

Contents

| | |
|--|----------------|
| <i>List of Figures</i> | <i>page</i> xi |
| <i>List of Tables</i> | xiii |
| <i>Table of Cases</i> | xv |
| <i>Acknowledgments</i> | xxiii |
| 1 Introduction | 1 |
| 1.1 A Tale of Three State Court Decisions | 3 |
| 1.2 A New Theoretical Approach | 6 |
| 1.3 A Road Map for the Book | 9 |
| 2 The Role of Precedent: A Brief History | 13 |
| 2.1 The Origins of <i>Stare Decisis</i> | 13 |
| 2.1.1 The Earliest Systematic Court Records: Bracton and the Year Books | 14 |
| 2.1.2 The Gradual Modernization of Case Reporting | 16 |
| 2.1.3 Precedent and Case Reporting in the American Colonies and the Early Post-independence Period | 19 |
| 2.2 The Adoption of the Strong View of Precedent in English and American Law | 22 |
| 2.2.1 Toward an Absolute View of Precedent and Back Again: England in the Nineteenth and Twentieth Centuries | 22 |
| 2.2.2 The View of Precedent in the US Courts | 25 |
| 2.3 Are State Courts Bound to US Supreme Court Decisions? | 30 |
| 2.3.1 When State and Federal Questions Are Mixed | 34 |
| 2.4 Conclusion | 38 |

| | | |
|-----|---|-----|
| 3 | A Theory of State High Court Usage of US Supreme Court Precedent | 39 |
| 3.1 | Decision-Making on State High Courts | 40 |
| 3.2 | State High Courts and US Supreme Court Precedent | 42 |
| 3.3 | Core Assumptions | 44 |
| 3.4 | A Theory of Precedent Usage by State High Courts | 48 |
| 3.5 | From Federal Precedent to State Policy | 55 |
| 4 | Conceptualizing and Measuring How State High Courts Use US Supreme Court Opinions | 57 |
| 4.1 | Brief History of Compliance Studies in Terms of Measurement Theory | 57 |
| 4.2 | Newer Research Contributions: The Gift of <i>Shepard's Citations</i> | 60 |
| 4.3 | Our Measure of State High Court Responses to US Supreme Court Precedents | 64 |
| 4.4 | Descriptive Findings from Three Empirical Applications | 68 |
| 5 | State High Court Responses to <i>Atkins v. Virginia</i>: Characterized by Flexibility | 71 |
| 5.1 | A Brief History of Death Penalty Litigation: Post- <i>Gregg v. Georgia</i> | 72 |
| 5.2 | Intellectual Disability and the Eighth Amendment: Controversy and Resolution | 73 |
| 5.3 | How States Have Dealt with <i>Atkins</i> | 76 |
| 5.4 | Supreme Court Post- <i>Atkins</i> | 82 |
| 5.5 | Application of Our Theory to <i>Atkins</i> | 83 |
| 5.6 | Data and Methods | 86 |
| 5.7 | Results and Discussion | 90 |
| 5.8 | Concluding Thoughts | 93 |
| 6 | State High Court Usage of <i>Lemon v. Kurtzman</i>: Examining a Case of Maximum Discretion | 96 |
| 6.1 | A Brief History of Establishment Clause Jurisprudence | 98 |
| 6.2 | <i>Lemon v. Kurtzman</i> : A (Failed) Attempt at a Universal Standard | 100 |
| 6.3 | How States Have Dealt with <i>Lemon</i> | 104 |
| 6.4 | Application of Our General Theory to <i>Lemon</i> | 109 |
| 6.5 | Data and Methods | 113 |
| 6.6 | Results and Discussion | 115 |
| 6.7 | Concluding Thoughts | 118 |

| | | |
|----------|--|------------|
| 7 | State High Court Usage of <i>District of Columbia v. Heller</i> and <i>McDonald v. City of Chicago</i>: Moderate Degrees of Flexibility | 121 |
| 7.1 | <i>Heller</i> and <i>McDonald</i> : Establishing a Second Amendment Constitutional “Floor” | 122 |
| 7.2 | A Brief History of Second Amendment Case Law | 123 |
| 7.3 | <i>Heller</i> and <i>McDonald</i> : Cases and Immediate Aftermath | 126 |
| 7.4 | Research Design and Method | 128 |
| 7.4.1 | General Trends in State Court Responses to <i>Heller</i> and <i>McDonald</i> | 128 |
| 7.5 | Case Studies in State High Court Reactions to <i>Heller</i> and <i>McDonald</i> | 136 |
| 7.5.1 | States’ Citations and Treatments of <i>Heller</i> Prior to <i>McDonald</i> | 138 |
| 7.5.2 | Massachusetts’ Treatments of <i>McDonald</i> and <i>Heller</i> Post- <i>McDonald</i> | 140 |
| 7.5.3 | Illinois’ Treatments of <i>Heller</i> and <i>McDonald</i> | 146 |
| 7.5.4 | The Louisiana Supreme Court’s Discussion of <i>Heller</i> and <i>McDonald</i> | 149 |
| 7.6 | Conclusion | 153 |
| 8 | Concluding Thoughts and Future Extensions | 156 |
| 8.1 | Purpose and General Findings of the Book | 156 |
| 8.2 | Case Selection Concerns | 159 |
| 8.2.1 | Additional Notes on Case Selection: <i>Alden v. Maine</i> | 160 |
| 8.3 | Summary of Specific Findings from Our Applications | 161 |
| 8.3.1 | Summary of Findings from <i>Atkins</i> Chapter | 162 |
| 8.3.2 | Summary of Findings from <i>Lemon</i> Chapter | 163 |
| 8.3.3 | Summary of Findings from Second Amendment Chapter | 164 |
| 8.4 | Implications of Our Book | 165 |
| 8.5 | Future Work | 166 |
| | <i>Bibliography</i> | 169 |
| | <i>Index</i> | 179 |