

The Other Divide

There is little doubt that increasing polarization over the last decade has transformed the American political landscape. In *The Other Divide*, Yanna Krupnikov and John Barry Ryan challenge the nature and extent of that polarization. They find that more than party, Americans are divided by involvement in politics. On one side is a group of Americans who are deeply involved in politics and very expressive about their political views; on the other side is a group much less involved in day-to-day political outcomes. While scholars and journalists have assumed that those who are most vocal about their political views are representative of America at large, they are in fact a relatively small group whose voices are amplified by the media. By considering the political differences between the deeply involved and the rest of the American public, Krupnikov and Ryan present a broader picture of the American electorate than the one that often appears in the news.

Yanna Krupnikov is Professor of Political Science at Stony Brook University. She is the co-author (with Samara Klar) of *Independent Politics: How American Disdain for Parties Leads to Political Inaction*, which has won three APSA section awards. Her research has appeared in the New York Times, Washington Post, CNN and the Hidden Brain podcast.

John Barry Ryan is Associate Professor of Political Science at Stony Brook University. He is the co-author (with T.K. Ahn and Robert Heckfeldt) of *Experts, Activists, and Democratic Politics: Are Electorates Self-Educating?* His research has appeared in the *New York Times*, Washington Post, CNN and the Hidden Brain podcast.





The Other Divide

Polarization and Disengagement in American Politics

YANNA KRUPNIKOV

Stony Brook University

JOHN BARRY RYAN

Stony Brook University





CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom

One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA

477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia

314–321, 3rd Floor, Plot 3, Splendor Forum, Jasola District Centre, New Delhi – 110025, India

103 Penang Road, #05-06/07, Visioncrest Commercial, Singapore 238467

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning, and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781108831123 DOI: 10.1017/9781108923323

© Yanna Krupnikov and John Barry Ryan 2022

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2022

Printed in the United Kingdom by TJ Books Limited, Padstow Cornwall

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

ISBN 978-1-108-83112-3 Hardback ISBN 978-1-108-92636-2 Paperback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



To Ailis





Contents

List of Figures		page viii
Li	st of Tables	X
Pr	eface and Acknowledgments	xi
Ι	A House Divided against Itself?	I
2	Subtleties of Partisan Division	23
3	Beyond Political Interest	52
4	The Deeply Involved Are Different	77
5	Bubbles of Involvement	III
6	Perceptions of the Most Sacred Duty (Co-authored with Michael Yontz)	135
7	A New Form of Self-Expression	167
8	The Voice of Which People?	203
9	Middle Grove	233
A_{l}	ppendix	252
R	eferences	263
Ιn	dex	288

vii



Figures

1.1	Changes in campaign interest and activity from 1952 to 2016 p.	age 9
1.2	Distributions of various measures of political interest and	
	attention	ΙI
1.3	Political knowledge levels by different levels of interest and	
	attention	12
I.4	Campaign activities and political discussion by levels of interest	
•	and attention	13
1.5	Social media use by attention levels	14
2.1	Changes in feeling thermometer ratings of parties among ANES	•
	respondents in presidential election years (1980–2020)	32
2.2	Partisan attitudes toward the impeachment process in 2020	41
2.3	Percent of respondents who are polarized by treatment in	·
-	Druckman et al. (2021b)	47
4.1	Mean respondent answer to each involvement question in the	• /
•	three main studies	84
4.2	Distributions of the full involvement scales for each of the three	
	main studies	85
4.3	Coefficients for models with respondent involvement as the	
	dependent variable	88
4.4	The relationship between involvement and political knowledge	90
4.5	The relationship between involvement and the probability that eac	h
	of the knowledge questions is answered correctly	91
4.6	Mean self-report turnout by various levels of involvement in	
	three elections	93
4.7	The relationship between involvement and affect toward parties,	
	partisans, and elites	95
4.8	Relationship between involvement and affective polarization	
	using the measure from Klar, Krupnikov, and Ryan (2018)	99

viii



List of Figures ix

4.9	Predicting what respondents believe are the most important issues	
	by involvement and party	103
1.10	How involvement shapes beliefs about COVID-19 policies	106
4.II	Comparing the associations between involvement, partisan	
	strength, and education with measures of internal efficacy	109
5.1	The role of childhood socialization in adult political involvement	115
5.2	Political discussion with parents and political behaviors	118
5.3	Attributes of four-year colleges and the political involvement	
	of their alumni	122
5.4	The relationship between involvement and core-network	
<i>(</i> –	structure	131
6.1	Evaluations of parents in Study 1 (nine-year-old who donates	
	birthday money)	149
6.2	Does involvement moderate treatment effects in Study I	
	(nine-year-old who donates birthday money)?	151
6.3	Evaluations of parents in Study 2 (nine-year-old has a soccer	
	game that conflicts with another event)	154
6.4	Does involvement moderate treatment effects in Study 2	
	(nine-year-old has a soccer game that conflicts with another	
<i>-</i>	event)? Evaluations of parents in Study 3 (seventeen-year-old donates	155
6.5	work money)	0
6.6	Does involvement moderate treatment effects in Study 3	158
6.6	(seventeen-year-old donates work money)?	T.50
6.7	Evaluations of parents in Study 4 (fourteen-year-old who misses	159
0./	a soccer game)	162
6.8	Does involvement moderate treatment effects in Study 4	102
0.0	(fourteen-year-old who misses a soccer game)?	164
7 . I	Social media use and unconditional affective polarization	181
7.2	The relationship between involvement and posting on social	101
/ • 2	media	184
7.3	Involvement is associated with posting on social media and	104
/•3	political discussion	186
7.4	Perceived motivations for posting on social media	191
7· 4 7·5	Results from an experiment on perceptions of knowledge and	191
/•3	ideological extremity	197
7.6	Affective ratings of people who post on social media by levels of	19/
/ . 0	involvement	199
8.1	Distribution of journalists' estimates of public opinion on the	±22
J.1	marriage question	215
8.2	Comparing journalists' estimates of polarization to observed)
~· -	levels of polarization	216
	r - r - r - r - r - r - r - r	-10



Tables

4.I	Items that form the involvement scale	page 80
4.2	Items included in the original pretest that do not form part of	
	the final scale	81
6.1	Patterns of parents' behavior by level of parents' involvement	
	(proxy measure)	144
7 . I	Political behavior on social media from a Pew survey in winter	
	2016	179
8.1	Patterns of vox pops in coverage of public opinion in 2019 and	
	2020	224

X



Preface and Acknowledgments

During one of our early conversations about this project, Yanna said this book should be like *Freaks and Geeks* – the turn of the century, high school dramedy created by Paul Feig and Judd Apatow that Yanna has never seen. She has gleaned, however, that the program showed high school from a different perspective than other high school shows that center on the cool kids, the athletes, and the cheerleaders. While those shows were supposed to be about people for whom the teenage years might be a high point of their lives, *Freaks and Geeks* was about students who are generally uncomfortable with high school and how they relate to those other "cooler" kids. In theory, more people should recognize a part of themselves in *Freaks and Geeks* than in *Beverly Hills*, 90210.

Similarly, this book is not about politicians or their loudest supporters and detractors on social media. At the same time, we do not pretend those people do not exist and that those people are not important. Rather, our hope is that people at all levels of political engagement could read some of the quotes in it and say, "I understand where this person is coming from" even if at other points they read survey results that they cannot believe. Our goal was to present a broader picture of the American electorate than the one that often appears in the news.

If we succeeded in this goal in any way, we did so with the assistance of many people, some of whom may not even have known we were writing this book. As we debated over the book's contents, we would each invoke our mentors Bob Huckfeldt, Skip Lupia, and Nick Valentino knowing that mentions of these scholars would be especially persuasive. We are also tremendously grateful to Michael Boerner, the Stony Brook political science department business administrator; without the help of the best administrator in academia, this whole project would not have been possible.

We are tremendously thankful to those who took the time to read various drafts of the chapters in this book: Elizabeth Connors, Jessica Feezell, Eitan Hersh, Yphtach Lelkes, Matthew Levendusky, Matt Pietryka, and Stuart

xi



Preface and Acknowledgments

xii

Soroka. We are also grateful to Eitan for many conversations that have helped to shape this book. In addition, we were lucky to receive feedback and help on various stages of this project from people whose insights made the book much better: Talbot Andrews, James Druckman, Eric Groenendyk, Mirya Holman, Natalie Jackson, Samara Klar, Shannon McGregor, Kathleen Searles, Anand Sokhey, John M. Warner, the participants at Duke University's Behavior and Identities Workshop, and the participants at Wesleyan University's 2018 Election Conference. Moreover, we will always be indebted to research assistance from a number of graduate students: Romeo Gray, Maggie MacDonnell, Carlo Macomber, Jacob A. Martin, and Michael Yontz. Indeed, Jacob deserves special credit as he was essentially this book's main copy editor.

Finally, we want to thank the journalists we were fortunate to interview, who are quoted in Chapter 8. Although they remain anonymous, we sincerely thank them for their time and for broadening our perspective.

Of course, we must also thank Cambridge University Press for this opportunity. Robert Dreesen first expressed interest in this idea. We are especially grateful to Sara Doskow, the editor for this project, who made this book possible – we are fortunate to get the opportunity to work with her. We would also like to thank the two anonymous reviewers of our prospectus and early writing whose constructive, supportive feedback influenced how we completed the project.

As we (thought we) were finishing this project, the world was disrupted by the COVID-19 pandemic. Like so many things, the final product was shaped by the pandemic, and the completion of it was delayed as a result. Without the efforts of the wonderful staff at Stony Brook Child Care, we may still be working on this book. It is not possible to say enough about all they did to remain open in order to take care of the children of the employees and students of Stony Brook University and Stony Brook University Hospital.

We turn now to the delicate task of thanking pets. We are thankful to (and miss) Penny, the cat we had at the beginning of the project, and to Toast and Jackie Daytona, the cats who used to live at a PetSmart and live here now. And many of the friends we have already thanked know that Sebastian is one of the great dogs of our time.

Finally, we obviously owe a great debt to our families. Our parents – Svetlana, Vulf, Jeannette, and John – served as an example of hard work and sacrifice. We are also grateful to our grandparents. Our daughter serves as an inspiration and remains the most important collaborative work of our lives.

We are lucky people who have benefited so much from the sacrifices of others.