

Index

Locators in *italic* refer to figures; those in **bold** to tables.

- AB single-case designs 138, 144
- ABAB single-case designs 144, 145–145
- ABC multiple component designs 147–148
- accreditation 19–20
- acculturation-related differences 39; *see also*
 - culturally sensitive program evaluation
- active listening skills 232–233
- active voice, report writing 223–224
- adolescents, informed consent 31
- after-school dropout prevention program 204–205
- allergy study example 139
- AmeriCorps program, process evaluations 17
- analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) statistical tests 189
- appendices, report writing 223
- assertive community treatment (ACT)
 - programs 48
- attrition 158–159, **161–163**; *see also* retention
- available records, outcome objectives 96–97

- bar graphs 179, 217, 219, **219**
- baselines, single-case designs 143–144
- behavioral problems studies 144–148, 221–222
- benchmarking, outcome evaluations 127
- between-group effect sizes (Cohen's *d*) 125, 194–195, 198–200
- bias, questionnaire construction 79; *see also*
 - selectivity bias
- bivariate statistical significance tests 188, **189**
- burden of proof, causality 113
- burnout rate, job applicants 103–105

- care in the community, deinstitutionalization 46–48
- causal inference 85, 110–111, 119–120
 - causality criteria 111–113
 - degrees of certainty 119
 - discharged military veterans example 118
 - evidence-informed practice 113–114
 - exercises 120–121
 - pragmatism vs. perfectionism 116–117
 - and pseudoscience 114–116
 - randomized controlled trials 118
 - single-case designs 139–140
- central tendency measures 180–181
- children, informed consent 31
- chi-square test **193**, 194, **194**
- cigarette smoking and lung cancer, causality 112–113
- client satisfaction surveys 76–78
- clinical significance *see* substantive significance
- Clinician-Administered PTSD Scale (CAPS) 96
- coding, qualitative data analysis 182–183
- Cohen's *d see* between-group effect sizes
- coin-tossing example, statistical power 191–193
- communication, with stakeholders 229–230
- community forum approach 54–55
- community mental health (CMH) programs 47
- community survey approach 59–60
- conceptual equivalence, culturally sensitive
 - program evaluation 36–38, **38**
- confidentiality, ethical guidelines 30
- constructivism paradigm 10–11
- contamination effects, group assignment 156–157, **161–163**
- contemporary positivism paradigm 9–10, 12
- correlation, causal inference 111–112
- cost-benefit ratios 204
- cost-effectiveness/cost-benefit analyses 202–205
- cost-effectiveness ratios 204
- costs to subject, ethical guidelines 29
- critical incident stress debriefing (CISD) 5–6

240 Index

- critical thinking skills 114
- cultural competence 38–40
- cultural issues, general 24, 40–42
- culturally sensitive program evaluation 32–38, 79
- data analysis 173
 - culturally sensitive 40
 - ethical guidelines 26, 32
- data analysis, formative and process evaluations 173, 176, 183–185
 - coding 182–183
 - descriptive statistics 177–178
 - exercises 185
 - frequency distributions 178–179, 179, 180
 - measures of central tendency 180–181
 - measures of dispersion 181
 - outliers 181–182
 - quantitative vs. qualitative data 176–177, 182–183
 - resources/software 183
- data analysis, outcome evaluations 173, 187, 206–207
 - cost-effectiveness/cost–benefit analyses 202–205
 - effect sizes **193**, 194, 195–200
 - exercises 208–209
 - odds ratios and risk ratios **194–195**, **200**, **201**, **201**
 - p* values 189–190
 - problems and pitfalls of interpretation **191**, **192–194**
 - quantitative vs. qualitative data 205–206
 - sample size 191–193
 - statistical significance tests 187, **189**
 - substantive significance 201–202
 - type II errors 190
- data collection, culturally sensitive 35–38
- data points, single-case designs 138
- data presentation *see* infographics
- definitions and terminology 13–14
 - evaluation 13
 - interventions 13
 - outcome objectives 93, 94–97
 - policy 13
 - process objectives 93
 - programs 13
 - stakeholders 13–14
- degrees of certainty, causal inference 119
- deinstitutionalization movement 46–48
- descriptive statistics 123–124, 177–**178**, 187;
 - see also* data analysis (formative and process evaluations)
- direct observational methods 95
- discussions, report writing 219–222
- dispersion measures, data analysis/presentation 181
- disproportionate sampling 67
- dissemination methods, report writing 224, **224–225**
- drop-out *see* after-school dropout prevention program; retention
- effect sizes
 - outcome evaluations 125–128, **193**, 194, 195–200
 - report writing 219
- EMDR (eye movement desensitization and reprocessing)
 - causal inference 115
 - single-case designs 144
- empathy, people skills 232
- empowerment paradigm 10, 12, 20
- ending questions 57–59
- engagement *see* staff engagement; stakeholder engagement
- ethical issues 24, 40–41
 - ethical guidelines 24–27
 - Institutional Review Boards 27–32
 - report writing 26, 213
 - risks vs. benefits of harm 25, 27, 29
 - single-case designs 143–144
 - study exercises 41–42
- evaluation 7, 20
 - definitions and terminology 13, 21
 - funding 4–5, 8
 - ineffective and harmful programs 5–6
 - purposes 14–15, 21
 - study exercises 22
 - types 15–20
- evidence-based practice (EBP) movement,
 - historical perspectives 8

- evidence-informed practice 8–9, 20
 causal inference 113–114
 needs assessments 50
 philosophical paradigms 9–12
 single-case designs 149
 executive summaries, report writing 214–216
 external evaluators vs. in-house evaluators
 164–165, 166
- Family First program 90, 91, 94–97
- feedback
 planning stage 229
 from stakeholders 230–231
- felt need
- findings, report writing 217–219
- focus group approach 55–59
 advantages/disadvantages 59
 how to conduct 56
 types and sequencing of questions 57–59
- follow-up reminders, survey methodology 69
- formative evaluations 13–14, 16, 21; *see also*
 causal inference; data analysis; outcome
 objectives
- frequency distribution tables/charts 178, 179,
 179, 180; *see also* infographics
- funding issues 4–5, 7, 8
- generalizable effects, interventions 147
- goal displacement, problems and pitfalls 169
- graphs *see* infographics
- group assignment, contamination effects
 156–157, 161–163
- Hamilton Depression Rating Scale (HDRS/
 Ham-D) 96
- hard-to-reach populations, survey methodology
 70–71
- harmful programs *see* ineffective and harmful
 programs
- hidden populations, survey methodology
 70–71
- historical perspectives, program evaluation 7–8
- homelessness programs
 data analysis/presentation 176–177
 needs assessments 48–49, 56–57
 survey methodology 67–68
- hookworm example, causal inference 119
- humor, people skills 231–232
- idealistic pragmatism xviii
- immigrants, culturally sensitive program
 evaluation 39
- inclusion criteria, ethical guidelines 27
- ineffective and harmful programs
 ethical guidelines 24–25
 reasons for evaluation 5–6
 reporting 26, 213
 risks vs. benefits of harm 25, 27, 29
- inference, causality *see* causal inference
- inferential statistics 187, 189, 189; *see also* data
 analysis (outcome evaluations)
- infographics
 frequency distributions 178, 179, 179, 180
 report writing 217, 218, 219
- informed consent, ethical guidelines 24, 30–32
- in-house vs. external evaluators 164–166
- Institutional Review Boards (IRBs) 27–32
- interest in others, people skills 231
- interpretivism paradigm 10, 12
- intervention fidelity, problems and pitfalls
 155–156
- interventions, definitions and terminology 13
- interview guides 74–76
- interview techniques 71–76
- introductions, report writing 216
- introductory questions, needs assessments 57–59
- irreversible effects, interventions 145
- job applicants, qualifications 103–105
- key informants approach, needs assessments
 53–54
- key questions, focus groups 57–59
- latchkey children example, needs assessments
 51–52
- letters, follow-up reminders 69
- LGBT older adults, needs assessments 58–59
- life-review therapy example, report writing 215
- limitations *see* study limitations
- linguistic equivalence 36, 38, 38
- literature reviews, report writing 216

242 Index

- logic models, outcome objectives **89**, 90–91
- lung cancer and smoking, causality 112–113
- managed care concept, historical perspectives 8
- mean, central tendency measures 180–181
- meaningfulness of results *see* substantive significance
- measurement equivalence, culturally sensitive **36**, **38**, **38**
- measurements
 - report writing 216–217
 - single-case designs 141–143
- measures of central tendency 180–181
- measures of dispersion 181
- median, central tendency measures 180–181
- methodological limitations *see* study limitations
- methodology, report writing 216–217
- military veterans example, causal inference 118
- millennials, social media-based surveying 71
- mission statements, outcome objectives 88–89
- mixed-methods studies 12
- mode, central tendency measures 180–181
- multiple baseline designs 146, 146–147
- multiple component designs 147–148
- multivariate statistical significance tests 189
- Native American survey example 67
- needs assessment evaluations 4, 46–49, 60–61
 - community forum approach 54–55
 - community survey approach 59–60
 - deinstitutionalization movement 46–48
 - differing approaches 51–52
 - evidence-informed practice 50
 - exercises 62
 - focus group approach 55–59
 - homelessness shelters 48–49, 56–57
 - key informants approach 53–54
 - long-term care needs of LGBT older adults 58–59
 - normative need and felt need
 - questions to ask 57–59
 - rates under treatment approach 53 and service utilization 51
 - social indicators approach 52–53
 - triangulation methods 60
- negative findings, reporting 26, 213; *see also* ineffective and harmful programs
- non-adult subjects, informed consent 31
- non-English speaking subjects, informed consent 30–31
- non-equivalent comparisons groups designs 128–129
- non-probability sampling 65
- non-response bias 65–66
- normative need, needs assessments
- note taking, interview techniques 73
- Obamacare example, questionnaire construction 79
- observable indicator(s), outcome objectives 93
- observational measures, single-case designs 142
- obtrusive observation, single-case designs 142
- odds ratios **194–195**, **200**, 201, **201**
- one-group pretest–posttest designs 124, 127
- online resources, logic models 90–91
- open-ended questions, interview techniques 73–74
- opening questions, needs assessments 57–59
- operational definitions, outcome objectives 94–97, 103–105
- outcome evaluation designs 85–86, 123, 134–135
 - benchmarking 127
 - choice of appropriate design 133–134
 - descriptive 123–124
 - effect sizes 125–128
 - exercises 135–136
 - military veterans example 118
 - non-equivalent comparisons groups 128–129
 - one-group pretest–posttest design 124, 127
 - research supported treatment for traumatized children example 128
 - selectivity bias 129–130
 - standard deviation and pooled standard deviation 125
 - switching replication design 130–132
 - time-series designs 132, **132**–133 (*see also* single-case designs)
 - waitinglist quasi-experimental design 131–132
 - see also* data analysis/presentation

- outcome objectives 85, 88, 105–106
 definitions and terminology 93
 exercises 107–108
 Family First program **90**, 91, 94–97
 logic models **89**, 90–91
 mission/vision statements **88–89**
 operational definitions 94–97, 103–105
 self-report measures 95–96, 97–105
 stakeholder goals 91
 triangulation methods 92–93
 writing up 93–94
- outliers, data analysis/presentation 181–182
- p* values, statistical significance tests 189–190
- participants, report writing 216–217; *see also*
 recruitment retention
- participatory action evaluation paradigm 10, 11
- passive voice, report writing **223–224**
- payments to subject, ethical guidelines 29
- people skills, researcher 231–233
- perfectionism *see* pragmatism vs. perfectionism
- performance measurement systems 18–19, 21
- pie charts
 frequency distribution tables/charts 179
 report writing 217, 218–219
see also infographics
- pitfalls *see* problems and pitfalls
- places of worship example, outcome evaluations
 123–124
- planning the evaluation 228–229
 feedback 229
- Plato's cave allegory 11
- police brutality example, outcome evaluations
132
- policy, definitions and terminology 13
- political pitfalls 163–**164**, 212
- pooled standard deviation, outcome evaluations
 125
- populations, survey methodology 64–66; *see also*
 participants
- positive parenting example, report writing
 219–222
- post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) example,
 causality 111–112
- practical significance *see* substantive
 significance
- pragmatism vs. perfectionism
 causal inference 116–117
 outcome evaluations 133–134
- pretest–posttest designs 124, 127
- PRO mnemonic (Problem, Rationale, Objective),
 report writing 216
- probability sampling, survey methodology 65
- probes, interview techniques 73–74
- problems and pitfalls 86, 154, 169–171, 229–230
 case example 166–168
 changes during study period 229–230
 contamination effects 156–157
 data analysis 191–**194**
 exercises 171
 goal displacement 169
 in-house vs. external evaluators 164–166
 intervention fidelity 155–156
 political pitfalls 163–**164**, 212
 practical pitfalls **161**, 161–163
 recruitment of study participants 157–158
 report writing 223–224
 retention of study participants 158–159
 staff compliance **160–161**
 staff engagement 160
- process evaluations 16–17, 21; *see also* causal
 inference; data analysis; outcome objectives
- process objectives, definitions and
 terminology 93
- professional demeanor, interview techniques 72
- programs, definitions and terminology 13
- protection of sensitive information, ethical
 guidelines 26
- pseudoscience, and causal inference 114–116
- quantitative vs. qualitative data analysis 173
 formative and process evaluations 176–177,
 182–183
 outcome evaluations 205–206
- quantitative vs. qualitative evaluations 12, 20–21,
 43–44
 single-case designs 143
 survey methodology 75
- questionnaires *see* self-report measures
- questions to ask
 interview techniques 73–74
 needs assessments 57–59

244 Index

- random sampling error 67–68
 randomized controlled trials, causal inference 118
 rates under treatment approach 53
 recommendations, report writing 220
 recruitment, study participants
 culturally sensitive 32–34
 ethical guidelines
 problems and pitfalls 157–158
 reference volumes, self-report measures 98, 99
 references, report writing 222–223
 relevance, self-report measures 99
 reliability, self-report measures 99–100, **102**
 report writing 173, 211, 225–226, 230–231
 dissemination methods 224, **224–225**
 ethics 26, 213
 exercises 226–227
 online resources **224**
 problems and pitfalls 223–**224**
 stakeholder engagement 212–213
 structural components of reports 213–223
 tailoring to target audience 211–212, 216
 writing style/format 212
 representativeness, survey methodology 65, 66
 researcher people skills 231–233
 respondent-driven sampling (RDS) 70
 response rates, maximizing 65–66, 68–69
 results, report writing 217–219
 retention, job applicants 103–105
 retention, study participants
 culturally sensitive 34–**35**
 problems and pitfalls 158–159, 161–163
 risk ratios, data analysis **194–195**, **200**, 201, **201**
 risks vs. benefits of interventions 25, 27, 29
 Rolling Stones rock band 49
 ruling out alternative explanations, causality 112

 sample size (statistical power)
 data analysis/presentation 191–193, **194**
 report writing 219
 survey methodology 67–69
 samples, survey methodology 64–66
 Scared Straight program 6
 school dropout prevention program 204–205
 selectivity bias
 outcome evaluations 129–130
 report writing 221–222

 self-confidence, researcher 232
 self-defeating thoroughness, report writing 217
 self-report measures 19
 outcome objectives 95–96, 97–105
 questionnaire construction 78–81
 reference volumes 98, 99
 relevance 99
 reliability 99–100, 102
 sensitivity 101–102, 103
 single-case designs 141–142
 survey methodology 71
 validity 100–101
 sensitivity, self-report measures 101–102, 103
 sensitivity analysis, cost-effectiveness/
 cost–benefits 204
 service utilization, needs assessments 51
 significance *see* statistical significance tests;
 substantive significance; visual significance
 single-case (single-system) designs 86, 138,
 150–151
 aggregation of multiple studies 149
 applications 138, 148
 baselines
 causal inference 139–140
 clinical significance 139–140
 evidence-informed practice 149
 exercises 151–152
 measurements 141–143
 types 144, 146–148
 visual significance 139, 140, 141, 146
 SMART mnemonic (Specific, Measurable,
 Achievability, Relevance, Time-bound)
 objectives 93–94
 smoking and lung cancer, causality 112–113
 snowball sampling 65, 70–71
 social desirability bias
 direct observational methods 95
 self-administered questionnaires 96
 survey methodology 71
 social indicators approach, needs assessments
 52–53
 social media-based surveys 71
 social work degrees, job applicants 103–105
 staff compliance, problems and pitfalls **160–161**
 staff engagement, problems and pitfalls 160
 stakeholder engagement, report writing 212–213

- stakeholder goals, outcome objectives 91
- stakeholders
 - communication with 229–230
 - definitions and terminology 13–14
 - feedback 230–231
 - participation 229
 - planning the evaluation 228–229
- standard deviation
 - data analysis/presentation 181
 - outcome evaluations 125, 198–199
- statistical power *see* sample size
- statistical significance tests 187–189
 - p* values 189–190
 - Type II errors 190
- study limitations
 - ethical guidelines 26–27
 - report writing 220–222
- study participants *see* participants
- substance abusing parents examples 166–168, 219–222
- substantive significance
 - data analysis/presentation 201–202
 - single-case designs 139–140
- summary questions, needs assessments 57–59
- summative evaluations 15–16, 85; *see also*
 - outcome evaluation designs
- survey methodology 64, 81–82
 - client satisfaction surveys 76–78
 - exercises 82–83
 - follow-up reminders 69
 - hard-to-reach populations 70–71
 - interview techniques 71–76
 - modalities 71
 - questionnaire construction 78–81
 - sample size 67–69
 - samples, populations, representativeness 64–66
 - self-administered questionnaires 71
- switching replication designs
 - outcome evaluations 130–132
 - report writing 221–222
- temporal perspectives, causality 112
- terminology *see* definitions and terminology
- therapeutic alliance, intervention fidelity 155–156
- thought field therapy (TFT) 116
- time factors, causality 112
- time-series designs 132, 132–133; *see also*
 - single-case designs
- trauma-focused cognitive behavioral therapy (TFCBT) with children and adolescents
 - example, outcome evaluations 128
- treatment-as-usual (TAU) fidelity 156, 158
- triangulation methods
 - needs assessments 60
 - outcome objectives 92–93
- Tuskegee syphilis study, risks vs. benefits
 - of harm 27
- Type II errors, statistical significance tests 190
- unobtrusive observation, single-case designs 142
- validity, self-report measures 100–101
- vision statements, outcome objectives 88–89
- visual significance, single-case designs 139, 140, 141, 146
- voluntary participation, ethical guidelines 24
- vulnerable populations, ethical guidelines 28
- waitinglist quasi-experimental designs 131–132
- war veterans example, causal inference 118
- within-group effect sizes 126–128, 195–198
- written drafts, planning the evaluation 229