v Canada* (MEI) (A-375-92) (Court of Appeal 21 October 1994)..... 245

France

*Conseil Constitutionnel Decision* 71-44 DC (1971) [2] *Journal officiel* 18 July 1971, 7114. .... 138

Germany

*Communist Party (KPD) Case* 5 BVerfGE 85 (1956) 377. .... 162, 163  
*Manfred Brunner and Others v The European Union Treaty* 2 BvR 2134/92 and 2159/92 (12 October 1993) [1994] 1 CMLR 57. .... 162  
*Re Ratification of the Treaty of Lisbon* 2 BvE 2/08 (30 June 2009) [2010] 3 CMLR 13. .... 162, 163

Ghana

*Ekwam v Pianim (no 2) and Others* (1996-97) SCGLR 120 [1999] 2 LRC 242. .... 163  
*New Patriotic Party v Attorney General* (1997) [1999] 2 LRC 283. .... 163

South Africa

*S v Okah* [2018] ZACC 3. .... 259, 260, 292  
 ‘Written Submissions for the Amicus Curiae Southern Africa Litigation Centre (“SALC”)’, *State v Henry Eomotimi Okah*, Case No CCT315/16 & CCT 193/17, Constitutional Court of South Africa, 24 November 2017. .... 259, 292

United Kingdom

*Al-Sirri v Secretary of State for the Home Department* [2012] UKSC 54. 244  
*Langdale v Mason* (1780) 2 Park’s Law of Marine Insurance, 8th ed, 965. .... 26  
*R v McGregor* (1844) 1 Car & Kir 429. .... 25  
*T v Secretary of State for the Home Department* (HL) [1996] 2 WLR 766 [1996] 2 All ER 865. .... 244

USA

*District of Columbia v Heller*, 554 US 570 (2008). . . . . 134

*Immigration and Naturalization Service v Aguirre-Aguirre*, 526 US 415 (1999)  
119 S. Ct. 1439. . . . . 244, 245

*Institute of Cetacean Research et al v Sea Shepherd Conservation Society et al*,  
DC No 2:11-cv-02043-RAJ (US Court of Appeals 9th Circuit 25  
February 2013). . . . . 218

*McMullen v Immigration and Naturalization Service*, 658 F 2d 1312  
(9th Cir. 1981). . . . . 245

*United States v Schooner Amistad*, 40 US 518 (1841). . . . . 218

Table of Treaties and Legislation

[Listed by category, alphabetically]

INTERNATIONAL TREATIES

Charter of the United Nations (adopted 26 June 1945, entered into force 24 October 1945) (as amended) 892 UNTS 119. .... 10, 33, 58, 98, 168, 169, 171, 172, 173, 174–210, 216, 217, 224, 233, 234, 239, 241, 246, 253, 255, 262, 263, 267, 269, 270, 271, 274, 279, 289

Convention (II) with Respect to the Laws and Customs of War on Land (adopted 29 July 1899, entered into force 4 September 1900) 187 CTS 429. .... 219–20, 221

Convention (IV) Respecting the Laws and Customs of War on Land (adopted 18 October 1907, entered into force 26 January 1910) 205 CTS 227. .... 219–20, 221

Convention Against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment (adopted 10 December 1984, entered into force 26 June 1987) 23 ILM 1027. .... 242

Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women (adopted 18 December 1979, entered into force 3 September 1981) UNGA Res 34/180, UN Doc A/34/46. .... 242

Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination (adopted 21 December 1965, entered into force 4 January 1969) 660 UNTS 195. .... 192, 242

Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide (adopted 9 December 1948, entered into force 12 January 1951) 78 UNTS 277. .... 4, 191, 242

Convention on the Rights and Duties of States in the Event of Civil Strife  
 (1928) 22 AJIL 159. .... 174

Convention on the Rights of the Child (adopted 20 November 1989, entered  
 into force 2 September 1990) 1577 UNTS 3. .... 242

Convention Relating to the Status of Refugees (adopted 28 July 1951, entered  
 into force 22 April 1954) 189 UNTS 137..... 11, 58, 232, 242–7, 280

Protocol Relating to the Status of Refugees (adopted 31 January 1967,  
 entered into force 4 October 1967) 606 UNTS 267. .... 243

Geneva Convention (I) for the Amelioration of the Condition of the  
 Wounded and Sick in Armed Forces in the Field (adopted 12 August  
 1949, entered into force 21 October 1950) 75 UNTS 31. .... 36, 197,  
 219–22

Geneva Convention (II) for the Amelioration of the Condition of  
 Wounded, Sick and Shipwrecked Members of the Armed Forces at Sea  
 (adopted 12 August 1949, entered into force 21 October 1950) 75  
 UNTS 85. .... 36, 197, 219–22

Geneva Convention (III) Relative to the Treatment of Prisoners of War  
 (adopted 12 August 1949, entered into force 21 October 1950) 75  
 UNTS 135. .... 36, 197, 219–22

Geneva Convention (IV) Relative to the Protection of Civilian Persons in  
 Time of War (adopted 12 August 1949, entered into force 21 October  
 1950) 75 UNTS 287. .... 36, 197, 219–22

Protocol (I) Additional to the Geneva Conventions of 12 August 1949, and  
 Relating to the Protection of Victims of International Armed Conflicts  
 (adopted 8 June 1977, entered into force 7 December 1978) 1125  
 UNTS 3. .... 36, 185, 197, 219–23

Protocol (II) Additional to the Geneva Conventions of 12 August 1949, and  
 Relating to the Protection of Victims of Non-International Armed  
 Conflicts (adopted 8 June 1977, entered into force 7 December 1978)  
 1125 UNTS 609. .... 36, 197, 222

International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (adopted 16 December  
 1966, entered into force 23 March 1976) UNGA Res 2200A (XXI) UN  
 Doc A/6316 (1966). .... 11, 58, 209, 232–42, 264, 277

International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (adopted  
 16 December 1966 entered into force 3 January 1976) UNGA Res  
 2200A (XXI) UN Doc A/6316 (1966). .... 233, 234, 242

International Convention on the Protection and Promotion of the Rights  
 and Dignity of Persons with Disabilities (adopted 13 Dec 2006, entered

*Table of Treaties and Legislation*

xxvii

into force 3 May 2008) UNGA Res 61/106 Annex 1, UN Doc A/RES/61/106 (2006)..... 242

International Convention on the Suppression and Punishment of the Crime of Apartheid (adopted 30 December 1973, entered into force 18 July 1976) 1015 UNTS 243. .... 4, 190, 242

Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court (adopted 17 July 1998, entered into force 1 July 2002) 2187 UNTS 3 (as amended 2010). .... 11, 211, 213, 218, 232, 247–9, 274

Slavery Convention (1926 as amended by Protocol 1953, entered into force 7 July 1955) 212 UNTS 17. .... 242

Supplementary Convention on the Abolition of Slavery, the Slave Trade, and Institutions and Practices Similar to Slavery (adopted 7 September 1956, entered into force 30 April 1957) 266 UNTS 3..... 242

Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties (adopted 22 May 1969, entered into force 27 January 1980) 1155 UNTS 331..... 93, 231, 237, 267

REGIONAL TREATIES

*Africa*

African Charter on Democracy, Elections and Good Governance (adopted 30 January 2007, entered into force 15 February 2012) OAU Doc Assembly/AU/Dec.147 (VIII). .... 260, 261

African Charter on Human and Peoples’ Rights (adopted 27 June 1981, entered into force 21 October 1986) (1982) 21 ILM 58. ....11, 250–61, 262, 276, 281, 288

Protocol to the African Charter on Human and Peoples’ Rights on the Establishment of an African Court of Human and Peoples Rights (adopted 9 June 1998) OAU Doc OAU/LEG/EXP/AFCHPR/PROT (III). .... 260

Constitutive Act of the African Union (adopted 7 November 2000, entered into force 26 May 2001, as amended by the Protocol on Amendments to the Constitutive Act of the African Union adopted 13 July 2003, entered into force 25 April 2012). .... 260

Protocol Relating to the Establishment of the Peace and Security Council of the African Union (adopted 9 July 2002, entered into force 26 December 2003). .... 260

Protocol on Democracy and Good Governance (adopted 21 December 2001) ECOWAS A/SP1/12/01. .... 260

Americas

American Convention on Human Rights (adopted 22 November 1969 entered into force 18 July 1978) OASTS 36 (1979) 9 ILM 673 (1970). . . . . 79, 263–5, 268–71, 281  
Charter of the Organization of American States (adopted 30 April 1948, entered into force 13 December 1951) 119 UNTS 3. . . . . 270  
Inter-American Democratic Charter (adopted 11 September 2001) OAS GA Res 1, OAS Doc OEA/SerP/res.1 (2001) 40 ILM 1289. . . . . 271

Arab States

Arab Charter on Human Rights (adopted 15 September 1994, not in force) (1997) 18 HRLJ 151. . . . . 262  
Arab Charter on Human Rights (adopted 23 May 2004, entered into force 15 March 2008) (2005) 12 IHRR 893. . . . . 11, 261–3, 281, 288  
Statute of the Arab Court of Human Rights (adopted 7 September 2014, not yet entered into force). . . . . 263

Europe

Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms (1950) (adopted 4 November 1950, entered into force 3 September 1953) ETS 5 as amended by Protocol 11 (entered into force 1 November 1998) ETS 155 and Protocol 14 (entered into force 1 June 2010) ETS 2194. . . . . 79, 264–8, 270, 281  
Protocol 1 to the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms (adopted 20 March 1952, entered into force 18 May 1954) ETS 9. . . . . 264

NATIONAL CONSTITUTIONS, QUASI-CONSTITUTIONAL  
DECLARATORY INSTRUMENTS, AND OTHER LAWS

[Listed alphabetically and in chronological order]

Algeria

Constitution of Algeria 1976. . . . . 144, 146, 148, 150, 151, 152, 153, 154, 155, 156, 157, 159



*Table of Treaties and Legislation* xxix

Constitution of Algeria 1989. .... 144  
 Constitution of Algeria 1996. .... 144  
 Constitution of Algeria 2008. .... 144  
 Constitution of Algeria 2020. .... 144, 146, 148, 150, 151, 152, 153,  
 154, 155, 156, 157, 159

*Argentina*

Constitution of the United Provinces of Rio de la Plata 1828. .... 139  
 Constitution of Argentina 1853. .... 139  
 Constitution of Argentina 1956. .... 139, 140, 145, 147, 148, 150, 151,  
 152, 153, 155, 157, 159  
 Constitution of Argentina 1983. .... 139  
 Constitution of Argentina 1994. .... 139, 145, 147, 148, 150, 151, 152,  
 153, 155, 157, 159

*Armenia*

Constitution of Armenia 1995. .... 145, 146, 148, 150, 151, 152, 153,  
 155, 156, 157, 158, 159  
 Constitution of Armenia 2005. .... 145, 146, 148, 150, 151, 152, 153,  
 155, 156, 157, 158, 159

*Azerbaijan*

Constitution of Azerbaijan 1995. .... 145, 146, 148, 149, 150, 151, 152,  
 153, 155, 157, 159  
 Constitution of Azerbaijan 2009. .... 145, 146, 148, 149, 150, 151, 152,  
 153, 155, 157, 159

*Belgium*

Joyeuse Entrée 1356. .... 127, 129  
 Constitution of Belgium 1831. .... 127

*Benin*

Constitution of Benin 1990. .... 144, 145, 146, 148, 150, 151, 152,  
 153, 155, 156, 157, 158, 159

*Table of Treaties and Legislation*

*Bolivia*

Constitution of the United Provinces of Rio de la Plata 1828..... 139

*Burkina Faso*

Constitution of Burkina Faso 1991. .... 144, 146, 149, 150, 151, 152,  
153, 155, 157, 158, 159  
Constitution of Burkina Faso 2012. .... 144, 146, 149, 150, 151, 152,  
153, 155, 157, 158, 159

*Cameroon*

Constitution of Cameroon 1972. .... 145  
Constitution of Cameroon 2008. .... 145

*Cape Verde*

Constitution of Cape Verde 1980..... 144  
Constitution of Cape Verde 1992..... 144  
Constitution of Cape Verde 1996..... 144, 146, 148, 150, 151, 152, 153,  
154, 155, 156, 157, 158, 159

*Chad*

Constitution of Chad 1996..... 144, 147, 148, 150, 151, 152, 153, 155,  
157, 159  
Constitution of Chad 2005..... 144  
Constitution of Chad 2018..... 144, 147, 148, 150, 151, 152, 153, 155,  
157, 159

*Cuba*

Constitution of Cuba 1940..... 140  
Constitution of Cuba 1972..... 140, 145, 147, 148, 150, 151, 152, 153,  
154, 155, 156, 157, 158, 159

*Table of Treaties and Legislation* xxxi

Constitution of Cuba 1976..... 145  
Constitution of Cuba 2002..... 145  
Constitution of Cuba 2019..... 145, 147, 148, 150, 151, 152, 153,  
154, 155, 156, 157, 158, 159

*Cyprus*

Assises of Jerusalem 1099..... 126

*Czechia*

Constitution of the Czech Republic 1993..... 145, 146, 148, 149  
Constitution of the Czech Republic 2013..... 145, 146, 148, 149

*Democratic Republic of the Congo*

Constitution of Congo (Brazzaville) 2002..... 145  
Constitution of the Democratic Republic of the Congo 2005..... 145, 147,  
149, 150, 151, 152, 153, 157, 159  
Constitution of the Democratic Republic of the Congo 2011..... 145,  
147, 149, 150, 151, 152, 153, 157, 159

*Dominican Republic*

Constitution of the Dominican Republic 1963..... 140  
Constitution of the Dominican Republic 1966..... 140, 145, 147, 148, 149  
Constitution of the Dominican Republic 2015..... 145, 147, 148, 149

*Ecuador*

Constitution of Ecuador 1978..... 140  
Constitution of Ecuador 1998..... 140  
Constitution of Ecuador 2008..... 140, 145, 147, 148, 149, 150, 151,  
152, 153, 154, 156, 157, 159, 162  
Constitution of Ecuador 2015..... 145, 147, 148, 149, 150, 151, 152,  
153, 154, 156, 157, 159, 162

*El Salvador*

Constitution of El Salvador 1886. .... 139  
Constitution of El Salvador 1945. .... 139, 140  
Constitution of El Salvador 1950. .... 139, 140  
Constitution of El Salvador 1983. .... 139, 145  
Constitution of El Salvador 1996. .... 139, 140, 145, 147, 148, 150, 151,  
152, 153, 155, 156, 157, 159  
Constitution of El Salvador 2014. .... 139, 145, 147, 148, 150, 151, 152,  
153, 155, 156, 157, 159

*Estonia*

Constitution of Estonia 1992. .... 145, 146, 149, 150, 151, 152, 153,  
154, 155, 156, 157, 159  
Constitution of Estonia 2015. .... 145, 146, 149, 150, 151, 152, 153,  
154, 155, 156, 157, 159

*France*

Declaration of the Rights of Man and of the Citizen 1789. .... 129, 145,  
146, 149  
Declaration of the Rights of Man and of the Citizen 1793. .... 129, 136  
Constitution of France 1791. .... 145, 149, 150, 151, 152, 153, 156,  
157, 159  
Constitution of France 1958. .... 145, 146, 149, 150, 151, 152, 153, 156,  
157, 159  
Constitution of France 2008. .... 145, 149, 150, 151, 152, 153, 156, 157, 159

*Gambia*

Constitution of Gambia 1996. .... 145  
Constitution of Gambia 2004. .... 145

*Germany*

Sachsenspiegel 1220 or 1235. .... 126  
Constitution of Germany 1949. .... 145, 146, 148, 150, 151, 152, 153,  
154, 155, 156, 157, 159

*Table of Treaties and Legislation* xxxiii

Constitution of Germany 1968. .... 145, 146, 148, 150, 151, 152, 153,  
154, 155, 156, 157, 159  
Constitution of Germany 2014. .... 145, 146, 148, 150, 151, 152, 153,  
154, 155, 156, 157, 159

*Ghana*

Constitution of Ghana 1992. .... 145, 147, 149, 150, 151, 152, 153, 155,  
156, 157, 158, 159  
Constitution of Ghana 1996. .... 145, 147, 149, 150, 151, 152, 153, 155,  
156, 157, 158, 159

*Greece*

Decree of Demophantos 410 BCE. .... 117, 120–2  
Law of Eukrates 336 BCE. .... 117, 118, 120–2  
Constitution of Greece 1975. .... 145, 146, 149, 150, 151, 152, 153, 155,  
157, 159  
Constitution of Greece 2008. .... 145, 146, 149, 150, 151, 152, 153, 155,  
157, 159

*Guatemala*

Constitution of Guatemala 1945. .... 140  
Constitution of Guatemala 1965. .... 140  
Constitution of Guatemala 1982. .... 140  
Constitution of Guatemala 1985. .... 140, 145, 147, 149, 150, 151, 152,  
153, 156, 157, 159  
Constitution of Guatemala 1993. .... 145, 147, 149, 150, 151, 152, 153,  
156, 157, 159

*Guinea*

Constitution of Guinea 2010. . 145, 147, 149, 150, 151, 152, 153, 154, 156,  
157, 159

*Honduras*

Constitution of Honduras 1957..... 140  
Constitution of Honduras 1982..... 140, 145, 147, 148, 150, 151, 152,  
153, 155, 157, 159  
Constitution of Honduras 2013..... 145, 147, 148, 150, 151, 152, 153,  
155, 157, 159

*Hungary*

Bulla Aurea 1222. .... 126–7  
Constitution of Hungary 2011..... 145, 146, 148, 150, 151, 152, 153,  
155, 157, 158, 159  
Constitution of Hungary 2013..... 145, 146, 148, 150, 151, 152, 153,  
155, 157, 158, 159

*Ireland*

Remonstrance of the Irish Chiefs 1317. .... 128–9

*Lithuania*

Constitution of Lithuania 1992..... 145, 146, 148, 150, 151, 153, 154,  
155, 157, 159  
Constitution of Lithuania 2006..... 145, 146, 148, 150, 151, 153, 154,  
155, 157, 159

*Maldives*

Constitution of Maldives 2008. ... 145, 147, 149, 150, 151, 152, 153, 157, 159

*Mali*

Constitution of Mali 1992..... 145, 147, 149, 150, 151, 152, 153, 155,  
157, 158, 159

Table of Treaties and Legislation

xxxv

Mexico

Constitution of Apatzingán 1814. .... 138–9  
Constitution of Mexico 1917. .... 139, 140, 145, 147, 149, 150, 151,  
152, 153, 155, 156, 157, 159  
Constitution of Mexico 2015. .... 139, 145, 147, 149, 150, 151, 152,  
153, 155, 156, 157, 159

Mozambique

Constitution of Mozambique 1990. .... 145, 147, 149, 151, 152, 153,  
156, 157, 159  
Constitution of Mozambique 2004. .... 145, 147, 149, 151, 152, 153,  
156, 157, 159  
Constitution of Mozambique 2007. .... 145, 147, 149, 151, 152, 153,  
156, 157, 159

Netherlands

Plakkat van Verlatinghe 1581. .... 129

Niger

Constitution of Niger 2010. .... 145

Paraguay

Constitution of Paraguay 1992. .... 140, 145, 147, 148, 150, 151, 152,  
153, 155, 157, 158, 159  
Constitution of Paraguay 2011. .... 145, 147, 148, 150, 151, 152, 153,  
155, 157, 158, 159

Peru

Constitution of Peru 1979. .... 140  
Constitution of Peru 1993. .... 140, 145, 147, 148, 150, 151, 152, 153,  
155, 157, 159

xxxvi *Table of Treaties and Legislation*

Constitution of Peru 2009. .... 145, 147, 148, 150, 151, 152, 153, 155,  
157, 159

*Poland*

Acta Henriciana 1574. .... 126

*Portugal*

Constitution of Portugal 1976. .... 145, 146, 148, 149, 150, 151, 152, 153,  
154, 156, 157, 159  
Constitution of Portugal 1989. .... 145, 146, 148, 149, 150, 151, 152, 153,  
154, 156, 157, 159  
Constitution of Portugal 2005. .... 145, 146, 148, 149, 150, 151, 152, 153,  
154, 156, 157, 159

*Rwanda*

Constitution of Rwanda 2003. .... 145, 147, 149, 150, 151, 152, 153, 156,  
157, 158, 159  
Constitution of Rwanda 2015. .... 145, 147, 149, 150, 151, 152, 153,  
156, 157, 158, 159

*Scotland*

Declaration of Arbroath 1320. .... 128–9

*Slovakia*

Constitution of Slovakia 1992. .... 145, 146, 149, 150, 151, 152, 153,  
154, 155, 156, 157, 158, 159  
Constitution of Slovakia 2014. .... 145, 146, 149, 150, 151, 152, 153,  
154, 155, 156, 157, 158, 159

*South Sudan*

Interim Constitution of Southern Sudan 2005. .... 145  
Transitional Constitution of the Republic of South Sudan 2011. .... 145



*Table of Treaties and Legislation* xxxvii

Constitution of South Sudan 2011..... 145  
Constitution of South Sudan 2013..... 145

*Thailand*

Constitution of Thailand 2007. .... 144, 145, 150, 151, 152, 153, 155,  
157, 158, 159  
Constitution of Thailand 2014. .... 144, 145

*Timor Leste*

Constitution of Timor Leste 2002..... 145, 147, 149, 150, 151, 152, 153,  
154, 156, 157, 158, 159

*Togo*

Constitution of Togo 1992. .... 145, 147, 149, 150, 151, 152, 153, 155,  
157, 159  
Constitution of Togo 2007. .... 145, 147, 149, 150, 151, 152, 153, 155,  
157, 159

*Türkiye*

Constitution of Turkey 1982. .... 144  
Constitution of Turkey 2011. .... 144

*Uganda*

Constitution of Uganda 1995. .... 145, 147, 149, 150, 151, 152, 153,  
155, 156, 157, 158, 159

*UK*

Magna Carta 1215..... 117, 123–7, 205  
English Bill of Rights 1689..... 125, 134

*Ukraine*

Law No 2114-IX (9 March 2022). . . . . 293

*Uruguay*

Banda Oriental Constitution 1813. . . . . 139  
Constitution of the United Provinces of Rio de la Plata 1828. . . . . 139  
Constitution of Uruguay 1830. . . . . 139, 140, 145, 147, 149, 150, 151, 152, 156, 157  
Constitution of Uruguay 1966. . . . . 139, 140, 145, 147, 149, 150, 151, 152, 156, 157  
Constitution of Uruguay 1985. . . . . 139, 140, 145, 147, 149, 150, 151, 152, 156, 157  
Constitution of Uruguay 2004. . . . . 139, 140, 145, 147, 149, 150, 151, 152, 156, 157

*USA*

‘Declaration by the Representatives of the United Colonies of North-America, Now Met in Congress in Philadelphia, Setting Forth the Causes and Necessity of Their Taking Up Arms’ 1775. . . . . 132  
American Declaration of Independence 1776. . . . . 117, 129–32  
Virginia Constitution 1776. . . . . 130  
New Hampshire Constitution 1784. . . . . 130  
Constitution of the United States of America 1787 as amended 1791. . . . . 131–5, 138  
Delaware Constitution 1792. . . . . 130  
Kentucky Constitution 1792. . . . . 130  
Maryland Constitution 1776. . . . . 130  
Pennsylvania Constitution 1776. . . . . 130  
Massachusetts Constitution 1780. . . . . 130  
Pennsylvania Constitution 1790. . . . . 130  
Tennessee Constitution 1796. . . . . 130  
Kentucky Constitution 1799. . . . . 130  
Ohio Constitution 1802. . . . . 130  
Indiana Constitution 1816. . . . . 130  
Mississippi Constitution 1817. . . . . 130  
Connecticut Constitution 1818. . . . . 130

*Table of Treaties and Legislation* xxxix

Alabama Constitution 1819. .... 130  
Maine Constitution 1819. .... 130  
Missouri Constitution 1820. .... 130  
Michigan Constitution 1835. .... 130  
Arkansas Constitution 1836. .... 130  
Texas Constitution 1836. .... 130  
Florida Constitution 1838. .... 130  
Pennsylvania Constitution 1838. .... 130  
Kentucky Constitution 1850. .... 130  
Oklahoma Constitution 1907. .... 130

*Venezuela*

Constitution of Venezuela 1961. .... 140  
Constitution of Venezuela 1999. .... 140, 145, 147, 149, 150, 151, 152,  
153, 155, 156, 157, 158, 159  
Constitution of Venezuela 2009. .... 145, 147, 149, 150, 151, 152, 153,  
155, 156, 157, 158, 159

Table of Other Authorities

[Listed alphabetically]

AFRICAN COMMISSION ON HUMAN AND PEOPLES’ RIGHTS	
Resolution on Unconstitutional Changes of Government in Africa ACHPR Res 515(LXX) (25 March 2022). . . . .	260
AFRICAN UNION	
Declaration on the Framework for an OAU Response to Unconstitutional Changes of Government OAU AHG/Decl.5 (XXXVI) (10–12 July 2000). . . . .	260–1
AMERICAN ASSOCIATION FOR THE INTERNATIONAL COMMISSION OF JURISTS	
‘Syracusa Principles on the Limitation and Derogation Provisions in the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights’ (1985). . . . .	236, 240, 241
COUNCIL OF EUROPE	
European Court of Human Rights, ‘Guide on Article 2 of the European Convention on Human Rights’ (2020). . . . .	265
COMMISSION ON HUMAN SECURITY	
<i>Human Security Now: Protecting and Empowering People</i> (2003). . . . .	104

INTERNATIONAL COMMISSION OF JURISTS

‘The Arab Court of Human Rights: A Flawed Statute for an Ineffective Court’  
(2015). . . . . 263

INTERNATIONAL COMMITTEE OF THE RED CROSS

‘Practice Relating to Rule 106: Conditions for Prisoner of War Status’  
(Customary IHL Database updated December 2017). . . . . 220

‘Rule 154: Every combatant has a duty to disobey a manifestly unlawful order’  
(Customary IHL Database updated December 2017). . . . . 213, 247

INTERNATIONAL CRIMINAL COURT

‘Referral of the Union of the Comoros with respect to the 31 May 2010 Israeli  
raid on the Humanitarian Aid Flotilla bound for Gaza Strip, requesting  
the Prosecutor of the International Criminal Court pursuant to Articles  
12, 13 and 14 of the Rome Statute to initiate an investigation into the  
crimes committed within the Court’s jurisdiction, arising from this raid’  
(14 May 2013). . . . . 218

‘Situation of Registered Vessels of the Union of the Comoros, the Hellenic  
Republic of Greece and the Kingdom of Cambodia; ICC-01/13  
(Final decision of the Prosecution concerning the “Article 53(1) Report”  
(ICC-01/13-6-AnxA) dated 6 November 2014 with Public Annexes A-C,  
E-G and Confidential Annex D) (29 November 2017). . . . . 218

INTERNATIONAL LAW COMMISSION

‘Conclusions of the Work of the Study Group on the Fragmentation of  
International Law: Difficulties Arising from the Diversification and  
Expansion of International Law’ (2006) [2006] *Yearbook of the  
International Law Commission* vol II, pt 2. . . . . 96, 172, 231

‘Draft Articles on Prevention and Punishment of Crimes Against  
Humanity’ (2019) [2019] *Yearbook of the International Law Commission*  
vol II, pt 2. . . . . 215

‘Draft Code of Crimes Against the Peace and Security of Mankind’ (1996)  
[1996] *Yearbook of the International Law Commission* vol II. . . . . 213

‘Draft Conclusions on Identification and Legal Consequences of Peremptory  
Norms of General International Law (*jus cogens*)’ (2022) [2022]  
*Yearbook of the International Law Commission* vol II, pt 2. . . . . 84,  
172–3, 187, 196, 212

‘First Report on Subsidiary Means for the Determination of Rules of International Law by Charles Chernor Jalloh, Special Rapporteur’ UN Doc A/CN.4/760 (13 February 2023). . . . . 171

‘Fragmentation of International Law: Difficulties Arising from the Diversification and Expansion of International Law – Report of the Study Group of the International Law Commission, finalized by Mr Martti Koskenniemi’ UN Doc A/CN.4/L.682 and Add 1 (13 April 2006). . . . . 249

‘General Principles of Law: Consolidated Text of Draft Conclusions 1 to 11 Provisionally Adopted by the Drafting Committee’ UN Doc A/CN.4/L.971 (21 July 2022). . . . . 171–2

‘Identification of Customary International Law’ (2018) annexed to UN Doc A/RES/73/203 (11 January 2019). . . . . 171

‘Principles of International Law Recognized in the Charter of the Nürnberg Tribunal and in the Judgment of the Tribunal’ (1950) UN Doc A/CN.4/SER.A/1950/Add.1. . . . . 212

‘Responsibility of States for Internationally Wrongful Acts’ (2001) annexed to UNGA Res 56/83 (12 December 2001). . . . . 172, 195, 216, 258

‘Subsidiary Means for the Determination of Rules of International Law: Titles and Texts of Draft Conclusions 1 to 3 Provisionally Adopted by the Drafting Committee’ UN Doc A/CN.4/L.985 (2 June 2023). . . . . 171

‘Third Report on General Principles of Law by Marcelo Vásquez-Bermúdez, Special Rapporteur’ UN Doc A/CN.4/753 (18 April 2022). . . . . 171

INTERNATIONAL MILITARY TRIBUNAL

*Trial of the Major War Criminals before the International Military Tribunal* (Official Documents 1947) vol I. . . . . 213

ORGANIZATION OF AMERICAN STATES

American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man (1948) OEA/ Ser L/V/11.71 at 17 (1988). . . . . 264, 269

Resolution on ‘Representative Democracy’ OAS GA Res 1080 (XXI-o/91) (5 June 1991). . . . . 271

UNITED NATIONS AUDIOVISUAL LIBRARY OF INTERNATIONAL LAW

‘Affirmation of the Principles of International Law Recognized by the Charter of the Nürnberg Tribunal: General Assembly Resolution 95(I)’ (2008). . . . . 212

UNITED NATIONS ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL COUNCIL-COMMISSION  
ON HUMAN RIGHTS AND THIRD COMMITTEE OF THE UNITED  
NATIONS GENERAL ASSEMBLY

[Listed in chronological order]

‘Seventh Meeting [of the General Assembly] Monday, 14 January 1946 at  
3 p.m.’ UN Doc A/PV.7 (14 January 1946). ..... 203

‘Draft Declaration on Human Rights and Letter of Transmittal, Cuban  
Legation, Great Britain’ UN Doc E/HR/1 (12 February 1946). ..... 203

‘Analysis of Various Draft International Bills of Rights (Item 8 on the Agenda)  
(Memorandum by the Division of Human Rights)’ UN Doc E/CN.4/  
W.16 (23 January 1947). ..... 203

‘Draft Outline of International Bill of Rights (prepared by the Division of  
Human Rights)’ UN Doc E/CN.4/AC.1/3 (4 June 1947). ..... 201

‘Drafting Committee on an International Bill of Human Rights International  
Bill of Rights Documented Outline’ UN Doc E/CN.4/AC.1/3/Add.1  
(11 June 1947). ..... 201

‘Summary Record of the Fourth Meeting [of the Drafting Committee of the  
Commission on Human Rights] Held at Lake Success, New York, on  
Wednesday, 12 June 1947, at 10.30 a.m.’ UN Doc E/CN.4/AC.1/SR.4  
(11 June 1947). ..... 201

‘Suggestions Submitted by the Representative of France for Articles 7-32 of  
the International Declaration of Rights’ UN Doc E/CN.4/AC.1/W.2  
(16 June 1947). ..... 201

‘Summary Record of the Eighth Meeting [of the Drafting Committee of the  
Commission on Human Rights] Held at Lake Success, New York, on  
Tuesday, 17 June 1947, at 2:30 p.m.’ UN Doc E/CN.4/AC.1/SR.8  
(17 June 1947). ..... 201

‘Suggestions Submitted by the Representative of France for Articles 7-32 of  
the International Declaration of Rights’ UN Doc E/CN.4/AC.1/W.2/  
Rev.1 (18 June 1947). ..... 201

‘Revised Suggestions Submitted by the Representative of France for Articles of  
the International Declaration of Rights’ UN Doc E/CN.4/AC.1/W.2.  
Rev.2 (20 June 1947). ..... 201

‘Summary Record of the Eighteenth Meeting [of the Drafting Committee of  
the Commission on Human Rights] Held at Lake Success, New York, on  
Wednesday, 25 June 1947 at 10:30 a.m.’ UN Doc E/CN.4/AC.1/SR.18  
(25 June 1947). ..... 201

*Table of Other Authorities*

xliv

‘Report of the Drafting Committee to the Commission on Human Rights’  
Annex D ‘Suggestions Submitted by the Representative of France for  
Articles of the International Declaration of Human Rights’ UN Doc  
E/CN.4/21 (1 July 1947)..... 201

‘Report of the Drafting Committee to the Commission on Human Rights’  
Annex F ‘Suggestions of the Drafting Committee for Articles of an  
International Declaration on Human Rights’ UN Doc E/CN.4/21  
(1 July 1947)..... 201

‘Summary Record of the Seventh Meeting [of the Working Group on the  
Declaration of Human Rights] Held at the Palais des Nations, Geneva, at  
3 p.m. on Tuesday 9 December, 1947’ UN Doc E/CN.4/AC.2/SR.7  
(9 December 1947)..... 201

‘Draft International Declaration on Human Rights (Numbering of Articles  
corresponds to numbering in Chapter III of the Report of the Working  
Group on a Declaration (Document E/CN.4/57))’ UN Doc E/CN.4/77/  
Annex A (16 December 1947)..... 202

‘Summary Record of the Fortieth Meeting [of the Commission on Human  
Rights] Held at the Palais des Nations, Geneva on Tuesday, 16  
December 1947, at 9 a.m.’ UN Doc E/CN.4/SR.40 (16 December  
1947)..... 202

‘Summary Record of the Forty-First Meeting [of the Commission on  
Human Rights] Held at the Palais des Nations, Geneva, on Tuesday  
16 December 1947, at 3 p.m.’ UN Doc E/CN.4/SR.41 (16 December  
1947)..... 202

‘Report to the Economic and Social Council on the Second Session of the  
Commission [on Human Rights] Held at Geneva, from 2 to 17  
December 1947’ UN Doc E/600 (17 December 1947). .... 202

‘Comments from Governments on the Draft International Declaration  
on Human Rights, Draft International Covenant on Human Rights  
and the Question of Implementation’ UN Doc E/CN.4/82/Add.2  
(22 April 1948). .... 202

‘Collation of the Comments of Governments on the Draft International  
Declaration on Human Rights, Draft International Covenant on Human  
Rights and the Question of implementation (Note by the Secretary-  
General)’ UN Doc E/CN.4/85 (1 May 1948)..... 202

‘Observations of Governments on the Draft International Declaration on  
Human Rights, the Draft International Covenant on Human Rights, and  
Methods of Application – Communication Received from the French  
Government’ UN Doc E/CN.4/82/Add.8 (6 May 1948). .... 203



‘Cuba: Amendments to Articles 23 to 27 of the Draft Declaration (E/800)’ UN Doc A/C.3/261 (12 October 1948)..... 203

‘Argentina: Draft Resolution concerning the Article relative to the “Right to resist acts of oppression or tyranny” (A/C.3/307/Rev.2)’ UN Doc A/C.3/377 (29 November 1948)..... 203

‘Chile: Alternative text for the additional article submitted by Cuba (A/C.3/307/rev.2)’ UN Doc A/C.3/378 (29 November 1948)..... 203

‘Summary Record of the Hundred and Sixty-Fourth Meeting [of the Third Committee] Held at the Palais de Chaillot, Paris, on Monday, 29 November 1948, at 3:15 p.m.’ UN Doc A/C.3/SR.164 (29 November 1948)..... 203

‘Cuba, Chile, France: Joint Amendment to the Preamble (A/C.3/314/Rev.1)’ UN Doc A/C.3/382/Rev.1 (30 November 1948)..... 203

‘Summary Record of the Hundred and Sixty-Fifth Meeting [of the Third Committee] Held at the Palais de Chaillot, Paris, on Tuesday, 30 November 1948, at 10 a.m.’ UN Doc A/C.3/SR.165 (30 November 1948). .... 203

‘Summary Record of the Hundred and Sixty-Sixth Meeting [of the Third Committee] Held at the Palais de Chaillot, Paris, on Tuesday, 30 November 1948, at 3 p.m.’ UN Doc A/C.3/SR.166 (30 November 1948)..... 203

‘Summary Record of the Hundred and Sixty-Seventh Meeting [of the Third Committee] Held at the Palais de Chaillot, Paris, on Tuesday, 30 November 1948, at 3 p.m.’ UN Doc A/C.3/SR.167 (30 November 1948)..... 203

‘Summary Record of the Ninety-First Meeting [of the Commission on Human Rights] Held at Lake Success, New York, On Wednesday, 18 May 1949, at 2.30 p.m.’ UN Doc E/CN.4/SR.91 (31 May 1949).....209

‘Summary Record of the Ninety-Eighth Meeting [of the Commission on Human Rights] Held at Lake Success, New York, On Tuesday, 24 May 1949, at 2.30 p.m.’ UN Doc E/CN.4/SR.98 (2 June 1949)..... 209

UNITED NATIONS EDUCATIONAL, CULTURAL  
AND SCIENTIFIC ORGANIZATION

‘Meeting of experts on the analysis of the basis and forms of individual and collective action by which violations of human rights can be combated, Freetown, Sierra Leone, 3–7 March 1981: Final Report’ in *Violations of human rights: possible rights of recourse and forms of resistance* (1984)..... 19, 53, 87, 92, 105, 106, 108, 205

UNITED NATIONS GENERAL ASSEMBLY

‘2005 World Summit Outcome’ UNGA Res A/RES/60/1 (24 October 2005)..... 179

‘Affirmation of the Principles of International Law recognized by the Charter of the Nürnberg Tribunal’ UNGA Res 95(I) (11 December 1946).. 212

‘Aggression against Ukraine’ UNGA Res ES-11/1 (18 March 2022)..... 191

‘Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples’ UNGA Res 1514 (XV) (14 December 1960)..... 184

‘Declaration on Permanent Sovereignty over Natural Resources’ UNGA Res 1803 (XVII) (14 December 1962)..... 194

‘Declaration on Principles of International Law Concerning Friendly Relations and Co-operation among States in accordance with the Charter of the United Nations’ UNGA Res 2625 (XXV) (24 October 1970)..... 10, 58, 168, 181–99, 204, 206, 207, 209, 221, 223, 232, 233, 239, 246, 276, 278, 280, 288, 291

‘Declaration on the Right and Responsibility of Individuals, Groups and Organs of Society to Promote and Protect Universally Recognized Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms’ UNGA Res 53/144 (9 December 1998). .... 3, 265

‘Declaration on the Right to Peace’ UNGA Res 71/189 (19 December 2016)..... 272, 278

‘Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples’ UNGA Res 61/295 (13 September 2007). .... 186, 188, 190, 239

‘Definition of Aggression’ UNGA Res 3314 (XXIX) (14 December 1974). .... 184, 211

‘Follow-up to the Outcome of the Millennium Summit: A More Secure World – Report of the High Level Panel on Threats, Challenges and Change’ UNGA Res A/59/565 (2 December 2004)..... 179

‘Follow-up to Paragraph 143 on Human Security of the 2005 World Summit Outcome’ UNGA Res 66/290 (25 October 2012)..... 104

‘Formulation of the Nürnberg principles’ UNGA Res 488(V) (12 December 1950). .... 212

‘Human Rights Council’ UNGA Res 60/251 (15 March 2006)..... 210

‘Measures to Eliminate International Terrorism’ UNGA Res 51/210 (17 December 1996). .... 225

‘Measures to Eliminate International Terrorism’ UNGA Res 71/151 (20 December 2016). .... 225

‘Principles Which Should Guide Members in Determining Whether or Not an Obligation Exists to Transmit the Information Called for Under

Article 73 e of the Charter of the United Nations’ UNGA Res 1541 (XV) (1960) (15 December 1960)..... 190

‘Report of the Ad Hoc Committee established by General Assembly resolution 51/210 of 17 December 1996, Sixth Session (28 January–1 February 2002)’ UN Doc A/57/37. .... 226

‘Request for an Advisory Opinion of the International Court of Justice on ‘Israeli Practices Affecting the Human Rights of the Palestinian People in the Occupied Palestinian Territory, including East Jerusalem’ UNGA Res 77/247 (30 December 2022)..... 189

‘Request for an Advisory Opinion of the International Court of Justice on the Obligations of States in Respect of Climate Change’ UNGA Res 77/276 (4 April 2023)..... 215

Universal Declaration of Human Rights (1948) UNGA Res 217A (III) (10 December 1948). .... 10, 54, 57, 103, 168, 174, 175, 181, 191, 197, 199–210, 232–4, 237, 246, 253, 255, 263, 270, 271, 273, 274, 276, 279, 280, 289, 291

UNITED NATIONS HIGH COMMISSIONER FOR HUMAN RIGHTS

‘Report on effective measures and best practices to ensure the protection and promotion of human rights in the context of peaceful protests’ UN Doc A/HRC/22/28 (21 January 2013). .... 237–8

UNITED NATIONS HIGH COMMISSIONER FOR REFUGEES

‘Background Note on the Application of the Exclusion Clauses: Article 1F of the 1951 Convention Relating to the Status of Refugees’ UN Doc HRC/GIP/03/05 (4 September 2003)..... 244

Executive Committee Conclusion No 17 (XXXI) (1980) in ‘A Thematic Compilation of Executive Committee Conclusions’ (7th edn, 2013). ...243

Executive Committee Conclusion No 94 (LIII) (2002) in ‘A Thematic Compilation of Executive Committee Conclusions’ (7th edn, 2013). ...246

‘Guidance Note on Extradition and International Refugee Protection’ (2008)..... 245

‘UNHCR Compilation of Case Law on Refugee Protection in International Law’ (March 2008). .... 244

‘UNHCR Guidelines on International Protection No 5 – Application of the Exclusion Clauses: Article 1F of the 1951 Convention Relating to the Status of Refugees’ UN Doc HRC/GIP/03/05 (4 September 2003).... 243, 244, 245, 246

*UNHCR Handbook and Guidelines on Procedures and Criteria for  
Determining Refugee Status under the 1951 Convention and 1967  
Protocol Relating to the Status of Refugees* (3rd edn, 2011). . . . . 243,  
244, 246

UNITED NATIONS HUMAN RIGHTS COUNCIL

Advisory Committee Drafting Group, ‘Progress report on the right of peoples  
to peace’ UN Doc/A/HRC/AC/6/CRP.3 (22 December 2010). . . . . 272,  
273

‘Declaration on the Right to Peace’ UN Doc A/HRC/32.L.18 (24 June  
2016). . . . . 273, 278

‘Declaration on the Right to Peace’ UNHRC Res 32/28 (18 July  
2016). . . . . 273, 278

‘Open-ended Intergovernmental Working Group on a Draft United Nations  
Declaration on the Right to Peace, first session (18–21 February 2013):  
Canadian Position’ (undated). . . . . 278

‘Progress Report of the Human Rights Council Advisory Committee on the  
Right of Peoples to Peace’ UN Doc A/HRC/17/39 (1 April 2011). . . . 11,  
105, 271–80

‘Promotion of the Right of Peoples to Peace’ UNHRC Res 8/9 (18 June  
2008). . . . . 271

‘Promotion of the Right of Peoples to Peace’ UNHRC Res 14/3  
(23 June 2010). . . . . 272

‘Promotion of the Right to Peace’ UNHRC Res 20/15 (17 July 2012). . . . . 273

‘Promotion of the Right to Peace’ UNHRC Res 23/16 (24 June 2013). . . . . 278

‘Promotion of the Right to Peace’ UNHRC Res (3 October 2014). . . . . 278

‘Promotion of the Right to Peace’ UNHRC Res 30/12 (13 October 2015). . . . 278

‘Report of the Human Rights Council Advisory Committee on the Right of  
Peoples to Peace’ UN Doc A/HRC/20/31 (16 April 2012). . . . . 11, 105,  
271–80

‘Report of the International Fact-Finding Mission to Investigate Violations of  
International Law, including International Humanitarian and Human  
Rights Law, Resulting from the Israeli Attacks on the Flotilla of Ships  
Carrying Humanitarian Assistance’ UN Doc A/HRC/15/21  
(27 September 2010). . . . . 218

‘Report of the Open-Ended Inter-governmental Working Group on the Draft  
United Nations Declaration on the Right to Peace’ [First Session] UN  
Doc A/HRC/WG.13/1/2 (26 April 2013). . . . . 278

1

Table of Other Authorities

‘Report of the Open-Ended Intergovernmental Working Group on a Draft United Nations Declaration on the Right to Peace’ [Second Session] UN Doc A/HRC/27/63 (8 August 2014). . . . .

278

UNITED NATIONS OFFICE ON THE PREVENTION OF GENOCIDE AND ON THE RESPONSIBILITY TO PROTECT

‘Framework of Analysis for Atrocity Crimes: A Tool for Prevention’ (2014). . . . .

179, 214

UNITED NATIONS SECRETARY-GENERAL

‘Report of the Secretary-General’s Panel of Inquiry on the 31 May 2010 Flotilla Incident’ (September 2011). . . . .

218

UNITED NATIONS SECURITY COUNCIL

‘Resolution 1674 on the Protection of Civilians in Armed Conflict’ SC Res S/RES/1674 (28 April 2006). . . . .

179

‘Resolution 1973 (2011)’ SC Res 1973 (2011) (17 March 2011). . . . .

199

UNITED NATIONS SPECIAL RAPPORTEUR ON THE SITUATION OF HUMAN RIGHTS DEFENDERS

‘Commentary to the Declaration on the Right and Responsibility of Individuals, Groups and Organs of Society to Promote and Protect Universally Recognized Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms’ (July 2011). . . . .

3

UNITED NATIONS TREATY SECTION

Office of Legal Affairs, *Treaty Handbook* (revised 2012). . . . .

142

OTHER SOURCES

Human Rights at Sea, ‘Geneva Declaration on Human Rights at Sea’ (January 2022). . . . .

218

International Congress on the Human Right to Peace, ‘Santiago Declaration on the Human Right to Peace’ (2010). . . . .

272, 277

Spanish Society for the Advancement of International Human Rights Law, ‘Luarca (Asturias) Declaration on the Human Right to Peace’ (2006). . . . .

95, 272, 275, 277

*Table of Other Authorities* li

‘Urgent appeal to all CSOs supporting the human right to peace’  
    (22 June 2016). ..... 278  
‘Press Release’ (30 January 2017)..... 278–9  
Spanish Society for International Human Rights Law-International  
    Observatory of the Human Right to Peace-International Association of  
    Peace Messenger Cities, ‘Joint Statement on the United Nations  
    declaration on the human right to peace’ (30 June 2014). ..... 279  
Stop Ecocide Foundation, ‘Independent Expert Panel for the Legal Definition  
    of Ecocide: Commentary and Core Text’ (June 2021). ..... 212