

## Third Factors in Language Variation and Change

In this pioneering study, a world-renowned generative syntactician explores the impact of phenomena known as 'third factors' on syntactic change. Generative syntax has in recent times incorporated third factors – factors not specific to the language faculty – into its framework, including minimal search, labeling, determinacy, and economy. Van Gelderen's study applies these principles to language change, arguing that change is a cyclical process, and that third factor principles must combine with linguistic information to fully account for the cyclical development of 'optimal' language structures. Third Factor Principles also account for language variation around *that*-trace phenomena, CP-deletion, and the presence of expletives and Verb-second. By linking insights from recent theoretical advances in generative syntax to phenomena from language variation and change, this book provides a unique perspective, making it essential reading for academic researchers and students in syntactic theory and historical linguistics.

ELLY VAN GELDEREN is a syntactician interested in language change. Her work shows how regular syntactic change provides insight in the Faculty of Language. Elly is the author of eleven books and eighty or so articles/ chapters, the editor of two book series and has herself edited or co-edited eleven books/special issues.





# Third Factors in Language Variation and Change

Elly van Gelderen

Arizona State University





### CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom

One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA

477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia

314-321, 3rd Floor, Plot 3, Splendor Forum, Jasola District Centre, New Delhi - 110025, India

103 Penang Road, #05-06/07, Visioncrest Commercial, Singapore 238467

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning, and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781108831161

DOI: 10.1017/9781108923408

© Elly van Gelderen 2022

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2022

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Names: Gelderen, Elly van, author.

Title: Third factors in language variation and change / Elly van Gelderen. Description: Cambridge; New York, NY: Cambridge University Press, 2022. I Includes bibliographical references and index.

Identifiers: LCCN 2021037871 (print) | LCCN 2021037872 (ebook) | ISBN 9781108831161 (hardback) | ISBN 9781108926409 (paperback) | ISBN 9781108923408 (epub)

Subjects: LCSH: Language and languages-Variation. | Linguistic change. | Grammar, Comparative and general-Syntax. | BISAC: LANGUAGE ARTS & DISCIPLINES / General

Classification: LCC P120.V37 G45 2022 (print) | LCC P120.V37 (ebook) | DDC 417/.7-dc23

LC record available at https://lccn.loc.gov/2021037871

LC ebook record available at https://lccn.loc.gov/2021037872

ISBN 978-1-108-83116-1 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



## Contents

List of Figures		page viii	
List of Tables			ix
Pi	eface		xi
Li	List of Abbreviations		
1	The S	Shift towards a Minimal UG	1
	1.1	Introduction	1
	1.2	From UG to Third Factor	2
		1.2.1 Less UG	2
		1.2.2 The Derivational Model	5
		1.2.3 Third Factors as Limits on Free Merge	8
	1.3	From Projection to Labeling	11
		1.3.1 UG principles: Projection	11
		1.3.2 Third Factor Approaches	13
	1.4	From Islands to Determinacy	17
		1.4.1 UG Approaches	17
		1.4.2 Third Factor Approaches	20
		Variation and Change	22
		The Approach, Sources, and Glosses	24
	1.7	Conclusion, Outline, and Findings	25
2	Labe	ling in Language Change	29
	2.1	Introduction	29
	2.2	The Subject Cycle	30
		2.2.1 Pronouns to Agreement	30
		2.2.2 Labeling	33
	2.3	The Object Cycle(s)	36
		2.3.1 Pronouns to Agreement	37
		2.3.2 Object Pronouns to Agreement on T	42
	2.4	Ergative-Absolutive Cycles	47
	2.5	Demonstrative Pronouns	52
		2.5.1 From Demonstrative to Article	52
		2.5.2 From Demonstrative to Complementizer	54
	2.6	Q-Feature-Sharing	55
	2.7	Negation	58
	2.8	Conclusion	60



vi		Contents	
3	Dete	rminacy in Language Variation	62
	3.1	Introduction	62
	3.2	The CP-TP Boundary: Feature Inheritance	63
	3.3	Language Variation in the Minimalist Program	66
	3.4	CP-Deletion	70
		3.4.1 <i>That-</i> Trace in English	70
		34.2 Subject-less Relative Clauses	73
		3.4.3 C-less Complement Clauses	76
	3.5	Languages without TP	78
		3.5.1 The Absence of a <i>That-</i> Trace Effect	78
		3.5.2 Old English <i>That</i> -Trace	79
		3.5.3 Obligatory <i>That</i> and No Subject-less Relatives	81
		C-Agreement	84
	3.7	Conclusion	86
4	Dete	rminacy in Language Change	87
	4.1	Introduction	87
	4.2	From Topic to Subject	87
		4.2.1 Subjects and Topics	88
		4.2.2 The Diachrony of Subjects	89
		4.2.3 The Reanalysis from Topic to Subject	96
	4.3	Changes Involving Copulas	97
		4.3.1 From Subject to Copula	97
		4.3.2 From Topic to Subject	100
	4.4	Determinacy of Head-Movement	103
		4.4.1 Where Is Head-Movement?	103
		4.4.2 English Auxiliaries	105
		4.4.3 Indeterminacy and a Resolution	110
		4.4.4 A Diachronic Perspective	111
	4.5	Quantifier Float	113
		4.5.1 Modern English Quantifier Float	114
		4.5.2 A Perspective from Older English	117
	4.6	Conclusion	122
5	Labe	ling and Determinacy: Verb-Second and Expletives	123
	5.1	Introduction	123
	5.2	Verb-Second, Expletives, and Third Factors	124
		5.2.1 V2 and Determinacy	124
		5.2.2 Expletives and Determinacy	129
	5.3	V2 and Its Loss in English	131
		5.3.1 Old English Word Order	132
		5.3.2 The Reasons for the Loss of V2	135
		5.3.3 Changes in the Clausal Structure between Old and Middle English	137
	5.4	Expletives	139
		5.4.1 The First English Expletives	139
		5.4.2 Expletives and V2	143
	5.5	vP and CP Expletives	149
		5.5.1 The Two Kinds of Expletives	149
		5.5.2 CP and vP Expletives in English	150
		5.5.3 Changes in Expletives and Third Factors	155



		Contents	vii
	5.6	Conclusion	156
	App	pendix	158
6	Adju	nct Incorporation and Avoiding Pair-Merge	159
	6.1	Introduction	159
	6.2	Issues Surrounding Adjuncts	160
	6.3	Adjuncts as Part of the DP	165
	6.4	Towards ASP(P)	168
	6.5	PP Adjuncts to C(P)	171
		6.5.1 Changing <i>After</i>	172
		6.5.2 Changing For and Variants	177
	6.6	Clause Integration	181
		6.6.1 For and Since	182
		6.6.2 Insubordination	185
	6.7	Adjunct to Predicate and Complement	189
		6.7.1 Changes in Argument Structure	190
		6.7.2 Adjuncts to Predicates	191
		6.7.3 Adjuncts to Objects	194
	6.8	Conclusion	196
7	Conc	elusion	198
Re	ferenc	es	200
	dex		219



## **Figures**

1.1	The Minimalist model of language generation	page 7
2.1	Reanalysis of the subject resulting in labeling through	
	Minimal Search	35
2.2	Reanalysis of the object as v* resulting in simpler labeling	42
2.3	Reanalysis of the object resulting in simpler labeling	46
2.4	Reanalysis of the demonstrative as article: from	
	feature-sharing to Minimal Search	53
2.5	Reanalysis of the demonstrative as complementizer:	
	from feature-sharing to Minimal Search	55
2.6	The Negative Cycle, search over sharing	60
4.1	From topic to subject in French	92
4.2	From topic to subject in Bantu	93
4.3	From topic to subject in terms of labeling	97
4.4	Reanalysis of a DP demonstrative to copula	100

viii



## **Tables**

1.1	I wo forces of change	page 23
2.1	The present tense of the verb <i>chanter</i> 'to sing'	32
2.2	Some preverbal and postverbal objects in the Orléans Corpus	44
2.3	Portmanteau morphemes with first and second person	
	subjects and other objects	44
2.4	Agreement markers in Jacaltec	48
2.5	Independent pronouns in Jacaltec	48
2.6	Forms of pronouns and indexers in Central Kurdish	51
3.1	A typology of choices for the C–T complex	86
4.1	Old and Middle English subject quantifiers	118
5.1	The loss of V2 in Chaucer's Astrolabe	134
5.2	Word order in Layamon's Caligula	142
5.3	Options for C and T	151
5.4	The CP expletive $\frac{ha}{\partial a}$ in (the beginning of) the	
	Peterborough Chronicle	152
6.1	Numbers and percentages of demonstrative objects	
	(Dem) with after and fronting	173
6.2	Renalyses involving after	176
6.3	Numbers and percentages of demonstrative objects	
	(Dem) with for and fronting	179
6.4	Adjunct to object reanalysis	195
7.1	The relevance of Third Factor and other principles in	
	language variation and change	198

ix





## **Preface**

Generative Grammar has undergone a paradigm shift from its early emphasis on Universal Grammar, e.g. Chomsky (1965), to a focus on factors not specific to the Faculty of Language, e.g. Chomsky (2007, 2015). The latter factors are known as third factor principles and "have the flavor of the constraints that enter into all facets of growth and evolution . . . Among these are principles of efficient computation" (Chomsky 2007: 3). Third factor principles include Minimal Search, Determinacy, and Economy and can be seen at work in specific syntactic structures and restrictions on them. In this book, I argue that they can also be detected in how language changes: because labeling {XP, YP} through Minimal Search is impossible, {X, YP} emerges instead, and the need for determinate derivations eliminates superfluous movement. In addition to cases where third factors are responsible for different choices by the language learner, i.e. for language change, there are also cases where third factor principles leave options for cross-linguistic variation: CP-TP sequences are problematic for determinacy, and some languages have restricted C-to-T-inheritance, or lack a T(P), or delete the C(P).

Language change involves a cyclical dynamic between economy and elaboration or, as von der Gabelentz (1901: 256) put it, language history moves between comfort and clarity. Innate, third factor principles bias the acquisition of a specific grammar in economical ways, but external factors, such as pragmatic strengthening, can complicate the grammar of a language. In this book, I mainly examine the comfort side of the linguistic cycle by considering the role of third factor principles in language change, in particular determinacy and labeling. The book offers a theoretical and empirical update to earlier work (van Gelderen 2004, 2011), where I consider structural Economy Principles, such as the Head Preference Principle, Late Merge, and Featural Economy as influencing change. Although third factor in nature, Structural and Featural Economy still depend on linguistic information and, if less emphasis is placed on these, they should be reformulated as nonlinguistic, i.e. genuine third factor principles. Although my previous work deals with linguistic cycles and some of the examples inevitably overlap, I have nevertheless tried to provide fresh examples of various cycles.

хi



#### xii Preface

The main emphasis is on the changes due to economy, but renewal of the 'eroded' elements will be discussed where relevant. This renewal reintroduces uneconomical structures and keeps cyclical change going.

Phrase structure rules of the 1970s to 1990s automatically label a phrase through the projection of a head and labeling is part of the operation merge. Since Chomsky (2013, 2015), labeling is argued not to be connected to merge but required when a syntactic derivation is transferred to the interfaces. Labeling requirements prevent phrases from remaining sisters to other phrases unless they share features. These requirements account for the EPP effect without having to postulate an EPP feature and stop *wh*-movement out of a criterial position. In structures with a head and a phrase, Minimal Search unproblematically determines the labeling and linguistic change is indeed in the direction of a head, as is shown in Chapter 2, and that feature-sharing is not an optimal resolution of the labeling paradox.

Determinacy rules out having more than one choice in the derivation (Chomsky, Gallego, & Ott 2019: 246) and is part of a broader principle, i.e. Restrict Resources (Chomsky 2019). If a phrase moves from one position to another without the phase being transferred, i.e. eliminated from the workspace, merge will face the dilemma of an indeterminate input and won't be able to decide which of the two copies will move to a higher position. Determinacy is responsible for the Subject Island Condition and the ban on Topicalization of the subject. It prohibits certain structures, e.g. *that*-trace, and accounts for different options languages have 'chosen' around the CP–TP cluster. For instance, it forces certain relative clauses to project just a TP, as discussed in Chapter 3. Diachronically, as shown in Chapter 4, it is responsible for the reanalysis of a topic to a subject, of a pronoun as a copula, and of a lower verb as a higher auxiliary.

If feature-sharing is problematic, why do TP expletives appear, e.g. in Modern English? There are two reasons, one is to avoid indeterminate structures (as in Stepanov 2007), and the other to 'expel' the expletive from the unlabelable specifier of the vP. Chapter 5 examines this tension between labeling and determinacy demands. Due to determinacy, if there is a TP, Verb-second (V2), i.e. V to C, is not possible because the movement has to go via T; TP expletives will be possible but they have to rely on <phh, phi> for labeling and only appear in certain existential constructions. Conversely, if there is no TP, V2 is possible but TP expletives aren't. Older stages of English lack a TP, and V2 and movement of the subject from the specifier of the vP to the specifier of the CP are possible. In this stage, the grammatical subject position and the expletive are optional. Later stages of English introduce a TP, which enables expletives in the TP but bars V2. The loss of V2 and introduction of expletives have not previously been linked, and the analysis in



Preface xiii

Chapter 5 offers a new perspective both on the data in English and in V2 languages and on the tension between the two principles.

Language change also casts some light on another issue in efficient computation, namely that the incorporation of adjuncts suggests that the mechanisms that have been used to account for the position of adjuncts are less than optimal. Chomsky (2000, 2001, 2004) distinguishes between arguments (subjects and objects) and adverbials in terms of ordered pair-merge and unordered set-merge, respectively. He also argues that adjuncts are invisible to normal operations. Pair-merge is invoked for adverbials because they are less integrated into a clause, evidenced by the fact that they are islands for extraction. Because pair-merge is to be avoided, adjuncts are reanalyzed either as part of a functional category or as an argument, as shown in Chapter 6. I advance the view that pair-merge is only relevant to a subset of adjuncts, namely VP and NP adjuncts. Adjuncts merged in the specifiers of the CP and TP layers are not pair-merged and undergo different changes.

The main aim of the book is to see what language variation and change contribute to current Minimalist thinking and vice versa. However, in the process, I also offer an empirical contribution in covering the lack of an Old English *that*-trace effect (Chapter 3) and Quantifier Float (Chapter 4) more than in earlier literature and in providing a novel account of the CP and vP expletive (Chapter 5).

This book assumes a basic familiarity with Minimalist syntax, e.g. the CP/TP/vP spine and some knowledge of feature-checking. Early formulations of this work have appeared as van Gelderen (2018a, 2018b, 2019), and I thank audiences at DGfS 2015, ICHL 2015, DIGS 2016, in Oslo in 2015, and the Geneva research seminar in 2020. I am very grateful to Johanna Wood for urging more clarity throughout the book and for commenting on flaws in the argumentation, and to William Kruger for sharpening many claims, suggesting relevant literature to look at, and talking through analyses. Thanks, as always, to the ASU Syntax Reading Group for wonderful suggestions and constructive criticism. The 2019/2020 group consisted of Mastourah Alazmi, Mansour Altamimi, Sakshi Jain, Mary ("Katie") Kennedy, Servo Patrick Kocu, William Kruger, Narin Loa, Sayantan Mukherjee, Fabián Ni, Hae Ryun Park, Gina Scarpete Walters, Angela Schrader, Jacob Willson, and Johanna Wood.



## Abbreviations

ABS absolutive case ACC accusative case

AIP Adjunct Incorporation Principle

ANT anterior
ART article
ASP aspect
AUX auxiliary
C complementizer

CdES Corpus d'entretiens spontanés

CI Conceptual-Intentional

CLF classifier

CNPC Complex Noun Phrase Constraint

COCA Corpus of Contemporary American English (http://corpus.byu

.edu/coca/)

COHA Corpus of Historical American English (http://corpus.byu.edu/

coha/)

COP copula

CSC Coordinate Structure Constraint

CVC Cape Verdean Creole DP Determiner Phrase

DEF definite

DOE Dictionary of Old English
EC Extension Condition
ECP Empty Category Principle

EM External Merge

EPP Extended Projection Principle

ERG ergative case
F feminine noun class

FUT future

HC Haitian Creole

xiv



List of Abbreviations xv

i- interpretable feature IC Inclusiveness Condition

IL Individual level
IM Internal Merge
IND indicative
IRR irrealis

LA labeling algorithm

LOC locative

M masculine noun class
MED Middle English Dictionary

NEG negative

NTC No Tampering Condition
OED Oxford English Dictionary

OM Object Marking
OV Object Verb
P plural
PASS passive

PIC Phase Impenetrability Principle

PoP Problems of Projection

PR present
Pred Predicate
PROG progressive
Prox proximate
PRT particle
PST past

Q question-feature RC Relative Clause RP Root Phrase S singular

SAI Subject Auxiliary Inversion

SL Stage Level

SM Sensory-Motor Interface SMT Strong Minimalist Thesis

SV Subject Verb

T Tense t trace

u- uninterpretable feature

v little v (used for unaccusatives and passives) v\* little star v (used for unergatives and transitives)

V big V

V2 (3 etc.) Verb-second (third, etc.)



xvi	List of Abbreviations
Q	interrogative features
1	first person
2	second person
3	third person
α, β, γ	still unlabeled phrases