

## INDEX

- A County Council v. (1) AB (2) BB (3) CB* 82
- A Local Authority v. SY* 87, 152
- abuse 8, 79, 151, 176, 202
- access to justice 16–19, 126, 178
- court space 191
- evidence 154
- accessibility 56, 202–203
- adult guardianship 2, 140, 219
- advocacy 89, 93–97
- Alternative Dispute Resolution 98, *See* also mediation
- American Psychiatric Association 157
- Australia 2
- autonomy 49, 53–54, 74, 173, 202
- Avon and Wiltshire Mental Health Partnership v. WA & Anor* 173
- best interests 12, 46, 54, 60, 94, 123–124, 150–151, 153, 172, 176, 182, 184, 186, 205, 211, 219
- bias 193
- carers 136, 153, 160, 224
- Carney, Terry 2, 224
- Case, Paula 64, 80, 160, 175, 184
- CC v. KK and STCC* 71, 177, 184
- closed justice 204
- consent
- to medical treatment 13
- to sex 20
- CoP *See* Court of Protection
- Court of Protection
- applications to 124
- inquisitorial 40, 150
- Special Visitor 210
- Court of Protection Practitioners Association 31
- Court of Protection Rules 8, 57, 77, 80, 91, 185
- mediation 113
- participation 78
- court space 189–218
- access to justice 191
- configuration 193, 196
- design guide 194
- hierarchy 198
- material space 198
- public space 196
- stakeholders 194
- virtual 190, 205, 212
- Covid-19 pandemic 4, 30, 57, 74, 90, 99, 189, 206
- data collection 30–32, 221
- Deprivation of Liberty 8
- design-thinking 190, 215–217
- Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders 157
- digitalisation 90
- disability 10–11, 13, 38
- access to justice 36
- accessibility 196
- attitudes towards 45
- barriers 36
- biopsychosocial model of 10
- bodies 50
- British Sign Language 201
- consultation with disabled people 194
- disabled body 214
- disorder of consciousness 81

- disability (cont.)  
 inclusion 172  
 medical model of 10  
 medicalisation of 157  
 ‘mental age’ 87  
 physical 196  
 social model of 10  
 DOL *See* Deprivation of Liberty  
 Donnelly, Mary 54–55, 68, 152
- ECHR 63, 68, 70  
 Eldergill, District Judge 145  
 embodiment 37, 48–53, 90, 189  
 court design 195  
 court space 200  
 feminist approaches to 48  
 instrumental value 52  
 mediation 142
- England and Wales  
 access to justice 18  
 application of Mental Capacity Act 16  
 construction of courts in 191, 193  
 court design 194  
 court model 2  
 development of courts in 62  
 judges 7  
 jurisdiction of Mental Capacity Act 11  
 open justice in 220  
 regulation of mediators in 127  
 United Nations Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities 14
- epistemic goods 88  
 epistemology *See* knowledge claims  
 ethics 20, 31  
 evidence 148–188  
 access to justice 154, 178  
 competence 82  
 credibility 83–86  
 hierarchy of 148, 154–179  
 independent experts 151  
 legal understandings of 149–150  
 medical expert 152  
 rebuttable presumption 91  
 relevance 151  
 reliability 86, 158–159  
 s 49 report 182
- single joint expert 181, 186  
 special measures 89–91  
 sworn 91  
 weight 89, 158
- feminism 36–37, 50  
 flexibility 16, 58, 100, 127, 136–141, 189  
 Flynn, Eilionóir 36, 38, 50, 95
- Harding, Rosie 3, 13, 46  
 Hayden, Mr Justice 3, 51, 74, 112, 172–173, 187, 204, 209, 214, 223  
 Her Majesty’s Courts and Tribunals Service 99, 194–195, 202, 217, 223  
 Hilder, Senior Judge 3, 30  
 human rights 63, 70, 77, 221
- IMCA *See* Independent Mental Capacity Advocate  
 Independent Mental Capacity Advocate 94  
 individual respect 16, 44, 53–56  
 inherent jurisdiction 176  
 insight 168  
 intermediaries 94  
 Ireland 76
- Jackson, Mr Justice 179, 221
- knowledge claims 61, 154  
 experiential knowledge 148, 158, 168, 174  
 expert knowledge 148, 155, 158  
 lay knowledge 148  
 legal understandings of 154  
 objectivity of 155–156  
 procedural justice 158  
 psychiatric 157, 160, 171  
 social work 160, 170  
 subjectivity of 155
- legal architecture 192  
 legal capacity 14–15, 68  
*London Borough of Redbridge v. G & Ors* 171  
 Lucy, William 17

- material space 189, 192  
 MCA *See* Mental Capacity Act  
 mediation 31, 52, 98–147  
   accreditation 127  
   cost 137  
   Court of Protection case law on 101  
   directive approach to 127  
   evaluative 100, 126  
   facilitative 100, 126  
   legalism 122  
   procedural justice in 130  
   relationship benefits of 141  
   safeguards 129  
   substantive justice in 119, 126, 129  
   success of 141  
   voluntariness 140  
 Menkel-Meadow, Carrie 120, 122  
 mental capacity 1, 9, 11–14  
   assessment of 160, 169  
   burden of proof 150  
   deprivation of liberty 84–85, 176, 209  
   disputes about 54  
   medical treatment 146, 173, 210–211  
   medicalisation of 148, 171  
   property and affairs 8, 92, 191  
   to decide on care 71, 84, 177, 182, 209  
   to decide on contact 84, 209  
   to decide on residence 71, 84, 177, 182, 209  
   to engage in sexual relations 79, 169  
   to enter into a mediation agreement 136  
   to enter into a tenancy 209  
   to litigate 82, 176, 209  
   to manage property and finances 209  
   to marry 79, 169  
 Mental Capacity Act 6, 160  
 mental disorder 9  
 mental health 12, 14  
 mental health tribunal 39, 45  
 Ministry of Justice 20, 99, 202, 204, 223, 225  
 Mulcahy, Linda 48, 191, 197, 205, 216  
 neutrality 16, 42, 60–62, 126, 192  
 New Zealand 2  
 objectivity 46, 62, 120, 122, 124, 145, 152, 154–155, 157, 160, 168, 172, 192  
 observational research 20, 74, 76, 84, 159, 176, 198, 204  
 open justice 3–4, 189, 206  
 participation 2, 16, 42–43, 63–97, 130, 187  
   at court 200  
   direct 69  
   evidence 79  
   instrumental benefits of 134  
   lay 119, 128, 198  
   of P 201, 209, 211  
   physical presence 192, 213  
 paternalism 9, 43  
 Perry-Kessaris, Amanda 190, 216  
 physical presence 74, 85 *See*  
   participation  
 procedural justice 5, 16, 36, 39–46, 119, 185  
   court space 202  
   design-thinking 216  
   evidence 158  
   framework of values 47, 53  
   instrumental accounts 40, 45  
   participation and 81  
   relational accounts 41  
   virtual hearings 212  
 procedure *See* also Court of Protection  
   Rules  
   Civil Procedure Rules 59, 99, 138, 186  
   evidence 185  
   overriding objective 185  
   procedural flexibility 59  
 protectionism 135  
 psychiatry 9, 152, 157, 160, 171  
 psychology 14, 51, 87, 152  
 qualitative data 33, 140  
 relational 51, 158, 198  
 relational theory 41, 153  
 representation  
   litigation friend 183  
   Official Solicitor 184

244

## INDEX

- research ethics 204  
 Ruck Keene, Alex 78, 80, 152, 175, 184, 205  
 safeguarding 2, 7, 79  
 secrecy 200  
 self-determination 44, 53–54, 137  
 Series, Lucy 3, 68, 82, 93  
 Shakespeare, Tom 11  
*Shtukurov v. Russia* 70–71, 74  
 Skowron, Paul 55, 173  
 social work 30, 169, 175, 212  
 socio-legal 19  
   design-thinking 216  
   realities 222  
   research design 32  
   scholarship 3  
*Southwark LBC v. NP* 214  
 substantive justice 37–39, 44, 46, 120  
 Tait, David 2, 38, 192, 224  
 transparency 56, 72, 197, 199, 203–205  
 tribunal 219, 223  
 tribunal model 2  
 trustworthiness 16, 56–58, 72, 204  
 Tyler, Tom 40, 47, 60, 62, 64, 67, 119  
 United Nations Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities 3, 14, 16, 196  
 violence *See* abuse  
 voice 32, 48, 64, 67–97, 177, 209  
 vulnerability 49, 83, 86–88, 94–95, 212  
   inherent 88  
   situational 87  
 vulnerable witnesses 172  
 Weston, Janet 7  
*Wye Valley NHS Trust v. B* 179, 221