

**KOREA - ANTI-DUMPING DUTIES ON PNEUMATIC VALVES FROM JAPAN**

**Report of the Appellate Body**  
WT/DS504AB/R and Add.1

*Adopted by the Dispute Settlement Body  
on 30 September 2019*

Japan, *Appellant/Appellee*  
Republic of Korea, *Other Appellant/Appellee*  
Brazil, *Third Participant*  
Canada, *Third Participant*  
China, *Third Participant*  
Ecuador, *Third Participant*  
European Union, *Third Participant*  
Norway, *Third Participant*  
Singapore, *Third Participant*  
Turkey, *Third Participant*  
United States, *Third Participant*  
Viet Nam, *Third Participant*

Appellate Body Division:  
  
Bhatia, Presiding Member  
Graham, Member  
Servansing, Member

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	Page
1. INTRODUCTION .....	5655
2. ARGUMENTS OF THE PARTICIPANTS .....	5662
3. ARGUMENTS OF THE THIRD PARTICIPANTS .....	5662
4. ISSUES RAISED .....	5662
5. ANALYSIS OF THE APPELLATE BODY .....	5666
5.1 Overall Considerations Regarding the Legal Standard Under Article 6.2 of the DSU .....	5666
5.1.1 The legal standard under Article 6.2 of the DSU .....	5666

Report of the Appellate Body

5.1.2	Whether the Panel erred in its articulation of the applicable legal standard under Article 6.2 of the DSU .....	5670
5.2	Domestic Industry .....	5673
5.2.1	Whether the Panel erred in finding that Japan's claim 7 concerning the definition of the domestic industry was not within its terms of reference .....	5673
5.2.2	Whether the Appellate Body can complete the legal analysis .....	5679
5.2.2.1	Introduction.....	5679
5.2.2.2	Relevant legal standards .....	5681
5.2.2.3	Overview of relevant facts relating to the definition of the domestic industry .....	5683
5.2.2.4	Whether the Appellate Body can complete the legal analysis regarding Japan's claim that the Korean investigating authorities' definition of the domestic industry is inconsistent with Articles 3.1 and 4.1 of the Anti-Dumping Agreement.....	5686
5.3	Determination of Injury .....	5691
5.3.1	Introduction.....	5691
5.3.2	Whether the Panel erred in its findings under Article 6.2 of the DSU .....	5692
5.3.2.1	Whether the Panel erred in finding that Japan's claim 1 concerning the volume of the dumped imports was not within its terms of reference .....	5692
5.3.2.2	Whether the Panel erred in finding that Japan's claim 2 concerning the price effects of the dumped imports was not within its terms of reference.....	5697
5.3.2.3	Whether the Panel erred in finding that part of Japan's claim 3 concerning the impact of the dumped imports on the domestic industry was not within its terms of reference .....	5703
5.3.2.4	Whether the Panel erred in finding that Japan's claim 4 was within its terms of reference.....	5709

Korea - Pneumatic Valves (Japan)	
5.3.2.5	Whether the Panel erred in finding that part of Japan's claim 5 was within its terms of reference..... 5714
5.3.2.6	Whether the Panel erred in finding that Japan's claim 6 was within its terms of reference..... 5717
5.3.2.7	Whether the Panel acted inconsistently with Article 11 of the DSU in assessing the consistency of Japan's panel request with Article 6.2 of the DSU ..... 5723
5.3.3	Magnitude of margin of dumping ..... 5726
5.3.3.1	The Panel's findings ..... 5726
5.3.3.2	Whether the Panel erred in its interpretation of Articles 3.1 and 3.4 of the Anti-Dumping Agreement..... 5728
5.3.3.3	Whether the Panel erred in its application of Articles 3.1 and 3.4 of the Anti-Dumping Agreement with respect to the KTC's findings on the magnitude of the margin of dumping ..... 5732
5.3.4	Causation..... 5735
5.3.4.1	Japan's "independent" causation claim (claim 6) ..... 5736
5.3.4.1.1	Whether the Panel erred in its interpretation or application of Article 3.5 by subsuming all of the obligations of Articles 3.2 and 3.4 under Article 3.5 of the Anti-Dumping Agreement..... 5736
5.3.4.1.2	Whether the Panel erred in its approach to resolving Japan's claim 6 ..... 5744
5.3.4.1.3	Whether the Panel erred under Article 11 of the DSU and Article 17.6 of the Anti-Dumping Agreement by failing to consider Japan's rebuttal arguments on the issue of "reasonable sales price" ..... 5753
5.3.4.1.4	Whether the Panel erred in its findings concerning price comparability and overselling when addressing Japan's

Report of the Appellate Body

	claim 6 under Articles 3.1 and 3.5 of the Anti-Dumping Agreement .....	5757
5.3.4.1.5	Whether the Panel failed to make an objective assessment of the matter under Article 11 of the DSU and Article 17.6 of the Anti-Dumping Agreement.....	5770
5.3.4.1.6	Conclusion with respect to claim 6.....	5780
5.3.4.2	Japan's claim 4 .....	5783
5.3.4.2.1	Whether the Panel erred in its approach to resolving Japan's claim 4 about the failure to demonstrate a causal relationship focusing on the lack of correlation among various factors .....	5783
5.3.4.2.2	Conclusion with respect to claim 4.....	5789
5.3.5	Whether the Appellate Body can complete the legal analysis under Articles 3.1, 3.2, and 3.4 of the Anti-Dumping Agreement .....	5789
5.3.5.1	Whether the Korean investigating authorities acted inconsistently with Articles 3.1 and 3.2 of the Anti-Dumping Agreement in their consideration of the volume of dumped imports .....	5790
5.3.5.2	Whether the Korean investigating authorities acted inconsistently with Articles 3.1 and 3.2 of the Anti-Dumping Agreement in their consideration of price effects .....	5796
5.3.5.3	Whether the Korean investigating authorities acted inconsistently with Articles 3.1 and 3.4 of the Anti-Dumping Agreement in their consideration of the impact of dumped imports on the state of the domestic industry .....	5807
5.4	Confidential Treatment of Information .....	5813

5.4.1	Whether the Panel erred in finding that Japan's claims 8 and 9 concerning the confidential treatment of information were within its terms of reference .....	5814
5.4.2	Articles 6.5 and 6.5.1 of the Anti-Dumping Agreement.....	5819
5.4.2.1	Article 6.5 of the Anti-Dumping Agreement.....	5819
5.4.2.1.1	The Panel's findings.....	5819
5.4.2.1.2	Whether the Panel erred in its interpretation or application of Article 6.5 of the Anti-Dumping Agreement.....	5821
5.4.2.2	Article 6.5.1 of the Anti-Dumping Agreement .....	5831
5.4.2.2.1	The Panel's findings.....	5831
5.4.2.2.2	Whether the Panel erred in its application of Article 6.5.1 of the Anti-Dumping Agreement.....	5833
5.5	Essential Facts .....	5840
5.5.1	Whether the Panel erred in finding that Japan's claim 10 concerning the disclosure of essential facts was not within its terms of reference.....	5841
5.5.2	Whether the Appellate Body can complete the legal analysis under Article 6.9 of the Anti-Dumping Agreement.....	5845
6.	FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS .....	5853
6.1	Overall Considerations Regarding the Legal Standard Under Article 6.2 of the DSU.....	5853
6.2	Domestic Industry .....	5854
6.2.1	Whether the Panel erred in finding that Japan's claim 7 concerning the definition of the domestic industry was not within its terms of reference .....	5854
6.2.2	Whether the Appellate Body can complete the legal analysis.....	5854
6.3	Determination of Injury.....	5855
6.3.1	Whether the Panel erred in finding that Japan's claim 1 concerning the volume of dumped imports was not within its terms of reference.....	5855
6.3.2	Whether the Panel erred in finding that Japan's claim 2 concerning the price effects was not within its terms of reference.....	5855

Report of the Appellate Body

6.3.3	Whether the Panel erred in finding that part of Japan's claim 3 concerning the impact of the dumped imports on the domestic industry was not within its terms of reference .....	5856
6.3.4	Whether the Panel erred in finding that Japan's claim 4 was within its terms of reference .....	5856
6.3.5	Whether the Panel erred in finding that part of Japan's claim 5 was within its terms of reference .....	5857
6.3.6	Whether the Panel erred in finding that Japan's claim 6 was within its terms of reference .....	5857
6.3.7	Magnitude of the margin of dumping .....	5858
6.3.8	Causation .....	5859
6.3.8.1	Whether the Panel erred in its interpretation or application of Article 3.5 in addressing Japan's claim 6 .....	5859
6.3.8.2	Whether the Panel erred in its interpretation or application of Article 3.5 in addressing Japan's claim 4 .....	5861
6.3.9	Whether the Appellate Body can complete the legal analysis under Articles 3.1, 3.2, and 3.4 of the Anti-Dumping Agreement .....	5863
6.3.9.1	Whether the Korean investigating authorities acted inconsistently with Articles 3.1 and 3.2 of the Anti-Dumping Agreement in their consideration of the volume of dumped imports .....	5863
6.3.9.2	Whether the Korean investigating authorities acted inconsistently with Articles 3.1 and 3.2 of the Anti-Dumping Agreement in their consideration of price effects .....	5864
6.3.9.3	Whether the Korean investigating authorities acted inconsistently with Articles 3.1 and 3.4 of the Anti-Dumping Agreement in their consideration of the impact of dumped imports on the state of the domestic industry .....	5865
6.4	Confidential Treatment of Information .....	5866

Korea - Pneumatic Valves (Japan)

6.4.1	Whether the Panel erred in finding that Japan's claims 8 and 9 concerning the confidential treatment of information were within its terms of reference .....	5866
6.4.2	Whether the Panel erred in its interpretation or application of Article 6.5 of the Anti-Dumping Agreement.....	5867
6.4.3	Whether the Panel erred in its application of Article 6.5.1 of the Anti-Dumping Agreement .....	5868
6.5	Essential Facts .....	5869
6.5.1	Whether the Panel erred in finding that Japan's claim 10 concerning the disclosure of essential facts was not within its terms of reference.....	5869
6.5.2	Whether the Appellate Body can complete the legal analysis under Article 6.9 of the Anti-Dumping Agreement.....	5869
6.6	Recommendation .....	5869

Cambridge University Press  
978-1-108-83435-3 — Dispute Settlement Reports 2019  
World Trade Organization  
Excerpt  
[More Information](#)

---



LIST OF ANNEXES\*

ANNEX A

NOTICES OF APPEAL AND OTHER APPEAL

Contents		Page
Annex A-1	Japan's Notice of Appeal	5871
Annex A-2	Korea's Notice of Other Appeal	5878

ANNEX B

ARGUMENTS OF THE PARTICIPANTS

Contents		Page
Annex B-1	Executive summary of Japan's appellant's submission	5883
Annex B-2	Executive summary of Korea's other appellant's submission	5897
Annex B-3	Executive summary of Korea's appellee's submission	5906
Annex B-4	Executive summary of Japan's appellee's submission	5918

\* The Notices of Appeal and Other Appeal and the executive summaries of written submissions contained in this Addendum are attached as they were received from the participants and third participants. The content has not been revised or edited by the Appellate Body, except that paragraph and footnote numbers that did not start at 1 in the original may have been renumbered to do so, and the text may have been formatted in order to adhere to WTO style. The executive summaries do not serve as substitutes for the submissions of the participants and third participants in the Appellate Body's examination of the appeal.

Report of the Appellate Body

ANNEX C

ARGUMENTS OF THE THIRD PARTICIPANTS

Contents		Page
Annex C-1	Executive summary of the European Union's third participant's submission	5925
Annex C-2	Executive summary of the United States' third participant's submission	5927

ANNEX D

PROCEDURAL RULINGS

Contents		Page
Annex D-1	Procedural ruling of 6 June 2018 regarding the European Union's request to extend the third participants' submission deadline	5929
Annex D-2	Procedural ruling of 26 March 2019 regarding BCI	5931