

# Cambridge Elements

Elements in Quantitative and Computational Methods for the  
Social Sciences

edited by

R. Michael Alvarez

*California Institute of Technology*

Nathaniel Beck

*New York University*

## TARGET ESTIMATION AND ADJUSTMENT WEIGHTING FOR SURVEY NONRESPONSE AND SAMPLING BIAS

Devin Caughey

*Massachusetts Institute of Technology*

Adam J. Berinsky

*Massachusetts Institute of Technology*

Sara Chatfield

*University of Denver*

Erin Hartman

*University of California, Los Angeles*

Eric Schickler

*University of California, Berkeley*

Jasjeet S. Sekhon

*University of California, Berkeley*



CAMBRIDGE  
UNIVERSITY PRESS

Cambridge University Press  
978-1-108-79415-2 — Target Estimation and Adjustment Weighting for Survey Nonresponse and Sampling Bias  
Devin Caughey , Adam J. Berinsky , Sara Chatfield , Erin Hartman , Eric Schickler , Jasjeet S. Sekhon  
Frontmatter  
[More Information](#)

---

## CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom

One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA

477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia

314–321, 3rd Floor, Plot 3, Splendor Forum, Jasola District Centre,  
New Delhi – 110025, India

79 Anson Road, #06–04/06, Singapore 079906

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning, and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

[www.cambridge.org](http://www.cambridge.org)

Information on this title: [www.cambridge.org/9781108794152](http://www.cambridge.org/9781108794152)

DOI: 10.1017/9781108879217

© Devin Caughey, Adam J. Berinsky, Sara Chatfield, Erin Hartman, Eric Schickler,  
and Jasjeet S. Sekhon 2020

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception  
and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements,  
no reproduction of any part may take place without the written  
permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2020

*A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library.*

ISBN 978-1-108-79415-2 Paperback

ISSN 2398-4023 (online)

ISSN 2514-3794 (print)

Additional resources for this publication at [www.cambridge.org/Caughey](http://www.cambridge.org/Caughey).

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of  
URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication  
and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain,  
accurate or appropriate.

Cambridge University Press

978-1-108-79415-2 — Target Estimation and Adjustment Weighting for Survey Nonresponse and Sampling Bias

Devin Caughey, Adam J. Berinsky, Sara Chatfield, Erin Hartman, Eric Schickler, Jasjeet S. Sekhon  
Frontmatter

[More Information](#)

## Target Estimation and Adjustment Weighting for Survey Nonresponse and Sampling Bias

Elements in Quantitative and Computational Methods for the Social Sciences

DOI: 10.1017/9781108879217

First published online: September 2020

Devin Caughey

*Massachusetts Institute of Technology*

Adam J. Berinsky

*Massachusetts Institute of Technology*

Sara Chatfield

*University of Denver*

Erin Hartman

*University of California, Los Angeles*

Eric Schickler

*University of California, Berkeley*

Jasjeet S. Sekhon

*University of California, Berkeley*

**Author for correspondence:** Devin Caughey, [caughey@mit.edu](mailto:caughey@mit.edu)

**Abstract:** Nonresponse and other sources of bias are endemic features of public opinion surveys. Consequently, even for probability samples, basing inferences on the sampling design alone is rarely the best option. Both the bias and the variance of design-based estimators can be reduced through the use of adjustment weights, which incorporate auxiliary information on the composition of the target population. We elaborate a general workflow of weighting-based inference, decomposing it into two main tasks. The first is the estimation of population targets from one or more sources of auxiliary information. The second is the construction of weights that calibrate the survey sample to the population targets. We emphasize that these tasks are predicated on models of the measurement, sampling, and nonresponse process whose assumptions cannot be fully tested. After describing this workflow in abstract terms, we then describe in detail how it can be applied to the analysis of historical and contemporary opinion polls. We also discuss extensions of the basic workflow, particularly inference for causal quantities and multilevel regression and poststratification.

**Keywords:** weighting, calibration, ecological inference, nonresponse, quota sampling

© Devin Caughey, Adam J. Berinsky, Sara Chatfield, Erin Hartman, Eric Schickler, and Jasjeet S. Sekhon 2020

ISBNs: 9781108794152 (PB), 9781108879217 (OC)

ISSNs: 2398-4023 (online), 2514-3794 (print)

Contents

Introduction	1
1 The Problem of Unrepresentative Survey Samples	2
2 Weight Estimation	13
3 Target Estimation	24
4 Application to Contemporary Election Surveys	39
5 Application to Quota-Sampled Opinion Polls	49
6 Extensions and Conclusion	66
Glossary	75
References	80