

Contention in Times of Crisis

This is the first comprehensive overview of the waves of protest mobilization that spread across Europe in the wake of the Great Recession. Documenting the extent of these protests in a study covering thirty countries, including the issues they addressed and the degree to which they replicated each other, this book maps the prevalence and nature of protest across Europe, and explains the interactions between economic and political grievances that lead to protest mobilization. The authors assess a range of claims in the literature on political protest, arguing that they tend both to overstate the importance of anti-austerity sentiments and underestimate the relevance of political grievances in driving the protest. They also integrate a study of the electoral and protest arenas, revealing that electoral mass politics has been heavily influenced in protest mobilization, which amplified the electoral punishment at the polls.

Hanspeter Kriesi holds the Stein Rokkan Chair in comparative politics at the European University Institute, Florence.

Jasmine Lorenzini is a research fellow at the Institute of Citizenship Studies, University of Geneva.

Bruno Wüest works at the research center Sotomo in Zurich. He was postdoctoral research fellow at the Institute for Political Science of the University Zurich.

Silja Häusermann is professor of Swiss politics and comparative political economy at the Institute for Political Science of the University Zurich.





Contention in Times of Crisis

Recession and Political Protest in Thirty European Countries

Edited by

Hanspeter Kriesi

European University Institute

Jasmine Lorenzini

University of Geneva

Bruno Wüest

University of Zurich

Silja Häusermann

University of Zurich





CAMBRIDGEUNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA 477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia 314–321, 3rd Floor, Plot 3, Splendor Forum, Jasola District Centre,

New Delhi – 110025, India

79 Anson Road, #06-04/06, Singapore 079906

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning, and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781108835114 DOI: 10.1017/9781108891660

© Cambridge University Press 2020

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2020

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data
Names: 1 Kriesi, Hanspeter, edi | 1 Lorenzini, Jasmine, edi | 1 Wüest,
Bruno, edi | 1 Häusermann, Silja, edi
Title: Contention in times of crisis: recession and political protest in
thirty European countries / edited by Hanspeter Kriesi, European
University Institute, Jasmine Lorenzini, University of Geneva, Bruno
Wüest, University of Zurich, Silja Häusermann, University of Zurich
Description: 1 Cambridge, United Kingdom; New York, NY: Cambridge
University Press, 2 | Includes bibliographical references and index
Identifiers: LCCN 2020024886 | ISBN 9781108835114 (hardback) | ISBN
9781108799706 (ebook)
Subjects:

Classification: LCC HB3782 . C665 2020 | DDC 322.4/4094090511--dc23 LC record available at https://lccn.loc.gov/2020024886

ISBN 978-1-108-83511-4 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



Contents

	List of Figures List of Tables List of Contributors Preface and Acknowledgements	page vii x xiii xv
	Part I A Study of Protest in Thirty European Countries	
l	Introduction HANSPETER KRIESI, SILJA HÄUSERMANN, AND JASMINE LORENZINI	3
2	Design and Methods of Semi-automated Protest Event Analysis JASMINE LORENZINI, PETER MAKAROV, AND BRUNO WÜEST	29
3	External Validation of Protest Event Analysis BRUNO WÜEST AND JASMINE LORENZINI	49
	Part II Trends of Protest in the Great Recession	
1	Overall Trends of Protest in the Great Recession HANSPETER KRIESI	77
5	All Quiet on the Protest Scene? Repertoires of Contention and Protest Actors During the Great Recession SOPHIA HUNGER AND JASMINE LORENZINI	104
ó	The Return of the Economy? Issue Contention in the Protest Arena THERESA GESSLER AND JULIA SCHULTE-CLOOS	128

V



vi

Contents Part III Sources of Protest 7 Economic Grievances, Political Grievances, and Protest 149 HANSPETER KRIESI, CHENDI WANG, THOMAS KURER, AND SILIA HÄUSERMANN 8 Bailouts, Austerity, and Protest: Representative Democracy and Policy-Making in Times of Austerity 184 ARGYRIOS ALTIPARMAKIS AND JASMINE LORENZINI 9 Diffusion of Protest 206 BRUNO WÜEST AND MATTHIAS ENGGIST Part IV Interaction Between Convention and Contention 10 Electoral Punishment and Protest Politics 227 in Times of Crisis BJÖRN BREMER, SWEN HUTTER, AND HANSPETER KRIESI 11 Are Political Parties Recapturing the Streets of Europe? A Cross-regional Study of Party Protests in the Great Recession 251 ENDRE BORBÁTH AND SWEN HUTTER 12 Conclusion 273 HANSPETER KRIESI AND BRUNO WÜEST References 289

Index

311



Figures

1.1	Economic and political grievances: average	
	unemployment rates (quarterly) and average	
	satisfaction with democracy (half-yearly)	
	per region, 2000–2015	page 15
1.2	Economic grievances: average unemployment	
	rates (quarterly) per country, 2000–2015	17
1.3	Political grievances: Average satisfaction with	
	democracy (half-yearly) per country, 2000–2015	19
1.4	Strength of civil society by region, 1990–2015	22
1.5	Strength of civil society by region and country,	
	1990 versus 2015	23
1.6	Administrative and fiscal state capacity by	
	region, 1990–2015	24
1.7	Administrative and fiscal by region and country,	
	1990–2015	26
2.1	Share of non-relevant documents above and below	
	the document classifier threshold. The test covers data	ı
	on Hungary, Spain, and the United Kingdom for the	
	years 2004 and 2012	36
2.2	Number of events found above and below the	
	document classifier threshold by form of action.	
	The test covers data on Hungary, Spain, and	
	the United Kingdom for the years 2004 and 2012	37
2.3	Number of events across Europe, 2000–2015:	
	moving averages, weighted and unweighted	45
3.1	Monthly number of protest events in the full (top)	
	and restricted (bottom) datasets for the ICEWS	
	and our own protest data	55
3.2	•	
	own data over time for the full (top) and restricted	
	(bottom) datasets	57
	(,	ζ.

vii



<u>More Information</u>

viii	List of Figures	
3.3	Country-specific correlations between the ICEWS and our own data for different levels of aggregation (monthly, quarterly) and different types of	
	moving averages	59
4.1	Number of events across Europe, 2000–2015	81
4.2	Intensity of the events across Europe, 2000–2015: smoothed indicators for size, radicalness,	
	and intensity	84
1.2	·	04
4.3	The development of radicalness in three selected	
	countries: Northern Ireland, Spain, and	86
4.4	Greece, 2000–2015	00
4.4	Number of events and intensity per region,	97
4.5	smoothed monthly data, 2000–2015	87
4.5	Wave intensity – across Europe and by region,	00
4.6	extended smoothed monthly data, 2000–2015	89
4.6	Wave intensity – in southern Europe, Greece	
	versus the other countries, extended smoothed	
	monthly data, 2000–2015	90
4.7	The development of protest at the country level:	
	wave index, yearly values	96
5.1	Share of demonstrations (left) and other action	
	forms (right) for the three European regions	112
5.2	Share of demonstrations and strikes (left) as well as	
	violent and confrontational events (right) for four	
	southern European countries	115
5.3	Confrontational action forms in six selected	
	countries	118
5.4	Shares of events led by institutionalized and	
	non-institutionalized actors	122
5.5	Share of actions led by different actors across regions	124
6.1	Quarterly moving average number of protest events	
	related to public economic and political issues	
	across three regions	137
6.2	Quarterly moving average number of protest events	
	related to cultural issues across three regions	138
6.3	Average share of protest events across issue domains	
	in southern Europe	139
6.4	Average share of protest events across issue domains	
0.1	in eastern Europe	141
6.5	Average share of protest events across issue domains	
0.5	in north-western Europe	143



More Information

	List of Figures	ix
7.1	Economic grievances, political grievances, and protest	155
7.2	Instantaneous and total effects of change in unemployment on change in political dissatisfaction, conditioned by state capacity	165
7.3		169
7.4	Interaction between economic and political grievances Interaction effect of change in unemployment on public economic protest, conditioned by change in	109
	political dissatisfaction	172
7.5	Interaction between economic and political	112
1.5	grievances in pre-crisis and crisis periods	174
7.6	Development of political and economic grievances in	174
7.0	Greece	176
7.7	Transition probabilities in Markov-switching model	170
1.1	for Greece	177
8.1	The timing of political events and protest in	177
0.1	north-western and southern Europe during the 2000s	194
0.2		194
8.2	The timing of political events and protest in Greece	105
0.2	during the 2000s	195
8.3	The timing of political events and protest in central	195
10.1	and eastern Europe during the 2000s	195
10.1	Average marginal effect of misery on electoral loss	220
10.0	and protest – the importance of the crisis	238
10.2	Average marginal effect of misery on electoral loss at	0.41
10.2	different levels of protest	241
10.3	Average marginal effect of protest on electoral loss of	245
10.4	mainstream versus challenger parties	245
10.4	Average marginal effect of economic misery on	
	electoral loss of mainstream versus challenger parties	246
	at different levels of protest	246
10.5	Marginal effect of protest on electoral loss of left	2.40
	parties vs. non-left parties in government	248
11.1	Average share of party protests in thirty	
	European countries	260
11.2		262
11.3	Types of parties in party protests	263
11.4	The development of party protests by region and year	265
11.5	Marginal effects of two-way interactions between	
	region and crisis period	271



More Information

Tables

2.1	NLP pipeline for selection of documents and	
	sampling for manual coding of protest events	page 32
2.2	Forms of action and issue categories used in	
	manual coding	41
3.1	Location of news agencies in the thirty countries	
	under study	52
3.2	Random-effects GLS regression on the difference	
	between 5-monthly averaged ICEWS and	
	our own data	60
3.3	Selected cases for the qualitative evaluation	62
3.4	Forms of action by news source for the six countries	
	for which we have manually annotated protest event	
	data (frequencies)	64
3.5	Location and size by news source for the six countries	
	for which we have manually annotated protest	
	event data (frequencies)	67
3.6	Issues and actors by news source for the six countries	
	for which we have manually annotated protest	
	event data (frequencies)	69
3.7	Explanatory power of different sets of predictors	
	across countries for which we have manually	
	annotated protest event data	71
4.1	Development of protest per region, number of	
	events, and intensity (OLS-regression coefficients	
	and <i>t</i> -values)	93
6.1	Distribution of protest events across issue areas	136
7.1	Correlations between unemployment rates	
	(economic grievances) and number and type of	
	protest events	151
7.2	Correlations between dissatisfaction with the way	
	democracy functions in one's own country	154

X



More Information

	List of Tables	xi
7.3	Change of political grievances, overall and by region: OLS-effect parameters, standard errors, and	
- 4	significance levels	162
7.4	Level of protest events, overall: OLS-effect parameters, standard errors, and significance levels	167
7.5	Markov-switching model for Greece	176
A7.1	Level of public economic protest, overall and	170
111.1	by region: OLS-effect parameters, standard errors, and significance levels	179
A7.2	Level of public economic protest, overall and by	
	region with interaction of political grievances and period (2000–2010; 2010–2015)	101
8.1	Timing and duration of bailout agreements in the	181
0.1	seven countries involved in bailout agreements	191
8.2	Timing and conditionality of austerity measures	191
0.2	in the seven countries involved in bailout	
	agreements	192
8.3	Regression on economic protest with absorption of	
	country variance, by region with and without	
	prospective economic sentiment	198
8.4	Regression on economic protest for Greece with and	
	without prospective economic sentiment	202
9.1a	Influence of different layers of diffusion: Results	
	from fixed effects spatial panel regressions on the	
	number of protests	215
9.1b	Relationship of shared border diffusion in different	
	regions: Results from fixed effects spatial panel	016
0.2	regressions on the number of protests	216
9.2	Relationship of shared border diffusion by time periods in entire Europe and central-eastern Europe only:	
	Results from fixed effects spatial panel regressions	
	on the number of protests	218
9.3a	Influence of cross-border diffusion on different forms	210
J.5u	of protest: Results from fixed effects spatial panel	
	regressions on the number of protests	220
9.3b	Influence of cross-border diffusion on different	
	forms of protest in East-Central Europe: Results	
	from fixed effects spatial panel regressions on the	
	number of protests	221
10.1	Electoral loss and protest	237
10.2	Electoral loss: Protest as an independent variable	240



More Information

xii	List of Tables	
10.3	Electoral loss of mainstream and left parties in	
	western Europe	244
10.4	Electoral loss of left parties in western Europe by	
	government status	247
11.1	Average share of party protests by region	261
11.2	The development of issues in party protests by	
	region and period	267
11.3	Average marginal effects from logit models of	
	general party sponsorship and sponsorship by	
	specific party types	270



Contributors

- ARGYRIOS ALTIPARMAKIS is a research fellow at the European University Institute in Florence, studying political conflict and contentious politics.
- ENDRE BORBATH is a postdoctoral researcher at the Chair for Political Sociology at the Free University of Berlin and at the Center for Civil Society Research at the WZB Berlin Social Science Center.
- BJÖRN BREMER is senior researcher in Comparative and International Political Economy at the Max Planck Institute for the Study of Societies in Cologne.
- MATTHIAS ENGGIST is a PhD researcher at the Department of Political Science at the University of Zurich.
- THERESA GESSLER is a postdoctoral at the Digital Democracy Lab and the Institute for Political Science of the University of Zurich.
- SILJA HÄUSERMANN is professor of Swiss Politics and Comparative Political Economy at the Institute for Political Science of the University of Zurich.
- SOPHIA HUNGER is a PhD researcher at the European University Institute in Florence and a research fellow of the Center for Civil Society Research at the WZB Berlin Social Science Center.
- SWEN HUTTER is Lichtenberg professor in Political Sociology at the Free University of Berlin and vice director of the Center for Civil Society Research, a joint initiative of Free University and the WZB Social Science Center.
- HANSPETER KRIESI holds the Stein Rokkan Chair in Comparative Politics at the European University Institute in Florence. He is also affiliated with the Laboratory for Comparative Social Science Research, National Research University Higher School of Economics, the Russian Federation.

xiii



xiv List of Contributors

- THOMAS KURER is a Weatherhead postdoctoral fellow at Harvard University.
- JASMINE LORENZINI is a research fellow at the Institute of Citizenship Studies, University of Geneva. She leads a four-year research project on food activism.
- PETER MAKAROV is a PhD researcher at the Institute of Computational Linguistics, the University of Zurich.
- JULIA SCHULTE-CLOOS is a post-doctoral assistant at the Geschwister Scholl Institute of Political Science, LMU Munich.
- CHENDI WANG is a PhD researcher at the European University Institute in Florence.
- BRUNO WÜEST is a researcher at Sotomo in Zurich. He was postdoctoral research fellow at the Institute for Political Science of the University of Zurich.



Preface and Acknowledgements

Contention in Times of Crisis presents key findings from a joint effort of the project 'Political Conflict in Europe in the Shadow of the Great Recession' (POLCON), funded by the European Research Council, and the project 'Years of Turmoil: The Political Consequences of the Financial and Economic Crisis in Europe', funded by the Swiss National Science Foundation.¹ Our joint effort focuses on the development of European democracies and political conflict in the context of the Great Recession. Since the world entered the Great Recession with the breakdown of Lehman Brothers in autumn 2008, commentators have been worrying about the political repercussions of the economic crisis. The spectre of the 1930s has been haunting many observers of European politics, and the most pessimistic among them have been wondering whether democracy is going to survive in the face of the grave economic consequences of the crisis.

To tackle this question empirically, POLCON has studied the structuration of political conflict in Europe by analysing contestation in the electoral arena, in the protest arena, and in policy-specific contentious episodes. The main research question has been whether the Great Recession and its consequences have changed the long-term trends in the development of political conflict in Europe as they were previously assessed in *West European Politics in the Age of Globalisation* (Cambridge University Press, 2008) and *Political Conflict in Western Europe* (Cambridge University Press, 2012). These long-term trends include, above all, an increasing importance of the cultural dimension in the two-dimensional political space in western Europe and its reinterpretation as a deepening divide between those who embrace further integration and those who favour demarcation and nationalism. The 'Years of Turmoil' project has also studied the repercussions of the

XV

¹ The project was supported by ERC grant Nr. 338875 and by SNF grant Nr. 100017_146104.



xvi Preface and Acknowledgements

economic crisis on democracy, but with a focus on linking aggregate patterns of conflict and competition with democratic engagement, participation, and choice at the level of individual citizens.

The present volume follows up on POLCON's first major book publication, *European Party Politics in Times of Crisis* (Cambridge University Press, 2019). While the previous volume lays out our answers to the questions of whether and to what extent party competition has been restructured in Europe since the onset of the Great Recession, the present volume studies the impact of the Great Recession on the mobilization of protest across Europe.

The present volume has been long in coming. We have had more than the usual difficulties in getting it completed, but are all the happier to be finally able to present our key findings to the public. It was unusually risky to embark on a study of protest across no fewer than thirty European countries for a sixteen-year period in the first place. To limit the risks involved in collecting such an ambitious set of data, we relied on a well-established tool – protest event analysis – but also made an attempt to innovate by applying new methods from the toolbox of automated content analysis to this type of research. As it turned out, our initial hopes regarding the versatility and capacity of these new kinds of tools were too high. These tools are constantly improving, but, at the time when we applied them, they fell short of our expectations: While we were able to quite reliably identify texts with a high probability of containing a protest event, we were not able to develop an automated scheme for coding them as we had originally hoped. As a matter of fact, we ended up coding the texts - which we had classified using automated procedures – in the old way, i.e. manually. As one can easily imagine, it was a time-consuming and costly experience to manually code the more than 30,000 protest events that we identified for the period covered. The database on which this study builds proves to be all the more valuable. It is unique in its kind and so is the story we are able to tell based on it.

Social science today is teamwork. All the members of the two project teams have contributed to the construction of the database, but we have also relied on external support. Most notably, we benefited from the support provided by Michi Amsler, Klaus Rothenhäusler, and Gerold Schneider from the Institute of Computational Linguistics at the University of Zurich; Patrice Wangen from the European University Institute; Ondrej Cisar and his team from the Charles University in Prague; and a large number of coders at both the European University Institute (where the POLCON project was located) and at the University of Zurich (where the Years of Turmoil project was located).



Preface and Acknowledgements

xvii

At the European University Institute the team of coders included Gulzat Baialieva, Ieva Bloma, Reto Bürgisser, Alves De Almeida, Feike Fliervoet, Nisida Gjoksi, Ieva Grumbinaite, Inkeri Haavisto, Nodira Kholmatova, Grzegorz Krzyżanowski, Joldon Kutmanaliev, Hugo Leal, Michal Matlak, Radek Michalski, Chiara Milan, Trajche Panov, Svetlana Poleschuk, Javier Ruiz Soler, Sanna Salo, Daniel Schulz, Lev Shilov, Lisa Volpi, Andrea Warnecke, and Agata Wiącek. At the University of Zurich, it included Özge Akyol, Alessandra Biagioni, Lino Bruggmann, Camilla Frei, Lena Hochuli, Ahmet Köken, Blertan Kurtisi, Sandro Lüscher, Kim Mendelin, Yannick Schiess, Lucian Seebacher, Anna Staubli, Raffael von Arx, and Jenny Yi.

We also received support from two members of our external advisory board, Edgar Grande (Ludwig Maximilian University, Munich) and Zsolt Enyedi (Central European University, Budapest), and from our administrative staff, above all from Maureen Lechleitner, without whose daily effort to keep the project running this study would never have been completed. Finally, we have benefited from the feedback of a large number of colleagues who commented on previous versions of this text at various occasions. In particular, the constructive critique of the anonymous reviewers of Cambridge University Press helped to improve our manuscript considerably. We would like to thank them all for their invaluable support.

