

Index

Regulation, 249-55 African Charter on Human and Peoples' Rights, 206-9 United Kingdom, 106 Centre for Minority Rights Development United States of America, 107 Autonomy. See Environmental human rights, v. Kenya, 209 SERA Centre v. Nigeria, 207 Autonomy Air pollution, 126, 255-61 Deutsche Umwelthilfe, 240, 256 Boyd, David, 38 Diesel emission scandal, 258-61 Brown v. Board of Education. See Directive 2008/50/EC, 102, 255 Polycentricity, Brown v. Board of Directive 2008/50/EC, 257 Education European Automobile Manufacturers Climate change. See Transboundary Association, 258 European Commission, 103, 258, 260 environmental harm German Federal Motor Transport Authority, Dworkin, Ronald Germany, 238-41 A Matter of Principle, 64 Janecek v. Freistaat Bayern, 238-9, 256 Airport noise emission. See European Emerging standards, 83-92 Convention on Human Rights, Airport ECHR, 177-8 Harm assessment formula, 83-5 noise emission Alexy, Robert. See Germany, Doctrine of errors Precautionary principle. See Precautionary in excercise of discretion principle Amenity, 128 Remedies. See Remedies, Emerging American Convention on Human Rights, standards Environment 200-13 Advisory opinion, 212 Amenity, 187 Lhaka Honhat Association v. Argentina, 213 climate change, 265 Mossville Environmental Action Now, 211 Definition, 6 American Declaration on the Rights and Expropriation, 187 Duties of Man. See American Flora and fauna, 187 Convention on Human Rights Industrial, 187 Noise pollution, 124 Anthropocene, 46, 280 Asbestos, 43, 142 Other, 188 Brincat and others v. Malta, 142 Planning and zoning, 185 Causality, 106-8 Environmental human rights, 35-58 Germany, 107 Autonomy, 36, 42-3



> Index 322

Environmental human rights (cont.) Conflicts, 52-6 Future generations, 37, 43 Harm, 35-40 Human dignity, 36, 41-2 Normative claims, 40-8 Participation, 37, 44 Rights of nature, 45-8 Scepticism, 48-56 Urgenda case, 93, 270, 274 Vagueness, 48-52 Environmental minimum, 59-118 Flow chart, 18 Minimum standards, 69-92 Objections to adjudication. See Polycentricity Remedies, 114-17 Environmental regulation air pollution, 100, 179 Asbestos. See Asbestos, Regulation climate change, 93, 270, 274 Diesel emission scandal, 257 Ozone layer, 248-9 Environmental rights Definition, 10 Environmental human rights, 10 Procedural, 10 Substantive, 10 Ermessensfehlerlehre. See Germany, Doctrine of errors in excercise of discretion Established standards, 81-3 ECHR, 175-7 Generally accepted standards, 73 Remedies. See Remedies, Established standards Zander v. Sweden, 83 European Convention on Human Rights, 157 Airport noise emission, 136-8 Article 1 AP-I, 148-52, 190-2 Article 2, 140-3, 189 Article 3, 152, 189 Article 8, 143-8, 189 Articles invoked in category one, Figure 4.5, Articles invoked in category two, Figure 7.1, Doctrine, 131-54 Early environmental cases, 134-40 Emerging standards. See Emerging

Environmental cases in category two, Figure 7.2, 185 Environmental decisions in category one, Figure 4.4, 131 Environmental issues in category one, Figure 4.3, 129 Environmental regulations, Figure 7.3, 188 Established standards. See Established standards Excluded cases, 28-30 Legal standards. See Legal standards Margin of appreciation doctrine, 194-8 Minimum standards. See Minimum standards Modern environmental doctrine, 140-54 Number of environmental applications, Figure 4.1, 124 Overall number of applications, Figure 4.2, Victim status, 156-9 Zander v. Sweden, 83 European Union Directive 2008/50/EC, 102 European Commission, 103 Evidence Causality, 106-9 Science. See Scientific evidence Flora and fauna, 128 Fuller, Lon. See Polycentricity

Future generations, 37, See Environmental

human rights, Future generations Germany, 230-41 Air pollution. See Air pollution, Germany

Article 20a GG, 231-3 Asbestos litigation. See Asbestos, Germany Civil procedure law, 111 Constitutional rights, 233-8 Doctrine of errors in excercise of discretion,

Federal Motor Transport Authority. See Air pollution, German Federal Motor Transport Authority

Good faith. See Legal standards, Good faith requirement ECHR, 169-72

High-priority principle ECHR data analysis, 184-9

standards

Environment, 123-31



Index 323

European Convention on Human Rights, 184–99
Limitations under ECHR, 192–9
High priority principle
Economic benefits under ECHR, 192–4
Human dignity. See Environmental human rights, Human Dignity
Human rights
African Charter on Human and Peoples'
Rights. See African Charter on Human and Peoples' Rights
Definition, 7
Germany. See Germany
South Africa. See South Africa
UN Covenants. See UN Covenants

Industry resistance, 251–3
Air pollution, 257–60
International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. See UN Covenants
International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. See UN Covenants
International environmental law
1972 Stockholm Conference, 263
Enforcement mechanisms, 275–80
Precautionary principle. See Precautionary principle
Sustainable Development Goals. See
Sustainable Development Goals

King, Jeff Judging Social Rights, 41 The Pervasiveness of Polycentricity, 17, 96 Knox, John, 38, 49, 74, 77, 84 Kraftfahrtbundesamt. See Air pollution, German Federal Motor Transport Authority

Transboundary environmental harm. See

Transboundary environmental harm

Legal standards
Advisory opinions, 73–9
ECHR, 172–5
Enforcement ECHR, 172–5
Generally accepted standards, 73
Good faith requirement, 80
Pacta sunt servanda, 72
Presumption of bad faith, 77–8
Regulatory flexibility, 79–80
Remedies. See Remedies, Legal standards
Rule of law, 70–2

Methodology, 25–31
African Charter on Human and Peoples'
Rights, 30, 299
European Convention on Human Rights,
26–30, 290–8
Inter-American Human Rights, 31, 300
UN Covenants, 31, 300
Minimum standards
Absence of legal standards under ECHR,
178–80
Consistent enforcement under ECHR,
180–2
European Convention on Human Rights,
169–83
Legal standards, 69–81
Limitations under ECHR, 178–82

Naess, Arne, 45 Nickel, James, 7, 59

Objections to adjudication. See Polycentricity Originalism, 63–5 Ozone layer. See Environmental regulation, Ozone layer

Pacta sunt servanda. See Legal standards, Pacta sunt servanda Participation. See Environmental human rights, Participation

Political lag, 250–1
Polycentricity, 94–104
Adjudication, 97
Brown v. Board of Education, 99
Critique, 97–100
Environmental harm, 100–4
Urgenda case, 93–4

Precautionary principle, 85–91 Context sensitive nature, 88–91 Environmental minimum, 91–2 Strong sense, 88 Sunstein, Cass, 87–8 Weak sense, 87

Procedural environmental rights, 51, 126 European Convention on Human Rights, 28

Radiation, 127 Raz, Joseph Interest theory of rights, 41 Rule of law, 70 The Morality of Freedom, 42



324 Index

Reasonable Hypothesis European Convention on Human Rights, 159–67

Regulatory inertia, 253–5 Air pollution, 256–7

Remedies

Compensatory damages, 116
Declaratory findings, 116
Directions to executive bodie

Directions to executive bodies, 114

Directions to legislative bodies, 115

Directions to states, 115 Emerging standards, 117 Established standards, 116 Legal standards, 114–16 Rights of nature, 45–8

Environmental human rights. See Environmental human rights, Rights of

nature

Rule of law. See Legal standards, Rule of law

Allan, Trevor, 71 Craig, Paul, 70 Dworkin, Ronald, 71

Scientific evidence, 109–14 Adversarial legal systems, 112 Established and emerging standards, 111 Inquisitorial legal systems, 111

Legal standards, 110

Soil pollution, 127 South Africa, 216–30

Constitutional subsidiarity, 227–30 Framework legislation, 224–7

Limitations, 223-30

Mazibuko v. City of Johanesburg, 229 My Vote Counts v. Speaker of National

Assembly, 227 Section 24, 216–27 Specific risk principle, 60–9

Data analysis, 123–9

European Convention on Human Rights,

123-55

Handl, Günther, 66

Harm, 60-1

Reasonable hypothesis, 67–9

Recognised human rights, 61-7

Sunstein, Cass, 17

Laws of Fear, 90

Sustainable Development Goals, 277-80

Three pathologies, 249-55

Transboundary environmental harm,

265–75
Causality, 266–70
Human rights, 265–75
Limitations of human rights, 272–5
Spatial expansion, 270–2

UN Covenants, 203–6 Cáceres v. Paraguay, 205

General Comment No 36, 205

United Kingdom

Air pollution, 102

Asbestos litigation. See Asbestos, United Kingdom

Excercise of discretionary powers, 74-5

London air pollution, 2, 101

R(Client Earth), 102

United States of America

Asbestos litigation. See Asbestos, United

States of America

Daubert, 112

Frye v. United States, 112

Urgenda case. See Polycentricity, Urgenda

case

Viñuales, Jorge, 267

Vorsorgeprinzip. See Precautionary principle

Water pollution, 127

World Health Organization, 2

Air pollution, 101, 180

Generally accepted standards, 73