

# TECHNOLOGY LAW

## AUSTRALIAN AND INTERNATIONAL PERSPECTIVES

The regulation of technology is an important and topical area of law, relevant to almost all aspects of society. *Technology Law: Australian and International Perspectives* presents a thorough exploration of the new legal challenges created by evolving technologies, from the use of facial recognition technology in criminal investigations to the rise and regulation of cryptocurrencies.

A well-written and fascinating introduction to technology law in Australia and internationally, *Technology Law* provides thorough coverage of the theoretical perspectives, legislation, cases and developing issues where technology and the law interact. The text covers data protection and privacy, healthcare technology, criminal justice technology, commercial transactions, cybercrime, social media and intellectual property, and canvasses the future of technology and technology law.

Written by leading experts in the field, *Technology Law* is an excellent resource for law students and legal professionals with an interest in the area.

**Marcus Smith** is Senior Lecturer in Law and Course Director at the Centre for Law and Justice, Charles Sturt University, and Adjunct Professor of Law at the University of Canberra.

**Gregor Urbas** is Adjunct Associate Professor at the ANU College of Law, a former Associate Professor of Law at the University of Canberra, and a Canberra barrister.

Cambridge University Press & Assessment  
978-1-108-81601-4 — Technology Law  
Marcus Smith, Gregor Urbas  
Frontmatter  
[More Information](#)

---

Cambridge University Press acknowledges the Australian Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples of this nation. We acknowledge the traditional custodians of the lands on which our company is located and where we conduct our business. We pay our respects to ancestors and Elders, past and present. Cambridge University Press is committed to honouring Australian Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples' unique cultural and spiritual relationships to the land, waters and seas and their rich contribution to society.

# TECHNOLOGY LAW

AUSTRALIAN AND INTERNATIONAL  
PERSPECTIVES

Marcus Smith  
Gregor Urbas

Cambridge University Press & Assessment  
978-1-108-81601-4 — Technology Law  
Marcus Smith, Gregor Urbas  
Frontmatter  
[More Information](#)



Shaftesbury Road, Cambridge CB2 8EA, United Kingdom  
One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA  
477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia  
314–321, 3rd Floor, Plot 3, Splendor Forum, Jasola District Centre, New Delhi – 110025, India  
103 Penang Road, #05–06/07, Visioncrest Commercial, Singapore 238467

Cambridge University Press is part of Cambridge University Press & Assessment, a department of the University of Cambridge.

We share the University's mission to contribute to society through the pursuit of education, learning and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

[www.cambridge.org](http://www.cambridge.org)

Information on this title: [www.cambridge.org/9781108816014](http://www.cambridge.org/9781108816014)

© Cambridge University Press & Assessment 2021

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press & Assessment.

First published 2021

Cover designed by Tanya De Silva-McKay

*A catalogue record for this book is available from the National Library of Australia*

ISBN 978-1-108-81601-4 Paperback

Additional resources for this publication at [www.cambridge.org/highereducation/isbn/9781108816014/resources](http://www.cambridge.org/highereducation/isbn/9781108816014/resources)

#### **Reproduction and communication for educational purposes**

The Australian *Copyright Act 1968* (the Act) allows a maximum of one chapter or 10% of the pages of this work, whichever is the greater, to be reproduced and/or communicated by any educational institution for its educational purposes provided that the educational institution (or the body that administers it) has given a remuneration notice to Copyright Agency Limited (CAL) under the Act.

For details of the CAL licence for educational institutions, contact:

Copyright Agency Limited  
Level 12, 66 Goulburn Street  
Sydney NSW 2000  
Telephone: (02) 9394 7600  
Facsimile: (02) 9394 7601  
E-mail: [memberservices@copyright.com.au](mailto:memberservices@copyright.com.au)

Cambridge University Press & Assessment has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

# CONTENTS

<i>Preface</i>	<i>ix</i>
<i>Table of cases</i>	<i>x</i>
<i>Table of statutes</i>	<i>xiv</i>
<i>Acknowledgements</i>	<i>xix</i>
<b>1 Introduction to technology law</b>	<b>1</b>
Conceptualising technology law	2
Recent developments in technology law	4
Overview of the text	10
<b>2 Technology, regulation and theory</b>	<b>14</b>
Introduction	15
Regulation	15
Political theory	24
Ethical theory	30
Philosophy of science	33
Conclusion	36
<b>3 Privacy and data protection</b>	<b>37</b>
Introduction	38
Privacy: Concepts and context	38
Australian privacy law	41
International perspectives	57
Conclusion	63
<b>4 Law, technology and healthcare</b>	<b>64</b>
Introduction	65
Fundamental issues	65
Genomics	71
Assisted reproductive technology	78
Developing forms of health technology	83
Conclusion	92

<b>5</b>	<b>Law, technology and commercial transactions</b>	<b>93</b>
	Introduction	94
	Digital currencies	94
	Smart contracts	99
	Online markets and services	101
	Anti-competitive behaviour	103
	Professional and government services	104
	Robotics and regulation	107
	Conclusion	110
<b>6</b>	<b>Law, technology and the criminal justice system</b>	<b>111</b>
	Introduction	112
	Technology-based identification	112
	Fingerprint identification	117
	DNA identification	122
	Facial recognition	132
	Conclusion	138
<b>7</b>	<b>Cybercrime</b>	<b>140</b>
	Introduction	141
	Computer hacking	141
	Online fraud	147
	Cyberterrorism	154
	Cyberwarfare	156
	National security	159
	Conclusion	161
<b>8</b>	<b>Social media and communications</b>	<b>162</b>
	Introduction	163
	Social media	163
	Regulating social media	165
	Online defamation, vilification and incitement to violence	168
	Cyber harassment, stalking and bullying	173
	Illegal and offensive content	178
	Conclusion	182

<b>9</b>	<b>Intellectual property</b>	<b>184</b>
	Introduction	185
	Patents and computing technologies	186
	Copyright and entertainment industries	190
	Trade marks and business products	194
	Online enforcement of intellectual property rights	196
	Conclusion	200
<b>10</b>	<b>International investigation and enforcement</b>	<b>202</b>
	Introduction	203
	Investigation	203
	Jurisdiction and international cooperation	210
	Prosecution decision-making	216
	Conclusion	219
<b>11</b>	<b>Future directions in technology law</b>	<b>220</b>
	Introduction	221
	Access, control and risk	222
	Conclusion	226
	<i>Index</i>	227

# PREFACE

Technology law is a diverse, interesting and highly relevant field, touching on some of the most significant legal and social issues of the day. This text explores technology law in its socio-legal context in Australia and around the world. It critically examines law and regulation relating to technology developments, incorporating relevant theoretical perspectives.

Available texts to date in Australia have focused on cybercrime law. Some texts, published further afield in the United Kingdom, have broadened this to information technology law, branching out beyond cybercrime to include allied areas such as privacy, e-commerce and intellectual property. This text takes a broader approach in defining the field of technology law. In addition to encompassing cybercrime, privacy, commercial transactions and intellectual property, it extends technology law to also include healthcare and criminal justice, providing a more complete discussion, and expanding the horizon of the field to incorporate a holistic view of the regulation of technology in our society.

We have taken this approach with a view to better conceptualising the relationships between these related areas of law, in what continues to be a flourishing area of national and international academic study and law reform. It offers a new way forward for this burgeoning area of legal scholarship, and will be of interest to practitioners, policymakers, academics and students.

**Marcus Smith and Gregor Urbas**  
*September 2020*



# TABLE OF CASES

- Alice Corporation Pty Ltd v CLS Bank International*, 573 US 208 (2014), **188**  
*Amato v Commonwealth*, Federal Court Registry consent orders (27 November 2019), **106**  
*Association for Molecular Pathology v Myriad Genetics Inc*, 133 S Ct 2107 (2013), **7, 75**  
*Australasian Performing Right Association Ltd v Telstra Corporation Ltd* (2019) 369 ALR  
 529, **200**  
*Australian Competition and Consumer Commission v Google Inc* (2012) 201 FCR 503, **196**  
*Australian Information Commission v Facebook Inc* (2020) 144 ACSR 88, **168**  
*Bauer Media Pty Ltd v Wilson (No 2)* (2018) 56 VR 674, **169**  
*Bazley v Wesley Monash IVF Pty Ltd* [2011] 2 Qd R 207, **70**  
*Beausoleil v The Sisters of Charity* (1964) 53 DLR (2d) 65, **66**  
*Bennett v Police* [2005] SASC 167, **121**  
*Bing! Software Pty Ltd v Bing Technologies Pty Ltd (No 1)* (2008) 79 IPR 454, **194**  
*Breen v Williams* (1996) 43 ALD 481, **67–8**  
*Brightwater Care Group v Rossiter* (2009) 40 WAR 84, **66**  
*Brown v Tasmania* [2008] TASSC 33, **150**  
*C (adult: refusal of medical treatment), Re* [1994] 1 All ER 819, **67**  
*Caripis v Victoria Police (Health and Privacy)* [2012] VCAT 1472, **50**  
*Chatterton v Gerson* [1981] QB 432, **67**  
*Cheng v Lok* [2020] SASC 14, **169**  
*Commissioner of Patents v Rokt Pty Ltd* (2020) 379 ALR 86, **188**  
*Commissioner of Patents v RPL Central Pty Ltd* (2015) 238 FCR 27, **187**  
*Cooper v Universal Music Australia Pty Ltd* (2006) 156 FLR 380, **198**  
*Dallas Buyers Club LLC v iiNet Ltd (No 4)* (2015) 327 ALR 702, **200**  
*D'Arcy v Myriad Genetics Inc* (2015) 258 CLR 334, **7, 75–6**  
*Dasreef Pty Ltd v Hawchar* (2011) 243 CLR 588, **121**  
*Daubert v Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals Inc*, 113 S Ct 2786 (1993), **23**  
*Doodeward v Spence* (1908) 6 CLR 406, **70**  
*Dow Jones and Co Inc v Gutnick* (2002) 210 CLR 575, **168–9**  
*DPP v Camaj* [2019] VCC 2200, **205**  
*DPP v Carpenter* [2019] VCC 1453, **147**  
*DPP v Ortell* [2016] VCC 1459, **182**  
*DPP v Paulino* (2017) 54 VR 109, **132**  
*DPP v Watts* [2016] VCC 1726, **120**  
*DPP v Wise (a pseudonym)* [2016] VSCA 173, **132**  
*Duncan v Medical Practitioners Disciplinary Committee* [1986] 1 NZLR 513, **67**  
*DW v The Queen* (2014) 239 A Crim R 192, **206**  
*E & J Gallo Winery v Lion Nathan Australia Pty Ltd* (2010) 241 CLR 144, **194**  
*Encompass Corporation Pty Ltd v InfoTrack Pty Ltd* (2019) 372 ALR 646, **188**  
*Foxtel Management Pty Ltd v TPG Internet Pty Ltd* (2017) 349 ALR 154, **200**

- Foxtel Management Pty Ltd v TPG Internet Pty Ltd* (2019) 148 IPR 432, 200  
*Ghebrat v The Queen* (2011) 214 A Crim R 140, 122  
*Gillick v West Norfolk and Wisbech Area Health Authority* [1986] AC 112, 67  
*Google Inc v Australian Competition and Consumer Commission* (2013) 249 CLR 435, 196  
*Google Inc v Commission nationale de l'informatique et des libertés*, 24 September 2019, 58–9  
*Google Inc v Trkulja* (2016) 342 ALR 504, 169–70  
*Google Spain SL, Google Inc v Agencia Española de Protección de Datos and Mario Costeja González* [2014] ECR I-000, 57–8  
*Grant v Marshall* [2003] FCA 1161, 206  
*Greenburg v Miami Children's Hospital Research Institute Inc*, 262 D Supp 2d 1064 (2003), 75  
*Griffiths v United States of America* (2005) 143 FCR 182, 215  
*HG v The Queen* (1999) 197 CLR 414, 121  
*Hillier v The Queen* [2005] ACTCA 48, 131  
*Hillier v The Queen* [2008] ACTCA 3, 131  
*Hodgetts v Tasmania* (2018) 29 Tas R 310, 150  
*Honeysett v The Queen* (2013) 233 A Crim R 152, 138  
*Honeysett v The Queen* (2014) 253 CLR 122, 121, 137–8  
*Jama* (2008), unreported, 130, 139  
*Jane Doe v America Online, Inc*, 783 So2d 1010 (2001), 166  
*Jones v Toben* (2002) 71 ALD 629, 171  
*JP v DPP (NSW)* (2015) 256 A Crim R 447, 121  
*JS and LS v Patient Review Panel* [2011] VCAT 856, 81  
*Kabbabe v Google LLC* [2020] FCA 126, 170  
*Katz v United States*, 389 US 347 (1967), 206  
*Koowarta v Bjelke-Petersen* (1982) 153 CLR 168, 42  
*Larkin v The Queen* [2012] WASCA 238, 218  
*Luppino v Fisher (No 2)* [2019] FCA 1100, 205  
*Ly v The Queen* (2014) 175 227 FCR 304, 192  
*Makita (Australia) Pty Ltd v Sprowles* (2001) 52 NSWLR 705, 121, 218  
*Martin v The Queen* [2019] NSWCCA 197, 219  
*Maryland v King*, 569 US 435 (2013), 125  
*McConnell Dowell Constructors (Australia) Pty Ltd v Santam Ltd (No 1)* (2016) 51 VR 421, 105  
*Mickelberg v The Queen* (2004) 29 WAR 13, 121  
*Moore v Regents of the University of California*, 793 P 2d 479 (1990), 70, 75  
*Murdoch v The Queen* (2007) 167 A Crim R 329, 137  
*Murdoch v The Queen* [2007] HCATrans 321, 137  
*National Research Development Corporation v Commissioner of Patents* (1959) 102 CLR 252, 187  
*Nguyen v The Queen* (2018) 274 A Crim R 534, 207  
*Parker v The King* (1912) 14 CLR 681, 120  
*Pokémon Company International, Inc v Redbubble Ltd* (2017) 351 ALR 676, 194  
*R v Abola (No 6)* [2013] NSWSC 703, 122

- R v Cartwright* [2018] ACTSC 132, 174  
*R v EP (No 3)* [2019] ACTSC 242, 174  
*R v Fitzgerald* [2005] SADC 118, 121  
*R v Hardstaff* [2016] QSC 299, 207  
*R v Henderson* [2009] ACTCA 20, 175  
*R v Hillier* (2007) 228 CLR 618, 131  
*R v Jobnston* [2020] ACTSC 46, 205  
*R v Kelly and Lindsay* [1998] 3 All ER 741, 70  
*R v Lodbi* (2006) 199 FLR 364, 156  
*R v Marper and S* (2002) EWCA Civ 1275, 124  
*R v Marper and S* [2004] UKHL 39, 124  
*R v McDonald and Deblaquiere* (2013) 233 A Crim R 185, 174  
*R v Mental Health Act Commission; Ex parte X* (1991) 9 BMLR 77, 67  
*R v Millard* [2006] ACTSC 56, 121  
*R v Mitchell* [1997] ACTSC 93, 120  
*R v Murdoch (No 4)* (2005) 195 FLR 421, 137  
*R v NO* (2018) 330 FLR 134, 175  
*R v Pfennig (No 2)* [2016] SASC 171, 116  
*R v Pitchfork* [2009] EWCA Crim 963, 123  
*R v Priest* (2011) 209 A Crim R 254, 207, 208, 213  
*R v Regan* [2014] NSWDC 118, 120  
*R v Simonetti* [2018] ACTSC 31, 174  
*R v Stubbs* (2009) 228 FLR 221, 179, 207, 208, 213  
*R v Tahiraj* [2014] QCA 353, 178, 218  
*R v Tamawiwy (No 4)* [2015] ACTSC 371, 174  
*R v Trewin* [2018] ACTSC 109, 120  
*R v Zhong* [2018] NSWLC 1, 217–18  
*R (Burke) v General Medical Council* [2005] EWCA Civ 1003, 66  
*R (on the Application of RMC) v Commissioner of Police of the Metropolis* [2012] 4 All ER 510, 60  
*R (on the Application of Wood) v Metropolitan Police Commissioner* [2009] 4 All ER 951, 60  
*Research Affiliates LLC v Commissioner of Patents* (2014) 227 FCR 378, 187  
*Roadshow Films Pty Ltd v iiNet Ltd* (2011) 194 FCR 285, 199  
*Roadshow Films Pty Ltd v iiNet Ltd* (2012) 248 CLR 42, 198–9  
*Roadshow Films Pty Ltd v iiNet Ltd (No 3)* (2010) 263 ALR 215, 199  
*Roadshow Films Pty Ltd v Telstra Corporation Ltd* (2016) 248 FCR 178, 200  
*Roadshow Films Pty Ltd v Telstra Corporation Ltd* [2017] FCA 965, 200  
*Roblin v Public Trustee for the Australian Capital Territory* (2015) 10 ACTLR 300, 70  
*Roche v Douglas* (2000) 22 WAR 331, 70  
*Rogers v Whittaker* (1992) 175 CLR 479, 65, 66  
*S and Marper v United Kingdom* [2008] ECHR 1581, 59–60, 124  
*Sabel v The Queen* (2014) 242 A Crim R 49, 218  
*SDJ v FWR* [2008] QSC 256, 148  
*Secretary, Department of Health and Community Services v JWB and SMB* (1992) 175 CLR 218, 67

- Smetburst v Commissioner of Police* (2020) 376 ALR 575, 205  
*Smith v Jones* [2020] NSWDC 262, 170  
*Smith v The Queen* (2001) 206 CLR 650, 137  
*Sorrell v IMS Health Care* 564 US 552 (2011), 61  
*Soyke v The Queen* [2016] NSWCCA 112, 217  
*State of Wisconsin v Loomis*, 881 NW 2d 749 (2016), 116  
*Symbian v Commissioner of Patents* [2008] EWCA Civ 1066, 188  
*Trkulja v Google Inc* [2015] VSC 635, 169  
*Trkulja v Google LLC* (2018) 263 CLR 149, 196  
*Tuioti and Minister for Home Affairs (Migration)* [2019] AATA 4423, 207  
*United States v Nosal (II)*, 828 F 3d 865 (2016), 146  
*United States of America v Griffiths* [2004] FCA 879, 215  
*Universal Music Australia Pty Ltd v Cooper* (2005) 150 FCR 1, 197–8  
*Universal Music Australia Pty Ltd v Cooper* [2005] FCA 1878, 198  
*Universal Music Australia Pty Ltd v Sharman Licensed Holdings Ltd* (2005) 222 FCR 465,  
198  
*Universal Music Australia Pty Ltd v TPG Internet Pty Ltd* (2017) 348 ALR 493, 200  
*Velevski v The Queen* (2002) 76 ALJR 402, 132  
*W v Egdell* [1990] Ch 359, 67  
*Washington University v Catalona*, 437 F Supp 2d 985 (2006), 70, 75  
*Watkins v DPP (Vic)* (2015) 304 FLR 105, 207  
*Williams v Spautz* (1992) 174 CLR 509, 168  
*Wilson v Bauer Media Pty Ltd* [2017] VSC 521, 169

# TABLE OF STATUTES

## Australia

*Anti-Money Laundering and Counter-Terrorism Financing Act 2006*

s 5, 96–7

s 76B, 97

*Anti-Money Laundering and Counter-Terrorism Financing Amendment Act 2017*, 96

*Australian Information Commissioner Act 2010*

pt 2 div 3, 47

s 6, 47

*Australian Securities and Investments Commission Act 2001*, 96

*Biosecurity Act 2015*, 223

*Combatting Child Sexual Exploitation*

*Legislation Amendment Act 2019*, 178

*Competition and Consumer Act 2010*, 195

*Constitution*

s 51(xviii), 187

s 51(xxix), 41

*Copyright Act 1968*

pt V, 195

pt V div 2AA, 196, 198

s 36, 197

s 36(1A), 196

s 39B, 196, 197

s 101(1A), 196

s 115, 200

s 115A, 199–200

*Copyright Amendment (Digital Agenda) Act 2000*, 196

*Copyright Amendment (Online Infringement) Act 2015*, 199

*Copyright Amendment (Online Infringement) Act 2018*, 199

*Corporations Act 2001*, 96

*Crimes Act 1914*

pt IAB, 207

pt IAC, 207

pt IE, 206

s 3K(1), 204

s 3K(2), 204

s 3K(4), 204

s 3L(1), 204

s 3L(1A), 204

s 3L(2), 204

s 3L(4), 204

s 3LA, 204, 205

s 3LAA, 204

s 3M, 206

s 16AAA, 219

*Crimes Legislation Amendment (Serious and Organised Crime) Act (No 2) 2010* (Cth)

s 3LAA, 204

*Criminal Code Act 1995*, 178

div 102, 156

pt 5.1, 212

pt 5.3, 212

pt 10.6, 178, 212

pt 10.7, 212

s 15.1, 212

s 100.1, 156

s 272.9, 180

s 272.11, 180

s 375.1, 153

s 473.1, 147

s 473.4, 174

s 474.4, 154

s 474.14, 147, 156

s 474.15, 156

s 474.17, 156, 174

s 474.17(1), 173

s 474.17A, 173

s 474.22, 178

s 474.22A, 178

s 474.23, 178

s 474.25C, 177

- s 474.26, 178  
 s 474.27, 178, 179  
 s 474.27A, 178  
 s 474.29A, 177  
 s 474.30, 173  
 ss 474.30–474.45, 172  
 s 474.31, 172  
 s 474.32, 172  
 s 474.32(1), 172  
 s 474.32(2)–(7), 172  
 s 474.33, 173  
 s 474.34, 173  
 s 474.37, 173  
 s 474.38, 173  
 ss 474.46–474.48, 172  
 s 475.2, 212  
 s 476.3, 212  
 s 477.1, 147  
*Criminal Code Amendment (Agricultural Protection) Act 2019*, 172  
*Criminal Code Amendment (Protecting Minors Online) Act 2017*, 177  
*Criminal Code Amendment (Sbaring of Abhorrent Violent Material) Act 2019*, 172  
*Cybercrime Act 2001*, 204  
*Disability Discrimination Act 1992*, 73  
 s 46(1)(f)–(g), 73  
*Electronic Transactions Act 1999*  
 s 8(1), 99  
*Enhancing Online Safety Act 2015*, 182  
 pts 1–5, 177  
 pt 5A, 182  
*Enhancing Online Safety (Non-Consensual Sharing of Intimate Images) Act 2018*  
 17, 173, 182  
*Evidence Act 1995*  
 s 29(4), 218  
 s 55, 116  
 s 79, 117, 132  
 s 79(1), 121, 218  
 s 80, 132  
 s 137, 117  
 s 138, 117, 208, 213  
 s 138(1), 208  
 s 138(3), 208  
*Federal Court Rules 2011*  
 sch 1, 218  
*Freedom of Information Act 1982*, 68  
 s 8F, 47  
 Identity-Matching Services Bill 2019, 9  
*My Health Records Act 2012*, 68  
*Patents Act 1990*, 7  
 s 18(1), 187  
 s 18(1)(a), 76  
*Privacy Act 1988*, 38, 41, 42, 51  
 preamble, 42  
 pt IV div 2, 47  
 s 2A(a), 42  
 s 2A(b), 42  
 s 4, 73  
 s 6, 43, 44  
 s 6(1), 43, 68  
 s 16B(4), 73  
 s 36, 68  
 s 55A, 68  
 sch 1, 45  
 sch 1 cl 3.3(a), 43  
 sch 1 cl 6.1, 43  
 sch 1 cl 6.2(e), 44  
*Privacy Amendment (Office of the Privacy Commissioner) Act 2000*, 47  
*Prohibition of Human Cloning for Reproduction Act 2002*, 79  
 s 9, 85  
 s 13, 82  
 s 14, 86  
 s 15, 86  
 s 17, 85  
 s 18, 85, 86  
 s 20(3), 86  
 s 20(4)(a), 86  
 s 20(4)(f), 86  
 s 22, 86  
 ss 22–23C, 86  
*Racial Discrimination Act 1975*, 171  
*Research Involving Human Embryos Act 2002*, 79, 86  
 div 4, 86

s 10, 79  
*Social Security Act 1991*  
 s 1229, 106  
*Spam Act 2003*, 151  
*Surveillance Devices Act 2004*, 51, 154, 207  
*Telecommunications Act 1997*, 196  
 s 313(1), 166  
 s 313(3), 166  
*Telecommunications (Interception and Access) Act 1979*, 5, 6, 51, 154, 206, 207  
 s 7(2), 51  
 s 172, 52  
 s 178(3), 54  
 s 178A(3), 54  
 s 179(3), 54  
 s 187A(4), 6  
 s 187AA, 6, 52  
*Telecommunications and Other Legislation Amendment (Assistance and Access) Act 2018*, 6  
 s 317B, 56  
 s 317JAA, 56  
 s 317P, 56  
 s 317TAAA(6), 56  
 s 317ZG, 56  
*Trade Marks Act 1995*  
 pt 12, 194  
 pt 14, 195  
 s 230, 195  
*Trade Practices Act 1974*  
 s 52, 195  
*US Free Trade Agreement Implementation Act 2004*, 198

### Australian Capital Territory

*Crimes Act 1900*, 174  
 pt 2A, 108  
 s 35, 175  
*Human Cloning and Embryo Research Act 2004*, 79  
*Human Tissue Act 1983*  
 s 32, 71  
*Listening Devices Act 1992*, 206  
*Transplantation and Anatomy Act 1978*  
 s 6, 70

s 44, 71  
*Work Health and Safety Regulation 2011*,  
 reg 221, 108

### New South Wales

*Civil Liability Act 2002*  
 s 50, 89  
*Crimes Act 1900*  
 pt 1A, 212  
 s 529, 168  
*Crimes Amendment (Intimate Images) Act 2017*, 17  
*Crimes (Domestic and Personal Violence) Act 2007*  
 s 7, 177–8  
 s 8, 178  
*Crimes (Domestic and Personal Violence) Amendment Act 2018*, 178  
*Defamation Act 2005*  
 s 32, 169  
*Health Records and Information Privacy Act 2002*, 68  
*Human Cloning for Reproduction and Other Prohibited Practices Act 2003*, 79  
*Human Tissue Act 1983*  
 s 4, 70  
*Point to Point Transport (Taxis and Hire Vehicles) Regulation*, 102  
*Privacy and Personal Information Protection Act 1998*, 48  
*Surrogacy Act 2010*, 83  
*Surveillance Devices Act 2007*, 206

### Queensland

*Civil Liability Act 2003*  
 s 22, 89  
*Criminal Code 1899*  
 s 365, 168  
*Information Privacy Act 2009*, 48

### Tasmania

*Criminal Code Act 1924*  
 s 257B, 150  
*Personal Information Protection Act 2004*, 48

## Victoria

- Assisted Reproductive Treatment Act 2008*,  
 83  
 s 28(2)(b), 81  
*Charter of Human Rights and  
 Responsibilities Act 2006*, 50  
*Crimes Act 1958*  
 s 51M, 181  
 s 51N, 181  
*Crimes Amendment (Sexual Offences) Act  
 2016*, 181  
*Crimes Amendment (Sexual Offences and  
 Other Matters) Act 2014*, 181  
*Information Privacy Act 2000*, 50  
 sch 1 [4.2], 50  
*Privacy and Data Protection Act 2014*, 48, 50  
*Summary Offences Act 1966*  
 s 41DA, 181  
 s 41DB, 181  
*Wrongs Act 1958*  
 s 59, 89

## Western Australia

- Criminal Law Amendment (Intimate  
 Images) Act 2018*, 17

## United Kingdom

- Computer Misuse Act 1990*  
 s 1, 147  
 s 2, 147  
*Criminal Justice and Police Act 2001*, 124  
*Data Protection Act 1998*, 57  
*Data Protection Act 2018*, 57  
*Human Tissue Act 2004*  
 s 45(1)(a)(ii), 224  
 sch 4 cl 5(1)(d), 224  
*Sexual Offences Act 2003*, 179  
 s 15, 179  
 s 15A, 179  
*Statute of Monopolies 1624*, 187

## United States of America

- Communications Decency Act*  
 §230, 165–6

- §230(c)(1), 166  
 §230(c)(2), 166  
 §230(d), 166  
*Computer Fraud and Abuse Act*, 150  
 §1030(a)(5)(A), 143  
 §1030(e)(6), 146  
*Constitution*, 116  
 First Amendment, 61  
 Fourth Amendment, 60–1, 125  
*Controlling the Assault of Non-Solicited  
 Pornography And Marketing (CAN-  
 SPAM) Act of 2003*, 151  
*Criminal Law NC Gen Stat §14-458.1*, 176  
*Digital Millennium Copyright Act*, 196  
*DNA Fingerprint Act of 2005*, 125  
*Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act 1978*,  
 61, 206  
*Identity Theft and Assumption Deterrence  
 Act of 1998*, 151  
*Identity Theft Penalty Enhancement Act of  
 2004*, 151  
*Justice for All Act of 2004*, 125  
*Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act  
 of 1968*  
 title III, 206  
*Uniting and Strengthening America by  
 Fulfilling Rights and Ensuring Effective  
 Discipline Over Monitoring Act 2015*,  
 61, 62  
*Uniting and Strengthening America by  
 Providing Appropriate Tools Required  
 to Intercept and Obstruct Terrorism Act  
 2001*, 61, 62, 206  
 s 215, 62  
 US Code Title 17 (Copyrights), §512, 198

## International instruments

- Additional Protocol to the Convention  
 on Cybercrime, concerning the  
 Criminalisation of Acts of a Racist and  
 Xenophobic Nature Committed through  
 Computer Systems*, 171  
*Convention on Cybercrime*, 147, 159, 171  
 art 1, 144  
 art 2, 145



- arts 2–5, 144–5  
 art 6, 142  
 arts 7–8, 149–50  
 art 9, 178  
 art 10, 191–2  
 art 22, 210  
 art 24, 214  
 art 29, 214  
 art 30, 214  
 art 32, 214  
 art 35, 214  
*Convention on Protection of Children  
 against Sexual Exploitation and Sexual  
 Abuse*, 178  
*Convention for the Protection of Individuals  
 with regard to Automatic Processing of  
 Personal Data*, 42  
 Data Protection Directive 95/46/EC, 57  
 art 12, 57  
*European Convention on Human Rights*  
 art 8, 59, 60, 124  
 art 14, 124  
*European Patent Convention*  
 art 52(2)(c), 186  
*General Data Protection Regulation*, 57  
 art 6, 58  
 art 16, 58  
 art 17, 58  
 art 20, 58  
 art 22, 58  
 art 32, 58  
 art 34, 58  
*International Covenant on Civil and  
 Political Rights*, 42, 63  
*United Nations Convention on the Use  
 of Electronic Communications in  
 International Contracts*, 99  
*Universal Declaration of Human Rights*, 40
- Republic of the Philippines**  
*Electronic Commerce Act of 2000*  
 s 33, 143

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to acknowledge Cambridge University Press, and in particular Lucy Russell, Senior Academic Commissioning Editor, and Rose Albiston, Academic Development Editor, for their support and input. We are also grateful to the independent peer reviewers for their time and the important feedback they provided. Finally, we would like to thank our families and colleagues for their support and patience throughout the writing of this text.

The authors and Cambridge University Press would like to thank the following for permission to reproduce material in this book.

**Figure 2.1:** Reproduced with permission of Oxford University Press, from *Responsive Regulation: Transcending the Deregulation Debate*. Permission conveyed through Copyright Clearance Center, Inc; **Figure 2.2:** Reproduced with permission of Lawrence Lessig; **Figure 2.3:** Originally published by Routledge, reproduced under STM signatory guidelines; **Tables 3.1 and 3.2:** © Office of the Australian Information Commissioner. Reproduced under CC BY 3.0 AU licence (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/au/legalcode>); Extracts from the Office of the Australian Information Commissioner: © Office of the Australian Information Commissioner. Reproduced under CC BY 3.0 AU licence (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/au/legalcode>); Extracts from Commonwealth legislation: Sourced from the Federal Register of Legislation. Reproduced under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0). For the latest information on Australian Government law please go to <https://www.legislation.gov.au>; Extracts from *General Data Protection Regulation*: © European Union, 1995–2020; Extracts from *D'Arcy v Myriad Genetics Inc* [2015] HCA 35; *Honeysett v The Queen* [2014] HCA 29: Reproduced with permission from the High Court of Australia; Extract from National Health and Medical Research Council, *Ethical Guidelines on the Use of Assisted Reproductive Technology in Clinical Practice and Research* (NHMRC, 2017), 21: © Commonwealth of Australia 2019. Reproduced under CC BY 3.0 AU licence (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/au/legalcode>); Extract from Ronald Leenes et al, 'Regulatory challenges of robotics: some guidelines for addressing legal and ethical issues' (2017) 9(1) *Law, Innovation and Technology* 1: Originally published by Taylor and Francis, reproduced under STM signatory guidelines; Extracts from Council of Europe, Convention on Cybercrime, Treaty no. 185 opened for signature in Budapest on 23 November 2001; The Council of Europe's Convention on Cybercrime: Reproduced with the permission of the Council of Europe; Extract from John Richardson, 'Stuxnet as Cyberwarfare: Applying the Law of War to the Virtual Battlefield' (2011) 29(1) *Journal of Computer and Information Law* 1: © Oxford University Press. Reproduced under STM guidelines; Extract from Victorian legislation: © State of Victoria, Australia. Copyright in all legislation of the Parliament of the State of Victoria, Australia, is owned by the Crown in right of the State of Victoria, Australia. This

product or service contains an unofficial version of the legislation of the Parliament of State of Victoria. The State of Victoria accepts no responsibility for the accuracy and completeness of any legislation contained in this product or provided through this service; Extract from *Grant v Marshall* [2003] FCA 1161: © Federal Court of Australia 2019. Reproduced under CC BY 3.0 AU licence (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/au/legalcode>); Extract from Commonwealth Director of Public Prosecutions (CDPP), Prosecution Policy of the Commonwealth: Sourced from the Commonwealth Director of Public Prosecutions. This material is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/au>.)

*Every effort has been made to trace and acknowledge copyright. The publisher apologises for any accidental infringement and welcomes information that would redress this situation.*