

TOWARDS THE ENVIRONMENTAL MINIMUM

Pervasive environmental harm that disproportionately impacts vulnerable members of society is left largely unregulated across the globe despite existing legal commitments to human rights and environmental protection in many states. To address this shortcoming, Stefan Theil proposes a new normative framework for environmental protection through human rights law. In clear and accessible prose, he demonstrates how such a human rights-based approach can strengthen environmental protection without requiring radical departures from established protection regimes and legal principles. The environmental minimum developed in the book translates the general and abstract commitments of states into specific and practical measures that protect the natural environment. The framework develops the doctrine of international, regional, and domestic courts, analysed through an innovative approach that improves contextual awareness. This book is thus a valuable resource for lawyers, social scientists, political theorists, environmental and human rights advocates.

Stefan Theil is a postdoctoral research fellow at the Faculty of Law, University of Oxford. He teaches and conducts research in public and constitutional law, environmental law, European Union law, as well as human rights, with a particular focus on freedom of expression. He writes and blogs frequently on current legal developments, often drawing comparisons between legal systems and reflecting on deepening European integration.



Towards the Environmental Minimum

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION THROUGH HUMAN RIGHTS

STEFAN THEIL

University of Oxford





CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom
One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA
477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia
314–321, 3rd Floor, Plot 3, Splendor Forum, Jasola District Centre, New Delhi – 110025, India
103 Penang Road, #05–06/07, Visioncrest Commercial, Singapore 238467

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning, and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781108835145 DOI: 10.1017/9781108891769

© Stefan Theil 2021

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2021

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library.

ISBN 978-1-108-83514-5 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



For my parents and Lauren



Contents

List of Figures	page xi
Acknowledgements	xiii
List of Abbreviations	xvii
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Key Issues	1
1.2 Definitions	6
1.2.1 Environment	6
1.2.2 Human Rights	7
1.2.3 Environmental Rights	10
1.3 The Argument in a Nutshell	11
1.3.1 Environmental Human Rights	13
1.3.2 Principles of the Framework	15
1.3.3 Practical Viability	18
1.3.4 Beyond the European Convention	22
1.3.5 Contributions to Environmental Regulation	24
1.4 Methodology	25
1.4.1 European Convention on Human Rights	26
1.4.2 African Charter on Human and Peoples' Rights	30
1.4.3 Inter-American Human Rights	31
1.4.4 International Covenants	31
1.4.5 Conclusion	31
PART I INTRODUCING THE ENVIRONMENTAL MINIMUM	
2 Environmental Human Rights	35
2.1 Environmental Harm as a Threat to Human Rights	35
2.2 The Normative Claims of Environmental Human Rigl	



Viii	Contents	
	2.2.1 Human Dignity and Autonomy	41
	2.2.2 Future Generations and Participation	43
	2.2.3 Rights of Nature	45
	2.3 Scepticism	48
	2.3.1 Vagueness	48
	2.3.2 Conflicts	52
	2.4 Summary	56
3	The Environmental Minimum	59
	3.1 Specific Risk Principle	60
	3.1.1 Environmental Harm	60
	3.1.2 Recognised Human Right	61
	3.1.3 Reasonable Hypothesis	67
	3.2 Minimum Standards	69
	3.2.1 Legal Standards	69
	3.2.2 Established Standards	81
	3.2.3 Emerging Standards	83
	3.2.4 Objections to Adjudication	92
	3.2.5 Summary	104
	3.3 Evidence	106
	3.3.1 Burden of Proof and Causality	106
	3.3.2 Evaluation of Scientific Evidence	109
	3.4 Remedies	114
	3.4.1 Legal Standards	114
	3.4.2 Established Standards	116
	3.4.3 Emerging Standards	116
	3.5 Summary	117
PAR	T II THE ENVIRONMENTAL MINIMUM UNDER THE ECHR	
4	Specific Risk Principle	123
	4.1 Environmental Cases	123
	4.1.1 Data Analysis	123
	4.1.2 Convention Articles	129
	4.2 Doctrinal Analysis	131
	4.2.1 Early Environmental Cases	134
	4.2.2 Modern Interpretation	140
	4.2.3 Limitations	152
	4.3 Summary	154



	Contents	ix
_	Daggarahla Harrathasia	6
5	Reasonable Hypothesis 5.1 Victim Status	156 156
	5.2 Environmental Cases	150
	5.3 Limitations	163
	5.4 Summary	167
6	Minimum Standards	169
	6.1 Legal Standards	169
	6.1.1 Good Faith Regulation	169
	6.1.2 Enforcement	172
	6.2 Established and Emerging Standards	175
	6.3 Limitations	178
	6.3.1 Absence of Legal Standards	178
	6.3.2 Lack of Consistent Enforcement	180
	6.4 Summary	182
7	High Priority	184
	7.1 Environmental Cases	184
	7.1.1 Data Analysis	184
	7.1.2 Doctrinal Analysis	189
	7.2 Limitations	192
	7.2.1 Economic Benefits	192
	7.2.2 Margin of Appreciation Doctrine	194
	7.3 Summary	198
PA	RT III BEYOND THE EUROPEAN CONVENTION	
8	International Protection Regimes	203
	8.1 The UN Covenants	203
	8.2 African Charter on Human and Peoples' Rights	206
	8.3 American Convention on Human Rights	209
	8.4 Summary	213
9	Environmental Constitutionalism	215
	9.1 South Africa	216
	9.1.1 Scope of Protection	218
	9.1.2 Limitations	223
	9.2 Germany	230
	9.2.1 Scope of Protection	231
	9.2.2 Limitations	236
	9.2.3 Air Pollution Litigation	238
	9.3 Summary	241



x Contents

PART IV BEYOND HUMAN RIGHTS LAW

10	Environmental Regulation	24 7
	10.1 Regulations to Protect the Ozone Layer	248
	10.2 Asbestos and the Three Pathologies	249
	10.3 Contemporary Air Pollution	255
	10.3.1 Regulatory Inertia	256
	10.3.2 Industry Resistance & Regulatory Complicity	257
	10.4 Summary	26:
11	International Environmental Law	263
	11.1 Climate Change	265
	11.1.1 Causality	266
	11.1.2 Temporal Constraints	272
	11.1.3 Geography	27
	11.1.4 Global Rights Commitment	274
	11.1.5 Conclusion	275
	11.2 Enforcement and Sustainable Development	275
	11.3 Summary	280
12	Conclusion and Outlook	282
Αpţ	bendix: Data Methodology	289
Bibliography		30:
Index		22:



Figures

1.1	The operation and structure of the	
	environmental minimum	раде 19
4.1	Number of environmental applications filed per	
	thousand applications 1985–2014	125
4.2	Total number of applications filed per year 1985–2019	126
4.3	Environmental issues of cases in category 1	129
4.4	Number of environmental cases and violations per year in	ĺ
	category 1 1960–2014	130
4.5	Number of successful invocations by Article in category 1	131
7.1	Number of successful invocations by Article in category 2	185
7.2	Number of environmental cases and violations per year	
•	in category 2 1960–2014	186
7.3	Nature of environmental regulations in category 2	188



Acknowledgements

This book represents the culmination of many years of research, beginning with my doctoral work at the University of Cambridge and concluding at the Bonavero Institute, University of Oxford. The last few months have been particularly challenging in light of the restrictions imposed by the novel coronavirus. The uncertainty and pressures that the pandemic has exerted on everyday life have given what was intended to be a celebratory moment a distinctly sombre overlay. Life, it seems, will be different once we emerge from this crisis. Should my book provide some humble contributions towards a kinder, more generous, and environmentally just world, then I shall consider the effort worthwhile.

In such times we realise what is truly important in our lives, and I have been humbled by the generous support from my loved ones and many extraordinary friends and colleagues. Their advice and guidance were the essential ingredients that allowed me to complete this project. My fledgling career would not have been possible without my academic mentors, and I endeavour to follow their example in instructing the next generation of students.

I am grateful to the Faculty of Law, University of Cambridge, and my supervisors, Markus Gehring and Stephanie Palmer, who, above all, gave me the confidence to pursue my research when it was still in its infancy. Thanks are also due to my examiners Eyal Benvenisti and Ole Pedersen for their helpful comments during and after the viva. Many friends went far beyond the call of friendship in their constructive advice and countless discussions over the years. I am especially indebted to John Adentire, Will Bateman, Amelia Papworth, and Barry Solaiman for reading the manuscript in its entirety and providing crucial feedback. I will always be grateful for their support, and the intellectual renaissance I was privileged to experience with them in Cambridge.



xiv

Acknowledgements

Of my academic mentors, I owe a particular debt of gratitude to Jeff King. Jeff has guided me throughout my academic career and offered crucial advice at virtually every major fork in the road. Research assistance and collaboration with Jeff on various projects taught me invaluable lessons on approaching complex legal problems with rigorous theoretical, doctrinal, and empirical analysis. His seminal work to date, *Judging Social Rights* (Cambridge University Press, 2012), was a key inspiration for this book and provided important guidance.

I would like to thank the inaugural director of the Bonavero Institute, Kate O'Regan, and the Faculty of Law, University of Oxford for taking a chance on a junior academic at the close of his doctoral studies. The community in Oxford proved a stimulating and supportive environment for research that I have come to treasure no less than my time at 'the other place.' Special thanks are due to Liora Lazarus who provided crucial guidance on my work and career throughout her time at the institute. Many outstanding colleagues and friends have contributed their insights in conversations and discussions over the years that helped shape the ideas in this book: Jeremias Adams-Prassl, Simon Alison, Kaitlin M Ball, Nick Barber, John Bell, Dominic Burbidge, Oliver Butler, Paul Craig, Colm O'Cinneide, Ya Lan Chang, Michael Dafel, Pavlos Eletheriadis, Franziska Fischer, Kent McFadzien, Liz Fisher, Lilia Giugni, Andrew Higgins, Hayley Hooper, Miles Jackson, Aileen Kavanagh, Knut Karnapp, George Letsas, Daniella Lock, Richard Martin, Kaara Martinez, Ana Julia Mauricio, Michael Molavi, Shelby Newsad, Maha Rafi Atal, Farhan Samanani, Rajiv Shah, Arjun Sharath, Ewan Smith, Lukas Schäffner, Eugenio Velasco Ibarra, Jorge Viñuales, and Alison Young. Their work consistently inspires me and discussions with them broaden my horizons. They are the fabric of a vibrant community of scholars without which no serious intellectual project can succeed. Elinor Buys provided outstanding research assistance on the final manuscript, especially in updating the dataset. Thanks are also due to Finola O'Sullivan, Tom Randall, Gemma Smith, and the team from Cambridge University Press, as well as the anonymous reviewers for their helpful and constructive feedback.

I am grateful to my siblings, Daniel and Christian, who in their own unique ways reminded me that the world out there is bigger than it can appear from an Oxbridge library. My deepest gratitude goes to my parents, Angela and Udo. Their unconditional love and support gave me the confidence to overcome a learning disability, while their education and encouragement broadened my horizons beyond what many are privileged to experience.



Acknowledgements

XV

The most important contribution to the book was made by my partner, Lauren. Her love, humour, patience, advice, and unwavering kindness kept me sane during the lows of my academic career and made the high points all the more enjoyable. Not a single page would be the same without the contribution of these wonderful people in my life.



Abbreviations

ACHPR African Charter on Human and Peoples' Rights
ACHR American Convention on Human Rights
AfComHR African Commission on Human and Peoples'

Rights

AfCtHR African Court of Human and Peoples' Rights
American Declaration American Declaration on the Rights and Duties

of Man

BGBl Bundesgesetzblatt – German Federal Gazette BGH Bundesgerichtshof – German Federal Court of

Justice

BGHZ Entscheidungen des Bundesgerichtshofes in

Zivilsachen – Official Law Report of the German Federal Court of Justice for Private Law Matters

BNatSchG Bundesnaturschutzgesetz – German Federal

Nature Conservation Act

BVerfG Bundesverfassungsgericht – German Federal

Constitutional Court

BVerfGE Entscheidungen des Bundesverfassungsgerichts –

Official Law Report of the German Federal

Constitutional Court

BVerfGK Bundesverfassungsgericht

(Kammerentscheidung) – German Federal Constitutional Court (Chamber judgment)

BVerwG Bundesverwaltungsgericht – German Federal

Administrative Court

xvii



More Information

xviii List of Abbreviations

BVerwGE Entscheidungen des Bundesverwaltungsgerichts -

Official Law Report of the German Federal

Administrative Court

CFC chlorofluorocarbons CoE Council of Europe

COP United Nations Climate Change Conference of

Parties

dB decibel, a logarithmic unit used to express the

ratio of two values of a physical quantity

dBA a decibel measurement of sound, achieved

> through use of the A weighting filter, which approximates a human ear's response to sound

average continuous sound level on a logarithmic

dBA Leq

scale, given in decibel

dBA Lmax highest RMS sound pressure level within the

measuring period, given in decibel

ECHR European Convention on Human Rights

European Court of Justice **ECI**

EComHR European Commission of Human Rights **ECtHR** European Court of Human Rights **EFSA** European Food Safety Authority

EUEuropean Union

Grundgesetz - German Basic Law GG

Grand Chamber Grand Chamber of the European Court of

Human Rights

HRA UK Human Rights Act 1998 UN Human Rights Council **HRC**

Inter-American Commission on Human Rights **IAComHR IACtHR** Inter-American Court of Human Rights **ICCPR** International Covenant on Civil and Political

ICESCR International Covenant on Economic, Social and

Cultural Rights

International Court of Justice ICI

ICJ Rep International Court of Justice Reports of

Judgments, Advisory Opinions and Orders

non-governmental organisation NGO

 NO_2 nitrogen dioxide

OAU Organisation of African Unity



SDGs

UKHL

Cambridge University Press 978-1-108-83514-5 — Towards the Environmental Minimum Stefan Theil Frontmatter More Information

List of Abbreviations

xix

Plenary of the European Court of Human Rights

(abolished)

PM10 fine particulate matter (10 µm or less)
PM2.5 fine particulate matter (2.5 µm or less)
RMS root mean square of a time-varying quantity, obtained by squaring the amplitude at each

obtained by squaring the amplitude at each instant, obtaining the average of the squared values over the interval of interest, and then taking the square root of this average

Sustainable Development Goals
United Kingdom House of Lords
Supreme Court of the United Kingdom

UKSC Supreme Court UN United Nations

UN Rep Int'l Arb Awards Reports of International Arbitral Awards

UNTS United Nations Treaty Series

VCLT Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties
VwGO Verwaltungsgerichtsordnung – German Code of

Administrative Court Procedure

VwVfG Verwaltungsverfahrensgesetz – German

Administrative Procedure Act

WHO World Health Organization

ZACC South African Constitutional Court