

Index

- Abrams v. United States* (US Supreme Court), 35
- accuracy, 299–300
- agency and, 19–20
- GDPR and, 99–101
- in machine learning, measuring, 267
- of simple and complex algorithms, comparing, 263–4, 268–74
- truth and, 17–20
- actuarial justice, 26, 271
- ARA, 143, 145–6, 148–9, 191, 195
- CrimeStat, 270–1
- in *Ewert*, 195
- judges and, 150
- predictive sentencing and, 27–8, 143, 145–6, 148–50, 191
- advertisement, Internet. *See* internet advertisement
- advertisement, political. *See* micro-targeting, political
- agency
- accuracy claims and, 19–20
- in constitutionalism, 30–1, 281–6
- criminal, 19–20
- language and, 30–1, 282–6
- moral agency, 6, 19–22, 142–3, 151, 285–6
- personalisation and, 30–1, 285–6
- personhood and, 30–1, 281–3, 285–6
- predictive analytics, 19–20, 142–3, 151
- technology and, 60
- AI (artificial intelligence). *See also* machine learning
- in China, 44–5
- in credit, indirect discrimination and, 128–30, 136, 243–4
- data sets driving, 243
- in digital modernity, 39–41
- discrimination and, 128–30, 136, 138, 243–4
- explicability for, 118
- in gambling, 67–9
- GDPR and, 95
- AI4People initiative, 118, 123
- AI ethics
- academic ethics compared with, 250
- AI4People initiative, 118, 123
- algorithmic assemblage in, 30–1, 244–5, 255–8, 299–300
- as Americanised, 246–7
- atomistic approach to, critiquing, 253–5
- black boxes and, 253
- corporate, critiquing, 30–1, 244–9, 256–8, 260, 299–300
- diversity in, inclusivity and, 252–3
- ethics boards in, 245, 247–9, 252, 257, 260
- EU on, 244–9, 251–5, 257–8, 261
- explicability in, 118
- Google and, 245–7
- groupthink in, 248–9
- holistic analysis of, 30–1, 244–5, 253–8
- limited problematisation in, 255–7
- limited tool box of, 251–3
- in UK, 245, 259
- algorithmic assemblage, 30–1, 244–5, 255–8, 299–300
- algorithms
- commercial influence on, 60–1
- complex and simple, comparing, 30–1, 263–4, 268–74
- Google flu trends, 270, 274
- government through, 57
- group, individual, and, 7–9
- profiling with, terminology of, 26
- transparency lacking in, 266–7
- US presidential election prediction using, 265–6, 268–70

- algorithms, simple. *See* simple rules
 Allo, Patrick, 34
 Amazon, 65–6
 algorithmic assemblage embedding, 256–7
 micro-targeting by, 15–16
 network effects of, 78, 81–2, 88–91
 personalisation of, 74–5, 78, 80–2
 price discrimination by, 175–6
 walled garden data generation by, 44–5
 analogue modernity, 37, 40
 analogue profiling, 19
 Anderson, Chris, 14, 264
 Andrejevic, Mark, 59–60, 227–8
 Angwin, Julia, 191–2, 198
 anti-discrimination law. *See* discrimination
 ARA. *See* actuarial justice
 artificial intelligence. *See* AI
 Arvind, T. T., 162
 association, 42
 Australia, 231, 239
 autonomy, 19–20, 302
 contract and, 109, 111–16, 119
 in data protection law, 109, 116–18
 in GDPR, 116–17
 P4 medicine and, 163–8, 171
 patient, 161–6, 168, 171
 autopoietic system, 30–1, 288–305
 avatar, 41, 44–9, 60–1, 291–2
- Bacon, Francis, 13–14
 Bauman, Zygmunt, 39
 Bayer, Ronald, 167–8
 Becker, Howard, 197–8
 behavioural targeting, 175
 Benhabib, Seyla, 283–4
 big data. *See* *specific topics*
Big Data (Mayer-Schönberger and Cukier), 14
 biopolitics
 datafication of, 64–6, 69
 Foucault on, 57–9, 70
 market and, 57–8
 medicine and, 64–6
 normalisation and, 57–9
 self in, 58–60
 biopower, 55, 57–8
The Birth of Biopolitics (Foucault), 58–9
 black boxes, 60–3, 65, 128–9, 253
Black Mirror (television series), 205
 Bornstein, Stephanie, 139
 Brexit referendum, 223, 280–1
- cab firms, 76–7, 81, 84, 88–9
 Cambridge Analytica scandal, 4, 29–30, 223–4,
 226–7, 280
- campaigns, political
 algorithms and predicting, 265–6, 268–70
 Cambridge Analytica scandal, 4, 29–30, 223–4,
 226–7, 280
 data protection law and, 29–30, 223–6, 230–4,
 238
 financing, 223–5, 230
 micro-targeting for, 4, 22–3, 26, 29–30, 121–2,
 223–34, 238, 280–1
 US presidential election of 2012, 269
 US presidential election of 2016, 121–2, 223,
 265–6, 268–70, 280–1
 Campbell, David, 122
 Canada, 205
 Ewert, 195
 micro-targeting in, regulation of, 231
 Sidewalk smart city proposed in, 206, 208–11,
 214–17
 capitalism
 biopolitics and market in, 57–8
 Fitbit subject and, 65–6
 Foucault on, 58–9
 governmentality under, 55–61, 64–6
 neoliberal subject of, 56–7, 61, 64–7, 69–70
 surveillance, 6–7, 60
 Chan, Sarah, 160–1, 165
 Chiao, Vincent, 265
 China
 smart cities of, 29–30, 205–6, 213–14,
 217
 social credit system of, 29–30, 134–5, 205–6,
 212–14, 217
 WeChat, 44–5
 choice, in digital modernity, 42–3, 50
 choice architects, 4–5
 CJA. *See* Criminal Justice Act, UK
 class, 39, 65–6, 104
 climate change, 49–50
 Clinton, Hillary, 265–6, 268–70
 Cobbe, Jennifer, 207, 211
 Coggon, John, 163–4
 collaborative filtering system, 33
The Colour of Money (film), 66
 commodification, data, 110–11
 consent
 autopoietic personalisation system and, 288–9,
 294–7, 300–1
 contract and, 109, 112–13, 294–5
 in data protection law, 27–9, 95–9, 103–4,
 108–13, 116–17, 119–22, 129–30, 185–6, 231–2,
 281, 294, 296
 in GDPR, 27–9, 95–9, 103–4, 112–13, 116–17,
 119–20, 122, 129–30, 185–6, 231–2, 281, 294, 296
 privacy and, 108–12, 120, 294–5

- constitutionalism
 agency in, 30–1, 281–6
 language in, 30–1, 281–6
 mass surveillance threatening, 285–6
 personalisation and, 30–1, 280–1, 284–6
 personhood in, 30–1, 281–6
 solidarities in, 30–1, 283–6
- consumer, 4–5
 autonomous, 19–20
 contestability and, 23–4
 in P4 medicine, 164, 171
 price discrimination survey of, 178–83, 185–6
- consumer protection law, 174
- contestability
 in commercial sphere, 23–5
 consumer and, 23–4
 in law, 21–2
 in political domain, 22–5, 35
 in scientific community, 20–1
- contract, 121
 autonomy and, 109, 111–16, 119
 consent and, 109, 112–13, 294–5
 in data protection law, 96–8, 108–13, 118–22
 market theory and, 115–16, 122
 personalisation depending on, 110–13, 118–19
 privacy and, 27–8, 108–9, 116, 120, 294–5
 relationality and, 27–8, 113–15, 122
- cookies, 96, 105, 120
- Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR), 246–7, 250, 256–8
 in Europe, 246
- corporations
 AI ethics for, 30–1, 244–9, 252, 256–8, 260, 299–300
 efficiency, control, and, 15–16
 employee nudging by, 65
 Fitbit subject and, 65–6
 governmentality of, 55–6, 59–61
 self-regulation of, critiquing, 247–9, 257
- Correctional Offender Management Profiling for Alternative Sanctions (COMPAS), 191–2
 in *Loomis*, 21–2, 200, 266–7
 racial bias of, 197–8, 200, 266–7
- correlation, 13–18, 264–5
- courts
 bail and, 270–2
 CJA on, 143–4
 predictive risk assessment and, 144, 151
 Supreme Court of Canada, 195
 UK Supreme Court, 128–9, 135, 138, 162–5, 168, 170–1
 US Supreme Court, 35, 138
 Wisconsin Supreme Court, 21–2, 24, 200, 266–7
- Coveney, Peter, 14–15
- Cover, Robert, 283–4
- COVID-19 pandemic, 166–7, 174, 207, 278–9
- credit, 124, 140, 299
 AI and indirect discrimination in, 128–30, 136, 243–4
 anti-discrimination law and, 127–8, 136, 141
 Chinese social credit system, 29–30, 134–5, 205–6, 212–14, 217
 credit score, oppressive potential of, 136
 credit score models, 27–8, 131–3, 135–6
 credit scores, history of, 124
 data protection law and, 129–30, 133–6, 294
 indirect discrimination in, 128–33, 135–6, 243–4
 microfinance, 126–7
 minority, gender discrimination in, 127–8
 mobile network data for, 126–7, 131–3
 non-traditional data for, 124–5, 131–5
 objective justification and, 131–3
 price discrimination in, 125–6, 131
 social media as input data for, 124–8, 131–4
 US law on, 127–8, 131, 140
- crime
 actuarial justice, 26–8, 143, 145–6, 148–50, 191, 195, 270–1
 agency in, 19–20
 bail, 142, 145–6, 190, 270–2
 criminal law, 21–2, 27–8, 166, 200, 294, 302
 prisons, 21–2, 147–8, 150–1, 155
- CrimeStat, 270–1
- criminal justice, data-driven. *See also* actuarial justice; sentencing, predictive
 autopoietic system of, 297–9
 COMPAS, 21–2, 191–2, 197–8, 200, 266–7
 critiques of, 192–3, 198
 diversity and algorithms of, 195
 epistemic power in, 190–1, 193–5, 198, 201
 fairness and, 198–201
 false positives in, 197
 Foucault and, 190, 193–4, 201
 in *Loomis*, 21–2, 24, 200, 266–7
 machine learning in, 191–2
 predictive policing, 4, 9, 16, 26, 29–30, 190, 243–4, 279
 race and, 192–3, 195–8, 200, 266–7
 recursion of, 297–9
 as self-fulfilling prophecy, 196–8, 201
 simple rules for, 270–2
 social injustice and, 190–202, 297–9
 structural impact of, 196–8
 terminology in, 26
 transparency lacking in, 199–201
 trends in, 191–2
- Criminal Justice Act, UK (CJA), 143–4, 148, 152, 154

- Cukier, Kenneth, 14
 Cuomo, Andrew, 279
 Czerlinski, Jean, 273
 Data Protection Act, UK, 231–2
 data protection law, 26–8, 121
 accuracy and, 99–101
 anti-discrimination law compared with, 129–30
 appropriate use in, 133–5
 autonomy in, 109, 116–18
 with bioethics, analogy of, 123
 consent in, 27–9, 95–9, 103–4, 112–13, 116–17,
 119–22, 129–30, 185–6, 231–2, 281, 294, 296
 consent in, privacy and, 108–12
 contextual integrity and, 134
 contract in, 96–8, 108–13, 118–22
 Cookie Directive, EU, 120
 credit and, 129–30, 133–6, 294
 ePrivacy directive, European, 120, 231
 legal analogies in, 108–12, 116–20
 machine learning and, 100–1, 104
 market individualism framework of, 117
 for political micro-targeting, 29–30, 223–6,
 230–4, 238
 privacy in, 42, 108–12, 116–20, 133–5
 purpose limitation principle of, 133–4
 relationality in, 113–15
 technological frameworks in, 116–18
- data-driven computing. *See specific topics*
 data-self, 55, 59, 62, 65
 De Cnudde, Sofie, 126–7
 Dhami, Mandeep, 271–3
 Dick, Philip K., 205
 Digital, Culture, Media and Sport Committee,
 UK (DCMS), 223–4
 digital modernity
 AI in, 39–41
 analogue modernity compared with, 37, 40
 avatar in, 41, 44–9
 choice in, 42–3, 50
 climate change in, 49–50
 disruption in, 40
 harm in, 45–50
 individuality in, 26–7, 41–3, 46–7, 50
 medicine in, 46–8, 50
 narrative of, 40, 46
 personalisation in, 26–7, 39–40, 42–50, 291
 postmodernism and, 39, 41
 privacy in, 26–7, 42–3
 social grammar of, 43–4
 space in, 41
 subjunctive world of, 44–6, 49–50
 temporality and, 40
 digital persons, 290–1, 295–6, 299
- discrimination, 86–7, 139, 297–8
 AI and, 128–30, 136, 138, 243–4
 anti-discrimination law, 11, 27–8, 49, 127–8, 136,
 141
 anti-discrimination law, data protection law
 compared with, 129–30
 credit, 125–33, 135–6, 141, 243–4
 EU on, 49, 127–8
 gender, 49, 127–8
 indirect profiling, stereotyping and, 11
 machine learning and, 128–30, 136, 138
 racial, 127–8, 135, 138–9, 192–3, 196–7, 298
 UK on, 128–9, 138
 US on, 127–8, 138–9
- discrimination, indirect
 AI and, 128–30, 136, 243–4
 black boxes and, 128–9
 concept of, limits on, 130–3
 credit, 128–33, 135–6, 243–4
 EU on, 128
 formal equality and, 130–1
 GDPR on, 129–30
 objective justification and, 131, 133
 race in, 135, 138–9
 UK on, 128–9
- disinformation, 227
 distributive profiles, 8–9
 diversity. *See also* gender; race
 in AI ethics, inclusivity and, 252–3
 criminal justice algorithms and, 195
 machine learning and, 45
 viewpoint, 228, 232–3
 driving metrics, 61–3, 66, 69–70, 90
 Dunn, John, 225–6
 Dworkin, Ronald, 277–8
- emotional targeting, 100–1, 121
 empiricism, 7
 of Bacon, 13–14
 of big data, 15–17, 104
 of Hume, 13–15
 of Wittgenstein, on family resemblance, 8–9
 employees. *See also* class
 nudging of, 65
 personalisation and hiring, 75
 surveillance of, 63, 65–6
 Enlightenment, 26–7, 37–8, 41–4
 environment
 climate change, 49–50
 driving metrics for, 62–3
 environmental shocks, 292–3, 304–5
 in smart cities, sustainability for, 207, 216
 epistemic power, 190–1, 193–5, 198, 201
 ePrivacy directive, European, 120, 231

- Equal Credit Opportunity Act (US), 127–8, 131
 equality, formal, 11–12, 130–1
 Erikainen, Sonia, 160–1, 165
Essop and Naeem (UK Supreme Court), 128–9, 135
 ethics, academic, 249–51
 ethics, AI. *See* AI ethics
 ethics, medical, 123, 250, 261–2
Ethics Guidelines for Trustworthy AI (Ethics Guidelines), 247, 255, 258
 Assessment List of, 253–5, 257, 261
 atomistic approach of, 253–5
 GDPR and, 252
 non-technical measures in, 252–3
 technical measures in, 251–2
 Eubanks, Virginia, 254, 257
 European Union (EU)
 on AI ethics, 244–9, 251–5, 257–8, 261
 on Cambridge Analytica scandal, 223–4
 Charter of Fundamental Rights, 127, 245
 Cookie Directive, 120
 on discrimination, 49, 127–8
 ePrivacy directive, 120, 231
Ethics Guidelines, 247, 251–5, 257–8, 261
 on FRTs, 215
Ewert v. Canada (Supreme Court of Canada), 195
 exemplars, 108–9, 111–13
 explicability, 118
 Extinction Rebellion, 49–50
- Facebook, 88, 121–2
 Cambridge Analytica scandal, 4, 29–30, 223–4, 226–7, 280
 credit data from, 124–8
 emotional contagions study of, 23–4
 emotional targeting by, 100–1, 121
 network effects of, 82, 84
 sensitive data used by, 101–2
- facial recognition technologies (FRTs)
 automated, 243–4
 in gambling, 68–9
 sensitive data from, 101–2, 107
 smart cities and, 29–30, 206, 210, 214–17
- Fair Credit Reporting Act (US), 140
 fake news, 227, 234
 falsification, 20–3
 Feeley, Malcolm M., 145–6
 Feenberg, Andrew, 15
 filter bubbles, 227–8, 233, 249, 254–5
 Fitbit, 57, 63–6, 69
 footprint, digital
 all data is credit data, 24–5, 27–8, 124–5, 128–9, 132–5
 big-data, 3, 7–10, 124–5, 128–9, 133–4
 Ford, Henry, 43–4
- Foucault, Michel, 291
 on biopolitics, 57–9, 70
 on biopower, 57–8
 criminal justice technologies and, 190, 193–4, 201
 on governmentality, 26–7, 56–61, 70
 on knowledge, power and, 55–9
 on neoliberalism, 58–9
 on normalisation, 19, 57–9, 201
 on subjects, 61, 69
 surveillance and, 58–61
- Galea, Sandro, 167–8
 gambling, 66–7
 AI in, 67–9
 geo-locational tracking of, 57, 68–9
 metrics for, 57
 personalisation of, 57, 67–70
 surveillance in, 68–9
- Gates, Bill, 159
 gender, 266
 credit and, 127–8
 discrimination by, 49, 127–8
 in modernity, 39
 personalisation and, 4, 39, 101–2, 127–8
- General Data Protection Regulation, EU (GDPR), 104
 accuracy and, 99–101
 AI and, 95
 Article 22 of, 23–4, 36, 103, 116
 autonomy in, 116–17
 consent in, 27–9, 95–9, 103–4, 112–13, 116–17, 119–20, 122, 129–30, 185–6, 231–2, 281, 294, 296
 credit and, 129–30, 133–4
 Data Protection Impact Assessments under, 103–4
 deletion and modification under, 95–6, 99–101, 103–4, 106
 enforcement of, 95–6, 101, 103–4
Ethics Guidelines and, 252
 indirect discrimination and, 129–30
 internet advertisement and, 96–7, 99–100, 112
 legal basis required by, 185–6
 legitimate interest criterion in, 98, 106, 119, 185
 limits of, 27–8, 95–6, 104
 market individualism framework of, 117
 political micro-targeting and, 231–3
 price discrimination and, 29, 174, 183–6
 profiling under, 101, 106, 121
 purpose limitation principle of, 133–4
 sensitive data under, 27–8, 95–6, 101–4, 107
 transparency in, 118, 129–30, 184, 281
- genetic profiles, 4
 geographical profiling, 270–1
 geo-locational tracking, 57, 68–9

- Gigerenzer, Gerd, 273
 globalisation, 37–8
 Goldstein, Daniel, 273
 Goodman, Ellen, 208, 210
 Google, 88
 AI ethics and, 245–7
 Google flu trends algorithm of, 270, 274
 Google Maps, 63, 74–5, 81, 90
 Google Search, 77–8, 80–2, 88–9
 network effects of, 88–9
 personalisation and, 74–5, 77–8, 80–2, 90
 Sidewalk, 206, 208–11, 214–17
 governance
 AI ethics, 30–1, 118, 123, 244–61, 299–300
 by code, 60–1, 194
 nudging/manipulation, 4–5, 47–8, 65, 69, 74–5, 208, 210, 216
 regulation/law, 29, 84–6, 126, 186, 207–9
 governmentality. *See also* biopolitics
 algorithmic, 26–7, 56–7, 59–61, 64–6, 69
 capitalist, 55–61, 64–6
 corporate, 55–6, 59–61
 data and contemporary, 55–6, 59
 neoliberal, 57–60, 64–6
 normalisation and, 57–9
 personalisation and, 55–7
 subject in, 61, 69
 Graef, Inge, 89
 Griffin, James, 282
 group profiling, 7–9

 Hale, Brenda (Lady Hale), 128–9, 135
 Harcourt, Bernard E., 156, 200
 Harm Assessment Risk Tool (HART algorithm), 9
 health
 datafication and, 63
 neoliberal subject, 64–5
 High-Level Expert Group on Artificial Intelligence, EU. *See Ethics Guidelines for Trustworthy AI*
 Hildebrandt, Mireille, 12, 14–15, 18–19
 Hindley. *See R v. Secretary of State for the Home Department ex parte Hindley*
 Hoffmann, A. L., 253–4
 Holmes, Oliver Wendell, Jr., 35
 Hood, Leroy, 159–61, 168
 human behaviour, theories of, 14–15, 263–6
 Human Genome Project, 28–9, 159
 Hume, David, 13–15, 43–4

 inclusivity, 252–3
 individual
 in AI ethics, focus on, 253–5
 in algorithmic profiling, group and, 7–9

 biopolitical self, 58–60
 in data protection law, market and, 117
 in digital modernity, 26–7, 41–3, 46–7, 50
 Fitbit subject, 64–6, 69
 governmentality, subject and, 61, 64–6, 69
 in modernity, 39, 41–4, 46
 neoliberal subject, 56–7, 61, 64–7, 69–70
 in smart cities, 205
 Information Commissioner's Office, UK (ICO), 98, 223–4, 231–2
The Institutions of Private Law and their Social Functions (Renner), 122
 internet advertisement, 88
 behavioural targeting in, 175
 gambling, 67
 GDPR and, 96–7, 99–100, 112
 micro-targeting in, 15–16
 personalisation and, 4, 15–16, 77–81, 96–7, 99, 112–15
 sensitive data and, 101–2
 Internet of Things, 41

 Japan, 238
 Jimenez, Alisha Caldwell, 197
 judges
 OASys impacting, 144, 150
 social justice and, 151–2
The Jurisdiction of Medical Law (Veitch), 166

 Kafka, Franz, 24–5
 Kant, Immanuel, 41–4, 293–5
 Klein, Naomi, 279
 Koopman, Colin, 59–60

 Lacey, Nicola, 19–20
 language
 agency and, 30–1, 282–6
 in constitutionalism, 30–1, 281–6
 law and, 278, 302
 Musk on, 277
 personalisation and, 30–1, 278, 285
 personhood and, 30–1, 282–6
 Larson, Jeff, 191–2, 198
 law
 analogies in, 108–9, 111–13, 119, 123
 as autopoietic system, 30–1, 289, 301–3
 contestability in, 21–2
 language and, 278, 302
 natural, 282
 soft, 252, 281
 Lazer, David, 270, 274
 legal analogies, 119
 with bioethics, 123
 in data protection law, 108–12, 116–20

- exemplars in, 108–9, 111–13
- Lessig, Lawrence, 18–19
- Li, Tania Murray, 58, 66
- Lichtman, Allan, 268–70, 273–4
- Liebowitz, Stan J., 87
- life-style profiles, 4
- Lipton, Zachary C., 267
- Loomis v. Wisconsin* (Wisconsin Supreme Court), 21–2, 24, 200, 266–7
- Lowenkamp, Christopher, 198
- Luhmann, Niklas, 293, 303–5
- Lyotard, Jean-François, 39, 41
- machine learning, 16–18
 - accuracy in, measuring, 267
 - correlation and, 265
 - in credit, indirect discrimination and, 128–30, 136
 - in criminal justice algorithms, 191–2
 - data protection law and, 100–1, 104
 - data sets driving, 243
 - in digital modernity, 41
 - discrimination and, 128–30, 136, 138
 - explicability for, 118
 - operations performed by, 45
 - personalisation and, 45
 - sensitive data and, 102
 - theory and, 265, 274
- Margolis, Stephen E., 87
- market
 - biopolitics and, 57–8
 - concentration, competition, and, 75–8, 82–7, 90–1
 - in data protection law, individualism and, 117
 - network effects in, 26–7, 75–8, 82–7, 90–1
 - network effects in two-sided, 76–7, 88–9
 - price signals and theory of, 115–16
 - relationality and theory of, 114–16, 122
- Martignon, Laura, 273
- Mayer-Schönberger, Viktor, 14
- McMahon, A., 162
- MDS. *See* Mobility Data Specification
- medicine, 4. *See also* P4 medicine
 - algorithmic government through, 57
 - biopolitics and datafied, 64–6
 - clinician-patient relationship in, 167–8, 170–1
 - COVID-19 pandemic, 166–7, 174, 207, 278–9
 - datafication of, 46–8, 50, 63–4, 66, 69–70
 - ethics of, 123, 250, 261–2
 - Fitbit tool for, 57, 63–6, 69
 - medical law, 159, 161–8, 170–1
 - Montgomery* on, 162–5, 168, 170–1
 - nudging in, 47–8
 - patient autonomy, 161–6, 168, 171
- Merton, Robert, 196–7
- microfinance, 126–7
- micro-segmentation, 132, 135–6
- Microsoft, 76–7, 88
- micro-targeting, political, 26
 - Cambridge Analytica scandal, 4, 29–30, 223–4, 226–7, 280
- contestability and, 22–3
- data protection law for, 29–30, 223–6, 230–4, 238
- privacy and, 230–4
- in US presidential election, 2016, 121–2, 223, 280–1
- vices and virtues of, 224–30, 234
- Mill, John Stuart, 22–3, 46–9
- The Minority Report* (Dick), 205, 211
- Miola, José, 164
- mobile network data, credit and, 126–7, 131–3
- mobility data, 206, 209, 211–12, 216
- Mobility Data Specification (MDS), 206, 209, 211–12
- model inversion attack, 100
- modernity, 26–7
 - analogue, 37, 40
 - choice in, 42
 - Enlightenment in, 37–8, 41–4
 - harm in, 46
 - individual in, 39, 41–4, 46
 - liquidity of, 39
 - narratives of, 37–9, 43–4, 46
 - present tense narrative of, 43–4
 - privacy in, 42, 46
 - space in, 38, 41
 - temporality of, 40
- Montgomery, Jonathan, 166–7
- Montgomery v. Lanarkshire Health Board* (UK Supreme Court), 162–5, 168, 170–1
- Morison, John, 207, 211
- Morozov, Evgeny, 209, 211
- mortgages. *See* credit
- Musk, Elon, 277
- narratives
 - of digital modernity, 40, 46
 - internal logic of, 37
 - of modernity, 37–9, 43–4, 46
 - social grammar of, 43–4
 - truth and falsehood of, 37
- natural law theories, 282
- neoliberalism
 - Foucault on, 58–9
 - governmentality of, 57–60, 64–6
 - subject of, 56–7, 61, 64–7, 69–70
- Netflix, 77–82
- network effects, 88
 - of Amazon, 78, 81–2, 88–91
 - defining, 76

- network effects (cont.)
 in digital economy, 76–7
 first-order, 75–6, 80–2, 84, 90
 interoperability for, 84
 market concentration, competition and, 75–8,
 82–7, 90–1
 nationalisation for, 85
 of personalisation, 26–7, 75, 77–87,
 89–90
 public policy for, 83–7
 regulation of, 84–6
 second-order, 75–6, 81–5, 90, 299
 second-order, personalisation as, 79–81,
 85–6
 social media, 76–82, 84
 taxation for, 85–6, 91
 tech sector business models by, 81
 in two-sided markets, 76–7, 88–9
- Neuralink, 277
- Neurath, Otto, 284
- Newheiser, David, 59
- NHS, UK, 163–6
- Nissenbaum, Helen, 134
- non-distributive profiles, 8–9
- normalisation, 18–19, 57–9, 201
- Norris, Pippa, 229
- nudging, 4–5, 69, 74–5
 corporate, of employees, 65
 in medicine, 47–8
 static nudges and hypernudges, 208, 210, 216
- Nuffield Council on Bioethics, 166
- Oakeshott, Michael, 48
- Obama, Barack, 161, 167–8, 269
- Offender Assessment System (OASys), 142, 144–5,
 148–50
- On Liberty* (Mill), 22–3, 46
- Open Mobility Foundation, 211–12
- Óskarsdóttir, María, 126–7
- P4 medicine, 26, 28–9, 159–68, 170–1
- PCRA algorithm, 198
- penal predictive algorithms. *See* criminal justice,
 data-driven
- personalisation. *See specific topics*
- personalised pricing. *See* price discrimination
- personhood
 agency and, 30–1, 281–3, 285–6
 in constitutionalism, 30–1, 281–6
 digital, 290–1, 295–6, 299
 language and, 30–1, 282–6
 personalisation and, 30–1, 285–6
- pixelated human, 6
- Plato, 8–9
- policing, 4, 26, 29–30, 190, 243–4, 279
 efficiency, control, and, 16
 HART algorithm in, 9
- politeia*, 283–4
- political parties, 229–30
- Popper, Karl, 20–1
- The Postmodern Condition* (Lyotard), 39, 41
- postmodernism, 39, 41
- Powles, Julia, 210
- precision medicine. *See* P4 medicine
- predictive analytics
 advertising, 4, 15–16, 18–19, 30–1, 100–2, 112,
 114–16
 pricing, 29, 126, 131, 174–87
- pre-modernity, 39–40, 42–3, 45–6
- price, fixed, 174
- price discrimination, 175–6, 188
 in credit, 125–6, 131
 degrees of, 176–7
 economic theory underlying, 174–8, 187
 GDPR and, 29, 174, 183–6
 popular perceptions of, 178–83, 185–6
 regulating, banning, 29, 126, 186
 welfare impact of, 29, 177–8
- price signals, 115–16
- pricing, dynamic, 177–8, 181–2
- privacy. *See also* data protection law; General Data
 Protection Regulation
 commercial surveillance and, 110
 consent and, 108–12, 120, 294–5
 contract and, 27–8, 108–9, 116, 120, 294–5
 in data protection law, 42, 108–12, 116–20, 133–5
 in digital modernity, 26–7, 42–3
 ePrivacy directive, European, 120, 231
 as exemplar, 111–12
 in modernity, 42, 46
 political micro-targeting and, 230–4
- privacy paradox, 97
- private, public and, 205–12, 216–17
- privileged influence, personalisation and, 297–300,
 305
- Profiles, Probabilities, and Stereotypes* (Schauer),
 11–12
- profiling
 algorithmic, terminology of, 26
 analogue, 19
 blind knowledge of data-driven, 13–17
 distributive profiles, 8–9
 under GDPR, 101, 106, 121
 genetic, 4
 geographical, 270–1
 group and individual in algorithmic, 7–9
 indirect, stereotyping and, 9–11
 life-style, 4

- non-distributive profiles, 8–9
 - in predictive policing, indirect, 9
 - social injustice of, 190–1
 - stereotyping and, 7, 9–13
 - pseudo-private spaces, 207–8
 - pseudo-public spaces, 207–8
 - purpose limitation principle, 133–4
- Quartix, 62
- R (on the application of Coll) v. Secretary of State for Justice* (UK Supreme Court), 138
- R v. Secretary of State for the Home Department ex parte Hindley* (*Hindley*) (UK), 148, 155
- race, 101–2
- COMPAS and, 197–8, 200, 266–7
 - credit personalisation and, 127–8
 - criminal justice algorithms and, 192–3, 195–8, 200, 266–7
 - discrimination in, 127–8, 192–3, 196–7, 298
 - in indirect discrimination, 135, 138–9
- rationality, 284
- relationality, 27–8, 113–16, 122
- Renner, Karl, 122
- retributive justice, 155
- in *Hindley*, 148, 155
 - predictive sentencing conflicting with, 27–8, 142–3, 145–8, 152–3, 255
- Rieder, Bernhard, 18, 24–5
- Saussure, Ferdinand de, 282–3
- Schauer, Frederic, 11–12, 130–1
- Scotland, 207
- Sennett, Richard, 46
- sensitive data, 27–8, 95–6, 101–4, 107
- sentencing, predictive, 16, 155, 190
- actuarial justice and, 27–8, 143, 145–6, 148–50, 191
 - arbitrariness of, 149–50
 - legal and technological context of, 143–5
 - liberal retributive justice conflicting with, 27–8, 142–3, 145–8, 152–3, 255
 - OASys, 142, 144–5, 148–50
 - social justice and, 148–52, 156
 - in UK, CJA and, 143–4, 148, 152, 154
- sexual orientation, 3–4, 9, 101–2, 127, 134
- Sherwin, E., 119
- Sidewalk, 206, 208–11, 214–17
- Silver, Nate, 265–6, 268
- Simon, Jonathan, 18–19, 145–6
- simple rules, 268
- complex algorithms compared with, 30–1, 263–4, 268–74
 - in criminal justice, 270–2
- Lichtman 13-key rule, 268–70
 - simulatability in, 267
 - transparency and, 267–8
 - US presidential election prediction and, 268–70
- Şimşek, Özgür, 273
- simulatability, 267
- Skeem, Jennifer, 198
- smart cities, 41
- Chinese social credit system and, 29–30, 205–6, 213–14, 217
 - concepts, evolving, of, 206–9
 - environmental efficiency, sustainability in, 207, 216
 - FRTs and, 29–30, 206, 210, 214–17
 - individual in, 205
 - mobility data in, 206, 209, 211–12, 216
 - pseudo-public and pseudo-private in, 207–8
 - public and private in, 205–12, 216–17
 - Sidewalk, 206, 208–11, 214–17
 - in UK, proposed, 205, 207
- smartphones, 63, 67–9
- Snow, C. P., 263
- social credit system, Chinese, 29–30, 134–5, 205–6, 212–14, 217
- social grammar, 43–4
- social justice, 148–52, 156
- social media. *See also* Facebook
- for credit, as input data, 124–8, 131–4
 - network effects of, 76–82, 84
- soft law, 252, 281
- solidarities, social, 30–1, 283–6, 302
- Solove, Daniel, 24–5
- South Korea, 48
- Staples, 175, 178
- Starr, Sonja B., 156
- stereotyping. *See* profiling
- Steyn, Johan (Lord Baron Steyn), 148, 155
- Stigler, G. J., 187
- subject-matter experts, 25–31
- subjectivity, 56, 61, 69
- subjectivation, 56, 69
- subjunctive world, 44–6, 49–50
- substance, process and, 5
- Sunstein, Cass R., 4–5, 23
- Supreme Court, UK, 138
- Essop and Naeem*, 128–9, 135
 - Montgomery*, 162–5, 168, 170–1
- Supreme Court, US, 35, 138
- Supreme Court, Wisconsin. *See* *Loomis v. Wisconsin*
- Supreme Court of Canada, 195
- surveillance, 69
- by commercial actors, privacy and, 110
 - by corporations, 65–6

- surveillance (cont.)
 employee, 63, 65–6
 Foucault and, 58–61
 in gambling, 68–9
 mass, constitutional life threatened by, 285–6
 surveillance capitalism, 6–7, 60
 systems medicine. *See* P4 medicine
 systems theory. *See* autopoietic system
- taxation, 85–6, 91
 Taylor, Charles, 282–3
 telematics, 61–3, 66
 Teubner, Gunther, 301–2
 Thaler, Richard H., 4–5
 theory, 30–1
 correlation and, 14–15, 264–5
 of human behaviour, big data eschewing, 14–15, 264–6
 of human behaviour, process-based, 263–5
 machine learning and, 265, 274
 Till, Christopher, 65
 Toronto, Canada, 206, 208–11, 214–17
 traditional profiling, 19
 transparency
 criminal justice algorithms lacking, 199–201
 deliberation and, 266–7
 in GDPR, 118, 129–30, 184, 281
 of simple rules, 267–8
The Trial (Kafka), 24–5
 Trump, Donald, 265–6, 268–70
 truth, 17–20
- Uber, 76–7, 81, 84, 88–9
 United Kingdom (UK)
 academic ethics in, 249–51
 AI ethics of, 245, 259
 bail in, 271–2
 on Cambridge Analytica scandal, 223–4
- CJA, 143–4, 148, 152, 154
 Data Protection Act, 231–2
 DCMS Committee, 223–4
 on discrimination, 128–9, 138
Essop and Naem, 128–9, 135
 FRTs in, 215
Hindley, 148, 155
 ICO, 98, 223–4, 231–2
Montgomery, 162–5, 168, 170–1
 NHS, English, 163–6
 OASys in, 142, 144–5, 148–50
 political micro-targeting and, 223–4, 231–2
 prisoner treatment in, 155
 smart city proposals in, 205, 207
- United States (US)
Abrams, 35
 AI ethics of, 246–7
 credit law in, 127–8, 131, 140
 on discrimination, 127–8, 138–9
 micro-targeting in, permissive regime on, 230
Washington, 138
 US presidential election of 2012, 269
 US presidential election of 2016, 268
 algorithms and predicting, 265–6, 268–70
 political micro-targeting in, 121–2, 223, 280–1
- Veitch, Kenneth, 166
 Volcic, Zala, 227–8
- Walzer, Michael, 134
Washington v. Davis (US Supreme Court), 138
 Waterfront Toronto, 209–11
 WeChat, 44–5
 Wisconsin Supreme Court. *See* *Loomis v. Wisconsin*
 Wittgenstein, Ludwig, 8–9
 Zuboff, Shoshana, 6–7