

Contents

Fore	wora	page	e xvii
Prefe	<i>1</i> се а	nd Acknowledgments	xxi
Tabi	le of (Cases	xxiii
Tabi	le of 1	International Treaties	XXX
1	Kul	es of War, Laws of War	. 1
	1.0	Introduction	1
	1.1	The Law of War: A Thumbnail History	2
		1.1.1 The First International War Crime Prosecution?	4
		1.1.2 The Emergence of Battlefield Codes	4
	1.2	Why Regulate Battlefield Conduct?	5
		1.2.1 Difficult Issues	5 7
	1.3	Sources of the Law of Armed Conflict	8
		1.3.1 Domestic Law	9
		1.3.2 Customary Law	9
		1.3.3 Treaties	12
		1.3.4 Judicial Decisions	12
		1.3.5 Publicists	13
		1.3.6 States Make International Law	16
	1.4	The Language of the Law of Armed Conflict	16
		1.4.1 "The Law of War" or "the Law of Armed Conflict"?	16
		1.4.2 The Law of Armed Conflict and Human Rights Law	18
		1.4.3 Human Rights Law on the Battlefield	19
	1.5	Summary	23
		1.5.1 A Note Regarding the US Department of Defense Law of War Manual	24
	Cas	es and Materials	. 25
		Hellenica, Book II, by Xenophon	25
		The 1474 Breisach Trial	26
		United States v. Plenty Horses	28
		Prosecutor v. Kupreškić, et al.	31
2	Coc	les, Conventions, Declarations, and Regulations	34
	2.0	Introduction	34
	2.1	A Basic Rule of Warfare	34
	2.2	Francis Lieber	35
	2.3	Writing the Lieber Code	35
)	0 1 2))

V



V1				Con	tents
		2.3.1	The Co	ombatant's Privilege	36
		2.3.2		g the Lieber Code	37
	2.4	_	r's Legacy		40
	2.5	A Firs	t Geneva	Convention	41
		2.5.1		nory of Solferino and the International Committee of the Red	
			Cross		42
		2.5.2	The 186	64 Geneva Convention	43
	2.6			etersburg Declaration	43
	2.7	The 18		1907 Hague Peace Conferences	45
		2.7.1		rst Hague Peace Conference	45
		2.7.2		econd Hague Peace Conference and Hague Regulation IV	47
		2.7.3		g 1907 Hague Regulation IV	48
		2.7.4		g 1907 Hague Regulation IV: Spies and Commandos	48
		2.7.5		3 1899 Hague Declaration IV, 3: Dum-dums and Hollow	
			Points		49
	0	2.7.6		07 Peace Conferences' Legacy	50
	2.8	Sumn	,		52
	Cas	es and l	Materials	s	. 52
		The T	rial of Ca	aptain Henry Wirz	52
				. Major John Henry Gee	57
				rtial of General Jacob H. Smith	59
				r. Pvt. Michael A. Schwarz	61
		United	l States v	. Major Edwin F. Glenn	62
3	Two	World	Wars ar	nd Their Law of Armed Conflict Results	67
	3.0	Introd	uction		67
	3.1	The 10	906 Gene	eva Convention	67
	3.2	World	War I (1	1914–1918)	67
		3.2.1	The Le	eipzig Trials (1921)	69
	3.3		eague of		70
	3.4			ogg-Briand Pact	71
	3.5			eva Conventions	71
	3.6			Civil War (1936–1939)	72
	3.7			(1939–1945)	73
	3.8			eva Conventions	74
		3.8.1		Geneva Conventions Roadmap	76
		3.8.2		on Articles	77
			3.8.2.1	Enact Domestic Penal Legislation for Grave Breaches	77
			3.8.2.2	Charging One's Own Soldiers: The Uniform Code of Military Justice	79
			3.8.2.3	Charging Civilians I: The 1996 War Crimes Act	80
			3.8.2.4	Charging Civilians II: The Military Extraterritorial	
				Jurisdiction Act	82
			3.8.2.5	Charging Civilians III: Yet Another Route	83
		3.8.3	Search	Out and Try Grave Breaches: Aut Dedere aut Judicare	84
		3.8.4		Breaches	85
			3.8.4.1	Grave Breaches and War Crimes in Non-international Armed Conflicts	86
			3.8.4.2	Administrative Breaches	88
			3.8.4.3	Crimes, War Crimes, and Grave Breaches	89
			/ 1/	·	,



Co	ntents		vi
		3.8.4.4 Genocide, Crimes against Humanity, and Crimes	
		against Peace	90
	3.9	Common Article 3	90
	3.10	When Does an Armed Conflict Exist?	93
		3.10.1 When Does Common Article 3 Apply?	93
	3.11	Are the Geneva Conventions "Quaint" and "Obsolete," Requiring Change?	96
	3.12	Summary	97
	Cas	es and Materials	99
		The Trials of Lieutenant-General Karl Stenger and Major Benno	
		Crusius "The High Command Case," <i>United States</i> v. Wilhelm von Leeb, et al.	102
4	Pro	tocols and Politics	106
	4.0	Introduction	106
	4.1	Why New Law of Armed Conflict?	106
	4.2	The 1977 Additional Protocols	107
		4.2.1 1977 Additional Protocol I 4.2.1.1 New Grave Breaches in Additional Protocol I	108
		4.2.1.2 Advances in Additional Protocol I	100
		4.2.1.3 US Objections to Additional Protocol I	110
	4.3	1977 Additional Protocol II	116
	17	4.3.1 Advances in Additional Protocol II	117
		4.3.2 Objections to Additional Protocol II	118
	4.4	1977 Additional Protocols I and II in US Practice	119
	4.5	2005 Additional Protocol III	122
	4.6	Summary	124
	Cas	es and Materials	125
		The United Kingdom's Manual of the Law of Armed Conflict	125
		Prosecutor v. Tadić	128
5		nflict Status	120
	5.0	Introduction Determining Conflict States	120
	5.1	Determining Conflict Status 5.1.1 Common Article 2 International Armed Conflicts	120
		WID II I IA 10 O'ID I 1E IS	130
		5.1.2 When Does an International Armed Conflict Begin and End? 5.1.3 International Armed Conflicts Short of War	131
		5.1.4 Common Article 3 Non-international Armed Conflicts	134
		5.1.5 "Transformers": IAC, to NIAC, and Back	137
		5.1.6 Dual Status Armed Conflicts	139
	5.2	Are Armed Opposition Groups Bound by LOAC?	139
	5.3	Gray Zone Conflicts and Hybrid Warfare	142
		5.3.1 Cross-Border Attacks and Armed Opposition Groups	148
	5.4	"Unwilling or Unable" Doctrine	152
	5.5	Blurring Lines in the Law of Armed Conflict	155
	5.6	US Military Practice	156
	5.7	Summary	156
	Cas	es and Materials	158
		Factors Indicating a Non-international Armed Conflict	158
		Prosecutor v. Fatmir Limai	160



viii		C	ontents
		Prosecutor v. Dusko Tadić Osirak: An Armed Conflict?	161 164
			•
6	Ind	ividual Battlefield Status	. 168
	6.0	Introduction	168
	6.1	Individual Status	169
	6.2	Civilians	169
	6.3	Combatants Price of West	171
	6.4	Prisoners of War 6.4.1 Retainees	173
		6.4.2 Others Whose Status upon Capture is POW	175 177
		6.4.2.1 Members of Other Militias and Members of Other	1//
		Volunteer Corps	178
		6.4.2.2 Regular Armed Forces Professing Allegiance	,
		to an Unrecognized Authority	180
		6.4.2.3 Persons Who Accompany the Armed Forces without	
		Being Members Thereof	180
		6.4.2.4 Merchant Marine and Civilian Aircraft Crews	181 182
		6.4.2.5 Levée en masse 6.4.2.6 Demobilized Military Personnel and Military Internees	102
		in Neutral Countries	184
	6.5	Spies and Mercenaries	184
	6.6	Article 5 Competent Tribunals	186
	6.7	The Unprivileged Belligerent and Direct Participation in Hostilities	190
		6.7.1 Criteria for Direct Participation in Hostilities	191
		6.7.2 The Individual Status of Terrorist Fighters	193
	6.8	6.7.3 <i>Jus in Bello</i> Protections Due Captured Unlawful Combatants Protected Persons	195
	6.9	US Military Practice	196 199
	6.10	Summary	199
	Cas	es and Materials	201
	Ous	The Paradox of the Lawful Combatant Out of Uniform	
		Military Prosecutor v. Omar Mahmud Kassem and Others	201 203
		Enemy Prisoners of War, Retained Personnel, Civilian Internees,	203
		and Other Detainees	207
7	Law	v of Armed Conflict's Core Principles	. 209
/	7.0	Introduction	
	7.1	Distinction	209 210
	/	7.1.1 The Al Firdos Bunker	215
	7.2	Military Necessity	217
	·	7.2.1 Kriegsraison and Ships at Sea	222
	7.3	Unnecessary Suffering	225
	7.4	Proportionality	228
		7.4.1 What Proportionality Is Not	233
	7 -	7.4.2 Proportionality and Force Protection Humanity: A Core Principle?	235 236
	7.5 7.6	Summary	230



Co	ntents	S	ix
	Cas	es and Materials	. 240
		The Rendulic Defense, in The United States v. Wilhelm List	240
		Kupreškić and Others	243
		Prosecutor v. Galić	245
8	Wh	at Is a "War Crime"?	. 248
	8.0	Introduction	248
	8.1	Defining War Crimes	248
		8.1.1 Prosecuting War Crimes: Codification and the Required Nexus	250
		8.1.2 Was the Charged Act in Fact a War Crime?	252
	8.2	War Crimes in Practice	252
	8.3	Grave Breaches and Universal Jurisdiction	254
	8.4	Prosecuting War Crimes: Who?	255
	8.5	Rape and Other Gender Crimes	257
	8.6	War Crimes or Not?	2 6c
		8.6.1 Escaping Prisoners of War	261
		8.6.2 Suicide Attacks	263
		8.6.3 Firing on Mosques	263
		8.6.4 Hostages	265
		8.6.5 Human Shields	266
		8.6.6 Explosive Vests on Dead Bodies	268
		8.6.7 Burying Alive the Enemy	2 69
		8.6.8 Pillage	270
	0 _	8.6.9 Double-Tapping	271
	8.7	US Military Policy	274
	8.8	Summary	275
	Cas	es and Materials	. 276
		Prosecutor v. Kunarac, et al.	276
		The Zyklon B Case	277
		Prosecutor v. Furundžija	278
		In re Amberger	279
		Double-Tapping	280
9	Obe	edience to Orders, the First Defense	. 282
	9.0	Introduction	282
	9.1	A History of the First Defense	283
		9.1.1 The Twentieth Century's Evolving Standard	285
		9.1.2 Genesis of the Current American Standard	290
		9.1.3 World War II and an Old New Standard	292
	9.2	The Standard Applied: The Nuremberg International Military Tribunal	295
		9.2.1 The Standard Applied: The Subsequent Proceedings	296
	9.3	What Orders Should Not Be Obeyed? Manifestly Illegal Orders	298
	9.4	Upon Receiving a Manifestly Illegal Order	301
	9.5	The First Defense in Foreign and International Forums	302
	9.6	Summary	304
	Cas	es and Materials	. 305
		United States v. William L. Calley, Jr.	305
		Attorney-General of the Government of Israel v. Adolf Eichmann	307
		The United States v. Ohlendorf, et al.; "The Einsatzgruppen Case"	309
		Prosecutor v. Erdemović	211



X		Con	itents
10	Cor	nmand Responsibility	317
	10.0	Introduction	317
	10.1	A Brief History	318
		10.1.1 My Lai and Command Responsibility	322
	10.2	Later Command Responsibility Cases	323
	10.3	A Commander's Seven Routes to Trial	3 2 4
	10.4	When Officers Disobey	329
	10.5	Command Responsibility Today	332
	10.6	Recent Change	335
	10.7	Summary	336
	Cas	es and Materials	337
		Yamashita v. Styer	337
		Theater Judge Advocate's Review: The United States v. General Tomoyuki Yamashita	
		Prosecutor v. Halilović	339 342
		"The Ĉelebići Case," <i>Prosecutor</i> v. <i>Mucić</i> , <i>et al</i> .	3 42 342
		Prosecutor v. Blaškić	3 42 345
		Prosecutor v. Hadžihasanović	3 4 7
11	Rus	es and Perfidy	350
	11.0	Introduction	350
	11.1	Perfidy	350
		11.1.1 The Trial of Captain Jack	355
		11.1.2 Pondering Perfidy	356
	11.2	Ruses	358
	11.3	Summary	362
	Cas	es and Materials	. 363
		Trial of Otto Skorzeny and Others	363
		Medal of Honor Citation: Thomas R. Norris	365
12	Rul	es of Engagement	367
	12.0	Introduction	367
	12.1	A Brief History	368
		12.1.1 Standing Rules for the Use of Force (SRUF)	371
	12.2	What Are Rules of Engagement?	37 ²
	12.3	When SROE/ROE Apply	375
	12.4	Formulating Mission-Specific ROE	376
	12.5	ROE Content	378
		12.5.1 The Right to Self-Defense 12.5.1.1 A Legal Fine Point: Self-Defense in Human Rights Law	379
		versus LOAC	380
		12.5.2 Escalation of Force in Self-Defense	381
		12.5.3 Triggering Self-Defense: Hostile Act	382
		12.5.4 Hostile Intent, the Hostile Act's Preview	383
		12.5.5 Declared Hostile	3 ⁸ 4
		12.5.6 Positive Identification	385
	12.6	ROE Issues	386
	12.7	Summary	389
	Cas	es and Materials	200



Co	ontent	S		xi
		The D	Peath of Mr. Esequiel Hernandez	390
		ROE (Cards	393
		Desert	t Storm ROE	394
13	Tar	geting (Objects	397
	13.0	Introd	uction	397
	13.1	Defin	ing "Lawful Objective"	397
	13.2	Milita	ry Objectives are Military Targets	399
	13.3	Lawfu	ll Targets by Virtue of Nature, Location, Purpose, or Use	401
		13.3.1	Military Objectives Are Limited to Objects Which, by Their Nature	402
		13.3.2	Military Objectives Are Limited to Objects Which, by Their	402
			Location	402
		13.3.3	Military Objectives Are Limited to Objects Which, by Their <i>Purpose</i>	403
		13.3.4	Military Objectives Are Limited to Objects Which, by Their Use	403
	13.4	Śix-St	ep Targeting Process	405
	13.5	Dange	erous Forces	409
	13.6	Dual-	Use Targets	411
	13.7	Preca	utions in the Attack	413
	13.8	Indisc	riminate Attacks	416
	13.9	Sumn	nary	417
	Cas	es and	Materials	. 418
			Einsatzgruppen Case," The United States v. Otto Ohlendorf, et al.	418
			Report to Prosecutor re NATO Bombing Campaign Against	
		Yugos		420
		Prosec	utor v. Kordić and Čerkez	4 ² 3
14	Tar	geting (Combatants and Others	4 ² 5
	14.0		luction	4 ² 5
	14.1		Predicate Requirements	4 ² 5
	14.2	Lawfu	ll Combatant Targets	426
		14.2.1	Once a Combatant, Always a Combatant	42 7
	14.3	-	tions to Combatant Targets	429
		14.3.1	A Combatant " in the Power of an adverse Party"	4 2 9
		14.3.2	A Combatant Showing an Intention to Surrender	431
		14.3.3	A Combatant Incapable of Defending Himself Is hors de combat	432
		14.3.4	Wrongful Use of Force Investigations	432
	14.4		ll Civilian Targets	434
		14.4.1	Lawful Civilian Targets: Self-Defense	435
		14.4.2	Lawful Civilian Targets: Directly Participating in Hostilities	436
	145	14.4.3 Lawfu	Lawful Civilian Targets: Continuous Combat Function	438
	14.5		ll and Unlawful Civilian Targets: Heads of State	440
	14.6	14.5.1 Α Leσ	Parachutists versus Paratroops al Duty to Wound, Rather Than Kill, Capture Rather Than	442
	14.0	Woun		442
	14.7		Geographic Limits of Civilian Targets	443
	14.8		in Collateral Damage	445 446
	14.9		ting a Combatant: Iranian General Qassim Suliemoni	449 447
	14.10	-	ting a Civilian: US Citizen Anwar al-Aulagi	447 448



xii			Contents
		14.10.1 Anwar al-Aulaqi, Qassim Soleimani, and Imminence 14.10.2 Anwar al-Aulaqi in Federal Court	45° 451
	14.11	Summary	452
	Cas	ses and Materials	453
		The Public Committee Against Torture in Israel v. The Government	
		of Israel	453
		Interpretive Guidance on Direct Participation in Hostilities in IHL Prosecutor v. Galić	458 461
15	AI,	Autonomous Weapons, Drones, and Targeted Killing	464
	15.0	Introduction	464
	15.1	Artificial Intelligence	464
	15.2	Defining "Autonomous"	465
	15.3	Testing Autonomous Weapon Systems	467
	15.4	Autonomous Weapon Systems and Core LOAC Principles	468
		15.4.1 Distinction Issues	468
		15.4.2 Proportionality Issues	469
		15.4.3 Military Necessity and Unnecessary Suffering Issues	470
	15.5	Collateral Damage and Other Autonomous Weapon LOAC Issues	470
	15.6	War Crime Liability and Autonomous Weapons	471
	15.5	15.6.1 Criminal Liability of Autonomous Component Developers Drones	473
	15.7 15.8		474
	15.0	The Lawfulness of Drones in LOAC	476 478
	15.9	15.9.1 Distinction and Drones	
		15.9.2 Proportionality and Drones	479 479
		15.9.3 Military Necessity, Unnecessary Suffering, and Drones	480
	15.10	Objections to Drones and Semi-Autonomous Weapon Systems	480
	15.11	Targeted Killing	481
	-)	15.11.1 NIACs, Targeted Killing, and Assassination	484
	15.12	Signature Strikes	488
	15.13	The Weak Link	489
	15.14		490
		ses and Materials	491
	Cas		
		The Shooting Down of Iran Air Flight 655 by the USS <i>Vincennes Prosecutor</i> v. <i>Blaškić</i>	491
_	m		495
16	lor	ture	498
	16.0	Introduction	498
	16.1	Torture Background	499
	16.2	Defining Torture	501
	16.3	Practicing Torture	504
	16.4	Torture as a LOAC Violation	506
	16.5	Why Torture?	507
		16.5.1 Torture Rarely Yields Actionable Intelligence	508
		16.5.2 Intelligence Gained through Torture Is Unreliable	511
		16.5.3 Torture Can Promote Other Battlefield Misconduct	512
		16.5.4 Torture Is Counterproductive on an International Level	513
		16.5.5 Torture Endangers Warfighters	515



Co	ontent	S	xii
		W. I. B. I. T. W.	
	16.6	Waterboarding Is Torture	516
	16.7 16.8	The Ticking Time Bomb	510
	16.9	US Military Practice Summary	52
		•	523
	Cas	ses and Materials	· · 524
		A Real-World Ticking Time Bomb Situation	524
		The Lieutenant Colonel and the Mock Execution	525
		From a "Top Secret" CIA Torture Memorandum	527
17	Cył	per in the Law of Armed Conflict	. 532
	17.0	Introduction	532
	17.1	The Internet as Battlefield	533
	17.2	What Makes a Cyber Event an Attack?	535
		17.2.1 Cyber Operations <i>vice</i> Cyberattacks	537
	17.3	The Targeting of Data	538
	17.4	Cyberattacks Are Use of Force	538
		17.4.1 Cyberattacks Are a Use of Armed Force	539
	17.5	Cyberattacks and Initiation of Armed Conflict	540
	17.6	Cyberattacks and Non-state Actors	54
	17.7	Cyber Conflict Classification	54
	17.8	Cyberattacks and Self-Defense	54
	17.9	A Possible Response to Cyberattacks in International Armed	
		Conflicts - A Possesses to Cyber Operations in Intermetional Armed	545
		17.9.1 Responses to Cyber Operations in International Armed Conflicts	- 49
		17.9.2 Cyberattacks and Cyber Operations in Non-international Armed	548
		Conflicts	549
	17.10	Cyberattacks and the Critical National Infrastructure	550
	17.11	US Cyber Practice	55
	/	17.11.1 Critical National Infrastructure's Weak Link	55 <u>-</u>
	17.12	Summary	555
	Cas	ses and Materials	• • 557
		The Stuxnet Virus	
		Midnight at the Oasis	557 560
		winding it at the Gasis	500
18	Atta	acks on Cultural Property	. 56:
	18.0	Introduction	56:
	18.1	Cultural Property in Warfare	56:
	18.2	The 1954 Hague Convention for Protection of Cultural Property	566
		18.2.1 First Protocol to the 1954 Convention	567
		18.2.2 1999 Second Protocol to the 1954 Convention	569
	18.3	The 1954 Convention's Future?	57
	18.4	Protected Cultural Property in 1977 Additional Protocols	573
	18.5	Summary	575
	Cas	ses and Materials	576
		Property versus Combatant Lives	576
		Prosecutor v. Jokić	577
		Prosecutor v. Prlić, et al.	580
		Prosecutor v. Strugar	58



xiv			Contents
19	The	e 1980 Certain Conventional Weapons Convention	585
	19.0	Introduction	585
	19.1	The 1980 UN Certain Conventional Weapons Convention	586
	19.1	19.1.1 CCW Protocol I, Concerning Nondetectable Fragments	588
		19.1.2 CCW Protocol II, Concerning Mines, Booby-Traps, and IEDs	589
		19.1.3 CCW Amended Mines Protocol II	591
		19.1.3.1 The 1997 Ottawa Convention	59 ²
		19.1.4 US Antipersonnel Land Mine Policy	593
		19.1.5 CCW Protocol III, Concerning Incendiary Weapons	594
		19.1.6 CCW Protocol IV, Concerning Blinding Laser Weapons	596
		19.1.7 CCW Protocol V, Concerning Explosive Remnants of War	598
	19.2	Cluster Munitions	600
		19.2.1 2008 Convention on Cluster Munitions	602
		19.2.2 US Cluster Munitions Policy	603
	19.3	The Legal Review of New Weapons	604
	19.4	Summary	605
	Cas	ses and Materials	606
		White Phosphorus Munitions	606
		Prosecutor v. Martić	608
20	Gas	s, Biological, Chemical, and Nuclear Weapons	611
	20.0	Introduction	611
	20.1	The 1925 Geneva Protocol for the Prohibition of Poisonous Gases	
		and Bacteriological Methods of Warfare	612
		20.1.1 Parsing the 1925 Gas Protocol	613
	20.2	The 1972 Biological and Toxin Weapons Convention (BWC)	617
		20.2.1 Parsing the 1972 Biological and Toxin Weapons Convention (BV	VC) 618
		20.2.2 Negotiating the 1972 Biological and Toxin Weapons Convention (BWC)	620
	20.3	The 1993 Convention on the Prohibition of the Development,	
		Production, Stockpiling and Use of Chemical Weapons (CWC)	621
	20.4	CS Gas	624
	20.5	Nuclear Weapons and LOAC	625
	20.6	Summary	632
	Cas	ses and Materials	633
		Shimoda, et al. v. State	633
		The Moscow Theater Hostage Crisis and the Chemical Weapons	
		Convention	638
21	Mil	litary Commissions	640
	21.0	Introduction	640
	21.1	Background and Composition	641
	21.2	A Beginning	642
	21.3	Military Commissions Transformed	642
	21.4	World War II	644
		21.4.1 Three Types of Military Commission Jurisdiction	646
	21.5	Postwar Developments	647
		21.5.1 Post–World War II Developments Applied	649
	21.6	The 2001 Authorization for Use of Military Force	649



Content	s	XV
21.7	Guantánamo Bay Military Commissions	650
•	21.7.1 The Military Commission Acts of 2006 and 2009	654
	21.7.2 Military Commissions Slow, Stall, and then?	656
21.8	International War Crimes That Are Not	657
21.9	Guantánamo's Procedural, Ethical, and Legal Setbacks	661
	21.9.1 Even a Judge	662
21.10	Guantánamo's Problems Remain	664
21.11	Summary	665
Cas	ses and Materials	667
	French Law: Trials of War Criminals by Military Tribunals	
	and Military Courts Following World War II	667
22 Sec	urity Detention	672
22.0	Introduction	672
22.1	Security Detention Defined	673
22.2	Authority for Security Detention in NIACs?	676
22.3	Security Detention in Practice	678
	22.3.1 "Other Situations of Violence"	678
	22.3.2 "State of Emergency"	679
22.4	What Security Detention is Not	679
22.5	Security Detention in US Practice	680
22.6	Essential Aspects of Security Detention	681
	22.6.1 Initiating Security Detention	682
	22.6.2 Initial Challenge and Periodic Review of Security Detention	682
	22.6.3 Detainee Access to Information and Legal Assistance 22.6.4 Release	684
22 =	22.6.4 Release Security Detention Beyond the Conclusion of Hostilities?	685 686
22.7 22.8	Summary	689
	ses and Materials	
Cas		690
	National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012	690
	Boumediene, et al. v. Bush	694
Reference	28	696
Index		735