

Index

- Abrams v. United States* (US Supreme Court), 35
- accuracy, 299–300
- agency and, 19–20
 - GDPR and, 99–101
 - in machine learning, measuring, 267
 - of simple and complex algorithms, comparing, 263–4, 268–74
 - truth and, 17–20
- actuarial justice, 26, 271
- ARA, 143, 145–6, 148–9, 191, 195
 - CrimeStat, 270–1
 - in *Ewert*, 195
 - judges and, 150
 - predictive sentencing and, 27–8, 143, 145–6, 148–50, 191
- advertisement, Internet. *See* internet advertisement
- advertisement, political. *See* micro-targeting, political
- agency
- accuracy claims and, 19–20
 - in constitutionalism, 30–1, 281–6
 - criminal, 19–20
 - language and, 30–1, 282–6
 - moral agency, 6, 19–22, 142–3, 151, 285–6
 - personalisation and, 30–1, 285–6
 - personhood and, 30–1, 281–3, 285–6
 - predictive analytics, 19–20, 142–3, 151
 - technology and, 60
- AI (artificial intelligence). *See also* machine learning
- in China, 44–5
 - in credit, indirect discrimination and, 128–30, 136, 243–4
 - data sets driving, 243
 - in digital modernity, 39–41
 - discrimination and, 128–30, 136, 138, 243–4
 - explicability for, 118
 - in gambling, 67–9
 - GDPR and, 95
 - AI4People initiative, 118, 123
- AI ethics
- academic ethics compared with, 250
 - AI4People initiative, 118, 123
 - algorithmic assemblage in, 30–1, 244–5, 255–8, 299–300
 - as Americanised, 246–7
 - atomistic approach to, critiquing, 253–5
 - black boxes and, 253
 - corporate, critiquing, 30–1, 244–9, 256–8, 260, 299–300
 - diversity in, inclusivity and, 252–3
 - ethics boards in, 245, 247–9, 252, 257, 260
 - EU on, 244–9, 251–5, 257–8, 261
 - explicability in, 118
 - Google and, 245–7
 - groupthink in, 248–9
 - holistic analysis of, 30–1, 244–5, 253–8
 - limited problematisation in, 255–7
 - limited tool box of, 251–3
 - in UK, 245, 259
- algorithmic assemblage, 30–1, 244–5, 255–8, 299–300
- algorithms
- commercial influence on, 60–1
 - complex and simple, comparing, 30–1, 263–4, 268–74
 - Google flu trends, 270, 274
 - government through, 57
 - group, individual, and, 7–9
 - profiling with, terminology of, 26
 - transparency lacking in, 266–7
 - US presidential election prediction using, 265–6, 268–70

- algorithms, simple. *See* simple rules
- Allo, Patrick, 34
- Amazon, 65–6
- algorithmic assemblage embedding, 256–7
 - micro-targeting by, 15–16
 - network effects of, 78, 81–2, 88–91
 - personalisation of, 74–5, 78, 80–2
 - price discrimination by, 175–6
 - walled garden data generation by, 44–5
- analogue modernity, 37, 40
- analogue profiling, 19
- Anderson, Chris, 14, 264
- Andrejevic, Mark, 59–60, 227–8
- Angwin, Julia, 191–2, 198
- anti-discrimination law. *See* discrimination
- ARA. *See* actuarial justice
- artificial intelligence. *See* AI
- Arvind, T. T., 162
- association, 42
- Australia, 231, 239
- autonomy, 19–20, 302
- contract and, 109, 111–16, 119
 - in data protection law, 109, 116–18
 - in GDPR, 116–17
 - P4 medicine and, 163–8, 171
 - patient, 161–6, 168, 171
- autopoietic system, 30–1, 288–305
- avatar, 41, 44–9, 60–1, 291–2
- Bacon, Francis, 13–14
- Bauman, Zygmunt, 39
- Bayer, Ronald, 167–8
- Becker, Howard, 197–8
- behavioural targeting, 175
- Benhabib, Seyla, 283–4
- big data. *See specific topics*
- Big Data* (Mayer-Schönberger and Cukier), 14
- biopolitics
- datafication of, 64–6, 69
 - Foucault on, 57–9, 70
 - market and, 57–8
 - medicine and, 64–6
 - normalisation and, 57–9
 - self in, 58–60
- biopower, 55, 57–8
- The Birth of Biopolitics* (Foucault), 58–9
- black boxes, 60–3, 65, 128–9, 253
- Black Mirror* (television series), 205
- Bornstein, Stephanie, 139
- Brexit referendum, 223, 280–1
- cab firms, 76–7, 81, 84, 88–9
- Cambridge Analytica scandal, 4, 29–30, 223–4, 226–7, 280
- campaigns, political
- algorithms and predicting, 265–6, 268–70
 - Cambridge Analytica scandal, 4, 29–30, 223–4, 226–7, 280
 - data protection law and, 29–30, 223–6, 230–4, 238
 - financing, 223–5, 230
 - micro-targeting for, 4, 22–3, 26, 29–30, 121–2, 223–34, 238, 280–1
 - US presidential election of 2012, 269
 - US presidential election of 2016, 121–2, 223, 265–6, 268–70, 280–1
- Campbell, David, 122
- Canada, 205
- Ewert*, 195
 - micro-targeting in, regulation of, 231
 - Sidewalk smart city proposed in, 206, 208–11, 214–17
- capitalism
- biopolitics and market in, 57–8
 - Fitbit subject and, 65–6
 - Foucault on, 58–9
 - governmentality under, 55–61, 64–6
 - neoliberal subject of, 56–7, 61, 64–7, 69–70
 - surveillance, 6–7, 60
- Chan, Sarah, 160–1, 165
- Chiao, Vincent, 265
- China
- smart cities of, 29–30, 205–6, 213–14, 217
 - social credit system of, 29–30, 134–5, 205–6, 212–14, 217
 - WeChat, 44–5
- choice, in digital modernity, 42–3, 50
- choice architects, 4–5
- CJA. *See* Criminal Justice Act, UK
- class, 39, 65–6, 104
- climate change, 49–50
- Clinton, Hillary, 265–6, 268–70
- Cobbe, Jennifer, 207, 211
- Coggon, John, 163–4
- collaborative filtering system, 33
- The Colour of Money* (film), 66
- commodification, data, 110–11
- consent
- autopoietic personalisation system and, 288–9, 294–7, 300–1
 - contract and, 109, 112–13, 294–5
 - in data protection law, 27–9, 95–9, 103–4, 108–13, 116–17, 119–22, 129–30, 185–6, 231–2, 281, 294, 296
 - in GDPR, 27–9, 95–9, 103–4, 112–13, 116–17, 119–20, 122, 129–30, 185–6, 231–2, 281, 294, 296
 - privacy and, 108–12, 120, 294–5

- constitutionalism
 - agency in, 30–1, 281–6
 - language in, 30–1, 281–6
 - mass surveillance threatening, 285–6
 - personalisation and, 30–1, 280–1, 284–6
 - personhood in, 30–1, 281–6
 - solidarities in, 30–1, 283–6
- consumer, 4–5
 - autonomous, 19–20
 - contestability and, 23–4
 - in P4 medicine, 164, 171
 - price discrimination survey of, 178–83, 185–6
- consumer protection law, 174
- contestability
 - in commercial sphere, 23–5
 - consumer and, 23–4
 - in law, 21–2
 - in political domain, 22–5, 35
 - in scientific community, 20–1
- contract, 121
 - autonomy and, 109, 111–16, 119
 - consent and, 109, 112–13, 294–5
 - in data protection law, 96–8, 108–13, 118–22
 - market theory and, 115–16, 122
 - personalisation depending on, 110–13, 118–19
 - privacy and, 27–8, 108–9, 116, 120, 294–5
 - relationality and, 27–8, 113–15, 122
- cookies, 96, 105, 120
- Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR), 246–7, 250, 256–8
 - in Europe, 246
- corporations
 - AI ethics for, 30–1, 244–9, 252, 256–8, 260, 299–300
 - efficiency, control, and, 15–16
 - employee nudging by, 65
 - Fitbit subject and, 65–6
 - governmentality of, 55–6, 59–61
 - self-regulation of, critiquing, 247–9, 257
- Correctional Offender Management Profiling for Alternative Sanctions (COMPAS), 191–2
 - in *Loomis*, 21–2, 200, 266–7
 - racial bias of, 197–8, 200, 266–7
- correlation, 13–18, 264–5
- courts
 - bail and, 270–2
 - CJA on, 143–4
 - predictive risk assessment and, 144, 151
 - Supreme Court of Canada, 195
 - UK Supreme Court, 128–9, 135, 138, 162–5, 168, 170–1
 - US Supreme Court, 35, 138
 - Wisconsin Supreme Court, 21–2, 24, 200, 266–7
- Coveney, Peter, 14–15
- Cover, Robert, 283–4
- COVID-19 pandemic, 166–7, 174, 207, 278–9
- credit, 124, 140, 299
 - AI and indirect discrimination in, 128–30, 136, 243–4
 - anti-discrimination law and, 127–8, 136, 141
 - Chinese social credit system, 29–30, 134–5, 205–6, 212–14, 217
 - credit score, oppressive potential of, 136
 - credit score models, 27–8, 131–3, 135–6
 - credit scores, history of, 124
 - data protection law and, 129–30, 133–6, 294
 - indirect discrimination in, 128–33, 135–6, 243–4
 - microfinance, 126–7
 - minority, gender discrimination in, 127–8
 - mobile network data for, 126–7, 131–3
 - non-traditional data for, 124–5, 131–5
 - objective justification and, 131–3
 - price discrimination in, 125–6, 131
 - social media as input data for, 124–8, 131–4
 - US law on, 127–8, 131, 140
- crime
 - actuarial justice, 26–8, 143, 145–6, 148–50, 191, 195, 270–1
 - agency in, 19–20
 - bail, 142, 145–6, 190, 270–2
 - criminal law, 21–2, 27–8, 166, 200, 294, 302
 - prisons, 21–2, 147–8, 150–1, 155
- CrimeStat, 270–1
- criminal justice, data-driven. *See also* actuarial justice; sentencing, predictive
 - autopoietic system of, 297–9
 - COMPAS, 21–2, 191–2, 197–8, 200, 266–7
 - critiques of, 192–3, 198
 - diversity and algorithms of, 195
 - epistemic power in, 190–1, 193–5, 198, 201
 - fairness and, 198–201
 - false positives in, 197
 - Foucault and, 190, 193–4, 201
 - in *Loomis*, 21–2, 24, 200, 266–7
 - machine learning in, 191–2
 - predictive policing, 4, 9, 16, 26, 29–30, 190, 243–4, 279
 - race and, 192–3, 195–8, 200, 266–7
 - recursion of, 297–9
 - as self-fulfilling prophecy, 196–8, 201
 - simple rules for, 270–2
 - social injustice and, 190–202, 297–9
 - structural impact of, 196–8
 - terminology in, 26
 - transparency lacking in, 199–201
 - trends in, 191–2
- Criminal Justice Act, UK (CJA), 143–4, 148, 152, 154

- Cukier, Kenneth, 14
- Cuomo, Andrew, 279
- Czerlinski, Jean, 273
- Data Protection Act, UK, 231–2
- data protection law, 26–8, 121
- accuracy and, 99–101
 - anti-discrimination law compared with, 129–30
 - appropriate use in, 133–5
 - autonomy in, 109, 116–18
 - with bioethics, analogy of, 123
 - consent in, 27–9, 95–9, 103–4, 112–13, 116–17, 119–22, 129–30, 185–6, 231–2, 281, 294, 296
 - consent in, privacy and, 108–12
 - contextual integrity and, 134
 - contract in, 96–8, 108–13, 118–22
 - Cookie Directive, EU, 120
 - credit and, 129–30, 133–6, 294
 - ePrivacy directive, European, 120, 231
 - legal analogies in, 108–12, 116–20
 - machine learning and, 100–1, 104
 - market individualism framework of, 117
 - for political micro-targeting, 29–30, 223–6, 230–4, 238
 - privacy in, 42, 108–12, 116–20, 133–5
 - purpose limitation principle of, 133–4
 - relationality in, 113–15
 - technological frameworks in, 116–18
- data-driven computing. *See specific topics*
- data-self, 55, 59, 62, 65
- De Cnudde, Sofie, 126–7
- Dhami, Mandeep, 271–3
- Dick, Philip K., 205
- Digital, Culture, Media and Sport Committee, UK (DCMS), 223–4
- digital modernity
- AI in, 39–41
 - analogue modernity compared with, 37, 40
 - avatar in, 41, 44–9
 - choice in, 42–3, 50
 - climate change in, 49–50
 - disruption in, 40
 - harm in, 45–50
 - individuality in, 26–7, 41–3, 46–7, 50
 - medicine in, 46–8, 50
 - narrative of, 40, 46
 - personalisation in, 26–7, 39–40, 42–50, 291
 - postmodernism and, 39, 41
 - privacy in, 26–7, 42–3
 - social grammar of, 43–4
 - space in, 41
 - subjunctive world of, 44–6, 49–50
 - temporality and, 40
- digital persons, 290–1, 295–6, 299
- discrimination, 86–7, 139, 297–8
- AI and, 128–30, 136, 138, 243–4
 - anti-discrimination law, 11, 27–8, 49, 127–8, 136, 141
 - anti-discrimination law, data protection law compared with, 129–30
 - credit, 125–33, 135–6, 141, 243–4
 - EU on, 49, 127–8
 - gender, 49, 127–8
 - indirect profiling, stereotyping and, 11
 - machine learning and, 128–30, 136, 138
 - racial, 127–8, 135, 138–9, 192–3, 196–7, 298
 - UK on, 128–9, 138
 - US on, 127–8, 138–9
- discrimination, indirect
- AI and, 128–30, 136, 243–4
 - black boxes and, 128–9
 - concept of, limits on, 130–3
 - credit, 128–33, 135–6, 243–4
 - EU on, 128
 - formal equality and, 130–1
 - GDPR on, 129–30
 - objective justification and, 131, 133
 - race in, 135, 138–9
 - UK on, 128–9
- disinformation, 227
- distributive profiles, 8–9
- diversity. *See also* gender; race
- in AI ethics, inclusivity and, 252–3
 - criminal justice algorithms and, 195
 - machine learning and, 45
 - viewpoint, 228, 232–3
- driving metrics, 61–3, 66, 69–70, 90
- Dunn, John, 225–6
- Dworkin, Ronald, 277–8
- emotional targeting, 100–1, 121
- empiricism, 7
- of Bacon, 13–14
 - of big data, 15–17, 104
 - of Hume, 13–15
 - of Wittgenstein, on family resemblance, 8–9
- employees. *See also* class
- nudging of, 65
 - personalisation and hiring, 75
 - surveillance of, 63, 65–6
- Enlightenment, 26–7, 37–8, 41–4
- environment
- climate change, 49–50
 - driving metrics for, 62–3
 - environmental shocks, 292–3, 304–5
 - in smart cities, sustainability for, 207, 216
- epistemic power, 190–1, 193–5, 198, 201
- ePrivacy directive, European, 120, 231

- Equal Credit Opportunity Act (US), 127–8, 131
- equality, formal, 11–12, 130–1
- Erikainen, Sonia, 160–1, 165
- Essop and Naeem* (UK Supreme Court), 128–9, 135
- ethics, academic, 249–51
- ethics, AI. *See* AI ethics
- ethics, medical, 123, 250, 261–2
- Ethics Guidelines for Trustworthy AI (Ethics Guidelines)*, 247, 255, 258
- Assessment List of, 253–5, 257, 261
- atomistic approach of, 253–5
- GDPR and, 252
- non-technical measures in, 252–3
- technical measures in, 251–2
- Eubanks, Virginia, 254, 257
- European Union (EU)
- on AI ethics, 244–9, 251–5, 257–8, 261
 - on Cambridge Analytica scandal, 223–4
 - Charter of Fundamental Rights, 127, 245
 - Cookie Directive, 120
 - on discrimination, 49, 127–8
 - ePrivacy directive, 120, 231
 - Ethics Guidelines*, 247, 251–5, 257–8, 261
 - on FRTs, 215
- Ewert v. Canada* (Supreme Court of Canada), 195
- exemplars, 108–9, 111–13
- explicability, 118
- Extinction Rebellion, 49–50
- Facebook, 88, 121–2
- Cambridge Analytica scandal, 4, 29–30, 223–4, 226–7, 280
 - credit data from, 124–8
 - emotional contagions study of, 23–4
 - emotional targeting by, 100–1, 121
 - network effects of, 82, 84
 - sensitive data used by, 101–2
- facial recognition technologies (FRTs)
- automated, 243–4
 - in gambling, 68–9
 - sensitive data from, 101–2, 107
 - smart cities and, 29–30, 206, 210, 214–17
- Fair Credit Reporting Act (US), 140
- fake news, 227, 234
- falsification, 20–3
- Feeley, Malcolm M., 145–6
- Feenberg, Andrew, 15
- filter bubbles, 227–8, 233, 249, 254–5
- Fitbit, 57, 63–6, 69
- footprint, digital
- all data is credit data, 24–5, 27–8, 124–5, 128–9, 132–5
 - big-data, 3, 7–10, 124–5, 128–9, 133–4
- Ford, Henry, 43–4
- Foucault, Michel, 291
- on biopolitics, 57–9, 70
 - on biopower, 57–8
 - criminal justice technologies and, 190, 193–4, 201
 - on governmentality, 26–7, 56–61, 70
 - on knowledge, power and, 55–9
 - on neoliberalism, 58–9
 - on normalisation, 19, 57–9, 201
 - on subjects, 61, 69
 - surveillance and, 58–61
- Galea, Sandro, 167–8
- gambling, 66–7
- AI in, 67–9
 - geo-locational tracking of, 57, 68–9
 - metrics for, 57
 - personalisation of, 57, 67–70
 - surveillance in, 68–9
- Gates, Bill, 159
- gender, 266
- credit and, 127–8
 - discrimination by, 49, 127–8
 - in modernity, 39
 - personalisation and, 4, 39, 101–2, 127–8
- General Data Protection Regulation, EU (GDPR), 104
- accuracy and, 99–101
 - AI and, 95
 - Article 22 of, 23–4, 36, 103, 116
 - autonomy in, 116–17
 - consent in, 27–9, 95–9, 103–4, 112–13, 116–17, 119–20, 122, 129–30, 185–6, 231–2, 281, 294, 296
 - credit and, 129–30, 133–4
 - Data Protection Impact Assessments under, 103–4
 - deletion and modification under, 95–6, 99–101, 103–4, 106
 - enforcement of, 95–6, 101, 103–4
 - Ethics Guidelines* and, 252
 - indirect discrimination and, 129–30
 - internet advertisement and, 96–7, 99–100, 112
 - legal basis required by, 185–6
 - legitimate interest criterion in, 98, 106, 119, 185
 - limits of, 27–8, 95–6, 104
 - market individualism framework of, 117
 - political micro-targeting and, 231–3
 - price discrimination and, 29, 174, 183–6
 - profiling under, 101, 106, 121
 - purpose limitation principle of, 133–4
 - sensitive data under, 27–8, 95–6, 101–4, 107
 - transparency in, 118, 129–30, 184, 281
- genetic profiles, 4
- geographical profiling, 270–1
- geo-locational tracking, 57, 68–9

- Gigerenzer, Gerd, 273
 globalisation, 37–8
 Goldstein, Daniel, 273
 Goodman, Ellen, 208, 210
 Google, 88
 AI ethics and, 245–7
 Google flu trends algorithm of, 270, 274
 Google Maps, 63, 74–5, 81, 90
 Google Search, 77–8, 80–2, 88–9
 network effects of, 88–9
 personalisation and, 74–5, 77–8, 80–2, 90
 Sidewalk, 206, 208–11, 214–17
 governance
 AI ethics, 30–1, 118, 123, 244–61, 299–300
 by code, 60–1, 194
 nudging/manipulation, 4–5, 47–8, 65, 69, 74–5, 208, 210, 216
 regulation/law, 29, 84–6, 126, 186, 207–9
 governmentality. *See also* biopolitics
 algorithmic, 26–7, 56–7, 59–61, 64–6, 69
 capitalist, 55–61, 64–6
 corporate, 55–6, 59–61
 data and contemporary, 55–6, 59
 neoliberal, 57–60, 64–6
 normalisation and, 57–9
 personalisation and, 55–7
 subject in, 61, 69
 Graef, Inge, 89
 Griffin, James, 282
 group profiling, 7–9

 Hale, Brenda (Lady Hale), 128–9, 135
 Harcourt, Bernard E., 156, 200
 Harm Assessment Risk Tool (HART algorithm), 9
 health
 datafication and, 63
 neoliberal subject, 64–5
 High-Level Expert Group on Artificial Intelligence, EU. *See Ethics Guidelines for Trustworthy AI*
 Hildebrandt, Mireille, 12, 14–15, 18–19
 Hindley. *See R v. Secretary of State for the Home Department ex parte Hindley*
 Hoffmann, A. L., 253–4
 Holmes, Oliver Wendell, Jr., 35
 Hood, Leroy, 159–61, 168
 human behaviour, theories of, 14–15, 263–6
 Human Genome Project, 28–9, 159
 Hume, David, 13–15, 43–4

 inclusivity, 252–3
 individual
 in AI ethics, focus on, 253–5
 in algorithmic profiling, group and, 7–9

 biopolitical self, 58–60
 in data protection law, market and, 117
 in digital modernity, 26–7, 41–3, 46–7, 50
 Fitbit subject, 64–6, 69
 governmentality, subject and, 61, 64–6, 69
 in modernity, 39, 41–4, 46
 neoliberal subject, 56–7, 61, 64–7, 69–70
 in smart cities, 205
 Information Commissioner's Office, UK (ICO), 98, 223–4, 231–2
The Institutions of Private Law and their Social Functions (Renner), 122
 internet advertisement, 88
 behavioural targeting in, 175
 gambling, 67
 GDPR and, 96–7, 99–100, 112
 micro-targeting in, 15–16
 personalisation and, 4, 15–16, 77–81, 96–7, 99, 112–15
 sensitive data and, 101–2
 Internet of Things, 41

 Japan, 238
 Jimenez, Alisha Caldwell, 197
 judges
 OASys impacting, 144, 150
 social justice and, 151–2
The Jurisdiction of Medical Law (Veitch), 166

 Kafka, Franz, 24–5
 Kant, Immanuel, 41–4, 293–5
 Klein, Naomi, 279
 Koopman, Colin, 59–60

 Lacey, Nicola, 19–20
 language
 agency and, 30–1, 282–6
 in constitutionalism, 30–1, 281–6
 law and, 278, 302
 Musk on, 277
 personalisation and, 30–1, 278, 285
 personhood and, 30–1, 282–6
 Larson, Jeff, 191–2, 198
 law
 analogies in, 108–9, 111–13, 119, 123
 as autopoietic system, 30–1, 289, 301–3
 contestability in, 21–2
 language and, 278, 302
 natural, 282
 soft, 252, 281
 Lazer, David, 270, 274
 legal analogies, 119
 with bioethics, 123
 in data protection law, 108–12, 116–20

- exemplars in, 108–9, 111–13
- Lessig, Lawrence, 18–19
- Li, Tania Murray, 58, 66
- Lichtman, Allan, 268–70, 273–4
- Liebowitz, Stan J., 87
- life-style profiles, 4
- Lipton, Zachary C., 267
- Loomis v. Wisconsin* (Wisconsin Supreme Court), 21–2, 24, 200, 266–7
- Lowenkamp, Christopher, 198
- Luhmann, Niklas, 293, 303–5
- Lyotard, Jean-François, 39, 41
- machine learning, 16–18
 - accuracy in, measuring, 267
 - correlation and, 265
 - in credit, indirect discrimination and, 128–30, 136
 - in criminal justice algorithms, 191–2
 - data protection law and, 100–1, 104
 - data sets driving, 243
 - in digital modernity, 41
 - discrimination and, 128–30, 136, 138
 - explicability for, 118
 - operations performed by, 45
 - personalisation and, 45
 - sensitive data and, 102
 - theory and, 265, 274
- Margolis, Stephen E., 87
- market
 - biopolitics and, 57–8
 - concentration, competition, and, 75–8, 82–7, 90–1
 - in data protection law, individualism and, 117
 - network effects in, 26–7, 75–8, 82–7, 90–1
 - network effects in two-sided, 76–7, 88–9
 - price signals and theory of, 115–16
 - relationality and theory of, 114–16, 122
- Martignon, Laura, 273
- Mayer-Schönberger, Viktor, 14
- McMahon, A., 162
- MDS. *See* Mobility Data Specification
- medicine, 4. *See also* P4 medicine
 - algorithmic government through, 57
 - biopolitics and datafied, 64–6
 - clinician-patient relationship in, 167–8, 170–1
 - COVID-19 pandemic, 166–7, 174, 207, 278–9
 - datafication of, 46–8, 50, 63–4, 66, 69–70
 - ethics of, 123, 250, 261–2
 - Fitbit tool for, 57, 63–6, 69
 - medical law, 159, 161–8, 170–1
 - Montgomery* on, 162–5, 168, 170–1
 - nudging in, 47–8
 - patient autonomy, 161–6, 168, 171
- Merton, Robert, 196–7
- microfinance, 126–7
- micro-segmentation, 132, 135–6
- Microsoft, 76–7, 88
- micro-targeting, political, 26
 - Cambridge Analytica scandal, 4, 29–30, 223–4, 226–7, 280
- contestability and, 22–3
- data protection law for, 29–30, 223–6, 230–4, 238
- privacy and, 230–4
- in US presidential election, 2016, 121–2, 223, 280–1
- vices and virtues of, 224–30, 234
- Mill, John Stuart, 22–3, 46–9
- The Minority Report* (Dick), 205, 211
- Miola, José, 164
- mobile network data, credit and, 126–7, 131–3
- mobility data, 206, 209, 211–12, 216
- Mobility Data Specification (MDS), 206, 209, 211–12
- model inversion attack, 100
- modernity, 26–7
 - analogue, 37, 40
 - choice in, 42
 - Enlightenment in, 37–8, 41–4
 - harm in, 46
 - individual in, 39, 41–4, 46
 - liquidity of, 39
 - narratives of, 37–9, 43–4, 46
 - present tense narrative of, 43–4
 - privacy in, 42, 46
 - space in, 38, 41
 - temporality of, 40
- Montgomery, Jonathan, 166–7
- Montgomery v. Lanarkshire Health Board* (UK Supreme Court), 162–5, 168, 170–1
- Morison, John, 207, 211
- Morozov, Evgeny, 209, 211
- mortgages. *See* credit
- Musk, Elon, 277
- narratives
 - of digital modernity, 40, 46
 - internal logic of, 37
 - of modernity, 37–9, 43–4, 46
 - social grammar of, 43–4
 - truth and falsehood of, 37
- natural law theories, 282
- neoliberalism
 - Foucault on, 58–9
 - governmentality of, 57–60, 64–6
 - subject of, 56–7, 61, 64–7, 69–70
- Netflix, 77–82
- network effects, 88
 - of Amazon, 78, 81–2, 88–91
 - defining, 76

- network effects (cont.)
 in digital economy, 76–7
 first-order, 75–6, 80–2, 84, 90
 interoperability for, 84
 market concentration, competition and, 75–8,
 82–7, 90–1
 nationalisation for, 85
 of personalisation, 26–7, 75, 77–87,
 89–90
 public policy for, 83–7
 regulation of, 84–6
 second-order, 75–6, 81–5, 90, 299
 second-order, personalisation as, 79–81,
 85–6
 social media, 76–82, 84
 taxation for, 85–6, 91
 tech sector business models by, 81
 in two-sided markets, 76–7, 88–9
- Neuralink, 277
- Neurath, Otto, 284
- Newheiser, David, 59
- NHS, UK, 163–6
- Nissenbaum, Helen, 134
- non-distributive profiles, 8–9
- normalisation, 18–19, 57–9, 201
- Norris, Pippa, 229
- nudging, 4–5, 69, 74–5
 corporate, of employees, 65
 in medicine, 47–8
 static nudges and hypernudges, 208, 210, 216
- Nuffield Council on Bioethics, 166
- Oakeshott, Michael, 48
- Obama, Barack, 161, 167–8, 269
- Offender Assessment System (OASys), 142, 144–5,
 148–50
- On Liberty* (Mill), 22–3, 46
- Open Mobility Foundation, 211–12
- Óskarsdóttir, María, 126–7
- P4 medicine, 26, 28–9, 159–68, 170–1
- PCRA algorithm, 198
- penal predictive algorithms. *See* criminal justice,
 data-driven
- personalisation. *See specific topics*
- personalised pricing. *See* price discrimination
- personhood
 agency and, 30–1, 281–3, 285–6
 in constitutionalism, 30–1, 281–6
 digital, 290–1, 295–6, 299
 language and, 30–1, 282–6
 personalisation and, 30–1, 285–6
- pixelated human, 6
- Plato, 8–9
- policing, 4, 26, 29–30, 190, 243–4, 279
 efficiency, control, and, 16
 HART algorithm in, 9
- politeia*, 283–4
- political parties, 229–30
- Popper, Karl, 20–1
- The Postmodern Condition* (Lyotard), 39, 41
- postmodernism, 39, 41
- Powles, Julia, 210
- precision medicine. *See* P4 medicine
- predictive analytics
 advertising, 4, 15–16, 18–19, 30–1, 100–2, 112,
 114–16
 pricing, 29, 126, 131, 174–87
- pre-modernity, 39–40, 42–3, 45–6
- price, fixed, 174
- price discrimination, 175–6, 188
 in credit, 125–6, 131
 degrees of, 176–7
 economic theory underlying, 174–8, 187
 GDPR and, 29, 174, 183–6
 popular perceptions of, 178–83, 185–6
 regulating, banning, 29, 126, 186
 welfare impact of, 29, 177–8
- price signals, 115–16
- pricing, dynamic, 177–8, 181–2
- privacy. *See also* data protection law; General Data
 Protection Regulation
 commercial surveillance and, 110
 consent and, 108–12, 120, 294–5
 contract and, 27–8, 108–9, 116, 120, 294–5
 in data protection law, 42, 108–12, 116–20, 133–5
 in digital modernity, 26–7, 42–3
 ePrivacy directive, European, 120, 231
 as exemplar, 111–12
 in modernity, 42, 46
 political micro-targeting and, 230–4
- privacy paradox, 97
- private, public and, 205–12, 216–17
- privileged influence, personalisation and, 297–300,
 305
- Profiles, Probabilities, and Stereotypes* (Schauer),
 11–12
- profiling
 algorithmic, terminology of, 26
 analogue, 19
 blind knowledge of data-driven, 13–17
 distributive profiles, 8–9
 under GDPR, 101, 106, 121
 genetic, 4
 geographical, 270–1
 group and individual in algorithmic, 7–9
 indirect, stereotyping and, 9–11
 life-style, 4

- non-distributive profiles, 8–9
- in predictive policing, indirect, 9
- social injustice of, 190–1
- stereotyping and, 7, 9–13
- pseudo-private spaces, 207–8
- pseudo-public spaces, 207–8
- purpose limitation principle, 133–4
- Quartix, 62
- R (on the application of Coll) v. Secretary of State for Justice* (UK Supreme Court), 138
- R v. Secretary of State for the Home Department ex parte Hindley* (*Hindley*) (UK), 148, 155
- race, 101–2
 - COMPAS and, 197–8, 200, 266–7
 - credit personalisation and, 127–8
 - criminal justice algorithms and, 192–3, 195–8, 200, 266–7
 - discrimination in, 127–8, 192–3, 196–7, 298
 - in indirect discrimination, 135, 138–9
- rationality, 284
- relationality, 27–8, 113–16, 122
- Renner, Karl, 122
- retributive justice, 155
 - in *Hindley*, 148, 155
 - predictive sentencing conflicting with, 27–8, 142–3, 145–8, 152–3, 255
- Rieder, Bernhard, 18, 24–5
- Saussure, Ferdinand de, 282–3
- Schauer, Frederic, 11–12, 130–1
- Scotland, 207
- Sennett, Richard, 46
- sensitive data, 27–8, 95–6, 101–4, 107
- sentencing, predictive, 16, 155, 190
 - actuarial justice and, 27–8, 143, 145–6, 148–50, 191
 - arbitrariness of, 149–50
 - legal and technological context of, 143–5
 - liberal retributive justice conflicting with, 27–8, 142–3, 145–8, 152–3, 255
 - OASys, 142, 144–5, 148–50
 - social justice and, 148–52, 156
 - in UK, CJA and, 143–4, 148, 152, 154
- sexual orientation, 3–4, 9, 101–2, 127, 134
- Sherwin, E., 119
- Sidewalk, 206, 208–11, 214–17
- Silver, Nate, 265–6, 268
- Simon, Jonathan, 18–19, 145–6
- simple rules, 268
 - complex algorithms compared with, 30–1, 263–4, 268–74
 - in criminal justice, 270–2
- Lichtman 13-key rule, 268–70
- simulatability in, 267
- transparency and, 267–8
- US presidential election prediction and, 268–70
- Şimşek, Özgür, 273
- simulatability, 267
- Skeem, Jennifer, 198
- smart cities, 41
 - Chinese social credit system and, 29–30, 205–6, 213–14, 217
 - concepts, evolving, of, 206–9
 - environmental efficiency, sustainability in, 207, 216
 - FRTs and, 29–30, 206, 210, 214–17
 - individual in, 205
 - mobility data in, 206, 209, 211–12, 216
 - pseudo-public and pseudo-private in, 207–8
 - public and private in, 205–12, 216–17
 - Sidewalk, 206, 208–11, 214–17
 - in UK, proposed, 205, 207
- smartphones, 63, 67–9
- Snow, C. P., 263
- social credit system, Chinese, 29–30, 134–5, 205–6, 212–14, 217
- social grammar, 43–4
- social justice, 148–52, 156
- social media. *See also* Facebook
 - for credit, as input data, 124–8, 131–4
 - network effects of, 76–82, 84
- soft law, 252, 281
- solidarities, social, 30–1, 283–6, 302
- Solove, Daniel, 24–5
- South Korea, 48
- Staples, 175, 178
- Starr, Sonja B., 156
- stereotyping. *See* profiling
- Steyn, Johan (Lord Baron Steyn), 148, 155
- Stigler, G. J., 187
- subject-matter experts, 25–31
- subjectivity, 56, 61, 69
- subjectivation, 56, 69
- subjunctive world, 44–6, 49–50
- substance, process and, 5
- Sunstein, Cass R., 4–5, 23
- Supreme Court, UK, 138
 - Essop and Naeem*, 128–9, 135
 - Montgomery*, 162–5, 168, 170–1
- Supreme Court, US, 35, 138
- Supreme Court, Wisconsin. *See* *Loomis v. Wisconsin*
- Supreme Court of Canada, 195
- surveillance, 69
 - by commercial actors, privacy and, 110
 - by corporations, 65–6

- surveillance (cont.)
 employee, 63, 65–6
 Foucault and, 58–61
 in gambling, 68–9
 mass, constitutional life threatened by, 285–6
 surveillance capitalism, 6–7, 60
 systems medicine. *See* P4 medicine
 systems theory. *See* autopoietic system
- taxation, 85–6, 91
 Taylor, Charles, 282–3
 telematics, 61–3, 66
 Teubner, Gunther, 301–2
 Thaler, Richard H., 4–5
 theory, 30–1
 correlation and, 14–15, 264–5
 of human behaviour, big data eschewing, 14–15, 264–6
 of human behaviour, process-based, 263–5
 machine learning and, 265, 274
 Till, Christopher, 65
 Toronto, Canada, 206, 208–11, 214–17
 traditional profiling, 19
 transparency
 criminal justice algorithms lacking, 199–201
 deliberation and, 266–7
 in GDPR, 118, 129–30, 184, 281
 of simple rules, 267–8
The Trial (Kafka), 24–5
 Trump, Donald, 265–6, 268–70
 truth, 17–20
- Uber, 76–7, 81, 84, 88–9
 United Kingdom (UK)
 academic ethics in, 249–51
 AI ethics of, 245, 259
 bail in, 271–2
 on Cambridge Analytica scandal, 223–4
 CJA, 143–4, 148, 152, 154
 Data Protection Act, 231–2
 DCMS Committee, 223–4
 on discrimination, 128–9, 138
 Essop and Naeem, 128–9, 135
 FRTs in, 215
 Hindley, 148, 155
 ICO, 98, 223–4, 231–2
 Montgomery, 162–5, 168, 170–1
 NHS, English, 163–6
 OASys in, 142, 144–5, 148–50
 political micro-targeting and, 223–4, 231–2
 prisoner treatment in, 155
 smart city proposals in, 205, 207
 United States (US)
 Abrams, 35
 AI ethics of, 246–7
 credit law in, 127–8, 131, 140
 on discrimination, 127–8, 138–9
 micro-targeting in, permissive regime on, 230
 Washington, 138
 US presidential election of 2012, 269
 US presidential election of 2016, 268
 algorithms and predicting, 265–6, 268–70
 political micro-targeting in, 121–2, 223, 280–1
- Veitch, Kenneth, 166
 Volcic, Zala, 227–8
- Walzer, Michael, 134
Washington v. Davis (US Supreme Court), 138
 Waterfront Toronto, 209–11
 WeChat, 44–5
 Wisconsin Supreme Court. *See* Loomis
 v. Wisconsin
 Wittgenstein, Ludwig, 8–9
 Zuboff, Shoshana, 6–7