

KANT ON SELF-KNOWLEDGE AND SELF-FORMATION

As the pre-eminent Enlightenment philosopher, Kant famously calls on all humans to make up their own minds, independently from the constraints imposed on them by others. Kant's focus, however, is on universal human reason, and he tells us little about what makes us individual persons. In this book, Katharina T. Kraus explores Kant's distinctive account of psychological personhood by unfolding how, according to Kant, we come to know ourselves as such persons. Drawing on Kant's Critical works and on his Lectures and Reflections, Kraus develops the first textually comprehensive and systematically coherent account of our capacity for what Kant calls 'inner experience'. The novel view of self-knowledge and self-formation in Kant that she offers addresses present-day issues in philosophy of mind and will be relevant for contemporary philosophical debates. It will be of interest to scholars of the history of philosophy, as well as of philosophy of mind and psychology.

KATHARINA T. KRAUS is Assistant Professor of Philosophy at the University of Notre Dame, Indiana. She has published numerous articles on Kant's theoretical philosophy in journals such as *Studies in History and Philosophy of Science, European Journal of Philosophy* and *Noûs*.



KANT ON SELF-KNOWLEDGE AND SELF-FORMATION

The Nature of Inner Experience

KATHARINA T. KRAUS

University of Notre Dame





CAMBRIDGEUNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA 477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia

314-321, 3rd Floor, Plot 3, Splendor Forum, Jasola District Centre, New Delhi - 110025, India

103 Penang Road, #05-06/07, Visioncrest Commercial, Singapore 238467

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781108812757 DOI: 10.1017/9781108874304

© Katharina Teresa Kraus 2020

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2020 First paperback edition 2022

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication data Names: Kraus, Katharina T., 1983– author.

Title: Kant on self-knowledge and self-formation : the nature of inner experience / Katharina T. Kraus. Description: Cambridge, United Kingdom ; New York, NY : Cambridge University Press, 2020. |

Includes bibliographical references and index.

Identifiers: LCCN 2020026286 (print) | LCCN 2020026287 (ebook) | ISBN 9781108836647 (hardback) | ISBN 9781108874304 (ebook)

Subjects: LCSH: Kant, Immanuel, 1724–1804 – Criticism and interpretation. | Self-knowledge, Theory of. | Self (Philosophy)

Classification: LCC B2799.S37 K73 2020 (print) | LCC B2799.S37 (ebook) | DDC 126.092–dc23 LC record available at https://lccn.loc.gov/2020026286 LC ebook record available at https://lccn.loc.gov/2020026287

ISBN 978-1-108-83664-7 Hardback ISBN 978-1-108-81275-7 Paperback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



Für meine Mutter und dem Andenken meines Vaters (1948-2000)

To my mother and in memory of my father (1948-2000)



> Ich lebe mein Leben in wachsenden Ringen, die sich über die Dinge ziehn. Ich werde den letzten vielleicht nicht vollbringen, aber versuchen will ich ihn.

> > Rainer Maria Rilke, 'Ich lebe mein Leben in wachsenden Ringen'



CONTENTS

	List of Figures page viii
	Table ix
	Preface xi
	Introduction: From Inner Experience to the Self-Formation of Psychological Persons 1
	PART I The Appearing Self
1	Inner Sense as the Faculty for Inner Receptivity 17
2	Temporal Consciousness and Inner Perception 43
	PART II Self-Consciousness and the "I" of the Understanding
3	The Form of Reflexivity and the Expression "I think" 83
4	The Conditions of Self-Reference 130
	PART III The Human Person and the Demands of Reason
5	The Guiding Thread of Inner Experience 171
6	The Demands of Theoretical Reason and Self-Knowledge 217
7	The Demands of Practical Reason and Self-Formation 251
	Epilogue: Individuality and Wholeness 277
	Bibliography 280 Index 298



FIGURES

- 1.1 Kant's basic model of representation page 21
- 3.1 Triadic structure of the Unity Argument 92
- 6.1 The idea of the soul as the highest genus concept of the system of psychological predicates in accordance with the tripartite structure of the basic mental faculties 239
- 6.2 The idea of the soul as the template for the lowest species concept, viz. the complete individual self-concept 243



TABLE

7.1 Levels of self-realization and corresponding kinds of self-related unities page 257



PREFACE

Modern life is full of change and transition. We constantly undergo new experiences or even actively seek them, and with those new experiences we ourselves change. All these changes become manifest in some way or other in our conscious mental life, which consists, most basically, of a constant stream of passing thoughts, perceptions, desires, joys, hopes, and fears, as well as various other mental states. Reflecting upon this seemingly endless flow of experiences, we may notice - once in a while and perhaps often to our own surprise – that many of these changes are profound, even if slow. They concern long-held beliefs, core commitments, and even character traits. And yet we have a sense of still being me, unmistakably and distinctively. We almost unavoidably think of ourselves as being the same unique individual persons throughout all these changes. We are rarely willing to accept that our lives may just consist in single experiential episodes strung loosely together. Rather, we even may find ourselves trying to make sense of our lives as a whole, perhaps hoping that all our experiences may add up to an overall character, aim, or purpose towards which we unswervingly strive.

This book aims to enhance our understanding of the intricate relationship between becoming a unique individual person and knowing oneself as such by exploring Immanuel Kant's distinctive account of psychological personhood. For this purpose, it expounds, in accordance with the tenets of his transcendental philosophy, Kant's account of empirical self-knowledge as the knowledge that one has of oneself as a unique psychological person. The resulting account of personhood, I shall argue, is able to explain both the experience of psychological change and the sense of personal identity.

By focusing on the structural conditions of human mental life and retrieving Kant's conception of inner experience, this book will tackle two puzzling questions that lie at the heart, not only of Kant's philosophy, but of any philosophical account of self-knowing subjects. Firstly, how, if at all, can we become the objects of our own experience and, if so, what kind of objects are we for ourselves? Secondly, how, if at all, can we know ourselves objectively? That is, how can the subjective contents of our minds become items of knowledge meeting the standards of objective validity? Kant's philosophy, I argue, provides an exceptionally productive framework to resolve the baffling tension



XII PREFACE

that arises between the self-consciousness that one has of oneself qua *thinking subject* and the self-knowledge that one has of oneself as *object of knowledge*.

Kant's solution, I shall argue, draws centrally on his conception of *the soul as an idea of reason*, which he takes to serve as the "guiding thread of inner experience" (*Critique of Pure Reason*, A672/B700). While Kant denies that there is (at least that we can know of from experience) any Cartesian mental substance that underlies all inner change, he nonetheless assigns a crucial role to the idea of the soul. As a regulative idea of reason, it shapes how we conceive of ourselves as enduring psychological persons, providing the unity that enables us to experience our own mental states and more general psychological properties as varying across time. The individual person will be understood as evolving through self-formation in the course of realizing mental capacities under the normative guidance of the idea of the soul. In consequence, Kant's notion of the soul will turn out – perhaps surprisingly to many readers – to be much closer to an *Aristotelian soul-form* than to a *Cartesian mind-substance*. To be a person, for Kant, just means to live one's life according to the form of an integrated mental whole.

This book is, if anything, only the *sketch of a whole*. In the years working on this project, I have been fortunate to have invaluable teachers, colleagues, and friends. I am especially grateful to all my colleagues at the University of Notre Dame for offering me an extraordinarily productive as well as cooperative atmosphere. Above all, I thank Karl Ameriks for his philosophical guidance and encouragement, and just for seemingly knowing the answers to all my questions. Moreover, I thank in particular Robert Audi, David Cory, Therese Cory, Sam Newlands, Fred Rush, Jeff Speaks, and Meghan Sullivan for supporting me in numerous ways.

Eric Watkins was exceptionally kind to organize a workshop on an early draft of the book at the University of California San Diego in February 2018, which was extremely helpful for clarifying and developing my view. I thank all those who participated in the discussions and in particular those who prepared comments: Lucy Allais, Rosalind Chaplin, Max Edwards, Clinton Tolley, Brian Tracz, and Eric Watkins. Beyond that, Eric repeatedly provided me with detailed written comments on several chapters.

My work has greatly benefited from the numerous helpful comments and suggestions that I have received at conferences and workshops. I owe special thanks for valuable feedback on drafts of individual chapters to Angela Breitenbach, Yoon Choi, Alix Cohen, Silvia DeBianchi, Corey Dyck, Sacha Golob, James Hebbeler, Peter McLaughlin, Thomas Land, Amy Levine, Béatrice Longuenesse, Michael Oberst, Thomas Sturm, Jens Timmermann, and Marcus Willaschek. Numerous other people have engaged with me in a productive dialogue about self-knowledge and psychology in Kant. To name but a few: Matthew Boyle, Andrew Chignell, Janelle DeWitt, Patrick Frierson, Gideon Freudenthal, Ido Geiger, David Hyder, Patrick Kain, Jessica Leech,



PREFACE Xiii

Jacqueline Mariña, Samantha Matherne, Sofie Møller, Sasha Newton, Karin Nisenbaum, Konstantin Pollok, Carl Posy, Ursula Renz, Tobias Rosefeldt, Janum Sethi, Daniel Sutherland, Udo Thiel, and Rachel Zuckert. I thank in particular Julia Peters for co-organizing the workshop "Kant on the Self: Moral and Psychological Dimensions" at Notre Dame in September 2019, as well as all speakers and discussants who participated in it. I am especially grateful to Wolfgang Freitag for his refreshing "analytic" challenges to my Kant scholarship and for developing together with me an expressivist reading of Kant's "I think". I also thank him, as well as Maik Niemeck and other colleagues at the University of Freiburg, for co-organizing several workshops on issues concerning the *first person* in Freiburg and Mannheim. These workshops and my research on the first person more generally were kindly supported by a generous grant of the Elite Programme for Postdocs of the Baden-Württemberg Stiftung (2016-19). Moreover, I thank Marcus Willaschek for hosting me at Goethe University in Frankfurt for two fruitful summers in 2017 and 2018. I am especially indebted to Brigitte Falkenburg and Peter McLaughlin for their invaluable academic mentorship over many years.

I kindly thank Cambridge University Press for letting me reuse material from my paper "The Parity and Disparity between Inner and Outer Experience in Kant" (*Kantian Review* 24(2): 171–95); and the publisher De Gruyter for permitting me to reprint parts of my paper "Rethinking the Relationship between Empirical Psychology and Transcendental Philosophy in Kant" (*International Yearbook of German Idealism* 15: 47–76).

This work would not have been possible without the guidance, trust, and encouragement of my supervisors at the University of Cambridge, Marina Frasca-Spada, Nick Jardine, and Onora O'Neill, who helped me plant the seeds of this book. I am deeply grateful for their enduring support and generosity, which they have still shown me long after I had finished my dissertation.

Special thanks must also go to Aaron Wells, who read numerous drafts of this manuscript, gave meticulous and acute comments, and helped me in various other ways in preparing this manuscript for submission. I also thank Hilary Gaskin and the staff at Cambridge University Press for their generous assistance and advice.

Last but not least, I am blessed with the loving support of my family, close friends, and especially of Julian. I dedicate this book to my parents, who taught me how to be a person long before I had any theoretical grasp of this notion.